



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



PAT SMITH
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
February 2026
(Statistics for January 2026)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	42

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for January 2026 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 46% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 63% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In January, the CCRB opened 448 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,241 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 51% of its fully investigated cases in January (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 95% of the cases it closed in January (page 14).
- 4) For January, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 51% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 42-46).
- 6) In January the Police Commissioner finalized 32 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 3 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 3 trials were conducted against respondent officers in January.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."

Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In January 2026, the CCRB initiated 448 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2025 - January 2026)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2025 - January 2026)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2026)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (January 2026)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2026)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (January 2026)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	6	67	10
1	3	68	4
5	5	69	5
6	3	70	7
7	7	71	5
9	2	72	3
10	2	73	14
13	6	75	27
14	9	76	2
17	5	77	8
18	11	78	3
19	5	79	10
20	1	81	3
23	5	83	6
24	2	84	8
25	5	88	3
26	2	90	7
28	7	94	1
30	3	100	3
32	10	101	4
33	5	102	2
34	6	103	7
40	17	104	3
41	4	105	3
42	7	107	2
43	11	108	1
44	11	109	3
46	5	110	3
47	7	111	1
48	6	112	6
49	4	113	7
50	5	114	4
52	7	115	1
60	7	116	2
61	4	120	7
62	3	121	5
63	2	122	3
66	6	123	1
		Unknown	38

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (January 2025 vs. January 2026)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	January 2025		January 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	234	49%	205	46%	-29	-12%
Abuse of Authority (A)	371	77%	307	69%	-64	-17%
Discourtesy (D)	134	28%	88	20%	-46	-34%
Offensive Language (O)	37	8%	18	4%	-19	-51%
Total FADO Allegations	776		618		-158	-20%
Total Complaints	479		448		-31	-6%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2025 vs. YTD 2026)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	234	49%	205	46%	-29	-12%
Abuse of Authority (A)	371	77%	307	69%	-64	-17%
Discourtesy (D)	134	28%	88	20%	-46	-34%
Offensive Language (O)	37	8%	18	4%	-19	-51%
Total FADO Allegations	776		618		-158	-20%
Total Complaints	479		448		-31	-6%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	January 2025		January 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	698	29%	441	32%	-257	-37%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1415	59%	789	58%	-626	-44%
Discourtesy (D)	222	9%	102	8%	-120	-54%
Offensive Language (O)	52	2%	26	2%	-26	-50%
Total Allegations	2387		1358		-1029	-43%
Total Complaints	479		448		-31	-6%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	698	29%	441	32%	-257	-37%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1415	59%	789	58%	-626	-44%
Discourtesy (D)	222	9%	102	8%	-120	-54%
Offensive Language (O)	52	2%	26	2%	-26	-50%
Total Allegations	2387		1358		-1029	-43%
Total Complaints	479		448		-31	-6%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of January 2026, 46% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 63% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (January 2026)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1496	46.4%
Cases 5-7 Months	532	16.5%
Cases 8-11 Months	626	19.4%
Cases 12-18 Months*	565	17.5%
Cases Over 18 Months**	6	0.2%
Total	3225	100%

*12-18 Months: 11 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (January 2026)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1318	40.9%
Cases 5-7 Months	550	17.1%
Cases 8-11 Months	673	20.9%
Cases 12-18 Months*	674	20.9%
Cases Over 18 Months**	10	0.3%
Total	3225	100%

*12-18 Months: 14 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2025 - January 2026)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	December 2025		January 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1379	48%	1380	43%	1	0%
Pending Board Review	1508	52%	1845	57%	337	22%
Mediation	8	0%	12	0%	4	50%
On DA / FID Hold	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Total	2899		3241		342	12%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - January 2026)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2025 - January 2026)




Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	74	58.7%
30 <= Days < 60	14	11.1%
60 <= Days < 90	7	5.6%
90 >= Days	31	24.6%
Total	126	100%

Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2025 - January 2026)






Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests (January 2025 - January 2026)



Closed Cases

In January 2026, the CCRB fully investigated 95% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2025 - January 2026) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual was riding his motorized scooter with his wife as a passenger when they were stopped by the subject officer and his partners. The subject officer threatened to arrest the individual for obstruction of governmental activities (OGA). The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer speaking to the individual at the back of the police van. The subject officer told the individual that he couldn't leave until they were finished with the stop and the individual insisted that he had done nothing wrong. The subject officer responded "alright, you'll be in handcuffs in a minute." The subject officer at his interview acknowledged that he had threatened to arrest the individual because the individual was not complying with police orders. The investigation found that none of the actions displayed by the individual at the time of the stop met the material elements necessary to threaten to arrest the individual with OGA. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that he was riding a motorized scooter and ran a red light. The subject officer's vehicle followed him and used the bumper of his vehicle to hit his motorcycle causing him to stop and when the subject officer exited his vehicle, he used the vehicle door to strike the motorcycle, causing damage to it. The incident was partially captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer's vehicle pulling up to the individual, but the camera angle did not capture the outside of the vehicles. The subject officer's vehicle door is opened partially as the individual's motorcycle is right beside it. The subject officer told the individual to dismount from the motorcycle, and the individual and his motorcycle fell over. The motorcycle's body is not observed on the BWC so damage to the vehicle could not be determined. Without any independent witnesses or additional video footage, the investigation could not determine if the subject officer struck the individual's motorcycle with his vehicle, or whether he caused damage to the motorcycle with his car door. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that she was stopped by the subject officer at a vehicle checkpoint and was issued a summons for not wearing a seatbelt. She stated that she was upset at the summons and exited her vehicle and the subject officer touched his chest to activate his BWC. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer's first approach of the individual in her vehicle up until the conclusion of the

stop. The investigation found that the subject officer had his BWC properly activated for the law enforcement activity. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he owns a convenience store and had received a phone call from one of his employees that the subject officer and his partners were searching the store. The individual came to the store and saw that the officers had padlocked the store. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer searching the store to confirm that tobacco products were being sold without an active tobacco license and informing the employee that the store had to be closed. The investigation found that the individual's store was prohibited from operation due to a judge's order and the store was operating in defiance of the court order – this allowed for the subject officer to conduct a business inspection and close the store once again. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

No closed cases this month.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (January 2026)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2026)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2025 vs 2026)

	Jan 2025		Jan 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	129	57%	54	51%	129	57%	54	51%
Within NYPD Guidelines	27	12%	12	11%	27	12%	12	11%
Unfounded	32	14%	24	23%	32	14%	24	23%
Unable to Determine	34	15%	16	15%	34	15%	16	15%
MOS Unidentified	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	226		106		226		106	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	2	100%	2	100%	2	100%	2	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	2		2		2		2	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	12	4%	1	33%	12	4%	1	33%
Unable to Investigate*	220	81%	1	33%	220	81%	1	33%
Closed - Pending Litigation	40	15%	1	33%	40	15%	1	33%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	272		3		272		3	
Total - Closed Cases	500		111		500		111	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2025 vs 2026)

	Jan 2025		Jan 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Fully Investigated Allegations								
Substantiated	397	24%	139	21%	397	24%	139	21%
Unable to Determine	282	17%	75	11%	282	17%	75	11%
Unfounded	320	20%	187	28%	320	20%	187	28%
Within NYPD Guidelines	575	35%	249	37%	575	35%	249	37%
MOS Unidentified	50	3%	27	4%	50	3%	27	4%
Total - Full Investigations	1624		677		1624		677	
Mediation Closures								
Mediated	4	100%	5	100%	4	100%	5	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	4		5		4		5	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures								
Complaint Withdrawn	43	5%	2	5%	43	5%	2	5%
Unable to Investigate*	525	66%	5	14%	525	66%	5	14%
Closed - Pending Litigation	141	18%	4	11%	141	18%	4	11%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	91	11%	26	70%	91	11%	26	70%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	800		37		800		37	
Total - Closed Allegations	2428		719		2428		719	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (January 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	10 5%	21 10%	118 58%	52 26%	2 1%	203 100%
Abuse of Authority	106 27%	41 10%	114 29%	113 29%	18 5%	392 100%
Discourtesy	17 27%	10 16%	17 27%	15 24%	4 6%	63 100%
Offensive Language	6 32%	3 16%	0 0%	7 37%	3 16%	19 100%
Total	139 21%	75 11%	249 37%	187 28%	27 4%	677 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2026)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	10 5%	21 10%	118 58%	52 26%	2 1%	203 100%
Abuse of Authority	106 27%	41 10%	114 29%	113 29%	18 5%	392 100%
Discourtesy	17 27%	10 16%	17 27%	15 24%	4 6%	63 100%
Offensive Language	6 32%	3 16%	0 0%	7 37%	3 16%	19 100%
Total	139 21%	75 11%	249 37%	187 28%	27 4%	677 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	January 2025		January 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	100%	1	50%	0	0%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	0%	1	50%	1	NA
Total Allegations	1		2		1	100%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2025		YTD 2026			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	100%	1	50%	0	0%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	0%	1	50%	1	NA
Total Allegations	1		2		1	100%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	January 2025		January 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Total Allegations	0		0		0	NA

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2025		YTD 2026		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Total Allegations	0		0		0	NaN

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The January 2026 case substantiation rate was 51%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2025 - January 2026)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2026)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2025 - Jan 2026)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2025 - Jan 2026)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Jan 2025, Jan 2026, YTD 2025, YTD 2026)**

Disposition	January 2025		January 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	47	20%	20	26%	47	20%	20	26%
Command Discipline B	56	23%	18	23%	56	23%	18	23%
Command Discipline A	88	37%	19	25%	88	37%	19	25%
Formalized Training	48	20%	20	26%	48	20%	20	26%
Total	239		77		239		77	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB’s adoption of the NYPD’s Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training (0 < penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Jan 2025	Jan 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA Complaints	23	8	23	8
Total Complaints	500	111	500	111
PSA Complaints as % of Total	4.6%	7.2%	4.6%	7.2%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Jan 2025	Jan 2026	YTD 2025	YTD 2026
PSA 1	3	0	3	0
PSA 2	7	3	7	3
PSA 3	12	2	12	2
PSA 4	2	0	2	0
PSA 5	7	10	7	10
PSA 6	1	0	1	0
PSA 7	10	0	10	0
PSA 8	0	1	0	1
PSA 9	9	0	9	0
Total	51	16	51	16

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Jan 2025		Jan 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	28	39%	12	52%	28	39%	12	52%
Abuse of Authority (A)	33	46%	10	43%	33	46%	10	43%
Discourtesy (D)	8	11%	1	4%	8	11%	1	4%
Offensive Language (O)	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Untruthful Statement (U)	2	3%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%
Total	72	100%	23	99%	72	100%	23	99%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2025 vs 2026)

	Jan 2025		Jan 2026		YTD 2025		YTD 2026	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Full Investigations								
Substantiated	11	31%	3	21%	11	31%	3	21%
Within NYPD Guidelines	6	17%	4	29%	6	17%	4	29%
Unfounded	11	31%	7	50%	11	31%	7	50%
Unable to Determine	6	17%	0	0%	6	17%	0	0%
MOS Unidentified	2	6%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%
Total - Full Investigations	36		14		36		14	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		2		0		2	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	0	NaN%	0	0%	0	NaN%
Unable to Investigate*	9	60%	0	NaN%	9	60%	0	NaN%
Closed - Pending Litigation	5	33%	0	NaN%	5	33%	0	NaN%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	7%	0	NaN%	1	7%	0	NaN%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	NaN%	0	0%	0	NaN%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	15		0		15		0	
Total - Closed Cases	51		16		51		16	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in January and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	January 2026	YTD 2026
Mediated Complaints	2	2

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	January 2026	YTD 2026
Force	1	1
Abuse of Authority	4	4
Discourtesy	0	0
Offensive Language	0	0
Total	5	5

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (January 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (January 2026)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	4
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Jan 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Jan 2026	YTD 2026
44	1	1

Precinct	Jan 2026	YTD 2026
79	1	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Jan 2026 - YTD 2026)**

Precinct	Jan 2026	YTD 2026
44	1	1

Precinct	Jan 2026	YTD 2026
79	4	4

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Jan 2026	YTD 2026
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	28	28
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	0
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	0
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	0
	Disciplinary Action Total	28	28
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	3	3
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	0
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	0
	**Retained, without discipline	1	1
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	4	4
Not Adjudicated	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	3	3
	MOS Retired	1	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	4	4
Total Closures		36	36

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2024	26.48	45.71	30.34
2025	85.56	77.03	83.95
2026 YTD	77.69	88.24	80.00

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	January 2026	YTD 2026
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	17	17
Command Discipline B	0	0
Command Discipline A	1	1
Formalized Training**	10	10
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	28	28
No Disciplinary Action†	4	4
Adjudicated Total	32	32
Discipline Rate	88%	88%
Not Adjudicated† Total	4	4
Total Closures	36	36

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	December 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	1	1
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	1	1
	Command Discipline B	32	229
	Command Discipline A	64	496
	Formalized Training**	19	323
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	1	22
	Total	118	1072
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	2
	Resigned	1	8
	SOL Expired	0	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	0	34
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	0	135
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	1	183
	Discipline Rate	99%	85%
	DUP Rate	0%	13%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

Figure 53: “Short SOL” Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration
(Dec 2024, Dec 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	December 2024		December 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		N/A		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		N/A		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20	5	5%		N/A	17	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	16	17%		N/A	49	6%	33	25%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	30	31%		N/A	128	14%	81	60%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	33	34%		N/A	194	22%	19	14%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	12	12%		N/A	165	19%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		N/A	337	38%	1	1%
Total	96				890		134	

Figure 54: “Short SOL” Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation
(Dec 2024, Dec 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	December 2024		December 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%	5	5%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%		0%	15	14%	3	75%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50		0%		0%	14	13%	1	25%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60		0%		0%	27	26%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		0%	42	40%		0%
Total					105		4	

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (December 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Orouke	A: Strip-searched		Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Thomas Bay	D: Word		Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bernard Walker	A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)		Queens	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lucia Tapia	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera		Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nikita Jenkins	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card		Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Vinord Andrew	D: Word	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gangbo Cui	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shaundale Gore	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Wael Jaber	F: Physical force	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elmehdi Eddoubaji	A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Entry of Premises	19	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Cary Oliva	A: Stop; A: Failure to Explain	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Morales	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Steven Caraballo	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Estrada	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ruby Esparza	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Lima	A: Stop; A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mateusz Kopec	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO David Freund	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Arun Prashad	D: Action	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jenna Crawford	F: Physical force	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Diganta Saha	D: Word; D: Word	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Aiello	A: Stop; A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Briant Tineo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; F: Physical force	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Benjamin Roman	A: Threat of arrest	33	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Denis Rocha	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Idaniel Taveras	A: Obstructed Shield Number	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	A: Unlawful Arrest	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mark Matias	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Peter Dinsmore	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT George Rivera	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Harmanjot Singh	A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khaleeq Middleton	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kristian Fullerton	D: Word	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Elijah Rodrigo	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steven Rodriguez	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Luis Reyes	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Leonard Armstrong	F: Physical force	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	CPT Alberys Garcia	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Osmairys Avila	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Juan Burgos	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edward Scott	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Theodore Cecchini	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Al Rivera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bryant Fuentes	A: Entry of Premises	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Justin Cristiano	D: Word	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Benjamin Warren	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vlora Gjeka	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	50	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT SA William Dooley	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Ryan Odea	A: Detention	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Satinderpal Singh	A: Detention	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Sanchezjimenez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brandon Anderson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alvin Nieves	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jared Cordero	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rickey Hill	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aleksandr Chekalin	A: Seizure of property; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Meir Benishai	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Farone	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Zeshan Naeem	D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Sinchi	A: Refusal to provide shield number	62	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Justin Ruiz	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to Explain	62	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christian Perez	A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Derick Dejonge	D: Word	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Delaney Warner	A: Frisk	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tashea Simsgaby	A: Unlawful Arrest	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Imad Beydoun	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Junior Sesay	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; F: Physical force	70	Brooklyn	Dismissal Probation 365 days / Suspension: 25 days / Vacation: 5 days
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO David Nunez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Mateusz Wybraniec	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Thomas Trachta	D: Word; O: Gender	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matias Franco	D: Word	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Damir Vukosa	A: Frisk; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Soney Varghese	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Frank Russo	A: Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT John Diaz	A: Seizure of property; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jon Derise	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Troy Peacock	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Melanie Obrien	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Thomas Rourke	A: Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zachary Cachia	A: Vehicle search; A: Frisk	75	Brooklyn	Vacation: 5 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Faisal Elwan	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Fatima Monsour	A: Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn	Resigned
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Aquino	F: Physical force	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT CD Christopher Siani	A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Danny Lao	A: Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Leshawn Croswell	D: Action	76	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brandon March	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Liam Colwell	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Wegens Desiste	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO James Govindan	D: Word	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jean Polonia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Diego Moreno	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Hudson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Niashia Diaz	A: Unlawful Summons	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dylan Mattern	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Instructions
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khurram Zubair	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Plunkett	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop; A: Stop	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Matsey	A: Seizure of property	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Citroen	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Matthew Arvelo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Lettow	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Albert Barbosa	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Matthew Arvelo	A: Detention	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Alexander Leon	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Griffin	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Fabian Modesto	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Search (of person); A: Stop	94	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Daniel Gukelberger	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT John Moran	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Henao	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mark Ferranola	A: Questioned immigration status	106	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Douglas Mclaughlin	F: Physical force	107	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Adam Cohen	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	108	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Charlie Viera	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Natalie Prisco	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	115	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Maresca	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	115	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Gitto	A: Search (of person)	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A

Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (January 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Vincent	A: Refusal to provide shield number; F: Physical force; U: False official statement	6	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Grace Roserotapia	U: False official statement; A: Refusal to provide shield number	6	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rufian Arshad	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word; A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Erick Estrada	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; D: Word	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tian Jiang	A: Stop; O: Race; A: Unlawful Summons; D: Word; A: Unlawful Summons; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Stop; A: Refusal to provide shield number	24	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Patrick Doherty	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Mervin Bennett	A: Unlawful Summons	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Namel Holguin	A: Threat of arrest; A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	A: Stop; A: Search (of person); A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafael Pimentel	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Estevez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Entry of Premises; A: Frisk	42	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Stevens	F: Physical force; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment; A: Unlawful Summons	43	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Christian Diaz	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Estevez	A: Interference with recording; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race); A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Namel Holguin	A: Threat to damage/seize property; A: Search of Premises; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	44	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maximo Gomez	A: Sexual Misconduct (Sexual Humiliation)	47	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ibn Barthelemy	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Vehicle search; F: Gun Pointed; A: Stop	48	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Travis Rivera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ihor Zaverukha	A: Threat of arrest	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brett Ostrander	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word; D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	77	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Colin Russell	U: Misleading official statement; D: Word	79	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT James Divino	F: Physical force	84	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eleni Karagkouni	A: Interference with recording	90	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Estevez	A: Question; A: Search (of person); A: Vehicle stop; A: Unlawful Summons	103	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT David Verna	A: Property damaged; A: Vehicle search; A: Vehicle search; A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Estevez	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question; A: Frisk	110	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Walter Feit	O: Other; D: Word	111	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Nijaz Hasanbegovic	A: Retaliatory summons	121	Staten Island	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B

Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (January 2026)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Daniel Cosenza	D: Word; O: Other	18	Manhattan	Closed: Retained, without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Steven Caraballo	A: Property damaged; A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	18	Manhattan	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Donald Aridas	F: Pepper spray; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	19	Manhattan	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Christopher Musa	F: Physical force; F: Restricted Breathing; F: Chokehold; F: Hit against inanimate object	73	Brooklyn	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved

Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (January 2026)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Labeat Qosja	Force	Chokehold	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Erica Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	10	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Danneris Cruzfeliz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Emanuel Crespo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ahmed Shahzad	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ahmed Shahzad	Force	Physical force	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Morgan Benedetti	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Darian Wesler	Abuse of Authority	Question	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Morgan Benedetti	Abuse of Authority	Question	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Morgan Benedetti	Abuse of Authority	Question	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edwin Nieves	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Morgan Benedetti	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Leslie Perez	Force	Physical force	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Leslie Perez	Offensive Language	Gender	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joshua Moscoso	Abuse of Authority	Detention	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joshua Moscoso	Abuse of Authority	Detention	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Gerard Garced	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Gerard Garced	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ivan Lovera	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Bryan Rosales	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ivan Lovera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Bryan Rosales	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ivan Lovera	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Romario Reid	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Romario Reid	Discourtesy	Word	41	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Rivera	Discourtesy	Word	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elijah Rodrigo	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Felix Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elijah Rodrigo	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Felix Jimenez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jerelme Herasme	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jerelme Herasme	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Santo Villar	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Santo Villar	Offensive Language	Ethnicity	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Santo Villar	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Steven Ramunno	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Erick Xoyatlacabada	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Galimi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Luis Vasquezadames	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Luis Vasquezadames	Offensive Language	Gender	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Robert Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Vlora Gjeka	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Taylor Williams	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Mendez	Discourtesy	Action	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Eduardo Lantigua	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Gloria Silverio	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	48	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rubenson Marcellus	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rubenson Marcellus	Discourtesy	Word	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Liam Ridge	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Iliana Quiles	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Iliana Quiles	Abuse of Authority	False official statement	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Travolino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Feliz Heredia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Question	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Derek Gilson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Timothy Thatcher	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dominique Caldwell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albina Yessayan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Basim Elsayaby	Discourtesy	Word	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dominique Caldwell	Discourtesy	Word	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danielle Valentine	Discourtesy	Word	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Basim Elsayaby	Offensive Language	Disability	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Larry Feng	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rawn Browne	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Victor Kwok	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Victor Kwok	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenny Fiallos	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Victor Kwok	Offensive Language	Other	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenny Fiallos	Offensive Language	Gender	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Junaid Saeed	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Jair Flores	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dia Abdallah	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dia Abdallah	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dia Abdallah	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	71	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dia Abdallah	Abuse of Authority	Question	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dia Abdallah	Abuse of Authority	Question	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Oscar Salguero	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Melvin Simmons	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Melvin Simmons	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Oscar Salguero	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Junior Richemond	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Rafed Khan	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thomas Bay	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Onur Cumur	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Amarjit Singh	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Amarjit Singh	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Keon Lawson	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Keon Lawson	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Juan Grullon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Amarjit Singh	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Amarjit Singh	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Zaki	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Orlando	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Orlando	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Orlando	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Orlando	Force	Physical force	77	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Wahed Newaz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Wahed Newaz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jonathan Aguilar	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	CPT Michael Goodchild	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Ryan Mccartan	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Ryan Mccartan	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Ryan Mccartan	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Ryan Mccartan	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Robert Cooney	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Robert Cooney	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nathaniel Marcellus	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edgar Godinez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Bryant Carpio	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	102	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Timothy Gernon	Abuse of Authority	Question	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Kennedy	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Kennedy	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Kennedy	Discourtesy	Word	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Kennedy	Force	Physical force	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Rincon	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Rincon	Discourtesy	Word	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Rincon	Force	Physical force	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Rincon	Force	Physical force	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Aaron Espada	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Aaron Espada	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Robert White	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	123	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Deirdre Chiarantano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	123	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Ebony Garrett	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	123	Staten Island