



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



JENNIFER JONES AUSTIN
CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
October 2026
(Statistics for September 2026)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	39

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for September 2026 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 49% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 63% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In September, the CCRB opened 568 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,320 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 44% of its fully investigated cases in September (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 35% of the cases it closed in September (page 14).
- 4) For September, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 44% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 39-46).
- 6) In September the Police Commissioner finalized 18 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 42 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 4 trials were conducted against respondent officers in September.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In September 2026, the CCRB initiated 568 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2025 - September 2026)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2025 - September 2026)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2026)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (September 2026)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2026)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (September 2026)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	3	67	13
1	5	68	1
5	3	69	8
6	1	70	7
7	7	71	14
9	5	72	4
10	2	73	20
13	5	75	17
14	18	76	2
17	3	77	15
18	16	78	1
19	7	79	11
20	4	81	5
22	1	83	6
23	13	84	12
24	6	88	4
25	8	90	6
26	4	94	1
28	9	100	4
30	4	101	5
32	8	102	5
33	4	103	13
34	10	104	6
40	15	105	5
41	9	106	2
42	6	107	3
43	12	108	3
44	16	109	3
45	2	110	7
46	21	111	2
47	6	112	1
48	4	113	7
49	9	114	5
50	6	115	9
52	7	116	4
60	13	120	9
61	3	121	2
62	1	122	5
63	3	123	1
66	1	Unknown	40

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (September 2025 vs. September 2026)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	September 2025		September 2026			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	261	50%	274	48%	13	5%
Abuse of Authority (A)	362	70%	316	56%	-46	-13%
Discourtesy (D)	132	25%	137	24%	5	4%
Offensive Language (O)	45	9%	22	4%	-23	-51%
Total FADO Allegations	800		749		-51	-6%
Total Complaints	518		568		50	10%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2025 vs. YTD 2026)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	2203	51%	2105	46%	-98	-4%
Abuse of Authority (A)	3151	72%	3141	69%	-10	0%
Discourtesy (D)	1060	24%	1072	24%	12	1%
Offensive Language (O)	260	6%	226	5%	-34	-13%
Total FADO Allegations	6674		6544		-130	-2%
Total Complaints	4351		4554		203	5%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	September 2025		September 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	734	35%	564	38%	-170	-23%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1140	54%	716	48%	-424	-37%
Discourtesy (D)	174	8%	164	11%	-10	-6%
Offensive Language (O)	54	3%	33	2%	-21	-39%
Total Allegations	2102		1477		-625	-30%
Total Complaints	518		568		50	10%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	6019	34%	5386	34%	-633	-11%
Abuse of Authority (A)	9793	55%	8835	55%	-958	-10%
Discourtesy (D)	1552	9%	1462	9%	-90	-6%
Offensive Language (O)	335	2%	302	2%	-33	-10%
Total Allegations	17699		15985		-1714	-10%
Total Complaints	4351		4554		203	5%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of September 2026, 49% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 63% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (September 2026)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1620	48.9%
Cases 5-7 Months	457	13.8%
Cases 8-11 Months	596	18.0%
Cases 12-18 Months*	630	19.0%
Cases Over 18 Months**	9	0.3%
Total	3312	100%

*12-18 Months: 13 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (September 2026)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1428	43.1%
Cases 5-7 Months	485	14.6%
Cases 8-11 Months	621	18.8%
Cases 12-18 Months*	750	22.6%
Cases Over 18 Months**	28	0.8%
Total	3312	100%

*12-18 Months: 16 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2025 - September 2026)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	August 2026		September 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1369	42%	1422	43%	53	4%
Pending Board Review	1891	58%	1890	57%	-1	0%
Mediation	8	0%	4	0%	-4	-50%
On DA / FID Hold	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Total	3272		3320		48	1%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - September 2026)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - September 2026)




Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	122	76.3%
30 <= Days < 60	11	6.9%
60 <= Days < 90	6	3.8%
90 >= Days	21	13.1%
Total	160	100%

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2025 - September 2026)**






**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2025 - September 2026)**



Closed Cases

In September 2026, the CCRB fully investigated 35% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2025 - September 2026) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual went to her local precinct to file a report about a theft. She was instructed to fill out a form and wait. While she was waiting, the individual approached the subject officer and asked if she had filled out the form correctly. After a brief back and forth, the subject officer yelled at the individual. She asked him for his business card and he did not provide it. The subject officer stated that the individual asked him for a business card and he didn't give her one because he didn't have one on his person. The investigation found that per the Administrative Code, the subject officer was required to provide the individual with a business card when requested. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual was taken to a precinct after she was found in violation of an order of protection. While at the precinct, the individual stated that she requested business cards from two subject officers and they did not provide them. Stationhouse cameras captured the individual speaking with the two subject officers as they processed her at the precinct – it however did not capture any audio. The investigation found that it could not be determined if the subject officers were asked by the individual for their business cards. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that when she was arrested, the subject officer falsely claimed in his arrest report that she had smelled of alcohol, had slurred speech, and was unsteady on her feet and that the subject officer changed his observations at her trial stating that he did not observe the individual having slurred speech or being unsteady on her feet before he arrested her. The investigation reviewed all the arresting documents and the various court transcripts and found that the subject officer's testimony of his observations of the individual were relatively the same in his arrest report and at his testimony at trial. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he was repeatedly pushed by the subject officer when he kept asking him for space while the individual's minor daughter was being evaluated by EMS. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed that as the individual tried to walk down some stairs to go to his daughter, the subject officer placed a hand on his stomach and told him that he couldn't go to his daughter. The individual stated that they needed a court order to remove his child and the subject officer extended his arm to block the individual from getting down the stairs and when the individual kept trying to leave the area, the subject officer extended his hand towards the individual to keep him in place. The investigation found that the subject officer did not repeatedly push the individual but used minimal force to keep the individual in place while EMS evaluated the minor child. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that he went down to his local precinct to report a crime. He spoke to one officer who pushed him out of the stationhouse. The individual stated that multiple officers were present with their BWCs turned on. The individual had a general description of the officer. The investigation found that the individual was not captured on any stationhouse cameras or any BWCs. The investigation found that there were no police incident reports with the precinct house as the listed location of occurrence. Without any additional pertinent evidence, the investigation could not identify the subject officer. The Board closed the Use of Force allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (September 2026)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2026)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2025 vs 2026)

	Sep 2025		Sep 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	65	47%	81	44%	782	48%	616	43%
Within NYPD Guidelines	15	11%	38	21%	199	12%	210	15%
Unfounded	32	23%	35	19%	307	19%	290	20%
Unable to Determine	19	14%	27	15%	288	18%	268	19%
MOS Unidentified	7	5%	3	2%	61	4%	46	3%
Total - Full Investigations	138		184		1637		1430	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	4	100%	1	100%	26	100%	32	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	4		1		26		32	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	19	8%	26	8%	173	7%	169	6%
Unable to Investigate*	178	73%	266	78%	1773	73%	2073	77%
Closed - Pending Litigation	45	19%	46	14%	446	18%	438	16%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	0%	1	0%	20	1%	15	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	5	0%	6	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	243		339		2417		2701	
Total - Closed Cases	385		524		4080		4163	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2025 vs 2026)

	Sep 2025		Sep 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	168	18%	201	18%	2573	22%	1822	19%
Unable to Determine	143	15%	148	13%	1924	16%	1536	16%
Unfounded	216	23%	203	18%	2349	20%	2180	22%
Within NYPD Guidelines	379	40%	527	48%	4397	37%	3932	40%
MOS Unidentified	32	3%	25	2%	571	5%	361	4%
Total - Full Investigations	938		1104		11814		9831	

Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	22	100%	1	100%	92	100%	89	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	22		1		92		89	

Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	47	8%	61	8%	471	7%	417	6%
Unable to Investigate*	335	58%	554	71%	4006	62%	4498	68%
Closed - Pending Litigation	161	28%	126	16%	1536	24%	1383	21%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	39	7%	39	5%	479	7%	304	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	8	0%	35	1%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	582		780		6500		6637	

Total - Closed Allegations	1542		1885		18406		16557	
-----------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------	--	--------------	--	--------------	--

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (September 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	16 5%	24 7%	220 65%	74 22%	4 1%	338 100%
Abuse of Authority	163 24%	106 16%	292 43%	105 15%	13 2%	679 100%
Discourtesy	18 24%	15 20%	14 19%	22 29%	6 8%	75 100%
Offensive Language	4 33%	3 25%	1 8%	2 17%	2 17%	12 100%
Total	201 18%	148 13%	527 48%	203 18%	25 2%	1104 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	132 5%	281 10%	1628 58%	719 25%	67 2%	2827 100%
Abuse of Authority	1412 24%	1000 17%	2135 36%	1156 19%	228 4%	5931 100%
Discourtesy	221 26%	181 21%	165 19%	248 29%	48 6%	863 100%
Offensive Language	57 27%	74 35%	4 2%	57 27%	18 9%	210 100%
Total	1822 19%	1536 16%	3932 40%	2180 22%	361 4%	9831 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	September 2025		September 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	1	50%	1	NA
Misleading official statement	1	100%	1	50%	0	0%
Total Allegations	1		2		1	100%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	19	68%	10	45%	-9	-47%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	2	9%	2	NA
Misleading official statement	9	32%	10	45%	1	11%
Total Allegations	28		22		-6	-21%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	September 2025		September 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	0	0%	1	100%	1	NA
Total Allegations	0		1		1	NA

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	2	10%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	3	25%	3	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	1	5%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	3	25%	3	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	17	85%	6	50%	-11	-65%
Total Allegations	20		12		-8	-40%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The September 2026 case substantiation rate was 44%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2025 - September 2026)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2026)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2025 - Sep 2026)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2025 - Sep 2026)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Sep 2025, Sep 2026, YTD 2025, YTD 2026)

Disposition	September 2025		September 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	17	17%	15	12%	327	23%	236	23%
Command Discipline B	24	24%	18	14%	275	20%	179	17%
Command Discipline A	32	32%	45	35%	504	36%	381	37%
Formalized Training	27	27%	51	40%	303	22%	245	24%
Total	100		129		1409		1041	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 10$) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 5$) 4. Formalized Training ($0 < \text{penalty days} < 1$)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Sep 2025	Sep 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA Complaints	13	19	165	156
Total Complaints	385	524	4080	4163
PSA Complaints as % of Total	3.4%	3.6%	4.0%	3.7%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Sep 2025	Sep 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA 1	8	6	34	30
PSA 2	8	7	69	50
PSA 3	2	6	61	36
PSA 4	3	9	44	30
PSA 5	0	4	58	72
PSA 6	3	3	25	23
PSA 7	3	3	28	32
PSA 8	5	6	17	33
PSA 9	0	0	18	8
Total	32	44	354	314

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Sep 2025		Sep 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	28	67%	17	31%	208	45%	195	48%
Abuse of Authority (A)	9	21%	31	57%	197	42%	175	43%
Discourtesy (D)	3	7%	5	9%	46	10%	32	8%
Offensive Language (O)	1	2%	1	2%	12	3%	6	1%
Untruthful Statement (U)	1	2%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%
Total	42	99%	54	99%	466	101%	409	100%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2025 vs 2026)

	Sep 2025		Sep 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	2	14%	4	12%	59	30%	42	24%
Within NYPD Guidelines	10	71%	23	68%	58	30%	71	41%
Unfounded	1	7%	5	15%	49	25%	43	25%
Unable to Determine	1	7%	2	6%	26	13%	19	11%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	14		34		194		175	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	4	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		0		3		4	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	2	11%	0	0%	10	6%	4	3%
Unable to Investigate*	10	56%	8	80%	78	50%	99	73%
Closed - Pending Litigation	6	33%	1	10%	59	38%	25	19%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	1	10%	10	6%	7	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	18		10		157		135	
Total - Closed Cases	32		44		354		314	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in September and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	September 2026	YTD 2026
Mediated Complaints	1	32

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	September 2026	YTD 2026
Force	0	10
Abuse of Authority	1	60
Discourtesy	0	11
Offensive Language	0	8
Total	1	89

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (September 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (September 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Sep 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Sep 2026	YTD 2026	Precinct	Sep 2026	YTD 2026
6	0	1	72	0	1
14	0	1	75	0	1
19	0	1	78	0	1
20	0	1	79	0	1
28	0	1	84	0	1
34	0	3	90	0	3
40	0	1	104	0	1
42	0	1	107	0	1
44	0	3	109	0	1
48	0	1	114	0	1
52	0	1	115	0	1
60	0	1	122	0	1
67	1	1	NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Sep 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Sep 2026	YTD 2026	Precinct	Sep 2026	YTD 2026
6	0	1	72	0	5
14	0	6	75	0	1
19	0	1	78	0	2
20	0	4	79	0	4
28	0	2	84	0	6
34	0	10	90	0	6
40	0	4	104	0	1
42	0	4	107	0	2
44	0	5	109	0	2
48	0	12	114	0	1
52	0	2	115	0	3
60	0	2	122	0	1
67	1	1	NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Sep 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	8
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	13	250
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	1
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	3
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	1	2
	Disciplinary Action Total	15	265
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	14
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	1
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	3	7
	**Retained, without discipline	0	1
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	3	23
Not Adjudicated	Dismissed by APU	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	1	2
	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	5
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	2	18
	MOS Retired	2	12
	MOS Resigned	3	9
	Terminated	0	1
	SOL Expired prior to APU	0	1
	SOL Expired in APU	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	8	53
	Total Closures	26	341

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2024	26.48	45.71	30.34
2025	85.56	77.03	83.95
2026 YTD	92.49	88.81	91.50

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	September 2026	YTD 2026
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	3
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	6
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	15
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	15	167
Command Discipline B	0	1
Command Discipline A	0	1
Formalized Training**	0	72
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	15	265
No Disciplinary Action†	3	23
Adjudicated Total	18	288
Discipline Rate	83%	92%
Not Adjudicated† Total	8	53
Total Closures	26	341

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 49 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	August 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	13	136
	Command Discipline A	32	324
	Formalized Training**	25	234
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) †	2	36
	Total	72	730
No Disciplinary Action	Department Unable to Prosecute ††	8	26
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) †††	0	25
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) †	1	1
	Total	9	52
Not Adjudicated	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	0	1
	SOL Expired	3	5
	Abated by Death	0	1
	Total	3	7
	Discipline Rate	89%	93%
	DUP Rate	10%	7%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

†† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website

at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

Figure 53: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (August 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Pamela Sosa	F: Physical force		Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Stradford	F: Physical force		Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Amandeep Kaur	F: Physical force		Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Damian Fontaine	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Julio Gutierrez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Jhonny Reyes	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Question	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Michelle Murray	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	20	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Joshua Faranda	A: Seizure of property	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daniel Balsdon	A: Entry of Premises	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Felix Torres	A: Search (of person)	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Benjamin Roman	A: Search (of person); D: Word	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Leonel Checo	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dayell Hernandez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Lewellyn Alvarez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Julius Almenas	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Perez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Search (of person)	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Meagan Camacho	D: Word	34	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO George Bodenmiller	A: Vehicle search	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Veekash Khedna	A: Question; A: Question; A: Question	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Johan Pillaha	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christian Johnson	D: Word; D: Word	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Danauris Baez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO William Pachecocuzco	D: Word	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Aundrain Stone	F: Physical force	41	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aileen Galvin	F: Physical force; O: Gender	41	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matias Leon	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Diego Vegaacebo	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Rosemarie Saez	A: Entry of Premises	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kristin Hughes	O: Gender	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Raymond Pinto	D: Word	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Travis Andreu	D: Word	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Omar Carrascotatis	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Abdulsalam Alamrani	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Rivera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kaseem Pennant	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cristian Medrano	F: Physical force	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dante Pulido	A: Frisk; A: Failure to Explain; A: Stop	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Charnel Tabon	A: Refusal to provide name	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Patrick Nicewicz	A: Seizure of property	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Adam Jimenez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Luis Avila	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Angel Figueroa	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Patrick Polintan	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Oconnor	D: Word; O: Disability; D: Word	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Adam Keegan	A: Search (of person)	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dean Perez	D: Word; D: Word	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Alan Myrthil	A: Entry of Premises	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Andrew Olive	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Gugliaro	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Anormaliza	D: Word	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Jonathan Forte	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Matthew Byrnes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day) / Warned and Admonished / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lindsay Larisa	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jonathan Hernandez	D: Word	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dustin Diaz	A: Frisk	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Morillo	A: Detention	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dustin Diaz	A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Joseph Reyes	A: Frisk; A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Margarita Vilmatelo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Warned and Admonished
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marcella Shamblee	A: Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Oliver Veras	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Khurram Zubair	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Arturo Sanchez	A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Antonio Gaglione	A: Question	78	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Mancilla	A: Question	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Lovepreet Singh	A: Vehicle search; A: Question	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Savage	A: Detention	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Small	F: Physical force	84	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bobbie Crooks	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carlos Lataarmijos	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Aaron Husbands	D: Word	101	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Sasha Rosen	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edgar Godinez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nathaniel Marcellus	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Bryant Carpio	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Cammarato	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Ventre	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Sharon Jimenez	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	107	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Salomone	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; A: Search (of person)	107	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jerry Brown	A: Search (of person); A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; A: Frisk	107	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ruth Florville	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	107	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Alexander Mignone	A: Strip-searched	109	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Chaz Morrish	F: Physical force	114	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Matthew Peters	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (September 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Emmanuel Bellegarde	D: Word		Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Rance Camarena	D: Word; A: Threat of arrest; A: Detention	25	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Latimer	A: Search (of person); A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation; A: Bias-Based Policing (National Origin)	46	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Latimer	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search (of person); A: Vehicle search; A: Question; A: Bias-Based Policing (Color)	46	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Latimer	A: Frisk; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race); A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	48	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kyong Kim	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint; A: Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Gray	A: Seizure of property; A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Unlawful Summons	81	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alexander Leon	F: Vehicle	83	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 8 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Dominguez	F: Physical force; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Physical force	88	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Philip Jendzo	F: Physical force	101	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Calderone	D: Word; F: Physical force	101	Queens	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Donohue	D: Word; O: Other	101	Queens	Forfeit vacation 3 days
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT James Haley	A: Vehicle search; U: False official statement	121	Staten Island	Forfeit vacation 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Gitto	A: Strip-searched; U: False official statement	121	Staten Island	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Vincent Gambino	O: Gender	122	Staten Island	Forfeit vacation 1 days / Command Discipline A

Figure 55: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (September 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kendrew Kwan	U: Misleading official statement; F: Physical force	66	Brooklyn	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Christian Carlin	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	71	Brooklyn	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Patrick Chilton	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	102	Queens	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline

Figure 56: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (September 2026)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jerry Celus	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Angel Caba	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card		Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Key Matiascastillo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Outside NYC
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Angel Caba	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Phillip Pena	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Outside NYC
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Outside NYC
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jerry Celus	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Domingo Corporan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brian Germosen	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vianny Encalada	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Melissa Frometa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kenneth Carroll	Abuse of Authority	Stop	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kenneth Carroll	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Downey	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jose Velez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Lorraine Fuentes	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Nikolas Quintero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Nikolas Quintero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Reuben Antwi	Force	Physical force	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Crespo	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Crespo	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Crespo	Abuse of Authority	Stop	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Rasheme Denton	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO David Pastwa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shazell Mitchell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marc Leandre	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Natasha Cid	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marc Leandre	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Gregory Perez	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Fernando Guillenmonfil	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Benjamin Roman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Benjamin Roman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Wackowski	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ehsan Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilya Dzeviatka	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mateusz Kopec	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilya Dzeviatka	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Jose Reyna	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ricardo Rios	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ehsan Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Mia Aquinom Marquez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT SA Zulfiqar Ahmed	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilya Dzeviatka	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mateusz Kopec	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Wackowski	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ilya Dzeviatka	Force	Physical force	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT David Velez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Idaniel Taveras	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT David Velez	Abuse of Authority	Question	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Question	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Inaccurate official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Dan Ast	Abuse of Authority	Detention	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Detention	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Robert Riley	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Dan Ast	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Howard Roth	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Deredel Rosario	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Avante Evelyn	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Timothy Marx	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paul Ulmer	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mahbubur Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jesse Mancuso	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Johnny Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Alexis Mejia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jesse Mancuso	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Crisbel Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Alonso Pinedarichardson	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Diona Velez	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Crisbel Lopez	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Diona Velez	Offensive Language	Disability	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Crisbel Lopez	Offensive Language	Disability	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Rubinsky Seijas	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	44	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brandon Roman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Bryan Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kushtrim Rugova	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kushtrim Rugova	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Valon Rugova	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ely Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Patrick Polintan	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Abdul Norman	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shayne Williams	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Santiago Velezpareja	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Rodriguez	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Rodriguez	Offensive Language	Race	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kelvin White	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory summons	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kelvin White	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kelvin White	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeremiah Perez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andre Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andre Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Patricia Sanchez	Force	Pepper spray	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Agramonte	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jeremy Arroyave	Abuse of Authority	Stop	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Agramonte	Abuse of Authority	Stop	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Nicholas Kresback	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Clyde Parker	Discourtesy	Word	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Maryjane Caceres	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	52	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Martin Dicostanzo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Habes Awawdeh	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Habes Awawdeh	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Daniel Mervis	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT CD Lauryl Phillip	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Daniel Mervis	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Daniel Mervis	Discourtesy	Word	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Huynh	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	66	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Saco	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	66	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT1 Ronald Graham	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	66	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Robert Cox	Abuse of Authority	Question	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Dennis Wu	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Porcelli	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Lloyd Bazile	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Dennis Wu	Discourtesy	Word	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Dennis Wu	Force	Chokehold	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Pruden	Force	Physical force	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Dennis Wu	Force	Physical force	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Pruden	Force	Physical force	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jia Lau	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jia Lau	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jia Lau	Abuse of Authority	Stop	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Margarita Vilmatelo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Makeda Monroe	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Raisul Islam	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dino Zambrano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Silverio Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Torresgarcia	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Argyrios Papamihalakis	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Torresgarcia	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Torresgarcia	Force	Physical force	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Megan Mohammed	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Donald Sadowy	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Donald Sadowy	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Donald Sadowy	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Starishma Wilson	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Oscar Salguero	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shannon Clark	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shannon Clark	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Oscar Salguero	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shannon Clark	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jessica Cann	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Joseph Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lawrence Chandler	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nagee Scott	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Teshawn Lewis	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alex Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Teshawn Lewis	Discourtesy	Word	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alex Rivera	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Wegens Desiste	Abuse of Authority	Question	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lovepreet Singh	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Joshua Phillips	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Odaine Peck	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Makeda Monroe	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Odaine Peck	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Makeda Monroe	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alexandra Barahona	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	78	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Danny Tse	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Acevedo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Patrick Shepherd	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Hector Justinico	Discourtesy	Word	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Diane Guillaume	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT2 Anthony Wright	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Discourtesy	Word	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Discourtesy	Word	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Discourtesy	Word	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Force	Physical force	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Offensive Language	Gender	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vogel	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Anthony Andreani	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daniella Costa	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Justin Hubbard	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shannon Fegan	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Anthony Andreani	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Anthony Andreani	Discourtesy	Word	109	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Henao	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jack Kilgen	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jack Kilgen	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jack Kilgen	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jack Kilgen	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Farry Medinaramos	Abuse of Authority	Detention	116	Queens

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Gavin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Young	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO James Barbee	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT2 Lee Maldonado	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island