



ZOHRAN K. MAMDANI
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



PAT SMITH
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
January 2026
(Statistics for December 2025)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	41

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for December 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 44% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 61% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In December, the CCRB opened 412 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 2,901 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 48% of its fully investigated cases in December (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 26% of the cases it closed in December (page 14).
- 4) For December, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 49% of cases - compared to 0% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 41-49).
- 6) In December the Police Commissioner finalized 37 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 26 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 3 trials were conducted against respondent officers in December.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In December 2025, the CCRB initiated 412 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - December 2025)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - December 2025)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (December 2025)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (December 2025)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	5	67	10
1	6	68	3
5	5	69	2
6	5	70	3
7	4	71	10
9	6	72	6
10	1	73	10
13	2	75	14
14	15	76	4
17	2	77	5
18	7	78	1
19	2	79	7
23	12	81	2
24	5	83	3
25	2	84	1
26	3	88	4
28	6	90	4
30	1	94	2
32	6	100	3
33	6	101	4
34	3	102	4
40	16	103	11
41	10	104	6
42	9	105	2
43	6	106	2
44	10	107	1
45	5	108	4
46	6	109	1
47	5	110	1
48	6	111	4
49	5	112	2
50	2	113	5
52	5	114	1
60	9	115	4
61	9	116	3
62	5	120	4
63	2	121	5
66	3	122	3
		123	2
		Unknown	32

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (December 2024 vs. December 2025)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	December 2024		December 2025			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	183	46%	188	46%	5	3%
Abuse of Authority (A)	307	77%	289	70%	-18	-6%
Discourtesy (D)	107	27%	73	18%	-34	-32%
Offensive Language (O)	23	6%	11	3%	-12	-52%
Total FADO Allegations	620		561		-59	-10%
Total Complaints	400		412		12	3%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	2749	49%	2827	50%	78	3%
Abuse of Authority (A)	4239	75%	4045	72%	-194	-5%
Discourtesy (D)	1467	26%	1278	23%	-189	-13%
Offensive Language (O)	350	6%	302	5%	-48	-14%
Total FADO Allegations	8805		8452		-353	-4%
Total Complaints	5664		5623		-41	-1%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	December 2024		December 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	448	26%	421	35%	-27	-6%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1087	63%	695	57%	-392	-36%
Discourtesy (D)	152	9%	87	7%	-65	-43%
Offensive Language (O)	26	2%	16	1%	-10	-38%
Total Allegations	1713		1219		-494	-29%
Total Complaints	400		412		12	3%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	7318	30%	7345	34%	27	0%
Abuse of Authority (A)	14401	59%	12395	57%	-2006	-14%
Discourtesy (D)	2220	9%	1778	8%	-442	-20%
Offensive Language (O)	475	2%	384	2%	-91	-19%
Total Allegations	24414		21902		-2512	-10%
Total Complaints	5664		5623		-41	-1%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of December 2025, 44% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 61% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (December 2025)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1260	43.6%
Cases 5-7 Months	512	17.7%
Cases 8-11 Months	633	21.9%
Cases 12-18 Months*	476	16.5%
Cases Over 18 Months**	8	0.3%
Total	2889	100%

*12-18 Months: 11 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (December 2025)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1094	37.9%
Cases 5-7 Months	524	18.1%
Cases 8-11 Months	694	24.0%
Cases 12-18 Months*	568	19.7%
Cases Over 18 Months**	9	0.3%
Total	2889	100%

*12-18 Months: 12 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - December 2025)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	November 2025		December 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1421	44%	1381	48%	-40	-3%
Pending Board Review	1772	55%	1508	52%	-264	-15%
Mediation	6	0%	8	0%	2	33%
On DA / FID Hold	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Total	3203		2901		-302	-9%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - December 2025)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - December 2025)






Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	78	55.7%
30 <= Days < 60	18	12.9%
60 <= Days < 90	11	7.9%
90 >= Days	33	23.6%
Total	140	100%

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2024 - December 2025)**




**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2024 - December 2025)**



Closed Cases

In December 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 26% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - December 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual was at home when her neighbor threw objects at her. The individual called 911 to report the issue. She received no response and called 911 again a few hours later. The subject officer and two other officers responded to the individual's home. The subject officer stuck his foot in the doorway of the individual's home and entered her home. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the individual speaking to the subject officer while she was standing in the foyer area of her home with the front door open. The subject officer placed his foot in the doorway and the individual told him to remove his foot because she was going to close the door and told him not to come in and the subject officer fully entered the individual's home. The subject officer stated that he entered the home to arrest the individual because they saw video footage that the individual's neighbor had not thrown objects at her. The investigation found that since there was no exigent circumstances, a warrant was required to enter the individual's home. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that while she was in police custody she asked for medical attention from the subject officer who told her that he had called EMS but EMS never came. The subject officer stated that when he arrived with the individual at the station house, she refused medical attention while at the sergeant's desk and when he asked her if she needed any after she had been in her cell for approximately 30 minutes, she told him that she was fine. The investigation found that without independent witnesses or audio evidence, it could not be determined if the individual asked for medical attention and if the subject officer refused to provide it. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that she was sitting down at the front steps of her apartment building when the subject officer and another officer approached her. The subject officer grabbed onto her shirt and pulled her off the steps. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officer, his partner, and EMTs were already on the scene. The EMTs had decided that the individual had to be taken to a hospital. The officers tried to verbally persuade the individual to leave the steps and go to the hospital; the individual verbally refused. The subject officer approached the individual, repeated that she needed to go to the hospital and he took her hand. The individual then stood up voluntarily and walked away from the stairs.

The investigation found that the subject officer did not grab the individual off the stairs. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he went to a store to make a return. The store manager refused to accept his return, so he called the police and the subject officers arrived. They told him to leave and he complied. One subject officer held onto his arm and the other pushed him in the middle of his back. The incident was captured on BWC. The individual was told to leave and he refused to and continued to argue about his refund with the store's manager. One subject officer held the individual's arm while carrying the individual's bag in his other hand and walked the individual out. The second subject officer placed his hand on the individual's back but the individual pulled his body away from them. Neither subject officer touched the individual's back nor pushed him in his back and the individual left the store. The investigation found that the subject officers spoke to the individual for eleven minutes during which the store employees confirmed that they wanted the individual to leave the store and the individual refused multiple directives to leave the store. The investigation found that the force of holding on to the individual's arm to escort him out was a minimum amount and the individual was not pushed in his back. The Board closed the Use of Force allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that her sister whom she lives with called 911 after a non-violent dispute. She stated that four white officers responded along with EMS and she was taken to the hospital. The individual provided a one-month period during which the incident occurred. The investigation found that during the incident time period, a pair of officers responded to the individual's home, neither of them were white, and only one of them entered the apartment to speak to the individual's sister. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (December 2025)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

	Dec 2024		Dec 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	90	38%	92	48%	908	36%	990	48%
Within NYPD Guidelines	23	10%	28	15%	377	15%	249	12%
Unfounded	59	25%	28	15%	565	22%	390	19%
Unable to Determine	50	21%	29	15%	556	22%	364	18%
MOS Unidentified	18	8%	13	7%	145	6%	80	4%
Total - Full Investigations	240		190		2551		2073	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	3	100%	2	100%	51	100%	35	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	3		2		51		35	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	11	3%	42	8%	263	7%	236	7%
Closed - Pending Litigation	50	13%	100	19%	610	16%	633	18%
Unable to Investigate*	326	84%	383	72%	2866	76%	2703	75%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	5	1%	34	1%	31	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	387		530		3777		3608	
Total - Closed Cases	630		722		6379		5716	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

	Dec 2024		Dec 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	311	19%	244	18%	3149	21%	3156	21%
Unable to Determine	305	18%	193	14%	2762	18%	2411	16%
Unfounded	345	21%	281	21%	3010	20%	3037	20%
Within NYPD Guidelines	558	34%	552	41%	5047	34%	5613	38%
MOS Unidentified	134	8%	67	5%	993	7%	710	5%
Total - Full Investigations	1653		1337		14961		14927	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	20	100%	12	100%	189	100%	132	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	20		12		189		132	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	51	5%	128	9%	762	7%	658	7%
Closed - Pending Litigation	162	16%	336	22%	2133	21%	2184	23%
Unable to Investigate*	740	74%	991	66%	6729	65%	6103	64%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	47	5%	39	3%	641	6%	650	7%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	23	0%	8	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	1000		1494		10288		9603	
Total - Closed Allegations	2673		2843		25438		24662	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as “Miscellaneous” closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (December 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	13 3%	32 9%	246 66%	76 20%	6 2%	373 100%
Abuse of Authority	192 24%	130 16%	270 34%	166 21%	41 5%	799 100%
Discourtesy	29 22%	22 17%	35 27%	32 24%	14 11%	132 100%
Offensive Language	10 30%	9 27%	1 3%	7 21%	6 18%	33 100%
Total	244 18%	193 14%	552 41%	281 21%	67 5%	1337 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	222 6%	444 11%	2169 56%	968 25%	96 2%	3899 100%
Abuse of Authority	2514 27%	1590 17%	3118 33%	1669 18%	470 5%	9361 100%
Discourtesy	345 25%	278 20%	321 24%	316 23%	104 8%	1364 100%
Offensive Language	75 25%	99 33%	5 2%	84 28%	40 13%	303 100%
Total	3156 21%	2411 16%	5613 38%	3037 20%	710 5%	14927 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	December 2024		December 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	1	50%	0	NA	-1	-100%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Misleading official statement	1	50%	0	NA	-1	-100%
Total Allegations	2		0		-2	-100%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	32	70%	22	71%	-10	-31%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	2	4%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Misleading official statement	12	26%	9	29%	-3	-25%
Total Allegations	46		31		-15	-33%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	December 2024		December 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	1	13%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	7	88%	0	0%	-7	-100%
Total Allegations	8		0		-8	-100%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	12	18%	3	12%	-9	-75%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	1	1%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	3	4%	1	4%	-2	-67%
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	2	3%	1	4%	-1	-50%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	7	10%	0	0%	-7	-100%
Racial Profiling* (Race)	43	63%	21	81%	-22	-51%
Total Allegations	68		26		-42	-62%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The December 2025 case substantiation rate was 48%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - December 2025)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Dec 2025)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Dec 2025)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and “Command Discipline A” are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Dec 2024, Dec 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

Disposition	December 2024		December 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	43	23%	37	24%	438	25%	409	23%
Command Discipline B	23	12%	31	20%	329	19%	337	19%
Command Discipline A	73	39%	57	37%	674	39%	645	37%
Formalized Training	49	26%	28	18%	287	17%	373	21%
Total	188		153		1728		1764	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB’s adoption of the NYPD’s Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 10) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 5) 4. Formalized Training ($0 <$ penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Dec 2024	Dec 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	24	26	261	223
Total Complaints	630	722	6379	5716
PSA Complaints as % of Total	3.8%	3.6%	4.1%	3.9%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Dec 2024	Dec 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	5	11	61	48
PSA 2	5	10	70	88
PSA 3	8	14	125	92
PSA 4	2	4	39	54
PSA 5	2	13	40	83
PSA 6	1	2	22	32
PSA 7	20	2	81	36
PSA 8	3	3	47	20
PSA 9	8	1	50	19
Total	54	60	535	472

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Dec 2024		Dec 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	28	41%	47	69%	238	34%	285	47%
Abuse of Authority (A)	29	43%	16	24%	342	49%	245	41%
Discourtesy (D)	9	13%	3	4%	90	13%	57	9%
Offensive Language (O)	2	3%	2	3%	24	3%	14	2%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	3	0%
Total	68	100%	68	100%	699	100%	604	99%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

	Dec 2024		Dec 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	13	30%	3	11%	136	39%	66	27%
Within NYPD Guidelines	11	26%	15	56%	89	25%	81	33%
Unfounded	14	33%	4	15%	80	23%	65	26%
Unable to Determine	5	12%	5	19%	44	13%	35	14%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%
Total - Full Investigations	43		27		350		249	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	1	100%	2	100%	5	100%	5	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	1		2		5		5	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	3	10%	12	7%	14	6%
Unable to Investigate*	7	70%	16	52%	105	58%	112	51%
Closed - Pending Litigation	3	30%	12	39%	53	29%	81	37%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	0	0%	10	6%	11	5%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	10		31		180		218	
Total - Closed Cases	54		60		535		472	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in December and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	December 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	2	35

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	December 2025	YTD 2025
Force	1	16
Abuse of Authority	11	96
Discourtesy	0	13
Offensive Language	0	7
Total	12	132

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (December 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	1
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (December 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	3
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	9
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Dec 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Dec 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Dec 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	1	46	0	2
18	0	3	48	0	1
19	0	2	52	0	2
23	0	1	73	0	1
25	0	1	75	0	2
28	0	1	78	0	1
30	0	1	103	0	1
32	0	3	106	0	1
33	1	1	108	0	1
40	0	3	110	0	1
43	0	1	116	0	2
44	1	1	NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Dec 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Dec 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Dec 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	9	46	0	9
18	0	10	48	0	6
19	0	7	52	0	4
23	0	3	73	0	1
25	0	2	75	0	13
28	0	5	78	0	1
30	0	3	103	0	2
32	0	9	106	0	4
33	9	9	108	0	3
40	0	11	110	0	4
43	0	2	116	0	11
44	3	3	NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Dec 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	10
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	34	207
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	2
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	2
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	5
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	19
	Disciplinary Action Total	35	245
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	1	14
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	2
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	12
	**Retained, without discipline	1	10
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	2	38
Not Adjudicated	MOS Deceased	1	1
	Other	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	0	5
	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	1
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	2	4
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	3
	†Reconsidered by CCRB Board	1	1
	MOS Retired	0	6
	MOS Resigned	0	7
	Terminated	2	3
	Terminal Leave, Adjudication Pending	0	1
	SOL Expired prior to APU	2	2
	Not Adjudicated Total	8	38
	Total Closures	45	321

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	58.06	55.96
2024	26.48	45.54	30.29
2025 YTD	85.03	77.03	83.48

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	December 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	2
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	13
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	14	122
Command Discipline B	0	2
Command Discipline A	0	9
Formalized Training**	21	97
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	35	245
No Disciplinary Action†	2	38
Adjudicated Total	37	283
Discipline Rate	95%	87%
Not Adjudicated† Total	8	38
Total Closures	45	321

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	November 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	19	197
	Command Discipline A	49	432
	Formalized Training**	16	304
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	3	21
	Total	87	954
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	2
	Resigned	4	7
	SOL Expired	0	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	4	35
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	0	135
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	8	183
	Discipline Rate	92%	84%
	DUP Rate	4%	15%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration
(Nov 2024, Nov 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	November 2024		November 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		N/A		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		N/A		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		N/A	12	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	2	2%		N/A	33	4%	33	25%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	14	15%		N/A	98	12%	81	60%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	55	58%		N/A	161	20%	19	14%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	24	25%		N/A	153	19%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		N/A	337	42%	1	1%
Total	95				794		134	

**Figure 54: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation
(Nov 2024, Nov 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	November 2024		November 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%	5	5%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%		0%	15	14%	3	75%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	2	100%		0%	14	13%	1	25%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60		0%		0%	27	26%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		0%	42	40%		0%
Total	2				105		4	

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (November 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jongbin Lee	D: Word	1	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Neil Pilgrim	D: Word	13	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jafet Sori	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Jhonny Reyes	A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation; A: Question	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lennoxann Samerson	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Casey Ayrovainen	A: Property damaged	20	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Eric Soto	A: Threat re: removal to hospital; A: Threat to damage/seize property; D: Word; A: Entry of Premises; D: Word	20	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Estrada	A: Frisk	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	A: Frisk	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emanuel Crespo	D: Word	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Maximilian Warner	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	25	Manhattan	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emanuel Crespo	A: Frisk	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Grant Pulgarin	A: Frisk	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Barry Stokes	A: Refusal to provide shield number	26	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Anthony Vazquez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Raymond Perez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shaddad Salem	A: Search (of person)	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Connor Hayes	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	34	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Serrano	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	34	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Mayky Santos	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Deredel Rosario	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Idaniel Taveras	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jovan Williams	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonas Miniernunez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Rush	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mejbah Ulum	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Resigned
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brendan Latimer	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT DS Brian Clements	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Santana Cruznunez	F: Physical force	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vincent Galdo	D: Word	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edgar Santos	A: Frisk	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Luis Reyes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kenny Acosta	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joel Feliz	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Steven Mednick	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Rios	A: Entry of Premises	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Mendez	F: Physical force	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Whelan	A: Failure to Explain; A: Stop	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Pedro Reyes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	49	Bronx	Resigned
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aidan Murphy	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ramon Moretadelacruz	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	49	Bronx	Resigned
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marianny Montanoarias	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rodolfo Viveros	D: Action; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Baptiste	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ronald Urgiles	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Adam Keegan	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Connor Keane	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Frank Delvecchio	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jeton Goga	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Ramotar	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Markfrancis Palencia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Martin Dicostanzo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Rahman	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Dominic Darrigo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Guzman	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Cava	D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Melissa Oliva	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tristen Ellis	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Giovany Diegue	A: Entry of Premises	62	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Johnandrew Cusmano	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	62	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brian Mejiamorel	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Kyle Sforza	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rene Duran	F: Physical force	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Harris Butt	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	A: Stop; A: Frisk	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Minhajul Abadien	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Richard Aseng	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	70	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ahmed Ali	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jairo Villardiaz	A: Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alexander Amenta	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Resigned
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Danny Lao	A: Question	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Saqoyah Harrison	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Peloso	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Peloso	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Lettow	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question; A: Stop	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Haris Ahmemulic	A: Vehicle search	90	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Suleyman Shimunov	A: Vehicle search	90	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Frank Vetere	F: Physical force	90	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Usama Ashfaq	F: Physical force	90	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Frank Vetere	D: Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Robert Bonilla	D: Word; D: Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hiram Velez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andrew Diaz	D: Word	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sean Doyle	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Donato	A: Other	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Sasha Rosen	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Nertney	D: Word	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thomas Sinclair	D: Word	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Amilcar Garcia	F: Nonlethal restraining device	114	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Vega	A: Stop	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Barrow	A: Stop; A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Robert Semioli	A: Vehicle search; A: Seizure of property	121	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ng	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	122	Staten Island	Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 0.25 days

Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (December 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Michael Visintin	A: Interference with recording; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card		Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM James Weck	A: Interference with recording; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card		Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kamil Choinski	A: Photography/Videography	6	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Schedler Jeanbaptiste	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	9	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stanley Manel	A: Retaliatory summons	9	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Reginal Pierre	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	13	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sean Virella	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	13	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	POM Frank Menna	F: Physical force; D: Word	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Mervin Bennett	D: Word; F: Hit against inanimate object	28	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marcelo Espinoza	F: Hit against inanimate object	28	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Kazi Ahmed	F: Physical force	28	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Creel Brown	A: Search (of person); O: Other; D: Word	28	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Figueroa	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	33	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Visintin	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Threat of summons	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Weck	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jayther Liriano	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jayther Liriano	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jayther Liriano	D: Word; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; O: Gender; A: Stop; D: Gesture	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jayther Liriano	A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search; A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Karin Mendez	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Unlawful Summons	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA Raymond Ortiz	F: Physical force	43	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Robert Zeitoun	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Justin Venezia	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ibn Barthelemy	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Cristian Medrano	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POF Christina Moncion	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person); A: Interference with recording; A: Stop	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO George Hanna	A: Unlawful Summons	60	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 7 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Saidul Islam	A: Interference with recording	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Saidul Islam	A: Unlawful Summons; A: Unlawful Summons	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Anthony Finno	D: Other; O: Other	71	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Kalinowski	O: Gender; D: Word; O: Other; D: Word	73	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 1 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Dustin Olivos	F: Physical force; F: Physical force	81	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Noemi Sierra	A: Threat re: removal to hospital	83	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Pearaylall	A: Vehicle search; A: Question; A: Vehicle search; A: Bias-Based Policing (Race)	110	Queens	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A

Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (December 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Russell Crawford	D: Word; A: Retaliatory arrest; A: Unlawful Arrest		Bronx	Closed: Not guilty after trial-PC Approved
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Kim	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Physical force	90	Brooklyn	Closed: Retained, without discipline

Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (December 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Damian Fontaine	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Julio Gutierrez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Imani Reese	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	9	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Diego Valdovinosvaldovinos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	9	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hesham Abouelseoud	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	9	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Imani Reese	Discourtesy	Word	9	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Muller	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	10	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Guo Ouyang	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ruben Perdomocrespo	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Carlos Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Saleem Hosein	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Guo Chu	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Guo Ouyang	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ermir Aliaj	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Saleem Hosein	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Guo Chu	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Carlos Hernandez	Discourtesy	Word	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Carlos Hernandez	Discourtesy	Action	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Carlos Hernandez	Offensive Language	Race	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA James Lombardi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA James Lombardi	Discourtesy	Word	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA James Lombardi	Discourtesy	Word	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Michelle Murray	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Jeffrey Baio	Abuse of Authority	Detention	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bryan Wilson	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bryan Wilson	Abuse of Authority	Stop	24	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Osvaldo Garcia	Abuse of Authority	Question	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Christopher Benson	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anderson Stfleur	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Melissa Cuenca	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anhaiz Hercule	Force	Pepper spray	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Leslie Perez	Force	Physical force	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Leslie Perez	Offensive Language	Gender	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carlos Bello	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Podkalicki	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maciej Urbanski	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maciej Urbanski	Offensive Language	Gender	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Joseph Podkalicki	Offensive Language	Gender	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tyler Jenkins	Force	Physical force	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Abuse of Authority	Question	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Estevez	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Wilmer Marquez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sheikh Islam	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sheikh Islam	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Estevez	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO John Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Edwin Olivo	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Edwin Olivo	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mohammed Sheikh	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Timothy Barrow	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Giovanni Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brandon Bacalles	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Edwin Olivo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riley	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeremiah Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riley	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hamza Jaji	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeremiah Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riley	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Timothy Breheny	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeremiah Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hamza Jaji	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riley	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Timothy Breheny	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeremiah Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Sean Casey	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Timothy Breheny	Force	Physical force	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jesus Ramos	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jaiden Bonet	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Allison Cassa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Sebastian Fleary	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shakean Roberts	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Ramirez	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jamal Essafoui	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael McCabe	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael McCabe	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ariel Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ariel Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thybel Felix	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jamal Essafoui	Abuse of Authority	Detention	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Chanell Joseph	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Barbara Ordonezcantos	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Sherman Tyson	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Esnaidy Cuevas	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jeffrey Reisenauer	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kaseem Pennant	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Lacondi	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ismael Reyeslopez	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kaseem Pennant	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kaseem Pennant	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kaseem Pennant	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Lacondi	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kaseem Pennant	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jerry Lamour	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jerry Lamour	Discourtesy	Word	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riley	Force	Physical force	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hector Rodriguez	Force	Physical force	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Melissa Marquez	Force	Physical force	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jerry Lamour	Offensive Language	Gender	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Greeily Lopezbruno	Discourtesy	Word	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Discourtesy	Word	52	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Greeily Lopezbruno	Offensive Language	Gender	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Moran	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Sheridan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Toniann Longobardi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joel Torres	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daniel Bleich	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daniel Bleich	Discourtesy	Word	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Maira	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Maira	Force	Physical force	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Richard Lebron	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Richard Lebron	Abuse of Authority	Stop	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO John Gamba	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alessandro Albanese	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ahmed Ali	Abuse of Authority	Question	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Carlo Cassata	Abuse of Authority	Question	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hussamedden Gouda	Abuse of Authority	Question	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Hussamedden Gouda	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	CPT Sashanna Wynter	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	CPT Sashanna Wynter	Abuse of Authority	Detention	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Piero Lasaponara	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DI Shibu Madhu	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Piero Lasaponara	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Piero Lasaponara	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Piero Lasaponara	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andre Anderson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Piero Lasaponara	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	70	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA Michael Venditti	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Toner	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rosario Saintelme	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Toner	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA Michael Venditti	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rosario Saintelme	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA Michael Venditti	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Rodriguez	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Rodriguez	Discourtesy	Action	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Austin Slamowitz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Eswaldo Gomez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mdabdul Halim	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Emiljano Oparaku	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Khalik James	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Charles Markert	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Ramos	Offensive Language	Disability	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Offensive Language	Gender	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	CPT Thomas Kaminski	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA James Seder	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT William Mansour	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darrien Phillips	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jason Simmons	Abuse of Authority	Question	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Question	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Question	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA James Seder	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA James Seder	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Grullon	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Morillo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ikeem Jackson	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Darrien Phillips	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Citroen	Discourtesy	Word	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO James Vigiano	Force	Hit against inanimate object	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Colin Russell	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	Abuse of Authority	Stop	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edgar Guerrero	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rolando Alvarez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Adam Dumelle	Discourtesy	Word	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	Discourtesy	Word	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	Discourtesy	Action	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Caquias	Discourtesy	Word	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Caquias	Force	Physical force	78	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Bueno	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elmer Maldonado	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Pearce Martinez	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kristian Astudillo	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kristian Astudillo	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Stop	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kristian Astudillo	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Gero Eaton	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Griffin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Osvaldo Contreras	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Savage	Discourtesy	Word	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Orest Petrychyn	Discourtesy	Action	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Edrington Maynard	Discourtesy	Word	88	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Edrington Maynard	Offensive Language	Gender	88	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Tylik Jerry	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jacqueline Demerest	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Umarmohammad Abid	Discourtesy	Word	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Umarmohammad Abid	Offensive Language	Gender	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Benjamin Aboagye	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Daniel Henao	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Herbert Johnston	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jose Gutierrez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Couvaris	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Salvatore Glibbery	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Dominick Batista	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	108	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Philip Smith	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Adam Arce	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Philip Smith	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	109	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dexter Jackson	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edwin Vasquez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mina Ebraheem	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Riccardi	Force	Physical force	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mina Ebraheem	Force	Physical force	114	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Abdoul Dicko	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	115	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	Abuse of Authority	Stop	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	Abuse of Authority	Stop	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	Abuse of Authority	Question	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tyler Warshefskie	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Michael Devito	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Michael Devito	Abuse of Authority	Question	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anis Damak	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Paul Shehata	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island