



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



JENNIFER JONES AUSTIN
CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
September 2026
(Statistics for August 2026)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	40

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for August 2026 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 48% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 61% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In August, the CCRB opened 493 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,266 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 40% of its fully investigated cases in August (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 25% of the cases it closed in August (page 14).
- 4) For August, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 40% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 40-45).
- 6) In August the Police Commissioner finalized 26 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 38 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 6 trials were conducted against respondent officers in August.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In August 2026, the CCRB initiated 493 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2025 - August 2026)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2025 - August 2026)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2026)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (August 2026)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2026)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (August 2026)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	4	67	15
1	5	68	2
5	3	69	7
6	2	70	13
9	1	71	8
10	4	72	5
13	8	73	8
14	12	75	16
17	1	76	3
18	9	77	9
19	3	78	1
20	4	79	14
22	2	81	4
23	7	83	7
24	5	84	6
25	10	88	2
26	2	90	2
28	13	94	3
30	6	100	6
32	11	101	6
33	3	102	6
34	4	103	8
40	13	104	5
41	5	105	4
42	6	106	1
43	8	107	1
44	20	108	6
45	5	109	2
46	10	110	6
47	10	111	3
48	5	113	5
49	7	114	7
50	2	115	5
52	7	116	3
60	9	120	6
61	2	121	3
62	1	122	3
63	3	123	2
		Unknown	48

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (August 2025 vs. August 2026)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	August 2025		August 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	344	55%	207	42%	-137	-40%
Abuse of Authority (A)	416	66%	326	66%	-90	-22%
Discourtesy (D)	141	22%	120	24%	-21	-15%
Offensive Language (O)	37	6%	26	5%	-11	-30%
Total FADO Allegations	938		679		-259	-28%
Total Complaints	627		493		-134	-21%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2025 vs. YTD 2026)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	1943	51%	1830	46%	-113	-6%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2787	73%	2796	70%	9	0%
Discourtesy (D)	925	24%	912	23%	-13	-1%
Offensive Language (O)	216	6%	197	5%	-19	-9%
Total FADO Allegations	5871		5735		-136	-2%
Total Complaints	3833		3980		147	4%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	August 2025		August 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	940	39%	470	33%	-470	-50%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1228	51%	768	54%	-460	-37%
Discourtesy (D)	218	9%	156	11%	-62	-28%
Offensive Language (O)	42	2%	36	3%	-6	-14%
Total Allegations	2428		1430		-998	-41%
Total Complaints	627		493		-134	-21%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	5302	34%	4667	33%	-635	-12%
Abuse of Authority (A)	8726	56%	7794	56%	-932	-11%
Discourtesy (D)	1377	9%	1244	9%	-133	-10%
Offensive Language (O)	282	2%	259	2%	-23	-8%
Total Allegations	15687		13964		-1723	-11%
Total Complaints	3833		3980		147	4%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of August 2026, 48% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 61% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (August 2026)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1548	47.6%
Cases 5-7 Months	452	13.9%
Cases 8-11 Months	632	19.4%
Cases 12-18 Months*	613	18.8%
Cases Over 18 Months**	10	0.3%
Total	3255	100%

*12-18 Months: 12 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (August 2026)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1361	41.8%
Cases 5-7 Months	489	15.0%
Cases 8-11 Months	638	19.6%
Cases 12-18 Months*	748	23.0%
Cases Over 18 Months**	19	0.6%
Total	3255	100%

*12-18 Months: 14 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2025 - August 2026)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	July 2026		August 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1409	43%	1364	42%	-45	-3%
Pending Board Review	1879	57%	1891	58%	12	1%
Mediation	11	0%	8	0%	-3	-27%
On DA / FID Hold	3	0%	3	0%	0	0%
Total	3302		3266		-36	-1%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - August 2026)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - August 2026)




Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	92	65.7%
30 <= Days < 60	17	12.1%
60 <= Days < 90	6	4.3%
90 >= Days	25	17.9%
Total	140	100%

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2025 - August 2026)**






**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2025 - August 2026)**



Closed Cases

In August 2026, the CCRB fully investigated 25% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2025 - August 2026) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual was being escorted out of a station precinct house by the subject officer who did not activate his body camera. The subject officer stated that he did not turn on his BWC because he believed that officers were not permitted to activate their BWC while inside the stationhouse. The investigation found that the Patrol Guide requires the activation of BWCs when a law enforcement activity commences – the subject officer was engaged in a law enforcement activity, escorting the individual out of the stationhouse and was thus required to activate his BWC. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that as she was being transported to a hospital, subject officer 1 took a photo of her on her phone; when she was transported back to the precinct the individual stated that she asked subject officer 2 to take her back to the hospital and he told her that she could not go because she had already been to the hospital. Subject officer 1 denied taking a photo of the individual on either her department phone or personal phone. Subject officer 2 stated that he could not recall interacting with the individual on the incident date. The investigation found that there were no photos of the individual on subject officer 1's department phone and that was no BWC or stationhouse video - it could not be determined if subject officer 2 spoke to the individual on the incident date. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that while she was being ejected from a station by two subject officers, neither of them had activated their BWCs. The entire incident was captured on BWC and showed the initiation of law enforcement activity by the subject officers against the individual until its conclusion. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he was at a precinct to file a criminal report with the two subject officers. The individual gave them his identification and waited while the two subject officers went to check it. After they checked it, they told him that they couldn't take his report because he was not a NYC resident. The two subject officers told the individual that he was not free to leave; the individual asked if he was being

detained and they told him that he was being detained. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officers conferring privately when they checked the individual's information and an I-card for his arrest came up. The subject officers then detained the individual pursuant to the I-card. The investigation confirmed that an I-card with probable cause to arrest for the individual was active and the subject officers properly executed the active I-card by detaining the individual. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that while he was driving, an unknown male on a motorbike struck his side mirror. When he stopped his car to confront the individual, the individual flashed a badge at his waist. The individual believed that the badge was a police badge. The individual stated that the officer gave a name and spoke in a Russian accent and told him he could be arrested for reckless driving. The individual had a general description of the officer. The investigation found that there were no officers matching the individual's description at the local precinct who had the name that the officer gave to the individual. The investigation also found that nearby businesses at the incident location did not have any cameras, so no video evidence could be located. Without any additional pertinent evidence, the investigation could not identify the subject officer. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Discourtesy allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (August 2026)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2026)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2025 vs 2026)

	Aug 2025		Aug 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	70	41%	54	40%	717	48%	536	43%
Within NYPD Guidelines	21	12%	19	14%	184	12%	172	14%
Unfounded	33	19%	26	19%	275	18%	255	20%
Unable to Determine	37	22%	25	19%	269	18%	241	19%
MOS Unidentified	9	5%	11	8%	54	4%	43	3%
Total - Full Investigations	170		135		1499		1247	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	4	100%	4	100%	22	100%	31	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	4		4		22		31	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	30	8%	25	6%	154	7%	143	6%
Closed - Pending Litigation	91	23%	66	17%	402	18%	395	17%
Unable to Investigate*	267	69%	297	75%	1595	73%	1808	76%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	0%	3	1%	19	1%	14	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	4	1%	5	0%	6	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	389		395		2175		2366	
Total - Closed Cases	563		534		3696		3644	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2025 vs 2026)

	Aug 2025		Aug 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	221	18%	149	15%	2405	22%	1623	19%
Unable to Determine	165	14%	154	16%	1781	16%	1388	16%
Unfounded	240	20%	238	24%	2133	20%	1978	23%
Within NYPD Guidelines	491	41%	395	40%	4018	37%	3405	39%
MOS Unidentified	84	7%	54	5%	539	5%	336	4%
Total - Full Investigations	1201		990		10876		8730	

Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	16	100%	7	100%	70	100%	88	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	16		7		70		88	

Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	74	6%	63	7%	424	7%	356	6%
Unable to Investigate*	723	63%	643	69%	3671	62%	3952	67%
Closed - Pending Litigation	299	26%	199	21%	1380	23%	1268	22%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	54	5%	25	3%	440	7%	265	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	7	1%	8	0%	35	1%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	1150		937		5923		5876	

Total - Closed Allegations	2367		1934		16869		14694	
-----------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------	--	--------------	--	--------------	--

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (August 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	17 5%	17 5%	167 53%	104 33%	12 4%	317 100%
Abuse of Authority	113 21%	103 19%	202 37%	106 19%	27 5%	551 100%
Discourtesy	15 15%	25 26%	24 25%	22 23%	11 11%	97 100%
Offensive Language	4 16%	9 36%	2 8%	6 24%	4 16%	25 100%
Total	149 15%	154 16%	395 40%	238 24%	54 5%	990 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	116 5%	257 10%	1408 57%	646 26%	63 3%	2490 100%
Abuse of Authority	1251 24%	894 17%	1843 35%	1051 20%	215 4%	5254 100%
Discourtesy	203 26%	166 21%	151 19%	226 29%	42 5%	788 100%
Offensive Language	53 27%	71 36%	3 2%	55 28%	16 8%	198 100%
Total	1623 19%	1388 16%	3405 39%	1978 23%	336 4%	8730 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	August 2025		August 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	100%	0	NA	-1	-100%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Total Allegations	1		0		-1	-100%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	19	70%	10	50%	-9	-47%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	1	5%	1	NA
Misleading official statement	8	30%	9	45%	1	13%
Total Allegations	27		20		-7	-26%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	August 2025		August 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	0	0%	1	100%	1	NA
Total Allegations	0		1		1	NA

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	2	10%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	3	27%	3	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	1	5%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	3	27%	3	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	17	85%	5	45%	-12	-71%
Total Allegations	20		11		-9	-45%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The August 2026 case substantiation rate was 40%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2025 - August 2026)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2026)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2025 - Aug 2026)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2025 - Aug 2026)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and “Command Discipline A” are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations* (Aug 2025, Aug 2026, YTD 2025, YTD 2026)

Disposition	August 2025		August 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	28	22%	17	20%	310	24%	223	24%
Command Discipline B	22	18%	18	21%	251	19%	160	18%
Command Discipline A	45	36%	38	44%	472	36%	336	37%
Formalized Training	30	24%	14	16%	276	21%	194	21%
Total	125		87		1309		913	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 10$) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 5$) 4. Formalized Training ($0 < \text{penalty days} < 1$)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Aug 2025	Aug 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA Complaints	24	19	152	137
Total Complaints	563	534	3696	3644
PSA Complaints as % of Total	4.3%	3.6%	4.1%	3.8%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Aug 2025	Aug 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA 1	2	3	26	24
PSA 2	11	5	61	43
PSA 3	13	1	59	30
PSA 4	6	0	41	21
PSA 5	7	14	58	68
PSA 6	2	3	22	20
PSA 7	2	4	25	29
PSA 8	3	3	12	27
PSA 9	3	1	18	8
Total	49	34	322	270

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Aug 2025		Aug 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	40	67%	23	52%	180	42%	178	50%
Abuse of Authority (A)	15	25%	16	36%	188	44%	144	41%
Discourtesy (D)	4	7%	4	9%	43	10%	27	8%
Offensive Language (O)	1	2%	1	2%	11	3%	5	1%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Total	60	101%	44	99%	424	99%	355	100%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2025 vs 2026)

	Aug 2025		Aug 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Full Investigations								
Substantiated	1	12%	4	31%	57	32%	38	27%
Within NYPD Guidelines	3	38%	3	23%	48	27%	48	34%
Unfounded	3	38%	5	38%	48	27%	38	27%
Unable to Determine	1	12%	1	8%	25	14%	17	12%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	8		13		180		141	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	4	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		0		3		4	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	0	0%	8	6%	4	3%
Unable to Investigate*	26	63%	19	90%	68	49%	91	73%
Closed - Pending Litigation	15	37%	2	10%	53	38%	24	19%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	0	0%	10	7%	6	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	41		21		139		125	
Total - Closed Cases	49		34		322		270	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in August and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	August 2026	YTD 2026
Mediated Complaints	4	31

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	August 2026	YTD 2026
Force	2	10
Abuse of Authority	5	59
Discourtesy	0	11
Offensive Language	0	8
Total	7	88

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (August 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	2
Queens	0
Staten Island	1

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (August 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	4
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	2
Queens	0
Staten Island	1

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Aug 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Aug 2026	YTD 2026	Precinct	Aug 2026	YTD 2026
6	1	1	72	0	1
14	0	1	75	0	1
19	1	1	78	0	1
20	0	1	79	0	1
28	0	1	84	0	1
34	0	3	90	0	3
40	0	1	104	0	1
42	1	1	107	0	1
44	0	3	109	0	1
48	0	1	114	0	1
52	0	1	115	0	1
60	0	1	122	1	1
			NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Aug 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Aug 2026	YTD 2026	Precinct	Aug 2026	YTD 2026
6	1	1	72	0	5
14	0	6	75	0	1
19	1	1	78	0	2
20	0	4	79	0	4
28	0	2	84	0	6
34	0	10	90	0	6
40	0	4	104	0	1
42	4	4	107	0	2
44	0	5	109	0	2
48	0	12	114	0	1
52	0	2	115	0	3
60	0	2	122	1	1
			NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Aug 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	7
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	23	237
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	1
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	3
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	1
	Disciplinary Action Total	23	250
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	14
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	1	1
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	1	4
	**Retained, without discipline	0	1
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	3	20
Not Adjudicated	Dismissed by APU	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	0	1
	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	1	5
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	5	16
	MOS Retired	2	10
	MOS Resigned	2	6
	Terminated	0	1
	SOL Expired prior to APU	0	1
	SOL Expired in APU	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	10	45
	Total Closures	36	315

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2024	26.48	45.71	30.34
2025	85.56	77.03	83.95
2026 YTD	92.78	90.15	92.06

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	August 2026	YTD 2026
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	2	3
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	6
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	15
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	15	152
Command Discipline B	0	1
Command Discipline A	0	1
Formalized Training**	6	72
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	23	250
No Disciplinary Action†	3	20
Adjudicated Total	26	270
Discipline Rate	88%	93%
Not Adjudicated† Total	10	45
Total Closures	36	315

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 49 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	July 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	25	123
	Command Discipline A	36	292
	Formalized Training**	16	209
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) †	2	34
	Total	79	658
No Disciplinary Action	Department Unable to Prosecute ††	0	18
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) †††	0	25
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) †	0	0
	Total	0	43
Not Adjudicated	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	0	1
	SOL Expired	1	2
	Abated by Death	0	1
	Total	1	4
	Discipline Rate	100%	94%
	DUP Rate	0%	6%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

†† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website

at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

Figure 53: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (July 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Peng Shan	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	6	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andy Wu	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zachary Palladino	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Andy Luna	D: Word	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Brennan	A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	17	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Joseph Cortes	A: Search (of person)	17	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marie Forbes	A: Search (of person)	17	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hector Rosado	D: Word	17	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Geuris Morillo	D: Action	19	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ruby Esparza	A: Question; A: Vehicle search	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edwin Nieves	A: Refusal to provide shield number	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA Brian Query	A: Vehicle search	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Vicente Perez	A: Search (of person); A: Detention	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Caroline Solano	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Hunt	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Benjamin Elangovan	A: Entry of Premises	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sean Sloman	D: Word; A: Search (of person)	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Reuben Antwi	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jerick Alcantara	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Richard Murphy	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Eric Arcangel	A: Question; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jorge Ortiz	A: Unlawful Arrest	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days) / Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carlos Cuesta	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Francis Pusateri	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Bory Echanique	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Maura Delohery	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Wilson Carbucia	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Omely Rodriguezcesar	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Natalia Nunez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sara Bassford	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Joseph Rowe	A: Frisk	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Chad Kirk	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dexter Ortiz	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dante Pulido	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Stop	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jonathan Garcia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darryl Perry	A: Vehicle search; A: Frisk	52	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Selena Vaquero	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jocelyn Otavalo	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Nicholas Bruccoli	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Enrique Thenespaillat	A: Refusal to provide name	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Mele	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Loporto	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Dennis Wu	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Porcelli	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ryan Pruden	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brian Williams	D: Word	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Christopher Bamfo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kerwin Adames	A: Stop	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Henry Alvarado	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Solomon Sowell	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Devon Langford	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Neil Narayan	A: Frisk; A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Rossides	A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bryan Gross	A: Stop; A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Faisal Elwan	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Rochael Vasquez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Krystopher Valentin	A: Refusal to show search warrant	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zeeshan Zaib	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Demesha Donaldsonricketts	A: Entry of Premises	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Austin Slamowitz	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lela Banks	D: Action	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Guzman	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hasher Khalid	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop; A: Frisk	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Medina	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Domonique Hall	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Matthew Arvelo	A: Search (of person); A: Vehicle search; A: Seizure of property	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Sasha Rosen	A: Search of Premises	109	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Lindsay	D: Word	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anwar Hassan	A: Entry of Premises; A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Entry of Premises	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michael Delia	A: Vehicle search	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Peter Powell	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dean Paiman	A: Threat of arrest	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Matthew Dzwonek	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Mathew Munoz	F: Physical force	115	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Eric McDonald	A: Entry of Premises	116	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vincent Castello	A: Entry of Premises	116	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Young	A: Property damaged	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Khalaf Spencer	A: Property damaged	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO James Agudelo	A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Entry of Premises	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mohammad Zubair	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (August 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Cosolito	O: Other; D: Other	13	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO William Bilbao	A: Sex Miscon (Sexual/Romantic Proposition)	14	Manhattan	Dismissal Probation / Forfeit vacation 30 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO William Bilbao	A: Sex Miscon (Sexual/Romantic Proposition); A: Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Gesture)	14	Manhattan	Dismissal Probation / Forfeit vacation 30 days
Substantiated (Charges)	DT2 David Rosenberg	A: Interference with recording	43	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Manolis Kambouris	A: Question; A: Unlawful Arrest; A: Stop	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hector Rodriguez	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Marte	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hector Rodriguez	A: Bias-Based Policing (Disability); F: Hit against inanimate object; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Marte	D: Word; O: Disability; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; F: Hit against inanimate object; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; D: Word; A: Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Carlo	D: Word; F: Nonlethal restraining device	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenneth Vega	D: Word; F: Hit against inanimate object; D: Word	70	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenneth Vega	A: Strip-searched	70	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tyler Hamelburg	F: Physical force; D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	75	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Griffin	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person); A: Stop	83	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alejandro Pimentel	O: Gender; D: Word; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	90	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andonios Constantatos	D: Word; U: False official statement; F: Physical force	103	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tyler Harper	A: Unlawful Arrest	103	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Robert Wagner	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	107	Queens	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cydnee Davis	D: Word; O: Disability	115	Queens	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS John Murphy	A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Olsen Hoxha	A: Other; A: Other	121	Staten Island	Forfeit vacation 2 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ismael Diaz	A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Gitto	A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Stop	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training

Figure 55: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (August 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM John Batule	F: Chokehold	42	Bronx	Closed: Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Cancel	A: Interference with recording	44	Bronx	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Benjamin Bayan	F: Restricted Breathing; F: Chokehold	49	Bronx	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved

Figure 56: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (August 2026)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Pagancolon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carmelo Colon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Pagancolon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carmelo Colon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Pagancolon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carmelo Colon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Pagancolon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carmelo Colon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Pagancolon	Discourtesy	Word	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Dotel	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jose Cabagrillo	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT McCartney Forbes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT McCartney Forbes	Discourtesy	Word	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT McCartney Forbes	Force	Physical force	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Deandre Girard	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Saleem Hosein	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Sergo Lubin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Damian Weber	Abuse of Authority	Sexual Misconduct (Sexual Humiliation)	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ina Perfectogreene	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Damian Weber	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Damian Weber	Offensive Language	Sexual orientation	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ina Perfectogreene	Offensive Language	Gender	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Reuben Antwi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jenny Qu	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Prachak Leelauva	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marco Celemin	Discourtesy	Word	33	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Surrendra Seewah	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Eric Arcangel	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ronald Marte	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kieran Brennan	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Malik Underwood	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kieran Brennan	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Malik Underwood	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Malik Underwood	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Arcangel	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cleyder Romeroramirez	Abuse of Authority	Detention	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Spardley Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Spardley Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Roberto Toribio	Force	Gun Pointed	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marco Cedillo	Force	Pepper spray	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jamil Haddad	Force	Pepper spray	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tiago Gomes	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cleyder Romeroramirez	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Cleyder Romeroramirez	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Arcangel	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Wilfredo Cruz	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Spardley Jeanbaptiste	Offensive Language	Race	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Patrick Auguste	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Kevin Weber	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Kevin Weber	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Question	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tiago Gomes	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cleyder Romeroramirez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tiago Gomes	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marshall Cameron	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	CPT Douglas Soriano	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Dinercy Pinales	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vinicio Garcia	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Terrance Jones	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Terrance Jones	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Cupo	Abuse of Authority	Question	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Terrance Jones	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Terrance Jones	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Juan Burgos	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Richard Castellano	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Richard Castellano	Force	Hit against inanimate object	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Juan Burgos	Force	Physical force	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Terrance Jones	Force	Physical force	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jose Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jose Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Ryan Odea	Force	Physical force	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brian Williams	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Flores	Discourtesy	Word	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Franco	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Franco	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Franco	Offensive Language	Other	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lindsay Larisa	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Imran Khan	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Imran Khan	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Moustafa Aly	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lindsay Larisa	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mdabdul Halim	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lindsay Larisa	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zhana Whitney	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mdabdul Halim	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mdabdul Halim	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Moustafa Aly	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Lalchan Singh	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Thomas Sosnowski	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Craig Steinmann	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nadeem Khan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Detention	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hans Merilan	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Discourtesy	Action	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Force	Other	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Brian Brandefine	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Lily Graham	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT John Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Brian Brandefine	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT John Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Lily Graham	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Brian Brandefine	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Lily Graham	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT John Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jamal Richardson	Force	Hit against inanimate object	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	INSP Igor Pinkhasov	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gheorghe Cojocaru	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DI Omar Birchwood	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Bercides Medina	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marcella Shamblee	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Derek Chow	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paolo Viskovic	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gabriela Rivas	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Madison Barroso	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Allensacha Ciceron	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Allensacha Ciceron	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	106	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Juan Gomezmaldonado	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Caitlin Ragione	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jacqueline Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Patrick Wright	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Raymond Persaud	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Selvakumar	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Allison Jeanbart	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Selvakumar	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Allison Jeanbart	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Selvakumar	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Allison Jeanbart	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Casey Ayrovainen	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jefry Aguilera	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Ivan Furda	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Agnese	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Francis Torres	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Ivan Furda	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Agnese	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ng	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	122	Staten Island