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MR. PERALES: Good afternoon. We will commence. Commissioner Clark, we have a place for you right here. My name is Cesar Perales. I introduced myself at the beginning of each of the public meetings. I have the honor of sharing the 2018 New York City Charter Revision Commission. As always, I will begin by asking the commissioners to introduce themselves and I will start on my left.

MS. GREENBERGER: Good afternoon. I am Sharon Greenberger and I'm the President and CEO of the YMCA of Greater New York.

MR. BRAGG: Good afternoon. I am Kyle Bragg. I am secretary/treasurer of SEIU 32BJ union across the east coast of 170,000 members. Thank you.

MR. SIEGAL: Good afternoon, John Siegal. I am an attorney in private practice in Manhattan.

MR. SCISSURA: Good afternoon, Carlo
Scissura. I'm the president and CEO of the New York Building Congress.

MR. PERALES: Let me just tell them that I was a former secretary of state and a former deputy mayor of New York City, and like I said before, my name is Cesar Perales.

MS. WEISER: Hello. My name is Wendy Weiser and I direct the Democracy Program at the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU Law School.

MS. CLARKE: Good afternoon. I'm Una Clarke. I am a former member of the New York City Council and a trustee for the City University of New York.

MR. CARRION: Good afternoon. My name is Marco Carrion and I currently serve as commissioner of the Mayor's community affairs bureau.

MS. SEECHARRAN: Good afternoon. My name is Annetta Seecharran and I am the executive director of Chhaya Community Development Corporation.

MS. ANGELO: Good afternoon. My name is Larian Angelo. I am a long time budget person for the City of New York and currently a senior research fellow at the Institute for state of local government.

MR. PERALES: The purpose of todays meeting is to discuss the progress that we've made and to vote on a resolution that's going to direct our staff to prepare a legislation, a ballot language and a final report that will reflect our work.

The resolution has been sent to all of the commissioners in advance of the meeting. I'm sure they've all read it. The resolution has been posted on the website so the public has notice of it, and the resolution reflects Extensive Public Engagement, Stake Holder Meetings and research by our staff.

I will now ask our executive director Matt Gewolb to give some background on the rationals behind the proposals in the resolution. Matt?

MR. GEWOLB: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll begin with the campaign finance proposal. This Commission heard testimony that the City's campaign finance system is successful and considered to be a nationwide model. Nonetheless, the Commission also heard from members of the public and elected officials that there remains a public perception that government officials give improper favors to large campaign donors. And that the existence of the largest campaign contributions still permitted under our Campaign Finance system, helps to feed that perception of corruption. The Campaign Finance proposal has described in today's proposed resolution, attempts to address this perception
of corruption by significantly lowering the limits for campaign contributions to candidates both for candidates who choose to participate in the public finance program and those who do not. At the same time, the proposed limits are designed to be high enough to still enable candidates to raise the funds they need to communicate effectively with voters and run competitive campaigns whether or not they participate in the voluntary public financing program.

The proposed new limits for participating candidates are somewhat lower than for nonparticipants. These participants are able to supplement private contributions of public matching funds. The Campaign Finance proposal on today's resolution also serves anti-corruption goals by strengthening the smaller matching-funds formula and increasing the cap on public funds available to participate in candidates. Increase small dollar matching further enables participating candidates to campaign without the need to rely on the largest donors. At the same time, strengthening the matching formula and raising the public funds cap helps to maintain
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incentives for candidate participation and the public financing program by maintaining a reasonable yet appealing balance between the benefits of participation and its burdens.

On Civic Engagement. On The topic of Civic Engagement, we heard a strong interest in creating a vehicle to ensuring the values of civic participation in the Charter without increasing bureaucracy. To do this, the resolution calls for establishment of Civic Engagement Commission to address concerns raised by the public and many of you, the Commissioners, we thought it was important to incorporate a mix of Mayoral and non-Mayoral appointments on the Commission.

The Commission will provide a landing pad for several new and exciting initiatives that were suggested in our public comment process, such as participatory budgeting, increased resources to community boards and greater language assistance services. It will also allow the public to better access the City's existing Civic Engagement efforts and support and partner with community-based organizations, institutions and civic leaders in the public and private
sectors in their civic work.
On Community Points. Throughout our public engagement process, we got a strong interest in making community boards more reflective of the communities that they represent and more effective in representing those communities. To address these concerns, the resolution would oppose a limit of four consecutive two-year terms for community board members with staggered implementation and the ability to be reappointed after sitting out one two-year term. An eight-year limit on community board members provides parity with the term modes for many of the City's elected officials and strikes an appropriate balance between creating opportunities for new membership and allowing time for members to develop and share their institutional knowledge. The resolution also calls for uniformly an application process and requires borough presidents to report an informational -- a teacher recruitment and selection which promote transparency without disrupting the important role that borough presidents play.

Finally, as I mentioned we think that Civic
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Engagement Commission can play a useful role in providing needed resources to community boards.

On language access, we heard public testimony at improving language access services is vital to ensuring all New Yorkers can fully participate in the City's civic life. To address this issue, the resolution gives an affirmative mandate to the Civic Engagement Commission to provide language assistance services including providing interpreters at poll sites. We think the structure and overall mission of the Civic Engagement Commission will make it well suited for the role.

On Rank Choice Voting. Regarding Rank Choice Voting, the Commission heard several comments regarding the proposed benefits of adopting Rank Choice Voting. We heard that it would make elections more efficient avoiding us having to a whole separate runoff election, build consensus and make candidates more likely to reach out to a broader set of voters. However, as staff has been studying this issue, we learned that Rank Choice Voting has not been adopted uniformly. There are multiple models that will need to be examined for the effect specific to

New York City. Additionally, more follow up be done regarding the jurisdictions that adopted Rank Choice Voting and then repealed them. They also recommend further study on where Rank Choice Voting would have effects on minority communities or vulnerable populations. For these reasons, the resolution proposed directs the staff in the final report to express the Commission's desire to have a future Commission engage in a careful study, additional study of Rank Choice Voting to determine whether and how it should be implemented in the City.

On the matter of Districting. We heard a wide variety of comments suggesting the need to reform the City's redistricting system and make it more fair and independent. We received some comments suggesting that the Commission adopt reforms from California and other jurisdictions. However, given New York City's unique size and diversity, it is necessary to evaluate any proposed reform or impact specific to the City. It is also important to allow for sufficient time for public input on any specific change to the system including input from communities of color, elected officials, political parties, former
members of Districting Commissions, and other experts. For these reasons, the proposed resolution in front of you directs the staff in the final report to express the Commissions desire to have a future Commission pick up districting reform.

Mr. Chair, I think you would now like me to read the resolution?

MR. PERALES: Am I to read the resolution?
MR. GEWOLB: I am to read the resolution to you and to the Commission members.

MR PERALES: Okay.
MR. GEWOLB: I'll take a sip of water and move quickly.

MR. PERALES: The stenographer is working as hard a possible. Her fingers are flying.

MR. GEWOLB: 2018 Charter Revision Commission Resolution, August 14th, 2018. Whereas, the 2018 New York City Charter Revision Commission has conducted extensive public outreach, including holding numerous public hearings and events in all five boroughs of the City; and

Whereas, the Commission has heard and received written testimony from elected officials
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and members of the public at these hearings and events, including from the representatives of public interest and advocacy organizations, civic and community organizations, political organizations and private citizens; and Whereas, in addition, the Commission has encouraged public comment through a variety of methods, and in multiple languages, including at community based events, on its website and through various forms of social media; and Whereas, Commission staff has also solicited comments and ideas from heads of City departments and their personnel; and Whereas, the Commission has reviewed the entire Charter as part of this revision process; and

Whereas, the staff prepared a preliminary staff report recommending that the Commission continue to study proposals related to Campaign Finance reform, election reform civic engagement, community boards and redistricting; and Campaign Finance, Whereas, commenters expressed serious concerns that large campaign donations result in quid pro quo corruption, and the appearance of such corruption, and proposed
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numerous ways that the City's Campaign Finance system could be strengthened to combat such corruption, as well as its appearance; and Whereas, to address these concerns, many commenters, including experts, election officials and members of the public suggested reforms to certain core elements of the Campaign Finance system, including reducing contribution limits and strengthening public financing through adjustments to the matching formula and the cap on public matching funds; and Whereas, certain commenters also expressed concern regarding the timing of the disbursement of public matching funds under the current system;

Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission hereby directs the staff to prepare a proposal or portion of a proposal to be placed before the voters at the general election to be held on November 6, 2018, if adopted by the Commission, consistent with the following: Decrease contribution limits for candidates who choose to participate in the public financing program to 2,000 dollars for citywide offices, 1,500 dollars
for borough president offices and 1,000 dollars for council member offices, per election cycle; decrease contribution limits for non-participating candidates to 3,500 dollars for citywide offices, 2,500 dollars for borough president offices and 1,500 dollars for council member offices, per election cycle; change the formula for determining public matching funds to a ratio of 8 to 1 in public funds matched on the first 250 dollars of eligible contributions for citywide offices, and to a ratio of 8 to 1 in public funds matched on the first 175 dollars of eligible contributions for borough president and council member offices; increase the cap on public matching funds, per candidate and per election, to 75 percent of the expenditure limit for the relevant office; and make public funds available to qualifying candidates earlier in the election year;

Civic Engagement, Whereas, in its public testimony, the public expressed a broad concern about the state of civic engagement in the City; and Whereas, the City's current efforts to promote engagement of its residents are many and
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varied, and public commenters expressed an interest in creating a vehicle to coordinate and enhance the City's current efforts and better inform the public about opportunities for engagement; and Whereas, the Commission has determined that establishment of a civic engagement commission would enshrine the values of civic participation into the Charter and provide opportunities for new and innovative programs; and Whereas, the Commission received compelling testimony regarding the value of fostering civic engagement through the establishment of a citywide participatory budgeting program; and Whereas, the Commission received compelling testimony that providing residents with information regarding the location of poll sites, changes in poll site location, voter registration and other forms of voter engagement and central to promoting participation in civic life; and Whereas, the Administration has established the DemocracyNYC initiative for the purposes of strengthening democracy, making voting more accessible and breaking down barriers to civic participation, which signals the City's
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commitment to take further governmental action in this area; and

Whereas, the City desires to develop and support new and existing programs and other initiatives to encourage meaningful participation in civic life;

Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission hereby directs that the staff prepare a proposal or portion of a proposal to be placed before the voters at the general election to be held on November 6, 2018, if adopted by the Commission, consistent with the following: Establish a Civic Engagement Commission with an appointment structure that includes Mayoral and non-Mayoral appointments and other representation, which may include the heads of relevant City agencies; establish that the Civic Engagement Commission shall set policies in furtherance of its mission to promote civic participation and engagement by residents of New York City; Provide that the Civic Engagement Commission shall be responsible for the following functions: Oversight and implementation of a citywide participatory budgeting program, subject to appropriation and
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 consistent with other applicable laws and procedures; providing technical assistance services, including urban planning and other resources to community boards, as set forth in the Community Boards portion of this resolution; coordinating existing civic engagement efforts by City agencies; supporting and partnering with community-based organizations, institutions and civic leaders in the public and private sectors in their civic engagement efforts, which may include, among other things, leadership skills development, stewardship of public spaces, youth engagement, and targeted services to immigrant of other vulnerable communities; taking actions to encourage, promote, and facilitate voter registration and voting, including, but not limited to targeted outreach to groups who are underrepresented among those registered and those voting, including eligible voters who are limited in English proficiency; and performing language access functions as set forth in the Language Access portion of this resolution;Community Boards, Whereas, members of the public, experts, and elected officials have expressed a strong interest in making the City's
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community boards more reflective of the communities they represent and more effective in representing those communities; and

Whereas, many commenters expressed the view that community boards, which serve an important role in New York City's local democracy, would be strengthened by enhanced diversity, and several of such commenters have proposed imposition of term limits as a means to achieve this goal; and Whereas, many commenters expressed the view that the appointment process for community boards could be enhanced by steps to provide consistency among the five boroughs; and

Whereas, the Commission heard compelling testimony that there is a need for increased technical assistance services, including urban planning and other resources, to better enable community boards to fulfill their Charter mandate;

Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission hereby directs that the staff prepare a proposal or portion of a proposal to be placed before the voters at the general election to be held on November 6, 2018, if adopted by the Commission,
consistent with the following: Term Limits, Provide a limit of four consecutive full two-year terms for community board members, provided, however, that for the purposes of staggered implementation certain initial appointments may include a limit of five two-year terms; establish that the four-term limit would become effective for appointments or reappointments beginning on or after April 1, 2019; and establish that the community board members who had previously served for four consecutive terms are not barred from reappointment after one full term out of office; Appointment Process, Require all Borough Presidents to publish an Annual Report disclosing: The number of open community board positions; information about current community board members; the Borough President's recruitment methods; the evaluation criteria followed in the selection process and any specific methods employed by such Borough President; and set forth in the Charter uniform categories of information that must be included in all applications and require all Borough Presidents to make an application for community board member positions available online;
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Resources and Related Matters, Require the Civic Engagement Commission to provide technical assistance services, including urban planning and other resources, including but not limited to language assistance services, to community boards; and require community boards, with assistance from the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications, to maintain a website;

Language Access, Whereas, approximately 23 percent of all New Yorkers are limited English proficient, meaning that they have a limited ability to read, speak and write in English; and Whereas, as the City continues to grow its efforts to fully engage its diverse and multicultural communities, expanding language access is crucial to building a more just and equitable City, and these efforts are particularly critical as they relate to voting; and

Whereas, the Commission heard compelling testimony that there is a greater need for language assistance services related to voting and voter registration;

Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New

York City Charter Revision Commission hereby directs that the staff prepare a proposal or portion of a proposal to be placed before the voters at the general election to be held on November 6, 2018, if adopted by the Commission, consistent with the following: Require the Civic Engagement Commission to consider the needs of language communities in developing its programs and services and seek to ensure that the Commission's programs and services are accessible to limited English proficient New Yorkers; require the Civic Engagement Commission, in coordination with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, to develop a plan for providing voter education, assistance and outreach services in languages other than English to individuals who are eligible or may become eligible to vote; require the Civic Engagement Commission to develop, in coordination with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, a plan to provide interpreters at poll sites throughout the City, which shall include but not limited to a methodology for determining which languages and poll sites shall be covered under such program, including a requirement to periodically review
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and adapt such methodology as appropriate in response to the needs of language communities; require designation of an individual to coordinate language access services provided by the Civic Engagement Commission and a process to monitor and timely respond to public complaints; and require the Civic Engagement Commission to track and report the provision of language assistance services, including the number of voters that utilized interpreters at poll sites;

Ranked Choice Voting, Whereas, the Commission received public comments from experts, elected officials, advocacy groups and members of the public proposing that the City adopt ranked choice voting in municipal elections; and

Whereas, many commenters suggested that the adoption of ranked choice voting would have potential benefits for the administration of municipal elections, including broader voter engagement and participation, reduction of negative campaigning consensus building, providing voters with more opportunities to select preferences among candidates and the administrative efficiency of eliminating the need for separate run-off elections; and
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Whereas, based on available research, ranked choice voting is not implemented uniformly among the jurisdictions that have adopted it, with variations ranging from the number of candidates voters may rank to the composition of the ballot, and some jurisdictions have abandoned the use of ranked choice voting after its adoption; and

Whereas, based on available research, there are significant open questions regarding the impact that such a change would have on voters and candidates, including questions regarding the impacts on minority voters and vulnerable populations; and

Whereas, based on available research there are significant open questions regarding the operational feasibility of implementing ranked choice voting in a city on the scale of New York, including questions regarding vote tabulation timeframes and auditing procedures;

Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission directs the staff to carefully address this promising approach to improving the administration of municipal elections in the Commission's Final Report with a recommendation that such a proposal
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The Districting Process, Whereas, the Commission received many public comments proposing that the Commission propose changes to the districting process; and

Whereas, the Commission heard compelling testimony that a fair and independent districting process is critical to a well-functioning local democracy; and

Whereas, several commenters expressed concern that the districting process be amended to ensure that due consideration be given to the voting power of racial and ethnic minority groups; and

Whereas, other commenters suggested changes to the appointment process and other changes to districting requirements designed to make the districting Commission more independent; and

Whereas, the overall variety of the comments submitted to the Commission has demonstrated that there are many ways to approach redistricting reform; and

Whereas, New York is unique in its size and diversity, and any proposed reform that looks to
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 the models of other jurisdictions must be evaluated for impacts specific to New York City and its local communities; and Whereas, input from local communities, including communities of color, elected officials, political parties, former members of districting commissioners, experts and other important stakeholders is necessary to evaluate any proposed reform to the City's districting process;Now, therefore, be it RESOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission directs the staff to carefully address the variety of redistricting reforms presented to the Commission in the Commission's Final Report, with a recommendation that redistricting reform be considered by a future Charter Revision Commission;

And be it further ReSOLVED that the New York City Charter Revision Commission directs the staff to prepare a Final Report and ballot questions and abstracts consistent with the foregoing directives and with such further amendments as may be deemed necessary and appropriate by the Chair with notice to the

Commissioners and provided further that such Final Report and ballot questions and abstracts, cumulatively reflecting the Commission's proposals, shall be submitted to the Commission for consideration of whether to place such proposals before the voters at the general election to be held on November 6, 2018.

MR. PERALES: Thank you. That was well read. Speed reader. We may need some more speed reading because I had a special request from our vice chair, Rachel Godsil, who cannot be with us for very important reasons, personal reasons, and she asked that $I$ read a statement into the record. If you are not present, this is not a vote. You cannot vote. I'm reading just the sentiments that you wanted.
"It has, it continues to be an honor to serve as vice chair of the New York City Charter Revision. I deeply regret being unable to participate in today's meeting and I appreciate the opportunity to have my statement read." I'm skipping much of it in which it deals with how pleased she was by the process we undertook and the time and care of our Commissioners. She begins with the Civic Engagement specific
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"Civic Engagement, broadly speaking, is an issue that garnered a great deal of interest of both the public hearings and from experts. We all undeniably believe that the greater Civic Engagement will serve our City. Question was how to be constructive on this front, and I am pleased that New Yorkers will have the opportunity to vote to create a Civic Engagement Commission. This innovative and streamlined approach will marshall the City's Civic Engagement efforts, to produce improved outcomes and cut down on currently uncoordinated activities. Of particular significance in my view is the opportunity for all of the communities to participate in community budgeting for communities to have a chance to decide how to spend capital dollars and hosting the needed Community Board and Language Access Resources in such an office, will ensure communities are we able to access expert assistance. We also heard, loud and clear, that New Yorkers fear that our political system is afflicted by the corrupting nature of money and politics. The distress engendered by worry about money mattering more
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than people is why we must take bold steps in reforming Campaign Finance. I think our proposal will achieve the aim of creating incentives for candidates to reach out widely and to know they don't have to be dependent on a few. While a lot of those will require a modest increase in funding from the public, these dollars will serve the critical function of allowing voters to have greater faith in the system. Rank Choice Voting and Districting Reforms emerged from the borough hearings from New Yorkers. I believe this speaks of the City's residents thirst for innovation. Like many, I am intrigued by the potential Rank Choice Voting, the potential Rank Choice Voting is broadening voter engagement participation and for reducing negative campaigning. Yet I'm sorry to conclude that there are significant policy, legal and operational issues that we as a body had not had the opportunity and time to tackle. I agree that the best course of action would be to explore these open questions and considerations in our Final Report so that a future Charter Revision Commission can thoroughly investigate and overcome these barriers to implementing what could be a game changer in the
way New Yorkers select their representatives. Same concept holds for Districting Process. I believe there's close to a unanimous agreement among the commission members and the public that the current districting process is not sufficient to serve New York as well. The goal should be to create a mechanism for districting that assures the public that district lines are drawn fairly, proportionally and reflective of the community. Only when the districting process achieves these goals, will the process have the publics trust.

I look forward to talking with everyone soon and to a final vote on the ballot language legislation and the final report."

So I apologize for all of the reading, but this latter one was a special request by the vice chair that has worked very hard on this Commission.

I'll now, unlike what we've done in the past, is wait for people to raise their hands, we'll go down the line and see if everybody has got something to say. Hope you'll be brief. One of the reasons I would like brevity is that one of our members, Kyle Bragg, must leave in just a few minutes.

How many minutes do you think you have, Kyle?

MR. BRAGG: I have about another 20 minutes.
MR. PERALES: We'll see if we can go down -we'll try -- we're not going to cut off anybody.

MS. ANGELO: I would like to commend the work of the staff on attending meetings, hearings from the public, synthesizing what they were saying and presenting what I think are the very good proposals to the Commission and hopefully will be good proposals on the ballot. I agree with the staff in that Rank Choice Voting, although very interesting, is something the City has no experience with and while the research indicates it may reduce the negative campaigning that goes on, it may encourage elected officials to reach out beyond their traditional base. There are other experts who said it may, in fact, limit people's choices. So given the fact that we don't have experience with it, I support the staffs resolution to put this off and let another Commission take the time that this Commission does not have to study the issue.

MR. PERALES: Thank you, Larian. Annetta?
MS. SEECHARRAN: Yes. I will just quickly
add my appreciation to the staff for their hard work over the last several months and for really performing an incredible Herculine task, as Larian said, synthesizing such a wide array of proposals and ideas that were put forth. I personally feel very pleased with the process and I'm very comfortable with what we are proposing today. I do regret that we did not have enough opportunity to really come up with a proposal around the Districting Process as I feel very strongly about that matter, as well as other issues that didn't make it to the floor in addition to the Rank Choice Voting.

Thank you.
MR. PERALES: Marco?
MR. CARRION: Thank you. I would like to commend the staff as well. This has really been a human effort to put this document together. So I would like to agree with -- with that portion that we could not dig deeper on the districting because I think that that is something that future Charter Commissions should look at, but I think what we have come up with both on the community board side using transparency to attract more members and to increase resources to
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real vital entity is important and also on Campaign Finance, answering the concerns that we have heard regarding money in politics is really fantastic work, so thank you.

MR. PERALES: Dale?
MR. HO: Thank you. I didn't have an opportunity to introduce myself earlier, I walked in a little late, I apologize. My name is Dale Ho. I'm a lawyer at the American Civil Liberties Union. It's been an honor to serve on this Commission and I just wanted to thank Chairman Perales, my fellow Commissioners and the staff for all of their hard work in producing the resolution for today. I know it wasn't easy given the amount of time that we had. I think the resolution includes some strong proposals for increasing civic participation and increasing government responsiveness. I am sure not everyone will agree on the actual content of resolutions that emerged in each of these areas, but I'm hopeful that we can all agree that the people of New York City deserve an opportunity to the vote yes or no on those proposals.

I do want to add one other point and I just want to make clear that this is not in any way
criticism of the staff which worked really really hard and my fellow commissioners who I know are deeply invested in this City and in our democracy. It's, I think, just a reflection of our extremely truncated process because in addition to the proposals that will -- in addition to the language that will eventually emerge from this resolution on these particular areas, I think there are other matters on which the people of New York City deserve an opportunity to weigh in and I think it's unfortunate that they won't be able to do so. We heard a wide variety of concerns that were raised in our community hearings. Everything from how the Civilian Complaint Review Board is constituted to whether or not the City should adopt the Convention against the elimination of discrimination against women and I just want to thank the public for bringing these issues to our attention.

There are two issues in particular that are particularly germane to this Commission. I think it's disappointing that we were unable to make specific progress on those issues in the time that we had to put forth specific language for
voters to consider on. The first is in redistricting. We heard repeated concerns at hearings that members of the community think that our current redistricting process is not sufficiently independent, it's not sufficiently fair, does not take into account the needs of communities of color adequately. The challenge for us was that $I$ don't think we received proposals with sufficient specificity in time to get feedback from experts and stakeholders to sufficiently consider those proposals and so for example, we received one very, I think, a strong proposal from Common Cause and the Brennan Center for Justice, which I think would increase transparency, increase the independence of the redistricting process and establish heightened protection for communities of color. I'm sorry that there isn't more time for us to analyze that proposal and get feedback from the -- from the members of the community and stakeholders on it, but I'm hopeful that that proposal will form the basis for future conversations on redistricting reforms. There is time for that. The next election to take place under new districts won't not be until 2023 and I'm very very hopeful we
can get something done in the next five years.
The other area in which I am disappointed that we don't have language to propose to New York City voters on is Rank Choice Voting. I heard during our hearings what sounded like a widespread desire to adopt some form of Rank Choice Voting for at least some of our elections and I felt at least with respect to this issue that we did have it, compared to say the redistricting issue, time to hear testimony about the practical effects of particular forms of Rank Choice Voting, but again, the process was quite truncated and I'm hopeful that we as a City together will have opportunities to consider some form of Rank Choice Voting in the future.

None of that is meant to take away from the very serious proposals that will be on the ballot in November to strengthen our Campaign Finance system, create a Civic Engagement Commission, to reform community boards and enhance language access protections at the polls and, again, I am very thankful for the staff for putting together what I think is very strong work on those positions.

MS. CLARKE: Thank you so very much. I
would like to vehicle some of what my colleagues have said. Me, having been a selected official myself, and knowing what voters do on election day, if you put too many proposals on the ballot, they pick one and nothing else happens. And I think that our choice, the staff was very thorough in the work that they do and we are proposing those that we think we can educate the community about, especially on Civic Engagement, so I would strongly support the work and the proposals that we have for the election in November. And while we ask other commissions who will come after us to take a deep dive in some of the issues that came before us that we did not have enough time to even consider at this point. I want to thank the chairman and all of my colleagues who have worked as hard as they could and for the staff in particular who kept us abreast of all of the issues and all of the information that came in that they saw online, that they got in calls and that they got from testimony. So I want to just thank all of our community, the New Yorkers who made it their choice to be at the hearings. To hear and know that we are open and transparent and that we're
going to be putting on the ballot those things that are near and dear to New Yorkers based on all the testimonies we heard.

MR. PERALES: Wendy Weiser.
MS. WEISER: Thank you very much. I am going to be somewhat duplicative an repetitive in what some of my colleagues said. I want to start by thanking my colleagues and the chair for their incredible service and commitment and work on this and then I want to give a special thanks to what I think was a really incredible and terrific staff that was able to do a tremendous amount of work, synthesis, analysis and present it to us and to all of you in what is a remarkable short period of time and it's remarkable at how much we were able to accomplish in that time period. I think that that is terrific that New Yorkers will have the opportunity to vote on these proposals that are designed to enhance and increase Civic Engagement and improve our democracy, something I care deeply about and that we all care deeply about. I want to thank you, the people in this room, and the other people who have come and testified before this Commission. The work is not finished here. And I'll just mention that the few other things I want to say about the work left to do is the work of drafting these proposals and along with some tweaks that may be necessary to make it work, but I think that that is the -- going to be important work to get this before the voters and I want to thank the staff in advance for that and I look forward to working on those.

I do want to reiterate what some of my colleagues mentioned. Some of the unfinished business. I want to especially emphasize the redistricting process and I want to thank the staff for including in here a very strong recommendation that this proposal be taken up by a future Commission and I think there is strong consensus and I am deeply persuaded that New York would benefit by making the redistricting -reforming the redistricting process, to make it more independent and more responsive to communities. I think that we're -- I'm disappointed that we weren't able to finish that job and I look forward to that being taken up at the next time. I think this is deeply important for New York and we have time to do that before the next redistricting cycle and I strongly urge
the City to do so in the next Commission to take that on and I also want to say that I, too, was disappointed that we were not able to get over the finish line on Rank Choice Voting. I think we did a lot of great work and I think that the work that's going to be reflected in the final report will be a helpful guide forward so that the next Commission can finish what we weren't able to finish this time around. But again, this is a tremendous piece of work and hopefully a great benefit to our City.

MR. PERALES: Given -- in the interest of time, I will not make much of a statement except to reenforce the comments made by my fellow commissioners about this staff. They have done yeoman's worth. It's been incredible. I know, perhaps, more than any of you how diligent they have been. So I thank them. I think what they have produced today is extraordinary given the amount of time and I, of course, enthusiastically support it. But let me move on. Carlo?

MR. SCISSURA: Carlo, why don't we give the staff a round of applause? I think they deserve it. Good job everybody. First of all, thank you to the chairman. You have done a great job. We
came together rather quickly and we had a short year of time to answer a lot of questions, but to my fellow commissioners, it was great to get to know you a little bit during this process and I hope that we continue to work together to make New York City an even better place.

I have a couple of concerns with the resolution and I think my biggest concern, without getting into, really specifics of each proposal, because I think most of them are great and I would support them, unfortunately, I will have to vote no on the current resolution because I believe that it is important that each commissioner be given the opportunity to vote yes or no on each specific proposal in the resolution the way the voters will get the opportunity to vote for that in November. So should that change from now until the final meeting, I will be happy to vote yes on most of the proposals, but given that we are being asked to vote on one proposal in its entirety and given that I have some concerns on the Campaign Finance numbers, although I agree that we should limit contributions and make them lower and most of the reasoning behind that proposal, I do have
concerns with the dollar amounts and I do worry of a bad economy coming up in the future and adding additional burdens on New York City taxpayers.

And then my second concern would be on the office of Civic Engagement. I would like to see that flushed out a little bit more because I think it is a valuable idea and I great tool moving forward, but I think it's important that particularly for community boards, that they have an understanding of they have to work with the borough president's office, they work with CAU and now we're giving them another layer of bureaucracy that would be -- that is being given to them, so I think if we can flush these things out in the next week and I really stress again, that I hope we have an opportunity to vote for each question individually the way the voters will. But having said that, I will say one thing that I'm very excited about, is that this Commission really has looked at community boards and really focussed on doing some good for them and I think that's important. Thank you.

MR. SIEGAL: Thank you. Rather than not going into prior comments about the process and the staff, first, I want to say three specific things. The first regarding you, Mr. Chairman, who I had never met before this Commission and I realized very early on that if $I$ could learn from you a fraction of the patience and the graciousness that you have exhibited throughout this process to the commissioners, to the staff, to the public, if I could master a fraction of that, I would be a much more effective person. So I really appreciate that.

Secondly, Matt Gewolb, who I also didn't know, has proved to be really an extraordinary public lawyer in terms of intelligence, in terms of process, in terms of sense of humor and irony about all of the stuff that goes on around this and I really appreciate that. And, thirdly, I want to speak about Aaron Bloom, who I did know because we were adversaries in the litigation, but who really is a master of the very complicated and intricate laws governing Campaign Finance that we have been trying to navigate.

I, too, Carlo, have certain marginal differences between the Campaign Finance proposal we're going to vote on and what $I$ would do if I had -- but they're very marginal about the
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numbers about contribution limits and what contributions we match. Overall, I think that we are striking a very appropriate balance and taking a very, very meaningful step toward the further resolution of the New York City Campaign Finance process and Campaign Finance system. We are cutting contribution limits by more than 50 percent out of a desire to see both the political community and the donor and business community relieved of the reputational issues that arise from the perception and sometimes the reality of large campaign contributions. There has been a perception of quid pro quo corruption in New York City government for a long time. There's a been a reality to it in certain circumstances, too. The vast majority of elected officials and candidates are honorable people. The vast majority of donors are honorable people, but they are besmirched by the occasional person who shows up giving large amounts of contributions, seeking the peddle influence, seeking to establish themselves in ways that are perceived as and are at times corrupting the New York City government, and we are taking a dramatic, large step in eliminating the potential
and the occasional reality of corruption, and I think that it's a very important step. At this same time, we're doing so consistent with First Amendment jurisprudence, even the First Amendment jurisprudence of this right-wing Supreme Court that is going to be in power for the next generation and is going to further change the laws in this area, but it's my view that we have got it right and that this is a defensible and appropriate step.

The third thing that I think is very important to me having represented campaigns in New York City for a long time and dealt with the Campaign Finance board for a long time, is that we are not taking any step that is going to complicate their job or is going to reduce their incredibly demonstrated track record for administering this system, for sussing out and preventing and in some cases referring for prosecution people who have tried to cheat the matching fund system, we are keeping it simple, clear and accountable and that's a very, very important thing and we all need to continue to recognize the incredibly effective job that that agency does, as a model, not only a model
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campaign finance organization, but really a model of nonpartisan, non-political public administration.

Just the last point, overlooked in all of this is I think that we are going to recommend a citywide system of participatory budgeting. I think that's a very significant step. One of my commissioners will say that $I$ at one point listened to testimony about all this civic engagement stuff was a little bit cynical about what it means. I do think that cynicism reflects idealism. You can't be a cynic if you're not an idealist. I think that participatory budgeting involving communities at the community level in deciding where capital funds go is very important. I'm eager to see the final language and I hope that the mayor and future mayors will fully fund this and this will become an institutionalized part of life in New York City. MR. PERALES: Kyle, I know you have time constraints. Kyle Bragg?

MR. BRAGG: I would like to begin with thanking the chair and the secretary and co-chair and my fellow commissioners for the incredible work that they have done and the staff of this

2018 CHARTER REVISION - 8/14/2018

Charter Revision Commission for the incredible work that they have done and preparing us to get to this point. I'm very pleased with the process in which we have gone through in this very short period of time and that we were able to do the hearings, take testimony and have all of this information downloaded and processed for us into a document which is this resolution, which I must say that I -- I support strongly. And if I had the time today, I would be able to remain, unfortunately, because a conflict with my position in my organization, I cannot, I would be here to vote yes for it.

You have heard from my fellow commissioners that there are many concerns. Yes, there are concerns about some of the resolutions that may -- made it to -- which will make it to the ballot box, but the fact that some will not, doesn't mean that they disappear or die here at this Commission. I think we have done a lot of important work to create a foundation going forward for future commissions and allow for these very important issues like the redistricting and districting and Rank Choice Voting to be continued and important discourse
about how we advance our City's democracy.
I still remain confident that one, this City will get to a point where they will address these issues and to the betterment of our city, but I'm concerned, too, about what the impacts of these particular issues will have, both on communities of color and the most vulnerable of the City. So I would agree with the analysis of our staff in this resolution that there's further work that needs to be done in drilling down on these issues.

MR. PERALES: Let me just interrupt you. Kyle, I would like your vote. If we could get Sharon to speak and then we could get your vote?

MR. BRAGG: Then I will cede so $I$ can get my vote in.

MR. PERALES: With permission, of course, Sharon?

MS. GREENBERGER: I will be brief, but I do have a point of clarification, a suggestion and one concern.

So my point of clarification, and you don't have to answer this right now. I just want to understand on the term limits on community boards, the establishment of a four-term, that
term limit becomes effective, I want to understand what that mean for folks who have served then two or three terms. Does it become immediate or is that an eight-year start for that?

My suggestion really is about some of the things we talked about things like how to explore Rank Choice Voting and that is to collectively not let up on how we continue to drive the State on some of the issues that we were particularly interested in, but recognized there were limits to what we could do and so I need to say that publicly. Even things like Rank Choice Voting, if we want them to align with the State, then we have to continue to push the State.

My concern which comes in the form of a request has to do with our need to be careful stewards of public resources, and I do feel like we need to do some additional homework on understanding what are the financial implications on what is being proposed. Specifically I would like to really understand what the dollars look like in terms of the Civic Commission -- Civic Engagement Commission and what some of the financial implications are around some the

Campaign Finance reform chances.
MR. PERALES: Mendy, we're going to take a vote and then have you can speak if that's possible and okay with you?

MR. MIROCZNIK: Yes.
MR. PERALES: Is there a motion? This is a motion to have a vote on the resolution. All those in favor?
(A chorus of yes.)
MR. PERALES: Then I will -- you do a count?
MR. GEWOLB: Yes. So all those in favor --
(A chorus of yes.)
MS. GREENBERGER: This is to vote on --
MR. PERALES: On the resolution.
MS. GREENBERGER: Or the language in the resolution?
(Inaudible conversation.)
MR. PERALES: On the resolution. We would accept the language and -- let's do that again. All those in favor?
(A chorus of yes.)
MR. PERALES: All those opposed?
(One no.)
MR. PERALES: One opposed. Is there any abstentions?
(One abstention.)
MR. PERALES: Okay. Everyone is voting yay. One nay and one abstention. Is that what the record will reflect?

MR. GEWOLB: Yes.
MR. PERALES: Kyle, thank you very much.
MR. BRAGG: Again, my apologies and thank you very much.

MR. PERALES: Mendy, your comments. I would like to hear them.

MR. MIROCZNIK: First, I want to thank the staff, all the work, Matt, Aaron, Josh, Christie, did a wonderful job flushing the issues. I don't want to be to repetitive. I think John did sum it up the best way. We're tackling very serious issues and sometimes proper change requires further Commissions in the future to tackle the questions raised over here, but the most important thing I accredit the chairman was taking on those issues. The courage to take on the issues and have the dialogue. Our job is to start the process and do as much as we can, so thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you to the staff for helping me in the process, to be educated on what we're facing in the City.

MS. WEISER: I just wanted to add one thing to one of the commissioners. There is particular language in here that you would change. There is a provision in here and that says that, you know, as in the drafting process, the chair can accept further tweaks with notice and that was something I took notice of in voting to effectively address those concerns that are included in the resolution we voted favorably on. Thank you.

MR. PERALES: Thank you. That's helpful. I would like to note for the record that there were ten individuals who voted on behalf of this resolution. There was one vote against it and one vote abstention, 10:1:1 for the record.

Is there anyone else that has a comment? That was easy. Let me thank all of you. You have done a terrific job. This has not been easy and as many of you had said, we were still unable to reach consensus on a couple of things and I'm sorry that we had a negative and an abstention, but maybe over the next week or so, until we have a final vote, we may get that it's unanimous approval.

Thank you very much. I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
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## All those in favor? Opposed? We are

 adjourned.(Whereupon, at 2:00 P.M., the above matter concluded.)
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