



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



SHERENE CRAWFORD
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
June 2026
(Statistics for May 2026)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	42

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for May 2026 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 47% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 61% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In May, the CCRB opened 611 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,217 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 38% of its fully investigated cases in May (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 47% of the cases it closed in May (page 14).
- 4) For May, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 38% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 42-48).
- 6) In May the Police Commissioner finalized 26 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 19 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 2 trials were conducted against respondent officers in May.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In May 2026, the CCRB initiated 611 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2025 - May 2026)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2025 - May 2026)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2026)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (May 2026)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2026)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (May 2026)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	7	67	13
1	4	68	4
5	3	69	6
6	5	70	6
7	4	71	7
9	3	72	7
10	1	73	23
13	7	75	28
14	12	76	7
17	3	77	6
18	12	78	5
19	2	79	12
20	7	81	5
23	7	83	6
24	6	84	15
25	7	88	3
26	4	90	7
28	8	94	3
30	4	100	2
32	19	101	6
33	6	102	12
34	4	103	9
40	13	104	2
41	6	105	3
42	9	106	1
43	8	107	2
44	18	108	1
45	5	109	3
46	14	110	7
47	17	112	4
48	10	113	6
49	8	114	14
50	6	115	6
52	10	116	3
60	19	120	10
61	4	121	2
62	3	122	6
63	12	123	3
66	4	1000	1
		Unknown	44

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (May 2025 vs. May 2026)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	May 2025		May 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	261	54%	281	46%	20	8%
Abuse of Authority (A)	356	73%	395	65%	39	11%
Discourtesy (D)	102	21%	114	19%	12	12%
Offensive Language (O)	17	4%	23	4%	6	35%
Total FADO Allegations	736		813		77	10%
Total Complaints	485		611		126	26%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2025 vs. YTD 2026)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	1155	50%	1101	47%	-54	-5%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1717	74%	1640	69%	-77	-4%
Discourtesy (D)	565	24%	502	21%	-63	-11%
Offensive Language (O)	130	6%	101	4%	-29	-22%
Total FADO Allegations	3567		3344		-223	-6%
Total Complaints	2321		2367		46	2%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	May 2025		May 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	706	37%	651	36%	-55	-8%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1045	55%	963	54%	-82	-8%
Discourtesy (D)	144	8%	153	9%	9	6%
Offensive Language (O)	18	1%	27	2%	9	50%
Total Allegations	1913		1794		-119	-6%
Total Complaints	485		611		126	26%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	3144	33%	2715	34%	-429	-14%
Abuse of Authority (A)	5527	57%	4582	57%	-945	-17%
Discourtesy (D)	824	9%	656	8%	-168	-20%
Offensive Language (O)	163	2%	132	2%	-31	-19%
Total Allegations	9658		8085		-1573	-16%
Total Complaints	2321		2367		46	2%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of May 2026, 47% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 61% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (May 2026)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1490	46.5%
Cases 5-7 Months	451	14.1%
Cases 8-11 Months	699	21.8%
Cases 12-18 Months*	553	17.3%
Cases Over 18 Months**	10	0.3%
Total	3203	100%

*12-18 Months: 12 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (May 2026)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1339	41.8%
Cases 5-7 Months	462	14.4%
Cases 8-11 Months	714	22.3%
Cases 12-18 Months*	671	20.9%
Cases Over 18 Months**	17	0.5%
Total	3203	100%

*12-18 Months: 18 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 3 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2025 - May 2026)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	April 2026		May 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1272	43%	1420	44%	148	12%
Pending Board Review	1664	56%	1783	55%	119	7%
Mediation	10	0%	9	0%	-1	-10%
On DA / FID Hold	5	0%	5	0%	0	0%
Total	2951		3217		266	9%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - May 2026)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - May 2026)






Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	64	56.6%
30 <= Days < 60	13	11.5%
60 <= Days < 90	6	5.3%
90 >= Days	30	26.5%
Total	113	100%

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2025 - May 2026)**




**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2025 - May 2026)**



Closed Cases

In May 2026, the CCRB fully investigated 47% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2025 - May 2026) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual stated that while he and a friend sat in a parked car with the engine off but with the radio and headlights on, they were stopped by the two subject officers. They did not provide him with a business card at the conclusion of the stop. The incident was captured on BWC. At the conclusion of the vehicle stop in which neither subject officer issued a summons to the individual, the subject officers returned to their vehicles and drove away without giving the individual a business card. The subject officers stated that they were not required to provide business cards when they use their discretion to not issue a summons. The investigation found that the Patrol Guide mandates that business cards are to be given out when a law enforcement interaction does not end in either an arrest or summons. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegations.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that while he was at a precinct stationhouse being fingerprinted, the subject officer called him a “fucking scammer”. The incident was captured on the station house cameras. It showed the individual being fingerprinted by the subject officer but there was no audio. The subject officer denied making the statement. The investigation found that without independent witnesses, it could not be confirmed that the subject officer called the individual a “fucking scammer”. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that she was approached by the subject officer while she was on the train who told her to get off the train. She did not observe a red light or flashing light on the subject officer’s BWC to indicate that the BWC was recording. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the entirety of the subject officer’s interaction with the individual, starting with the subject officer’s approach of the individual on the subway train. The investigation found that the subject officer did turn on his BWC to record the interaction. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that she called 911 after she was assaulted and that when the two subject officers arrived they pulled her arm and handcuffed her. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the individual sitting on the sidewalk with her back against a storefront; subject officer 1 informed the

individual that she was under arrest and grabbed her left arm below the elbow and another arm under her armpit to support her as he got her to stand up. She sat back down and after subject officer 1 reached for her arm again she told him not to pull on her arm and pulled her arm away. She stood up on her own and the subject officers brought her arms behind her back and handcuffed her. The investigation found that the subject officers used a minimal amount of force to grab the individual's arms to put them behind her back to be handcuffed as she was under arrest for petit larceny. The Board closed the Use of Force allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that as he was running to catch an Uber, he was stopped by two uniformed officers. They frisked him and did not explain why they stopped him. The officers then walked away and the individual did not receive a business card. The individual had a general physical description of the officers. The investigation obtained camera footage from the incident location and neither the individual nor uniformed officers were captured on it during the incident time. NYPD records did not show any recorded stop reports at the incident time. Without any additional pertinent evidence, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (May 2026)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2026)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2025 vs 2026)

	May 2025		May 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	126	44%	63	38%	496	50%	343	44%
Within NYPD Guidelines	32	11%	26	16%	112	11%	107	14%
Unfounded	63	22%	30	18%	167	17%	158	20%
Unable to Determine	56	19%	38	23%	175	18%	146	19%
MOS Unidentified	11	4%	9	5%	34	3%	26	3%
Total - Full Investigations	288		166		984		780	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	0	0%	6	100%	13	100%	22	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		6		13		22	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	23	10%	5	3%	100	8%	73	6%
Unable to Investigate*	149	64%	153	84%	962	73%	970	76%
Closed - Pending Litigation	60	26%	24	13%	245	19%	222	17%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	0%	0	0%	12	1%	8	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	1	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	233		182		1323		1274	
Total - Closed Cases	521		354		2320		2076	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2025 vs 2026)

	May 2025		May 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	487	23%	182	15%	1697	23%	1039	19%
Unable to Determine	346	16%	179	15%	1238	17%	864	16%
Unfounded	504	23%	317	26%	1410	19%	1269	23%
Within NYPD Guidelines	726	34%	461	38%	2550	35%	2071	38%
MOS Unidentified	101	5%	60	5%	350	5%	233	4%
Total - Full Investigations	2164		1199		7245		5476	

Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	15	100%	43	100%	64	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		15		43		64	

Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	56	9%	9	2%	306	8%	194	6%
Unable to Investigate*	348	55%	294	73%	2211	61%	2119	66%
Closed - Pending Litigation	176	28%	71	18%	817	23%	719	22%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	49	8%	29	7%	281	8%	169	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	7	0%	23	1%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	629		403		3622		3224	

Total - Closed Allegations	2793		1617		10910		8764	
-----------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------	--	--------------	--	-------------	--

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (May 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	6 2%	34 11%	185 59%	79 25%	9 3%	313 100%
Abuse of Authority	141 19%	125 16%	259 34%	196 26%	37 5%	758 100%
Discourtesy	29 28%	15 14%	17 16%	34 33%	9 9%	104 100%
Offensive Language	6 25%	5 21%	0 0%	8 33%	5 21%	24 100%
Total	182 15%	179 15%	461 38%	317 26%	60 5%	1199 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	72 5%	170 11%	864 57%	364 24%	43 3%	1513 100%
Abuse of Authority	794 24%	556 17%	1124 34%	712 21%	153 5%	3339 100%
Discourtesy	138 28%	101 20%	82 16%	150 30%	26 5%	497 100%
Offensive Language	35 28%	37 29%	1 1%	43 34%	11 9%	127 100%
Total	1039 19%	864 16%	2071 38%	1269 23%	233 4%	5476 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	May 2025		May 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	9	75%	0	0%	-9	-100%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	3	25%	3	100%	0	0%
Total Allegations	12		3		-9	-75%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	14	82%	5	36%	-9	-64%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	3	18%	9	64%	6	200%
Total Allegations	17		14		-3	-18%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	May 2025		May 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	2	40%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	3	60%	3	100%	0	0%
Total Allegations	5		3		-2	-40%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	2	11%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	3	33%	3	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	1	6%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	3	33%	3	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	15	83%	3	33%	-12	-80%
Total Allegations	18		9		-9	-50%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The May 2026 case substantiation rate was 38%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2025 - May 2026)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2026)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2025 - May 2026)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2025 - May 2026)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations* (May 2025, May 2026, YTD 2025, YTD 2026)

Disposition	May 2025		May 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	59	24%	21	21%	209	23%	153	26%
Command Discipline B	42	17%	23	23%	179	19%	96	16%
Command Discipline A	90	37%	34	34%	351	38%	211	36%
Formalized Training	53	22%	22	22%	180	20%	133	22%
Total	244		100		919		593	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 10$) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq \text{penalty days} \leq 5$) 4. Formalized Training ($0 < \text{penalty days} < 1$)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	May 2025	May 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA Complaints	13	11	94	86
Total Complaints	521	354	2320	2076
PSA Complaints as % of Total	2.5%	3.1%	4.1%	4.1%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	May 2025	May 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA 1	2	2	13	17
PSA 2	4	1	26	31
PSA 3	7	7	31	25
PSA 4	4	1	24	17
PSA 5	9	6	42	43
PSA 6	0	1	12	10
PSA 7	0	0	22	13
PSA 8	2	1	9	15
PSA 9	1	0	14	5
Total	29	19	193	176

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	May 2025		May 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	16	39%	11	42%	99	38%	120	50%
Abuse of Authority (A)	14	34%	13	50%	120	46%	97	41%
Discourtesy (D)	8	20%	2	8%	31	12%	16	7%
Offensive Language (O)	3	7%	0	0%	8	3%	4	2%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%
Total	41	100%	26	100%	260	100%	238	100%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2025 vs 2026)

	May 2025		May 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	7	29%	2	17%	40	33%	26	25%
Within NYPD Guidelines	4	17%	7	58%	28	23%	37	36%
Unfounded	9	38%	3	25%	34	28%	26	25%
Unable to Determine	4	17%	0	0%	17	14%	14	14%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	24		12		121		103	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	4	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		2		2		4	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	1	20%	8	11%	3	4%
Unable to Investigate*	5	100%	3	60%	30	43%	47	68%
Closed - Pending Litigation	0	0%	0	0%	23	33%	14	20%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	1	20%	9	13%	5	7%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	5		5		70		69	
Total - Closed Cases	29		19		193		176	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in May and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	May 2026	YTD 2026
Mediated Complaints	6	22

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	May 2026	YTD 2026
Force	2	6
Abuse of Authority	7	45
Discourtesy	4	9
Offensive Language	2	4
Total	15	64

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (May 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	2
Manhattan	2
Queens	1
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (May 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	4
Brooklyn	4
Manhattan	6
Queens	1
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(May 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	May 2026	YTD 2026
14	0	1
20	1	1
28	1	1
34	0	2
40	1	1
44	0	2
48	0	1
60	1	1
75	0	1

Precinct	May 2026	YTD 2026
78	1	1
79	0	1
90	0	3
104	0	1
107	0	1
109	0	1
114	1	1
115	0	1
NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(May 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	May 2026	YTD 2026
14	0	6
20	4	4
28	2	2
34	0	9
40	4	4
44	0	2
48	0	12
60	2	2
75	0	1

Precinct	May 2026	YTD 2026
78	2	2
79	0	4
90	0	6
104	0	1
107	0	2
109	0	2
114	1	1
115	0	3
NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	May 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	6
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	23	166
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	1
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	3
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	0
	Disciplinary Action Total	24	177
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	11
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	0
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	1	3
	**Retained, without discipline	0	1
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	2	15
Not Adjudicated	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	4
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	1	9
	MOS Retired	0	8
	MOS Resigned	1	2
	Terminated	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	2	24
	Total Closures	28	216

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2024	26.48	45.71	30.34
2025	85.56	77.03	83.95
2026 YTD	91.00	90.21	90.78

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	May 2026	YTD 2026
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	1
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	1	9
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	19	110
Command Discipline B	0	1
Command Discipline A	0	1
Formalized Training**	4	55
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	24	177
No Disciplinary Action†	2	15
Adjudicated Total	26	192
Discipline Rate	92%	92%
Not Adjudicated† Total	2	24
Total Closures	28	216

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 49 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	April 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	20	73
	Command Discipline A	50	169
	Formalized Training**	41	153
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) †	3	19
	Total	114	414
No Disciplinary Action	Department Unable to Prosecute ††	1	14
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) †††	0	25
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) †	0	0
	Total	1	39
Not Adjudicated	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	0	1
	SOL Expired	0	1
	Abated by Death	0	1
	Total	0	3
	Discipline Rate	99%	91%
	DUP Rate	1%	9%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

†† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website

at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

Figure 53: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (April 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Elias Rembert	A: Seizure of property; A: Entry of Premises	5	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andy Herrera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	6	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andre Nembhard	A: Refusal to provide name	17	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Omar Khan	A: Refusal to provide name	17	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Patrick Amato	A: Refusal to provide name	17	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Brennan	A: Refusal to provide name	17	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jorge Hiraldo	O: Gender; D: Word	18	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Orouke	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	18	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Gleason	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	18	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dennis Maroldo	A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Orellana	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Chanel Delgado	F: Physical force	23	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jonathan Payne	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Lorraine Rose	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Felix Cruz	A: Stop	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Justin Senese	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Stop	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Maximilian Warner	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darian Wesler	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Crudele	A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emanuel Crespo	D: Word	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Brian Query	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andrew Aiello	A: Stop	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Arhenis Lopezestevez	A: Failure to Explain; A: Stop; A: Frisk	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bianery Garcia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carlos Morochoquito	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christian Layes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Christopher Benson	A: Vehicle search	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.38 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT David Listhrop	A: Refusal to provide shield number	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Morgan Benedetti	A: Vehicle search	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Grove	D: Word	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Sosa	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ricardo Mohedano	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Yuliana Comas	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Elbis Nunez	A: Frisk	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Felix Jimenez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 1 day / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Juan Pinero	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 1 day / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Rodriguez	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Riley	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO April Sanchez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Rodriguez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Victor Cruz	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Amar Alfalahi	A: Vehicle search	45	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cindy Nieves	A: Vehicle search	45	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Diran Buccellato	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thybel Felix	A: Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michele Garcia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 0.50 days / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ricardo Urena	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Devers	D: Word; D: Word	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ralph Reyes	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Stop	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Orkhan Mamedov	A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Umar Cheema	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anoop Thomas	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jessica Ramirez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Moran	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Stephen Tupper	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Timothy Thatcher	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Victor Rodrigues	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Leon Wu	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Hugh Campbell	A: Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Rosario	A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Alessandro Albanese	A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Claude Dorsaint	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Patrick Sanon	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gisette Fernandez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marlena Kowal	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jeffrey Escobar	A: Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Thaddeus Grandstaff	D: Word	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Andre Gaddy	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Kevin Phillip	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shanique Varlack	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Derrell Henry	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tomas Campuzano	A: Question	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Kitwane Lewis	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Patrick Coriell	D: Word	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Wahed Newaz	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO David Cuzco	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Anthony Trapani	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Vazquez	D: Word	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Camille	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Gabriel Cuevas	A: Refusal to provide name	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Elijah Colon	A: Threat of arrest	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jazeel Jones	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Batista	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jesse Trap	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Timothy Keane	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kayleigh Specht	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	101	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Daniel Henao	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Question	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Hurwitz	A: Frisk	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Jared Fox	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	104	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Dennis Dooley	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	104	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Devin Baker	A: Search of Premises	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Miguel Vanbrakle	A: Search of Premises	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Nicholas Bekas	A: Vehicle search; A: Search of Premises	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jorge Salazar	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Gerard Hirschfield	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Micera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Giancarlo Bernardo	A: Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	108	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Oscar Hernandezvasquez	A: Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	108	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Clyne	D: Word	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Mark Santiago	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Elysia Jimenez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Amilcar Garcia	A: Entry of Premises	114	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Capunay	A: Entry of Premises	114	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bryan Reyes	A: Search (of person)	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Elvin Pichardo	A: Vehicle search	115	Queens	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Alexey Nezhnik	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	115	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Abreu	D: Word	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Nicholas Condos	D: Word	115	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Charles Miele	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Louis Carrion	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Arniko Shrestha	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Jason Portee	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Russo	D: Word	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A (Warned and Admonished)

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (May 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Omer Sadeh	D: Word; O: Disability	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brenden Rogers	O: Gender; A: Threat re: removal to hospital; D: Word	9	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Liam Mchugh	D: Word; D: Word; A: Refusal to provide name	13	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Eastmond	F: Physical force; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment; D: Action	14	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaina Moore	A: Other; F: Physical force; A: Other	14	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 8 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Thomas Biggers	U: False official statement; A: Other; A: Seizure of property; A: Search of Premises; U: False official statement	18	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Osvaldo Garcia	F: Pepper spray; F: Pepper spray	25	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Michael Mcdermott	A: Unlawful Arrest	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Adlai Pinney	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation; A: Vehicle search; A: Bias-Based Policing (National Origin)	46	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 6 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Chad Kirk	A: Vehicle search; A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation; A: Bias-Based Policing (National Origin)	46	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 6 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kieran Brennan	F: Gun as club; A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation; A: Bias-Based Policing (National Origin); F: Gun Pointed	46	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Fabian Modesto	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search; A: Question	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Interference with recording; D: Word; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; F: Physical force; D: Word; D: Word	72	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Keith Meisner	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mohammad Abdelfattah	A: Bias-Based Policing (Race); A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mohammad Abdelfattah	F: Restricted Breathing	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Wilson	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises; A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jung Kim	F: Restricted Breathing	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrea Cruz	A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Unlawful Summons	81	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Macero	A: Unlawful Summons	81	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Patrick Shepherd	A: Unlawful Summons; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Search (of person)	83	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Stein	D: Word; D: Action	84	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	CPT Raymond Kwong	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	88	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 1 days / Command Discipline A

Figure 55: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (May 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jarrett Dill	A: Other; A: Search (of person)	42	Bronx	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Steven Tatar	F: Physical force	60	Brooklyn	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved

Figure 56: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (May 2026)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jesse Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Moessner	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Asturias	Discourtesy	Word	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Darin Lazar	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Darin Lazar	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Peng Shan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Peng Shan	Discourtesy	Word	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO David Wright	Discourtesy	Word	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT McCartney Forbes	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christian Delaney	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Comparato	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Comparato	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	Abuse of Authority	Question	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Medina	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Medina	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Comparato	Discourtesy	Word	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Discourtesy	Word	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	Discourtesy	Word	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Force	Physical force	23	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Benjamin Elangovan	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Brian Query	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Vicente Perez	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edwin Nieves	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Misleading official statement	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Vicente Perez	Abuse of Authority	Detention	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Sloman	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Sloman	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Crespo	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Crespo	Offensive Language	Religion	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Reuben Antwi	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Other	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Question	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT John Carty	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Richard Murphy	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jerick Alcantara	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Carmelo Quiles	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Demaio	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Carmelo Quiles	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Johan Pillaha	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christian Johnson	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Rochayne Clarke	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christian Johnson	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Carmelo Quiles	Discourtesy	Action	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Demaio	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rafael Reynoso	Offensive Language	Race	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Carmelo Quiles	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Carmelo Quiles	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jesus Llubes	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jesus Llubes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Felix Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Felix Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elbis Nunez	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elbis Nunez	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Akissi Kouassi	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Travis Andreu	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Akissi Kouassi	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Akissi Kouassi	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Raymond Pinto	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Akissi Kouassi	Offensive Language	Gender	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Ariel Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Adam Morales	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	46	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hector Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Abdulsalam Alamrani	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Joseph Rowe	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Terrence Blumenberg	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Ford	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Ford	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Ford	Offensive Language	Other	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Samuel Mercado	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Samuel Mercado	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Samuel Mercado	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Joseph Rowe	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dexter Ortiz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dexter Ortiz	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Chad Kirk	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Nicholas Bruccheri	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Loporto	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Loporto	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Mele	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Burim Djonbalaj	Discourtesy	Word	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Kerlens Lazzare	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Kerlens Lazzare	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Kerlens Lazzare	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Christopher Bamfo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Kerlens Lazzare	Force	Physical force	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kerwin Adames	Abuse of Authority	Stop	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Arturo Sanchez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Arturo Sanchez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Arturo Sanchez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Eliot Betts	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lennaxia Brathwaite	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Humiliation: fail to cover)	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lennaxia Brathwaite	Discourtesy	Action	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaquille Stvalle	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory summons	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Guzman	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Austin Slamowitz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaquille Stvalle	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Guzman	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Guzman	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Tyler Angelo	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Tyler Angelo	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Tyler Angelo	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaquille Stvalle	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Austin Slamowitz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hasher Khalid	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hasher Khalid	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hasher Khalid	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Medina	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Savage	Discourtesy	Word	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carlos Lataarmijos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bobbie Crooks	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rafal Ksepka	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rafal Ksepka	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	94	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Hassan Raza	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rafal Ksepka	Abuse of Authority	Stop	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Mark Czerniawski	Abuse of Authority	Stop	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rafal Ksepka	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Joseph	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Mark Czerniawski	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elias Germanakos	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Joseph	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Achim Nurse	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Hassan Raza	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Floyd Mejarito	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elias Germanakos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Palladino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Palladino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Deion Boles	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Deion Boles	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricelys Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricelys Gonzalez	Discourtesy	Word	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricelys Gonzalez	Discourtesy	Word	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricelys Gonzalez	Offensive Language	Gender	104	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Veekash Khedna	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anwar Hassan	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anwar Hassan	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anwar Hassan	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Lindsay	Discourtesy	Word	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dean Paiman	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	115	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Peter Powell	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	115	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Matthew Dzwonek	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Mathew Munoz	Force	Physical force	115	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Eric McDonald	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vincent Castello	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Richard Galvez	Abuse of Authority	Detention	116	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO James Agudelo	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT John Veloz	Abuse of Authority	Threat to notify ACS	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO James Agudelo	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Khalaf Spencer	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT John Veloz	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kevin Young	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Xinping Huang	Discourtesy	Word	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Xinping Huang	Offensive Language	Sexual orientation	120	Staten Island