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MR. PERALES: Good afternoon. I am pleased to call to order a meeting of the New York City Charter Revision Commission today on May 31st.

Let me introduce myself and then I'll tell you the importance of this meeting and try to set the tone for our discussions.

My name is Cesar Perales. I have the privilege of serving as Chair. Before I forget, I'm going to ask all of the members of the Commission to introduce themselves and to say one or two words about their background and interests on the Commission.

Can we start on my left with Deborah.
MS. ARCHER: Hi everyone. My name is Deborah Archer. I'm a law professor and -- Hi, everyone. My name is Deborah Archer. I am a law professor. I teach civil rights clinic and other classes related TO information laws. In previous life, $I$ worked as an attorney with the ACLU and NAACP Legal Defense Fund.

MS. WEISER: Good afternoon. I'm Wendy Weiser. I direct the Democracy Program at the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU Law School and I am deeply committed to foster a more inclusive democracy both locally and nationally.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Angela Fernandez. I am the executive director and supervising attorney of the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights. I'm also the mayor's designee on the Civilian Complaint Review Board and very committed to inclusion and expanding inclusion and voting.

MS. SEECHARRAN: Good afternoon. My name is Annetta Seecharran. I am the executive director of Chhaya Community Development Corporation based out in Queens. I am a long time activist and advocate on issues related to City engagement and immigrant rights.

MS. GODSIL: Good afternoon. My name is Rachel Godsil. I'm vice chair of this Commission. I am a law professor and also the cofounder and director of research at the Perception Institute which is a consortium of social psychologists, law professors and others focussed on racial biases and increasing inclusion and belonging of us all in -- well, in every life domain. In the previous life, I was the chair of the Rent Guidelines Board and also worked with the NAACP Legal Defense Fund.

MR. PERALES: My own background, I am an
attorney by profession. I am, was formally the Secretary of State of New York. More relevant to what we do here, I was a former deputy mayor of the City of New York and about half of my career has been spent in the not for profit world, primarily as a civil rights lawyer. I was involved in the Puerto Rican Legal Defense Fund, which is know known as LatinoJustice.

MR. SCISSURA: Good afternoon. My name is Carlo Scissura. I am currently the secretary of this Commission. I currently serve as the president and CEO of the New York Building Congress. Before this, I was the president of the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce and prior to that I served as Chief of Staff to Brooklyn Borough President, Marty Markowitz, for many years. I am excited to be on this commission. It's actually my second one. I served on the one, I guess it was in 2010 or, yeah, 2009 or '10. I forgot what year. And I'm interested in a lot of things that we heard about, but particularly, talking more about the built environment of the five Boroughs and how land use and connectivity and community involvement and engagement really play a part in building New York. So I think that's an important issue. MR. GEWOLB: Matt Gewolb, executive director of the Commission.

MS. CLARKE: I'm Una Clark. I am a former member of the New York City Council. I am a product of Charter Revision '89. Charter Revision brought me in as the first ever immigrant from anywhere as a woman to be elected to the New York City Council, so I am pleased to be able to serve on this Commission, to take a deep dive and look at how immigrants, in particular in this City of New York, how we are welcomed and embraced and how inclusive things can be to make life better as New Yorkers. MR. BRAGG: Kyle Bragg, secretary/treasurer of SEIU 32BJ and I'm very interested in serving (inaudible) for all of those who live in this City.

MR. SIEGAL: Good afternoon. I'm John Siegal. I'm a lawyer in private practice in Manhattan as I have been for 30 years and during that same period, occasionally been a government -- City government appointee. Currently serving on the CCRB and have also served as Counsel to perhaps a few too many Citywide political campaigns.

MS. GREENBERGER: Good afternoon. I am Sharon Greenberger. I'm the president and CEO of YMCA of Greater New York. We focus on youth development, healthy living and social responsibility with a particular emphasis on access for all.

MS. ANGELO: Hi, I am Larian Angelo. I'm an economist by trade. Currently I am a researcher at CUNY and in prior life, I worked on City budget, both from the Council side and mayor side and I'm interested in City government functions.

MR. PERALES: Thank you all. This is -- I'm always impressed when I hear my folks introduce themselves. I am reminded that the mayor did an awfully good job selecting people to serve on this Commission. We have a new Commission member who has just arrived. You can introduce yourself as soon as we can put a microphone in front of you.

MR. CARRION: Sorry I am late. I'm Marco Carrion. Currently in service as the mayor's commissioner of community affairs.

MR. PERALES: Now, for the record, I will ask the secretary whether we have a quorum.

MR. SCISSURA: Yes. We have a quorum.
MR. PERALES: Great. Let me make a few opening remarks. While I compliment the mayor on the selection of this Commission, truth is that mayors, every several years, appoint a Commission to look at the City Charter because we are an evolving City and an evolving society, but also because mayors also have a particular interest about changing the way our City is governed and our City is run. This particular mayor made it very clear in his conversation with me before I accepted the role of chair, that he is very interested in democracy and how democracy operates. He has run for office on a number of occasions in the City and he just believes very firmly that things could be better. Among the issues that he's concerned about, is the fact that politicians have to raise an awful lot of money in preparation for running for office. He doesn't think that is good. He's looking for ways to improve campaign financing, but he's interested in lots of other things that would govern our City and democracy, how our committee boards work, all the issues that we think belong in the City Charter, not every issue that we can think of, but those that are appropriate inclusion in the City Charter and our job is to the listen to the public. Listen to experts discuss amongst ourselves what we think is appropriate to consider for inclusion on the ballot next November. That's our job, pure and simple. We go out of business next election, so our role is clear, concise and time limited.

Let me just comment on a few things that I think are important for the record.

One has to do is that the -- this meeting is open to the public. Although this is the not a meeting in which we will receive or entertain public comment, but everything that we do, we do transparently, even this discussion which I could assure you is the first discussion we're having as a group about what we should be doing next is public. You're going to hear it and it's being live streamed and we have an obligation to make our discussions clear to everyone.

I don't want to spend too much time on this, but we've had five meetings, one in each borough. We've had comments made, not just at those hearings, but sent in through social media, e-mails, a variety of ways we're going to hear about the analysis of all the comments from our staff and we're looking forward to hearing the report that Matt Gewolb will make to our Commission.

At the conclusion of our discussion today, we're going to try to get a sense, more than a sense, try to get some direction about what issues we need to focus on. What issues we want experts who can identify to come and discuss before us and answer our questions so that we will be better prepared to continue the process and in a sense, begin to focus our discussions on particular issues that we think are appropriate for the Commission to consider for the November ballot. The report -- well, the staff will prepare a preliminary report as a result of this. We will make that public and we will then have another round of public discussions and meetings in which the public will be invited to comment on our preliminary report. We think that's the best way to prepare ourselves for ultimate discussions that will result in a final report which will be the basis of our choosing and deciding, with the help of Council, as to what should go on the ballot in November.

With that and before I entertain discussion, by the Commission members, I'm going to ask Matt to recap for us what the staff has been doing for the last couple of weeks. After we finished our initial round of hearings, let me add, that some of the Commission members felt that we wanted to continue to get more public input and we will be holding some additional hearings. Perhaps not as formal as the ones that we did in every borough, but we will have a few more over the next couple of weeks. With that, Matt?

MR. GEWOLB: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. And as I mentioned, the staff has been hard at work and we appreciate the opportunity to do that work on behalf of the Commission.

My name is Matt Gewolb. I'm the executive director of the Commission. I would also like to quickly acknowledge some of those people that are working with us on the Commission Kwame Akosah, Christine Billy, Aaron Bloom, Candice Cho, Torrey Fishman, Sabrina Fong, Essence Franklin, Ingrid Gustafson, Steven Newmark, Josh Sids, Michael Smilowitz, Bruce Thomas, Mary Van Noy, Jorge Montelro. They have been doing the bulk of this work and doing a terrific job on their behalf.

This is -- is a quick overview of the process. So far, much of it we mentioned, of course, we have gone to five hearings in each of the five Boroughs. We have compiled and systematically tracked the public comments received by the Commission. Each public comment is -- is considered and input into a sophisticated system that we use to do the analysis and of course multiple channels have been made available for public comment. These channels include the public hearings, of course, social media, Twitter and Facebook, our online portal NYC.Gov/Charter where the public can include testimony and we encourage people to do so. There have been actual letters sent to our office. We get excited when we receive hard copy mail. We have received a few of those and, of course, phone calls to our headquarters. So to date, we have well over 100 commenters made nearly 400 recommendations, that is multiple recommendations per commenter. We enjoy the factor in the office that New Yorkers currently have very many opinions and we often receive multiple proposals per Commission. We also receive comments from City agencies and elected officials, which we're
in the process of analyzing and I wanted to talk briefly about a few of the themes that have seemed to generate widespread interest across those channels. The first is meaningful participation in elections. This includes instant runoff voting and other election reforms, the second is campaign financing reforms. The third is fair representation, this includes independent redistricting and broadening and strengthening civic engagement including examination of the roles of Community Boards.

So the staff has been researching these issues and we have identified subject matter experts available to provide a range of perspectives. This is in anticipation of our next stage, which will be a subject matter issue forum, where, you, as a chair and members of the Commission, will have opportunity to hear from and question experts in all of those particular areas.

Additionally, while these themes have emerged as more central than others, we continue to systematically review and consider each and every comment received by the Commission and certainly we don't mean to imply that the Commission's work will be limited to the these things that I mentioned. For example, land use is an emerging issue that we have been following closely and received significant increase in the volume of testimony submitted, particularly written testimony, within our portal. And so we continue to explore this issue and will certainly be looking for any further recommendations in the coming weeks. We know it is an area of particular interest to several commissioners.

So, finally we have very regularly consulted with, you, the Chair, of course, and other commissioners in developing this list of issues and we will continue to do that as we work to plan an issue forum and as we work to prepare the preliminary staff report. So that is the brief update of what has been going on.

MR. PERALES: He does that for me because I was deputy mayor for David Dinkins and I am very close to the mayor. When I say "the mayor," it will always be David Dinkins.

But anyway, the staff has also prepared a resolution. I thought the report to come from this Union is a form resolution that we will pass, but I'm going to ask the vice chair to read the resolution, but if that's just to begin discussion of the resolution in a minute, we're going to pass the resolution as written, but I do want to thank the staff for having taken the time to draft the resolution. Madam vice chair? MS. GODSIL: "Proposed resolution of the 2018 New York Charter Revision Commission: Whereas, the 2018 New York City Charter Commission has completed an initial round of public hearings, one in each Borough of the City; and

Whereas, the Commission has heard and accepted written testimony from elected officials and members of the public at these meetings, including the representatives of public interest and advocacy groups, political parties and private citizens; and Whereas, in addition, the Commission has encouraged public comment through a variety of methods, including through its website and through various forms of social media; and Whereas, Commission staff has also solicited comments and ideas from heads of City departments and their personnel; and Whereas, review of the preliminary testimony
and comments received by the Commission reflects a wide range of issues of interest to New Yorkers; and

Whereas, review of such testimony and comments indicates particular and widespread interest in the following issues: Various measures to promote meaningful participation including instant runoff voting and other election reforms; campaign financing reforms; fair representation, including independent redistricting; and broadening and strengthening civic engagement, including examination of the roles of Community Boards;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Commission invite further discussion and testimony, research and analysis from experts and practitioners on these issues;

And may it be further resolved, that the Commission direct staff to further research and analyze these issues;

And may it be further resolved, that the Commission arrange for experts and practitioners in these areas to participate in public panel discussions to be held during the month of June; And may it be further resolved, that at the conclusion of these discussions, staff shall prepare a report summarizing and analyzing the results of said discussions and other research and containing its preliminary recommendation;

And be it further resolved, that after the release of such preliminary staff report, the Commission shall hold a second round of public hearings, one in each Borough the City, to solicit public input on such preliminary recommendations."

Now, that was a lot of legalese and a number of "whereas" and "resolves" made your head spin a little bit. But in plain English, the goal of the proposed resolution is to note the work that has been done so far. The executive director described about the degree of accepting written testimony and hearing from elected officials and members of the public across the five Boroughs and soliciting comments in a number of different means, web sites, various forms of social media and reviewing the testimony and comments and indicating and suggesting that there are core issues of particular interest, so meaningful participation in elections, including instant runoff voting and other election reforms, campaign financing reforms, fair representation, including independent redistricting and broadening and strengthening civic engagement including examination of the roles of Community Boards. So these would be the four areas upon which we have common issues at hearings where experts can give provide information and resolving a further quorum on those issues. So that's helpful to have and now we can open it up to discussion.

MR. PERALES: Yes. Let me just make a preliminary comment before I ask the others to comment. We're squeezing in a lot of discussions for three meetings, so $I$ asked the staff to consider a fourth session, not that I want any more meetings, but it may be too much and maybe on further analysis that there's another issue that we ought to be focusing on because the comments keep coming, so $I$ just wanted to let folks know that.

Any reaction to that? Any disagreement on what we were hearing at the hearings?

MS. ARCHER: I have a question. Can you explain a little bit about the issues that are merging that you grouped into land use issues.

MR. GEWOLB: Yeah. Sure. I think we need a little bit of additional analysis because some of the land use issues continue to surface relative recently through --

SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER: Can you repeat that? Sorry.

MR. GEWOLB: Absolutely. Generally, there have been a couple of areas -- there have been comments related to the role of Community Boards and in the land use decision making process and I think some other comments relating to the land use process, more generally so, I think what we'll plan to do is prepare some summaries of what's come in from the Commissioners and circle that in short order.

MS. ARCHER: Thank you.
MS. CLARKE: I just wanted to follow-up the question. As neighbors are fighting back against gentrification, this is part of the -- for wanting to review land use and --

MR. PERALES: I take that as a recommendation that when we -- and I think we should, but we haven't made that decision yet, but when we make land use part of our expert analysis and discussion, that we include not just
facilitating development or building, but that we also look at the issue of gentrification and was there something more specific?

MS. CLARKE: I know that in the community from which I come, most people bought their homes because there were more than two families, not because there was no set rule for the size of buildings, so if somebody lives near Kings County, they want to build a building as big as Kings County Hospital on any land that may be available and neighbors are complaining that I moved to the neighborhood, I brought my home, my family and now I have to withstand a building 20 stories high.

MR. PERALES: That's exactly what I was referring to that the discussion on land use will include issues of that kind.

MS. WEISER: One recommendation might be to have that discussion adjacent to the discussion of the Community Boards and ways to foster specific engagement because that would be one of the issues that people would want to get involved in and it might bring them to participate.

MR. PERALES: That makes sense.
MR. SCISSURA: I agree with you, Wendy. Maybe have a separate panel as part of that day might be good because these issues and what Ms. Clarke said are important. So I would stress that land use, we should do something on it, whether it's a separate day or as part of one of the two or three that we do, that would be great and I'm hearing that from a lot of people, but I had a question on election reform. So I notice we have instant runoff and some other things. I could recall and I may be wrong that there were several people talking about nonpartisan elections. Are we going to have somebody testify on that or was there just not enough questioning on that?

MR. GEWOLB: I think to some degree that's to be determined -- well, somewhat to be determined to put this together. There was some discussion, not an enormous amount of discussion. So we need to do some review of both the written testimony and the other means of -- of -- by which people submit testimony to sort of get a sense. There, you know, one of the challenges of putting these together is that there's a lot to cover in each of the categories, meaning we want to limit to some degree, so we don't have too many panels with too many people so we want to make sure we have as much coverage as possible within reason. So that is -- so -- so I guess it's to be determined is the short answer to your question.

MR. PERALES: Go ahead.
MR. BRAGG: So I recall in some of the testimonies that issue of Community Boards maybe several times, but beyond functionality and power and authority of the Community Board, the issue was also raised that whether or not the way Community Board members are selected continue to represent the diversity of the communities in which they serve. So I think it's important that we look at that process and make sure that we -we dig deep enough to figure out how we make sure beyond functionality that the people who are serving on those boards are representing the community that they service.

MR. PERALES: And I appreciate these comments because you're all so eloquent that I have visions of having 40 expert meetings taking place and in fairness to the staff, this -they're going to have to figure out how to narrow to some extent, which is not to suggest that yours is not an issue, but saying overall, we have to keep in mind that we can bring in all the experts we want, but at some point we're going to have to make some decisions and I think that we have time deadlines. I want to get back out to the public with a preliminary report and begin to narrow the issues.

MS. GREENBERGER: Can I ask about fair representation and if you could delineate -- I want to make sure $I$ understand that it belongs in campaign financing, not in the meaningful participation.

MR. GEWOLB: So I think, oh, I see, so -- so in other words, the category including redistricting, the question is whether that belongs more with campaign financing.

MS. GREENBERGER: Yes. How you define fair representation.

MR. GEWOLB: Yeah. I think the -- the large -- the large issue in that category is proper redistricting. And so, I mean campaign finance reform, because we have heard some robust testimony on that area, that I think there will be a large amount of material to go through and such a large amount of experts that we were
thinking about the other reforms outside of that bucket, so because every finance reform will probably occupy its own forum, that's why we're grouping some of the other reforms together.

I'm not sure yet what -- what representation proposals outside of independent redistricting would include in that bucket, we'll further develop that and -- and -- and circulate some additional material.

MR. SIEGAL: I have a question that I think I know that answer to. I know the transcripts of our prior hearings are available publically on the web site. You mentioned that there have been over 100 commenters. Is there a list available of who has submitted comments outside of public hearings that we can reference to make sure we can see the ones that we --

MR. PERALES: I have asked that very same question and I asked for analysis about who has been commenting and have most of the comments come from our hearings or are most of them coming in through some other form, whether it's telephones or written comments, but that analysis is being conducted. I want to be careful because I don't want to say something that is not
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 accurate. It may take a little bit of time, but yes, we will make available an analysis of -- of comments and I suspect they're still commenting and the 100 comments -- commentators -- how many people were, more or less, came to our hearings? Were there about 100?MR. GEWOLB: We'll look at the --
MR. PERALES: I'm jumping ahead of myself. I retract my question. We're trying to do that right now. We will -- as the analysis goes on, we will figure out how many have come and who has sent in testimony and we'll have an analysis.

MS. SEECHARRAN: I actually wanted to build on the same point Sharon was making about fair representation. I feel like even though there aren't many other topics that have come up that fit neatly under fair representation, I want to make sure that independent redistricting has its own space and I see it lumped in here, but I don't know if you're thinking about it as its own separate forum because I -- I just recall the really compelling arguments that were made at the Flushing hearing and I know that in Queens, you know, in many parts of the City, it continues to be an issue.

MR. GEWOLB: Let me talk about a little bit about -- how this works, I think it would be useful. I think that the way it's -- the way that we have been thinking about, and it's obviously our perspective to get a resolution and passing and other action from the Commission is to do multiple panels per meeting to give each issue at least that much time and space so on redistricting, we'll have a panel with a variety of experts and others testifying on just that area. So it's not -- I agree with you and what we're -- what is important is to avoid lumping a lot of distinct issues together and I think that those individual panels will be helpful in that regard. It will mean that even for like, within one of these categories, there will be multiple panels and I hope you will forgive us in advance for the lengthy meetings, but we think those issues deserve that amount of time and organizational structure.

MR. PERALES: Does that answer your question?

MS. SEECHARRAN: Yes.
MS. CLARKE: I wanted to follow-up.
Following the Brooklyn and Bronx hearings, I got concerns about the Community Boards and the recommendation of what people feel is that of our president who appoints or is limiting -- the Council member is limited, yet the members of the Community Board are never term limited and they remain there and they feel that a lot of the hard work --

MR. PERALES: There is no question. I have had a conversation with the executive director and we will be looking at the term limits of Commission board members.

MS. WEISER: On the Community Board issue, I concur in the recommendations of looking at the selection and at terms. One of the recommendations that came up in -- which panel? I don't remember which hearing it was, was to expand the number of Council seats. One to be the same number as community --

MR. PERALES: That was in Brooklyn.
MS. WEISER: I wonder if that's one of the things being considered. In addition, I'm wondering whether we can consider making the -or here, consideration of whether it makes sense to make those districts coextensive, if there's going to be changes to the Community Board
selection process, it might be an opportunity to change the lines.

MR. GEWOLB: So I think without getting into the substance of that, in advance, that there will be people relating to Community Boards that will be able to speak with some expertise.

MS. WEISER: So those are still on the considerations list?

MR. GEWOLB: You know, I think that we're designing these fairly for people with areas of expertise, but others with areas of expertise to arrange with different kinds of experts so that you'll have, for instance, some people, some who are advocates in the area, others who are academic researchers, so it is -- it is a range of types of people to talk with and to hear from.

MS. WEISER: Thank you.
MR. GEWOLB: Of course.
MR. PERALES: Let me make a comment because during these hearings, we heard from a lot of different people advocating their position and quite often, we don't get to hear the contract position. So I have asked staff to be very careful to try to provide us with balanced information because I would hate to be reliant on
-- on just a particular advocates position because that is all we heard and staff has been very sensitive to my request and I appreciate that. Kyle? Before you say anything, Kyle, let the member of the Commission who just arrived introduce themselves.

MR. HO: Hello, I'm Dale Ho and apologize for being late today. I was at a law department. MR. PERALES: What do you do for a living? MR. HO: I am a lawyer. I work at ACLU and voting rights there.

MR. BRAGG: There have been many issues that were raised, $I$ think we most frequently, during our five Borough hearing tour, but there are some that have not particularly made the list because they have not been raised. One in particular, one or the other, I'm just mentioning that the Civil Complaint Review Board had been mentioned and I don't know much about its process or functionality to understand that its an issue we should be addressing, but I am interested to see if we are going to be having some research done on the functionality and function of the Civilian Complaint Review Board so that we can have a discussion about it so we know if there is an
issue that needs to be resolved.
MR. GEWOLB: Thank you and to -- I just want to reiterate that we don't consider this list to be exhaustive and that we are certainly considering some other things to be reflected and additionally we are working with -- with -- with agencies, boards and commissions to have them make recommendations to the Commission that you will be able to consider. So we -- we -- we expect that to be part of the conversation during the process.

MR. BRAGG: Thank you.
MS. GODSIL: It seems like it's valuable to keep in mind that the process that will follow the forum will be to then a consolidation of the different perspectives of the -- sort of fair balanced experts who will hear and present that to the community at the subsequent time that are for the public and will be ultimately selected the issues are reflective of the citizens of New York about this meeting.

MR. PERALES: Let me reiterate, but hopefully what will come of all this is a preliminary report and that we will publicize that preliminary report which will then enable us to go out and seek responses to it. This is going to be an open and transparent -- let me again say something and $I$ don't want it to be misinterpreted. I'm scared to death of having 42 expert hearings. I'm going to force staff to see if they can do this in a manageable way and so that we can get expert counsel and advice on as many issues as possible and if they have to eliminate some issues, it will have to be those that were not raised very often. We have to be guided by what the community said they were interested in. So Matt understands which way we have to go. I assume the smiles mean neither of you, no one wants 40 meetings. Are there other comments? John, you want 40 meetings?

MR. SIEGAL: I think we're on the right track.

MR. PERALES: No one else? We have the dates for the issue forum -- who has those dates? So the staff has until at least June 12th for the first one to put together an issue forum and let us know who the experts are going to be and prepare us for that. We'll have one on the 14th. They'll be a -- there is a third one that people were trying to figure out what date to set and there will probably be a fourth one. I -- I certainly hope we will not have more than four, but we have an obligation to listen to all of the experts on the issues that were raised and expect that some will be very long meetings.

MS. FERNANDEZ: I just realized actually that in Staten Island and I think in Brooklyn, there were two testimonies around lowering the voting age. I think in Staten Island, I think it was 16 and I think in Brooklyn it was 17, so I don't know if there's going to be any room for that on any of the panels?

MR. PERALES: Let me say that we can discuss it, but we've also got to understand and I hope we get expert advice on this, that when elections are held, they often include federal and other statewide issues that are not limited to New York. It would be difficult, to say the least, to say we're going to register, you're 16 years old, but you can only vote on this or that, so I think we need to get more advice about that. As interesting, and it did peak my interest, to have younger people voting, I am somewhat concerned and I don't know all the ramifications around that, but the secretary just reminded me that maybe this is an appropriate time for me to entertain this particular motion if someone wants to move it.

MR. BRAGG: Motion to accept.
MR. SCISSURA: Yes.
MR. PERALES: Yes. You have made it and it's been seconded. All those in favor say "Aye".
(A chorus of yes.)
MR. PERALES: Those opposed? The motion is passed. Let me just, now that we have our main business accomplished, remind everybody that we should continue to visit www.nyc.gov/charter for the latest updates of what we're doing.

Again, our next step after staff continues to analyze the comments that have been made by the public, is to find the best experts for us, line up these panels and break them up in such a way that we can accomplish all of them on four dates, right? I don't see anyone disagreeing with me that we are limited to four dates? Is that -- four would be good. All right? So, staff has got that challenge. Is there anything else that we ought to consider at this meeting? Then, if not, then I want to entertain another
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21 motion，motion to adjourn．Is there a second？ All those in favor．
（A chorus of yes．）
MR．PERALES：Opposed？Hearing that motion is carried and we are adjourned． （Whereupon，at 1：55 P．M．，the above matter concluded．）
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| why [1] - $23: 3$ wide $[1]-15: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 5,31: 19 \\ & \text { yes }[8]-7: 1,17: 11,24: 2, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| widespread [2] - 12:3, | $25: 23,32: 5,32: 6,32: 9$ |  |
|  | 33:3 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:5 } \\ & \text { will }[44]-6: 24,8: 13,9: 3 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | Yes [1] - 22:17 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:11, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, } \\ & \text { 9:22, 10:7, 10:10, 12:16, } \end{aligned}$ | yet [3] - 18:23, 23:5, 26:4 |  |
|  | YMCA [1] - 6:4 |  |

