



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



PAT SMITH
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
March 2026
(Statistics for February 2026)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	42

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for February 2026 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 44% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 61% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In February, the CCRB opened 396 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,225 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 43% of its fully investigated cases in February (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 32% of the cases it closed in February (page 14).
- 4) For February, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 43% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 42-47).
- 6) In February the Police Commissioner finalized 24 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 4 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 1 trial was conducted against respondent officers in February.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."

Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In February 2026, the CCRB initiated 396 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2025 - February 2026)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2025 - February 2026)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2026)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (February 2026)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2026)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (February 2026)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	5	67	14
1	5	68	2
5	3	69	3
6	2	70	5
7	1	71	2
9	1	72	5
10	4	73	12
13	3	75	19
14	11	77	5
17	2	78	2
18	4	79	7
19	3	81	6
20	3	83	8
23	2	84	6
25	8	88	4
26	1	90	4
28	7	94	2
30	1	100	3
32	5	101	7
33	6	102	3
34	9	103	3
40	7	104	4
41	15	105	2
42	9	106	3
43	13	107	2
44	15	108	3
45	1	109	2
46	6	110	3
47	11	111	1
48	6	112	3
49	4	113	5
50	1	114	7
52	4	115	1
60	9	116	1
61	4	120	10
62	1	121	2
66	1	122	1
		123	3
		Unknown	28

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (February 2025 vs. February 2026)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	February 2025		February 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	208	48%	208	53%	0	0%
Abuse of Authority (A)	314	72%	254	64%	-60	-19%
Discourtesy (D)	105	24%	71	18%	-34	-32%
Offensive Language (O)	23	5%	15	4%	-8	-35%
Total FADO Allegations	650		548		-102	-16%
Total Complaints	435		396		-39	-9%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2025 vs. YTD 2026)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	442	48%	412	49%	-30	-7%
Abuse of Authority (A)	685	75%	578	69%	-107	-16%
Discourtesy (D)	238	26%	167	20%	-71	-30%
Offensive Language (O)	59	6%	33	4%	-26	-44%
Total FADO Allegations	1424		1190		-234	-16%
Total Complaints	914		837		-77	-8%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	February 2025		February 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	539	32%	526	39%	-13	-2%
Abuse of Authority (A)	999	59%	707	53%	-292	-29%
Discourtesy (D)	139	8%	83	6%	-56	-40%
Offensive Language (O)	29	2%	19	1%	-10	-34%
Total Allegations	1706		1335		-371	-22%
Total Complaints	435		396		-39	-9%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	1232	30%	1001	34%	-231	-19%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2396	59%	1668	57%	-728	-30%
Discourtesy (D)	354	9%	203	7%	-151	-43%
Offensive Language (O)	80	2%	42	1%	-38	-48%
Total Allegations	4062		2914		-1148	-28%
Total Complaints	914		837		-77	-8%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of February 2026, 44% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 61% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (February 2026)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1403	43.7%
Cases 5-7 Months	565	17.6%
Cases 8-11 Months	651	20.3%
Cases 12-18 Months*	583	18.2%
Cases Over 18 Months**	9	0.3%
Total	3211	100%

*12-18 Months: 11 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 3 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (February 2026)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1254	39.1%
Cases 5-7 Months	547	17.0%
Cases 8-11 Months	710	22.1%
Cases 12-18 Months*	685	21.3%
Cases Over 18 Months**	15	0.5%
Total	3211	100%

*12-18 Months: 14 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 3 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2025 - February 2026)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	January 2026		February 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1374	42%	1366	42%	-8	-1%
Pending Board Review	1844	57%	1845	57%	1	0%
Mediation	12	0%	9	0%	-3	-25%
On DA / FID Hold	5	0%	5	0%	0	0%
Total	3235		3225		-10	0%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - February 2026)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - February 2026)




Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	85	64.9%
30 <= Days < 60	9	6.9%
60 <= Days < 90	5	3.8%
90 >= Days	32	24.4%
Total	131	100%

Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2025 - February 2026)






Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests (January 2025 - February 2026)



Closed Cases

In February 2026, the CCRB fully investigated 32% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2025 - February 2026) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual stated that he was driving his vehicle when he was pulled over by the subject officer who had been driving in an unmarked vehicle ahead of the individual. The subject officer was with three other officers who exited their vehicle and surrounded the individual's vehicle. The subject officer stood by the individual's window and told him to exit his vehicle; he then proceeded to frisk the individual and search his vehicle and threatened to seize the vehicle after he had issued a summons to the individual. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officer stopped the individual and asked him to roll his driver's side window down. The individual complied and the subject officer reached through the open window and unlocked the car door. The individual stepped out of his vehicle and asked why he was stopped. The subject officer told him that he should not "force a vehicle to pass" and asked the individual if he could check him for weapons. The individual told him to go ahead and the subject officer frisked the individual. He then told the individual to stand at the back of the vehicle and he complied. The subject officer then searched the entirety of the vehicle including its compartments and the trunk. The investigation found that the subject officer did not have probable cause to frisk the individual nor search his vehicle. The investigation also found that the summons issued to the individual by the subject officer did not empower him to seize the individual's vehicle. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegations.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that he approached an officer outside a precinct to file a complaint against an officer who had punched him the day before and whom he saw enter into the precinct where he had come to file his complaint. The subject officer did not take the individual's complaint. The incident was partially captured on the precinct station house video which contained no audio. It showed the individual speaking to an officer while the subject officer walked by. The subject officer spoke to the individual before entering the station house. The individual continued speaking with the other officer and later departed from the stationhouse. The subject officer stated that the individual told him he wanted to file a report of an assault but that he did not tell him when the incident occurred and that the assaulter as described by the individual did not enter the station house. He further stated that the individual did not say that he wanted to file a CCRB complaint nor did he confirm that he wanted to file a complaint against a police officer. The investigation found that without independent witnesses, it could not be confirmed that the individual told the subject officer that he wanted to file a complaint

against a police officer. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that he got into a physical altercation in his room with someone who had harassed him. As the altercation went on, eight uniformed officers entered his room and arrested him. Later at the precinct front desk where he was being processed, another subject officer twisted his hand causing his ring finger to break. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed officers responding to the individual's residence, a shelter where employees informed them that the individual had a fight with his roommate. The individual was in the hallway when he spoke to officers – they never entered his room. The officers spoke to the individual's roommate about the incident and went outside the building where the individual was now standing. They told him he was under arrest and the individual went with them without any issue. At the precinct, the individual made profane statements to the officers present and none of them used any force against him. The investigation found that the subject officers did not enter the individual's room, nor used force against him. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Use of Force allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he got into an argument with a man on the street and that the man walked away from him and flagged down the subject officer who was in a marked police vehicle. The individual stated that he was standing in the crosswalk with his motorized moped and the subject officer told him to get out of the street. The individual did not comply and then the subject officer exited his vehicle, grabbed him by his jacket briefly to move him away. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer on the police vehicle's intercom tell the individual to leave the street and it showed that the individual had left his moped in the middle of the street as he walked up to the police vehicle to continue arguing with the man. The investigation found that the subject officer used a minor amount of force to move the individual away from the man he was in a heated argument with. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that he was driving his son's vehicle with his youngest child in the vehicle when he was stopped by two subject officers. They asked for his license and registration. When he asked why he was stopped, the subject officers told him it was for the tints of the vehicle's windows. The individual had a general description of the unmarked vehicle that the subject officers were in. The investigation requested NYPD records and no records were returned for the vehicle stop or any warrant checks run on the individual; there were also no vehicle records matching the general vehicle description given by the individual. Without any additional pertinent evidence, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (February 2026)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2026)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2025 vs 2026)

	Feb 2025		Feb 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Full Investigations								
Substantiated	76	43%	56	43%	205	51%	110	47%
Within NYPD Guidelines	19	11%	16	12%	46	11%	27	11%
Unfounded	22	13%	23	18%	54	13%	47	20%
Unable to Determine	50	29%	28	21%	84	21%	44	19%
MOS Unidentified	8	5%	8	6%	12	3%	8	3%
Total - Full Investigations	175		131		401		236	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	0	0%	6	100%	2	100%	8	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		6		2		8	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	26	10%	20	7%	38	7%	21	8%
Unable to Investigate*	187	71%	210	76%	407	76%	211	76%
Closed - Pending Litigation	45	17%	41	15%	85	16%	42	15%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	6	2%	3	1%	6	1%	3	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	264		275		536		278	
Total - Closed Cases	439		412		939		522	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2025 vs 2026)

	Feb 2025		Feb 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Fully Investigated Allegations								
Substantiated	267	21%	164	18%	664	23%	303	19%
Unable to Determine	250	20%	161	17%	532	18%	236	15%
Unfounded	214	17%	209	22%	534	18%	396	25%
Within NYPD Guidelines	437	34%	348	37%	1012	35%	596	37%
MOS Unidentified	102	8%	55	6%	152	5%	82	5%
Total - Full Investigations	1270		937		2894		1613	
Mediation Closures								
Mediated	0	0%	23	100%	4	100%	28	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		23		4		28	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures								
Complaint Withdrawn	70	10%	48	7%	113	7%	50	7%
Unable to Investigate*	427	59%	451	66%	952	63%	456	64%
Closed - Pending Litigation	165	23%	125	18%	306	20%	129	18%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	57	8%	34	5%	148	10%	59	8%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	23	3%	0	0%	23	3%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	719		681		1519		717	
Total - Closed Allegations	1989		1641		4417		2358	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (February 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	20 8%	23 9%	138 54%	64 25%	10 4%	255 100%
Abuse of Authority	131 22%	113 19%	196 33%	112 19%	40 7%	592 100%
Discourtesy	11 14%	19 25%	13 17%	28 37%	5 7%	76 100%
Offensive Language	2 14%	6 43%	1 7%	5 36%	0 0%	14 100%
Total	164 18%	161 17%	348 37%	209 22%	55 6%	937 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	30 7%	44 10%	256 56%	116 25%	12 3%	458 100%
Abuse of Authority	237 24%	154 16%	309 31%	225 23%	58 6%	983 100%
Discourtesy	28 20%	29 21%	30 22%	43 31%	9 6%	139 100%
Offensive Language	8 24%	9 27%	1 3%	12 36%	3 9%	33 100%
Total	303 19%	236 15%	596 37%	396 25%	82 5%	1613 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	February 2025		February 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	0	NA	3	100%	3	NA
Impeding an investigation	0	NA	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	NA	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	NA	0	0%	0	NA
Total Allegations	0		3		3	∞

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	100%	4	80%	3	300%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	0%	1	20%	1	NA
Total Allegations	1		5		4	400%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	February 2025		February 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	1	33%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	2	67%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Total Allegations	3		0		-3	-100%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	1	33%	0	NA	-1	-100%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	2	67%	0	NA	-2	-100%
Total Allegations	3		0		-3	-100%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The February 2026 case substantiation rate was 43%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2025 - February 2026)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2026)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2025 - Feb 2026)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2025 - Feb 2026)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Feb 2025, Feb 2026, YTD 2025, YTD 2026)**

Disposition	February 2025		February 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	26	19%	31	30%	73	19%	51	28%
Command Discipline B	25	18%	9	9%	81	21%	27	15%
Command Discipline A	54	39%	39	38%	142	38%	58	32%
Formalized Training	33	24%	24	23%	81	21%	44	24%
Total	138		103		377		180	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 10) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 5) 4. Formalized Training ($0 <$ penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Feb 2025	Feb 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA Complaints	23	18	46	26
Total Complaints	439	412	939	522
PSA Complaints as % of Total	5.2%	4.4%	4.9%	5.0%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Feb 2025	Feb 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA 1	3	7	6	7
PSA 2	6	11	13	14
PSA 3	6	0	18	2
PSA 4	10	3	12	3
PSA 5	16	12	23	22
PSA 6	3	3	4	3
PSA 7	4	3	14	3
PSA 8	1	2	1	3
PSA 9	3	0	12	0
Total	52	41	103	57

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Feb 2025		Feb 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	27	39%	24	48%	55	39%	36	49%
Abuse of Authority (A)	32	46%	22	44%	65	46%	32	44%
Discourtesy (D)	7	10%	3	6%	15	11%	4	5%
Offensive Language (O)	3	4%	1	2%	4	3%	1	1%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Total	69	99%	50	100%	141	100%	73	99%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2025 vs 2026)

	Feb 2025		Feb 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Full Investigations								
Substantiated	11	41%	10	36%	22	35%	13	31%
Within NYPD Guidelines	3	11%	7	25%	9	14%	11	26%
Unfounded	8	30%	4	14%	19	30%	11	26%
Unable to Determine	5	19%	7	25%	11	17%	7	17%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	27		28		63		42	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		0		0		2	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	5	20%	0	0%	5	12%	0	0%
Unable to Investigate*	3	12%	11	85%	12	30%	11	85%
Closed - Pending Litigation	12	48%	1	8%	17	42%	1	8%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	5	20%	1	8%	6	15%	1	8%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	25		13		40		13	
Total - Closed Cases	52		41		103		57	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in February and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	February 2026	YTD 2026
Mediated Complaints	6	8

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	February 2026	YTD 2026
Force	1	2
Abuse of Authority	17	21
Discourtesy	3	3
Offensive Language	2	2
Total	23	28

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (February 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	2
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	0
Queens	3
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (February 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	13
Brooklyn	3
Manhattan	0
Queens	7
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Feb 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Feb 2026	YTD 2026
44	1	2
48	1	1
79	0	1

Precinct	Feb 2026	YTD 2026
90	1	1
107	1	1
109	1	1
115	1	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Feb 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Feb 2026	YTD 2026
44	1	2
48	12	12
79	0	4

Precinct	Feb 2026	YTD 2026
90	3	3
107	2	2
109	2	2
115	3	3

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Feb 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	23	51
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	1	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	0
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	0
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	0
	Disciplinary Action Total	24	52
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	3
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	0
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	1
	**Retained, without discipline	0	0
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	0	4
Not Adjudicated	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	3
	MOS Retired	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	0	4
Total Closures		24	60

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2024	26.48	45.71	30.34
2025	85.56	77.03	83.95
2026 YTD	86.92	91.80	87.92

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	February 2026	YTD 2026
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	3	3
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	13	30
Command Discipline B	1	1
Command Discipline A	0	1
Formalized Training**	7	17
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	24	52
No Disciplinary Action†	0	4
Adjudicated Total	24	56
Discipline Rate	100%	93%
Not Adjudicated† Total	0	4
Total Closures	24	60

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	January 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	20	20
	Command Discipline A	39	39
	Formalized Training**	63	63
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	2	2
	Total	124	124
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	1	1
	SOL Expired	0	0
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	2	2
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	25	25
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	28	28
	Discipline Rate	82%	82%
	DUP Rate	18%	18%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

Figure 53: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (January 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Flores	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	1	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Angel Ogando	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bharrat Jagdeo	A: Entry of Premises	7	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Louis Caputo	D: Word	9	Manhattan	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Erica Rivera	A: Entry of Premises	10	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ryan Malvagna	D: Word	13	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Antonio Shilinsky	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	13	Manhattan	Resigned
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Darrick Reed	A: Refusal to provide shield number	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Frazier	A: Refusal to provide shield number	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Darin Lazar	A: Refusal to provide shield number; D: Word	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Guido	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Gualpa	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	14	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Clara Akomeah	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Deandra Freeman	D: Word	19	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA James Lombardi	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; D: Word	19	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Haafid Almulaiki	D: Word	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Trupiano	A: Stop; A: Frisk	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Allen Moore	A: Stop; A: Frisk	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Damore	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Gellison Flores	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Omar Collado	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anderson Stfleur	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edwin Nieves	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Darian Wesler	A: Question	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Leslie Perez	O: Gender; F: Physical force	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Monifa Germain	D: Word; A: Refusal to provide name	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Ornstein	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	32	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Percy Quispe	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	32	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 John Avellino	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Yeraldy Bonifacio	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Peter Montalbano	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Safet Kacaj	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Victoria Hichezfernandez	A: Refusal to provide shield number	33	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Omar Aguilar	A: Refusal to provide shield number	33	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	A: Search (of person); A: Question	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT David Listhrop	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Denis Rocha	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to Explain; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andre Feliz	D: Word	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jason Lopez	A: Frisk; A: Stop	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Riggio	A: Frisk; A: Stop; A: Search (of person)	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anneiry Delacruz	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dhafne Jorgereyes	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Mary Fay	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO James Biggs	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Valerie Hernandez	D: Word; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; O: Other	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Christina Moncion	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Threat of arrest; A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Yoeldy Espinal	A: Question	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Miguel Castillo	A: Stop	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Omar Aguilarmartinez	A: Stop	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katanya Gordon	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Torres	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thybel Felix	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jamal Essafoui	A: Detention; A: Seizure of property	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Ramirez	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	46	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Adlai Pinney	A: Question; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yoeldy Espinal	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Steve Mayorga	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to process civilian complaint; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT SA Denia Roberts	A: Refusal to provide name	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anyel Rijocedeno	A: Vehicle search	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Taisha Acevedo	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Esthefany Perezalmanzar	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gustavo Santana	A: Refusal to provide name	48	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Heriberto Sifonte	A: Refusal to provide name	48	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Pedro Lezcano	A: Frisk	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Brandon Gembecki	A: Vehicle search	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Defrancesco	A: Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Marra	A: Threat re: removal to hospital	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Phelan	A: Threat re: removal to hospital	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Sheridan	A: Frisk	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Sheridan	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Caitlin Berrios	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	63	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Gamba	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Richard Lebron	A: Frisk; A: Stop	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christian Perez	A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Warner	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Julio Martinez	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Larry Feng	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christian Perez	A: Stop; A: Frisk	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rawn Browne	A: Property damaged	70	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andre Anderson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Willem Kerzner	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rajesh Kohli	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Guarrera	D: Action	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Hoffner	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Soney Varghese	A: Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Frank Hernandez	A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emiljano Oparaku	D: Word	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Soney Varghese	A: Search (of person); A: Search (of person); A: Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Cristian Puchuelachauca	A: Question; A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Sean Collins	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Frisk	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nedson Pluviose	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jerry Martins	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Juan Grullon	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Morillo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darrien Phillips	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Ruben Cespedes	A: Vehicle stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Keon Lawson	A: Vehicle stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Romeo Casiano	A: Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Citroen	D: Word	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brandon Villabedoya	A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rolando Alvarez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Colin Russell	A: Threat of arrest	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Adam Dumelle	D: Word	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daneshwar Sukhra	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ray Acevedo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michelle Giglio	A: Detention	79	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Andrew Morris	A: Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Lisbeth Reyes	A: Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Bueno	D: Word	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Criollo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Solomon Sowell	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Julia Griffin	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	83	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bienvenido Ramirez	A: Entry of Premises	83	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Vikram Prasad	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jalal Ali	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gero Eaton	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Savage	D: Word	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kristian Astudillo	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Search (of person)	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Rene Cortez	A: Refusal to provide shield number	88	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Justin Rivoli	D: Word; A: Refusal to provide shield number	88	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mardochee Saintphard	D: Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anatoli Eltsov	A: Threat of summons; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Korkut Koseoglu	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	100	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Mahbubur Rahman	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	100	Queens	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jacqueline Demerest	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Cristino	D: Action	103	Queens	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Caitlin Steele	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	104	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Herbert Johnston	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	104	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Devin Baker	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Mceniry	A: Search of recording device	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Salvatore Glibbery	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Dominick Batista	A: Entry of Premises	108	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	A: Frisk	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Gluth	A: Frisk	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Manuel Goico	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Demerest	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dexter Jackson	A: Entry of Premises	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edwin Vasquez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Anwar Hassan	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Steven Minero	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brandon Schneider	A: Threat of arrest	120	Staten Island	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Vasile Dubovici	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Stop	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	A: Frisk; A: Stop	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Commender	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Daniel Hachemeister	D: Word	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	CPT Matthew Divito	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edwin Santiago	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT John Falzarano	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	122	Staten Island	Formalized Training

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (February 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Adam Lagnese	A: Unlawful Arrest	14	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edwin Lau	A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Mota	F: Hit against inanimate object; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); F: Physical force; F: Chokehold	33	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Barlow	A: Vehicle search; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jorge Ortiz	A: Bias-Based Policing (Race); A: Vehicle search	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Torres	A: Frisk; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Biondo	A: Unlawful Summons; F: Physical force	45	Bronx	Command Discipline B 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT John Pirando	A: Entry of Premises	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Joseph Rosario	A: Entry of Premises; A: Threat re: removal to hospital	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Rosario	O: Other; D: Other	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	CPT Maggie Clamp	A: Unlawful Summons; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Search of Premises; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Entry of Premises	71	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 7 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jon Derise	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; F: Pepper spray	73	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danny Cama	D: Word; U: Misleading official statement; A: Sex Miscon (Sexual/Romantic Proposition); O: Gender	75	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danny Cama	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Entry of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Gabriel Pamphile	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Threat of summons	76	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thomas Bilach	A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Seizure of property	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Melissa Espinal	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises; A: Seizure of property; A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises; A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Matthew Arvelo	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Unlawful Summons; F: Physical force	83	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tiagom Reis	O: Other	84	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 1 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jason Ciota	A: Seizure of property; D: Word; D: Word	104	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Daniel Gukelberger	F: Physical force; A: Other; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person); A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Vehicle search; D: Word; A: Search (of person); A: Search of Premises; A: Search of Premises; A: Vehicle stop	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 8 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Abner Vasquez	A: Search of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Abdulhadi Chalati	F: Vehicle	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jexter Bonus	A: Unlawful Arrest	114	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B

Figure 55: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (February 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
---	----------------	-------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------------

Figure 56: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (February 2026)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT1 Waliur Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera		Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jennifer Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Other	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ronnie Roman	Abuse of Authority	Other	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Randy Aracnavillafana	Abuse of Authority	Other	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jasmine Brigman	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jennifer Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ronnie Roman	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Randy Aracnavillafana	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thomas Tantillo	Discourtesy	Word	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joshua Lawrence	Discourtesy	Word	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Renzo Ulloa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Reginald Hinds	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kayla Dzwlewicz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Adrian Navarrete	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yomairy Medina	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Scott Keelan	Discourtesy	Word	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Friend	Force	Physical force	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Friend	Force	Physical force	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bernard Walker	Force	Physical force	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Friend	Force	Other	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jorge Hiraldo	Discourtesy	Word	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jorge Hiraldo	Offensive Language	Gender	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DI Ronald Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Mayky Santos	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Mayky Santos	Abuse of Authority	Question	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	23	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Payne	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Richard Orellana	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Mayky Santos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lorraine Rose	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Larry Jimenez	Force	Physical force	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Chanel Delgado	Force	Physical force	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carlos Morochoquito	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christian Layes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Detention	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emanuel Crespo	Discourtesy	Word	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Morgan Benedetti	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	LT SA Brian Query	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anthony Mims	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Anthony Mims	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaddad Salem	Force	Chokehold	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Lovera	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Lovera	Offensive Language	Gender	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Francisco Ogando	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Fenglei Dai	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Francisco Ogando	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Carly Peterson	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Francisco Ogando	Abuse of Authority	False official statement	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Carly Peterson	Abuse of Authority	False official statement	41	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Carly Peterson	Abuse of Authority	False official statement	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Francisco Ogando	Force	Physical force	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alex Durst	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory arrest	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alex Durst	Force	Pepper spray	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kevin Cedeno	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Alberto Saavedra	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Roger Sorto	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Roger Sorto	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Mozden	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michele Capizzuto	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Alberto Saavedra	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Santo Villar	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Kupres	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Raudin Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Oscar Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Question	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Question	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kristopher Recalde	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Max Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT John Migliaccio	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT John Migliaccio	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Liron Sinanaj	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jose Colon	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jose Colon	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jonathan Garcia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Stephen Wedlock	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincentangelo Prisco	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincentangelo Prisco	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kadeijah Johnson	Abuse of Authority	Stop	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Casey Martinez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Abuse of Authority	Stop	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincentangelo Prisco	Abuse of Authority	Stop	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Stephen Wedlock	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Stanislav Zubyk	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alvin Nieves	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kadeijah Johnson	Force	Gun Pointed	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincentangelo Prisco	Force	Gun Pointed	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Force	Gun Pointed	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kamal Rustamov	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carmine Malandro	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT2 Kush Greene	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ali Alomaisi	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Junaid Saeed	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Loporto	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Junaid Saeed	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Eugene Whitehead	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Roxanna Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Junaid Saeed	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Loporto	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Adrian Duran	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nataly Sampedro	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	69	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kingsley Okafor	Abuse of Authority	Stop	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nataly Sampedro	Abuse of Authority	Stop	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Matthew Salzman	Abuse of Authority	Stop	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Question	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Question	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Question	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Question	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT David Giordano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Question	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Gonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Oscar Polanco	Force	Gun Pointed	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Daniel Serrano	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Daniel Serrano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stacey Pang	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Daniel Serrano	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jamarr Burnett	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO George Pabon	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jamarr Burnett	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO George Pabon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cory Singleton	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO George Pabon	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Mohamed Awad	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Anthony Trapani	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO David Cuzco	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Camille	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Camille	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Vazquez	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Elijah Colon	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hasher Khalid	Force	Physical force	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrew Kapamas	Force	Physical force	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alfredo Vazquez	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kieran Ross	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Donavin Floydfulmore	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Donavin Floydfulmore	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Nicholas Derleth	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adeel Imtiaz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adeel Imtiaz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adeel Imtiaz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adeel Imtiaz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Kirby	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shacole Odell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Philip Wu	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kyle Slater	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kyle Slater	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Charlie Corchado	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Andrew Dickson	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kenneth Kersellius	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens