



ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



Executive Director's Monthly Report
November 2025
(Statistics for October 2025)

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	41

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for October 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 54% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 69% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In October, the CCRB opened 528 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,438 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 46% of its fully investigated cases in October (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 48% of the cases it closed in October (page 14).
- 4) For October, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 46% of cases - compared to 50% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 41-48).
- 6) In October the Police Commissioner finalized 34 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases; 6 were guilty verdicts won by the APU (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 20 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 6 trials were conducted against respondent officers in October.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In October 2025, the CCRB initiated 528 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - October 2025)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - October 2025)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (October 2025)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (October 2025)


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	3	67	19
1	6	68	3
5	3	69	7
6	3	70	5
7	5	71	7
9	7	72	6
10	3	73	21
13	7	75	14
14	10	76	1
17	3	77	12
18	16	78	2
19	6	79	15
20	3	81	6
23	8	83	10
24	1	84	9
25	4	90	4
26	1	94	3
28	10	100	4
30	4	101	9
32	7	102	6
33	12	103	13
34	9	104	5
40	14	105	8
41	3	106	4
42	8	107	2
43	6	108	2
44	12	109	9
45	3	110	7
46	10	112	4
47	9	113	4
48	5	114	7
49	1	115	6
50	5	116	3
52	7	120	11
60	14	121	5
61	2	122	3
62	3	Unknown	31
63	4		
66	4		

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (October 2024 vs. October 2025)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	October 2024		October 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	260	51%	255	48%	-5	-2%
Abuse of Authority (A)	369	72%	363	69%	-6	-2%
Discourtesy (D)	126	25%	112	21%	-14	-11%
Offensive Language (O)	28	5%	31	6%	3	11%
Total FADO Allegations	783		761		-22	-3%
Total Complaints	510		528		18	4%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	2353	49%	2470	51%	117	5%
Abuse of Authority (A)	3604	75%	3497	72%	-107	-3%
Discourtesy (D)	1238	26%	1126	23%	-112	-9%
Offensive Language (O)	301	6%	268	6%	-33	-11%
Total FADO Allegations	7496		7361		-135	-2%
Total Complaints	4824		4870		46	1%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	October 2024		October 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	731	32%	586	34%	-145	-20%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1308	58%	967	56%	-341	-26%
Discourtesy (D)	195	9%	136	8%	-59	-30%
Offensive Language (O)	37	2%	37	2%	0	0%
Total Allegations	2271		1726		-545	-24%
Total Complaints	510		528		18	4%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	6351	30%	6433	34%	82	1%
Abuse of Authority (A)	12291	59%	10860	57%	-1431	-12%
Discourtesy (D)	1891	9%	1561	8%	-330	-17%
Offensive Language (O)	408	2%	338	2%	-70	-17%
Total Allegations	20941		19192		-1749	-8%
Total Complaints	4824		4870		46	1%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of October 2025, 54% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 69% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (October 2025)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1854	54.1%
Cases 5-7 Months	503	14.7%
Cases 8-11 Months	609	17.8%
Cases 12-18 Months*	453	13.2%
Cases Over 18 Months**	5	0.1%
Total	3424	100%

*12-18 Months: 12 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (October 2025)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1683	49.2%
Cases 5-7 Months	538	15.7%
Cases 8-11 Months	657	19.2%
Cases 12-18 Months*	535	15.6%
Cases Over 18 Months**	11	0.3%
Total	3424	100%

*12-18 Months: 16 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 1 case that was on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - October 2025)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	September 2025		October 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1497	46%	1466	43%	-31	-2%
Pending Board Review	1727	53%	1958	57%	231	13%
Mediation	6	0%	10	0%	4	67%
On DA / FID Hold	5	0%	4	0%	-1	-20%
Total	3235		3438		203	6%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - October 2025)




Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - October 2025)






Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	113	57.9%
30 <= Days < 60	24	12.3%
60 <= Days < 90	24	12.3%
90 >= Days	34	17.4%
Total	195	100%

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2024 - October 2025)**




**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2024 - October 2025)**



Closed Cases

In October 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 48% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - October 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual called 911 to report a past assault. The subject officer and his partner arrived at the individual's home. After speaking with the individual, the subject officer did not give the individual the Right- to-Know-Act card. The incident was captured on BWC. After the interaction, the subject officer left the individual's home without giving him a business card. The investigation found that due to the nature of the interaction with the individual, the subject officer was required to give the individual the card. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that while she was in custody at a precinct house in a room by herself, she yelled out for some food and water. The subject officer came to her room and told her to "shut the fuck up." The incident was partially captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer and another officer escorting the individual to a room and leaving the individual alone in the room. There was no other video footage. The subject officer at his interview denied telling the individual to "shut the fuck up." Without further evidence, the investigation could not determine if the subject officer told the individual to "shut the fuck up." The Board closed the Discourtesy allegation as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that during a vehicle stop, the subject officer pulled him out of his vehicle by his arm and shoulder and two officers pushed him several times while escorting him to a police vehicle. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officer asked the individual to exit the vehicle after the individual was unable to verify his identity. The individual exited the vehicle under his own power. No officer pushed the individual as he was handcuffed and escorted to a police vehicle. The investigation found that the subject officer did not use any force on the individual. The Board closed the Use of Force allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual called the subject officer to follow up on a complaint she filed for a previous incident. During the call, the subject officer told the individual that she could have been arrested for reporting an "officer down". The individual did not recall making the statement. The incident was captured on a 911 call related to the individual's complaint. The individual had stated, "officer down, officer shot, officer

hit”. The investigation found that the individual had called 911 for a dispute and that no officer, nor any individual had been shot at the scene of the dispute; furthermore, making a false report of that nature is a violation of penal law – a violation that can subject an individual to arrest. The investigation found that the subject officer was correct in informing the individual that they could have been arrested for the penal law violation. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

No Officer Unidentified cases were closed this month.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (October 2025)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

	Oct 2024		Oct 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	87	44%	73	46%	722	35%	858	48%
Within NYPD Guidelines	21	11%	17	11%	313	15%	216	12%
Unfounded	40	20%	37	24%	465	22%	344	19%
Unable to Determine	33	17%	30	19%	465	22%	318	18%
MOS Unidentified	15	8%	0	0%	108	5%	61	3%
Total - Full Investigations	196		157		2073		1797	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	9	100%	5	100%	46	100%	31	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	9		5		46		31	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	23	6%	13	8%	225	8%	187	7%
Unable to Investigate*	257	69%	100	60%	2161	74%	1877	72%
Closed - Pending Litigation	87	23%	52	31%	492	17%	504	19%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	4	1%	2	1%	30	1%	22	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	371		167		2912		2595	
Total - Closed Cases	576		329		5031		4423	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

	Oct 2024		Oct 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	263	20%	203	17%	2516	21%	2787	21%
Unable to Determine	215	17%	191	16%	2135	18%	2119	16%
Unfounded	290	22%	271	23%	2388	20%	2622	20%
Within NYPD Guidelines	417	32%	459	40%	3978	34%	4859	37%
MOS Unidentified	115	9%	37	3%	728	6%	614	5%
Total - Full Investigations	1300		1161		11745		13001	

Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	36	100%	22	100%	161	100%	114	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	36		22		161		114	

Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	56	5%	35	5%	646	8%	509	7%
Unable to Investigate*	751	66%	328	51%	5190	64%	4343	60%
Closed - Pending Litigation	288	25%	184	29%	1704	21%	1743	24%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	39	3%	97	15%	513	6%	576	8%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	23	0%	8	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	1134		644		8076		7179	

Total - Closed Allegations	2470		1827		19982		20294	
-----------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------	--	--------------	--	--------------	--

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (October 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	13 4%	53 16%	174 51%	94 28%	7 2%	341 100%
Abuse of Authority	173 24%	113 16%	263 37%	142 20%	18 3%	709 100%
Discourtesy	15 15%	20 20%	22 22%	31 32%	10 10%	98 100%
Offensive Language	2 15%	5 38%	0 0%	4 31%	2 15%	13 100%
Total	203 17%	191 16%	459 40%	271 23%	37 3%	1161 100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	203 6%	398 12%	1837 54%	856 25%	88 3%	3382 100%
Abuse of Authority	2220 27%	1395 17%	2742 34%	1418 17%	409 5%	8184 100%
Discourtesy	302 26%	240 20%	276 23%	274 23%	85 7%	1177 100%
Offensive Language	62 24%	86 33%	4 2%	74 29%	32 12%	258 100%
Total	2787 21%	2119 16%	4859 37%	2622 20%	614 5%	13001 100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	October 2024		October 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	6	86%	0	NA	-6	-100%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	NA	0	NA
Misleading official statement	1	14%	0	NA	-1	-100%
Total Allegations	7		0		-7	-100%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	30	71%	20	69%	-10	-33%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	2	5%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Misleading official statement	10	24%	9	31%	-1	-10%
Total Allegations	42		29		-13	-31%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations with % Change

	October 2024		October 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	0	0%	1	20%	1	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	0	0%	1	20%	1	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Race)	6	100%	3	60%	-3	-50%
Total Allegations	6		5		-1	-17%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing/Racial Profiling Allegations YTD with % Change

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Bias-Based Policing (Age)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	10	18%	3	12%	-7	-70%
Bias-Based Policing (Gender)	1	2%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	3	5%	1	4%	-2	-67%
Bias-Based Policing (Immigration Status)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Religion)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Bias-Based Policing (Sexual Orientation)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling (Intersectional)	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Racial Profiling* (Color)	2	4%	1	4%	-1	-50%
Racial Profiling* (National Origin)	7	12%	0	0%	-7	-100%
Racial Profiling* (Race)	34	60%	20	80%	-14	-41%
Total Allegations	57		25		-32	-56%

*Prior to 10/2025 "Racial Profiling" allegations involving Race, Color and National Origin were reported as "Bias-Based Policing" allegations. "Racial Profiling" counts include allegations previously reported as "Bias-Based Policing."

Substantiation Rates

The October 2025 case substantiation rate was 46%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - October 2025)





Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Oct 2025)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Oct 2025)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and “Command Discipline A” are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Oct 2024, Oct 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

Disposition	October 2024		October 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	37	23%	28	22%	359	27%	356	23%
Command Discipline B	22	14%	20	16%	279	21%	298	19%
Command Discipline A	75	46%	58	45%	509	38%	565	37%
Formalized Training	28	17%	22	17%	204	15%	325	21%
Total	162		128		1351		1544	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 10) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 5) 4. Formalized Training ($0 <$ penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Oct 2024	Oct 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	20	20	207	186
Total Complaints	576	329	5031	4423
PSA Complaints as % of Total	3.5%	6.1%	4.1%	4.2%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Oct 2024	Oct 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	6	0	47	35
PSA 2	5	8	56	77
PSA 3	5	13	101	74
PSA 4	1	3	32	47
PSA 5	4	8	32	66
PSA 6	0	3	20	28
PSA 7	6	5	56	33
PSA 8	7	0	39	17
PSA 9	8	0	38	18
Total	42	40	421	395

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Oct 2024		Oct 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	24	44%	25	52%	177	32%	234	45%
Abuse of Authority (A)	25	45%	19	40%	282	51%	217	42%
Discourtesy (D)	3	5%	4	8%	68	12%	51	10%
Offensive Language (O)	1	2%	0	0%	21	4%	12	2%
Untruthful Statement (U)	2	4%	0	0%	5	1%	3	1%
Total	55	100%	48	100%	553	100%	517	100%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

	Oct 2024		Oct 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	13	48%	2	11%	112	41%	62	29%
Within NYPD Guidelines	7	26%	8	44%	65	24%	66	31%
Unfounded	3	11%	6	33%	62	23%	55	26%
Unable to Determine	4	15%	2	11%	34	12%	28	13%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%
Total - Full Investigations	27		18		274		213	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	1	100%	0	0%	4	100%	3	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	1		0		4		3	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	1	5%	11	8%	11	6%
Unable to Investigate*	6	43%	13	59%	86	60%	91	51%
Closed - Pending Litigation	7	50%	7	32%	41	29%	66	37%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	7%	1	5%	5	3%	11	6%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	14		22		143		179	
Total - Closed Cases	42		40		421		395	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in October and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	October 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	5	31

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	October 2025	YTD 2025
Force	3	13
Abuse of Authority	14	81
Discourtesy	3	13
Offensive Language	2	7
Total	22	114

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (October 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	1
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	3
Queens	1
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (October 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	8
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	12
Queens	2
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Oct 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Oct 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Oct 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	1	48	0	1
18	1	3	52	0	2
19	0	1	73	0	1
23	0	1	75	0	2
25	0	1	78	0	1
30	1	1	103	0	1
32	1	3	106	0	1
40	0	3	108	0	1
43	0	1	110	0	1
46	1	2	116	1	2
			NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Oct 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Oct 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Oct 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	9	48	0	6
18	3	10	52	0	4
19	0	6	73	0	1
23	0	3	75	0	13
25	0	2	78	0	1
30	3	3	103	0	2
32	6	9	106	0	4
40	0	11	108	0	3
43	0	2	110	0	4
46	8	9	116	2	11
			NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Oct 2025	YTD 2025
	***Previously adjudicated, discipline not reported	0	0
	Total	0	0
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial-PC Approved	6	9
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	23	136
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	2
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	2
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	5
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	2	20
	Disciplinary Action Total	31	174
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial-PC Approved	0	10
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	2
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	3	11
	**Retained, without discipline	0	9
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	3	32
Not Adjudicated	Other	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	0	5
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	1	2
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	3
	MOS Retired	0	6
	MOS Resigned	0	7
	Terminated	0	1
	Terminal Leave, Adjudication Pending	0	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	1	29
	Total Closures	35	235

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	58.06	55.96
2024	26.48	45.54	30.29
2025 YTD	82.83	72.93	81.01

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	October 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	1	2
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	2	12
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	13	91
Command Discipline B	0	2
Command Discipline A	2	10
Formalized Training**	13	57
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	31	174
No Disciplinary Action†	3	32
Adjudicated Total	34	206
Discipline Rate	91%	84%
Not Adjudicated† Total	1	29
Total Closures	35	235

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	September 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	23	163
	Command Discipline A	31	344
	Formalized Training**	27	254
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	1	13
	Total	82	774
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	2
	Resigned	0	3
	SOL Expired	0	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	4	29
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	0	129
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	4	167
	Discipline Rate	95%	82%
	DUP Rate	5%	17%

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration
(Sep 2024, Sep 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	September 2024		September 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		N/A		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		N/A		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		N/A	11	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	1	2%		N/A	5	1%	33	26%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	10	21%		N/A	25	4%	78	61%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	16	33%		N/A	93	16%	17	13%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	20	42%		N/A	126	21%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	1	2%		N/A	337	56%		0%
Total	48				597		128	

**Figure 54: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation
(Sep 2024, Sep 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	September 2024		September 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	1	12%		0%	1	1%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	5	62%		0%	7	8%	1	100%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50		0%		0%	10	11%		0%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	2	25%		0%	27	30%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90		0%		0%	42	47%		0%
Total	8				89		1	

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (September 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Volkan Uretener	D: Word	1	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Minh Tran	D: Action; D: Word	5	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Fausto Munoz	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Yomairy Medina	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Barron	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vince London	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Eastmond	D: Word; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Elias Oleaga	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	18	Manhattan	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Johannes	D: Word; A: Entry of Premises	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bryan Rogoobeer	A: Entry of Premises	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Rosario	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Arez Cruzizquierdo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	A: Search (of person); A: Search (of person); A: Search (of person)	26	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Santino Gonzalez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	CPT Michael Urena	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Sory	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Brendan Murphy	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 John Gunn	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Liam Kite	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Miguel Iñesgonzalez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Austin Masiello	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paris Giddins	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ramon Hernandez	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Jairo Perez	A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Rivera	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kevin Weber	A: Stop; A: Frisk	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Leclair	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Joe Green	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Sony Mathew	D: Word	42	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Bello	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Queyshaun Jones	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Patrick Cordones	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Elvin Pichardo	A: Seizure of property	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Bryan Marbury	A: Refusal to provide shield number	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Rodriguez	A: Refusal to provide shield number	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ryan Loeffel	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to Explain	45	Bronx	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Derrick Wright	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ahmed Khalifa	A: Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jean Santiago	A: Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katherin Martinez	A: Refusal to provide shield number	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Isamar Alonzoramos	D: Action	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Marte	F: Physical force	49	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Taylor Williams	F: Physical force	49	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Satinderpal Singh	A: Frisk; A: Question	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Matthew Guerrido	A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jelfri Henriquez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jamaal Thomas	A: Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shamyaa Hussein	A: Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Umid Karimov	F: Physical force	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Toner	A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rosarion Saintelme	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Avijit Boiragee	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Stevenson Laporte	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Adnan Hussain	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edwin Torres	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Saidul Islam	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises; D: Word	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Liam Rubino	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Genesis Montero	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Tashea Simsgaby	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Drilon Shahini	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Pereira	A: Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Zachary Cachia	A: Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Ancona	D: Word; A: Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katherine Devito	A: Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Colin Russell	A: Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Zacchaerus Branch	A: Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Aldo Salas	A: Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Puccio	A: Vehicle search; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Brian McCarthy	A: Other	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michelle Giglio	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Aleksandar Todoroski	A: Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Armin Becirovic	A: Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shawndella Latham	A: Search of recording device; D: Word	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Vajira Rupasinghe	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	88	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steven Vaisblai	A: Property damaged	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shaun Healy	F: Physical force	101	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Damir Vukosa	A: Vehicle search	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Michael Boyle	A: Vehicle search	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT SA James Seder	A: Vehicle search	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Evangellos Georgakis	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Pryor	F: Physical force	103	Queens	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Diaz	A: Other	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Fuhad Hussain	A: Other	108	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Syed Ali	A: Refusal to provide name	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Denis Gamez	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Sal Flores	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A

Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (October 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Roberto Dominguez	F: Pepper spray	5	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lamont Kirkland	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Other; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Other; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Other; A: Other; A: Stop	5	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Gabriel Perezponce	F: Restricted Breathing	7	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 30 days
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Wayman Manning	A: Entry of Premises; D: Word	10	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	DI Elias Nikas	A: Retaliatory arrest	13	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Omar Ibrahim	F: Physical force	19	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Stephen Centore	F: Hit against inanimate object; F: Physical force	19	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Michael Comparato	D: Word	23	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alyssa Trigueno	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; D: Word; O: Disability; A: Stop	24	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Senese	O: Gender; D: Word; D: Word	25	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Manolin Molina	U: Misleading official statement	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Forrester Johnson	U: Misleading official statement; U: False official statement	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	POM Stephen Centore	D: Word; O: Gender	40	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kirolos Ishak	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; F: Physical force; F: Physical force	40	Bronx	Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sandor Burgos	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Mazza	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Asia Stewart	A: Entry of Premises	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Matthew Gilson	A: Threat of arrest; D: Word	63	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Daniel Chin	U: False official statement; D: Word; F: Nightstick as club (incl asp & baton); A: Other; A: Stop	67	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mohammad Shan	A: Frisk; D: Word; A: Stop; F: Physical force	73	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Damien Clarke	D: Word; A: Retaliatory arrest	76	Brooklyn	Formalized Training

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Joseph Rivera	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tahmeke Barnes	A: Search of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	81	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nory Mitchell	A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises	81	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Andrew Fitts	U: False official statement; F: Less Than Lethal Force/Device	84	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Suzanne Lutz	A: Entry of Premises	103	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Singh	A: Threat of arrest; A: Threat of arrest; A: Threat of arrest	112	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Thomas Sinclair	A: Vehicle search; A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Seizure of property; A: Question	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 12 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Mccrain	A: Question; A: Seizure of property; A: Frisk; A: Question	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 12 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Lombardi	A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Search (of person)	113	Queens	Forfeit vacation 6 days
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Elon Jamesrobinson	A: Vehicle stop	120	Staten Island	Formalized Training

Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (October 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Zachary Palladino	A: Interference with recording	1	Manhattan	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Brian Cheng	D: Word; D: Action; F: Hit against inanimate object; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	73	Brooklyn	Closed: Retained, with discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Hilton Jeanpierre	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline

Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (October 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Oourke	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched		Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bernard Walker	Abuse of Authority	Frisk		Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Bernard Walker	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)		Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Thomas Bay	Discourtesy	Word		Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lamar Warner	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anhaiz Hercule	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lamar Warner	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lamar Warner	Discourtesy	Word	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anhaiz Hercule	Force	Pepper spray	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anhaiz Hercule	Force	Pepper spray	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anhaiz Hercule	Force	Pepper spray	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gangbo Cui	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Asim Mushtaq	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaundale Gore	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Asim Mushtaq	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Rafael Musayev	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Jhonny Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Question	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jafet Sori	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lennoxann Samerson	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Jhonny Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lennoxann Samerson	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elmehdi Eddoubaji	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Elmehdi Eddoubaji	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Lima	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steven Abreu	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Lima	Abuse of Authority	Stop	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bianery Garcia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Franklin Garrido	Abuse of Authority	Question	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Franklin Garrido	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Briant Tineo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Angela Polancobrito	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Briant Tineo	Force	Physical force	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT David Listhrop	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Idaniel Taveras	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Hennessy	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Hennessy	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Christopher Crain	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Edward Barrett	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Idaniel Taveras	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT David Listhrop	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mayky Santos	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mark Matias	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Idaniel Taveras	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Denis Rocha	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Peter Dinsmore	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Deredel Rosario	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Hennessy	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Christopher Crain	Abuse of Authority	Racial Profiling (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Hennessy	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Christopher Crain	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Christopher Crain	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Offensive Language	Gender	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anneiry Delacruz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khaleeq Middleton	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kristian Fullerton	Discourtesy	Word	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Steven Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Luis Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elbis Nunez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Luis Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Steven Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Elijah Rodrigo	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Discourtesy	Word	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jamie Roscino	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Omar Aguilarmartinez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Miguel Castillo	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jamie Roscino	Abuse of Authority	Stop	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jamie Roscino	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jamie Roscino	Force	Physical force	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Rios	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Juan Burgos	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jacob Torres	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jacob Torres	Discourtesy	Word	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Mendez	Force	Physical force	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Justin Cristiano	Discourtesy	Word	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vlora Gjeka	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA William Dooley	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Benjamin Warren	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vlora Gjeka	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Sanchezjimenez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Raymond Perez	Force	Vehicle	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Orkhan Mamedov	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aleksandr Chekalin	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Orkhan Mamedov	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aleksandr Chekalin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Orkhan Mamedov	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Alvin Nieves	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rickey Hill	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kevin Ramotar	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jared Cordero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tristen Ellis	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Melissa Oliva	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Md Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Markfrancis Palencia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Frank Delvecchio	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Dominic Darrigo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Martin Dicostanzo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeton Goga	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Connor Keane	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Discourtesy	Word	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rickey Hill	Offensive Language	Race	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Caitlin Berrios	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Baiardi	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Baiardi	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory arrest	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Robert Cox	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Delaney Warner	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Enrique Urena	Abuse of Authority	Stop	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Nicholas Cardieri	Abuse of Authority	Stop	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Baiardi	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Minhajul Abadien	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Harris Butt	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Enrique Urena	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Deleon	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Willem Kerzner	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Troy Peacock	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alexander Amenta	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT George Kurian	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Anormaliza	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jon Derise	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Matthew Byrnes	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Jared Delaney	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Soney Varghese	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Karl Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Melanie Obrien	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Danny Lao	Abuse of Authority	Question	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Danny Lao	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Saqoyah Harrison	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Diego Moreno	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Hudson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Niashia Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Peloso	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Citroen	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Matsey	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Plunkett	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Plunkett	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Plunkett	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Angello	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Citroen	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Khurram Zubair	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT2 Michael Plunkett	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alexander Leon	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Jalal Ali	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Vikram Prasad	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Matthew Arvelo	Abuse of Authority	Detention	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vince Vacca	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rawle Persad	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rawle Persad	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rawle Persad	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Steven Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Disability)	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Housing Status)	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hiram Velez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Discourtesy	Word	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vince Vacca	Force	Gun Pointed	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vince Vacca	Force	Gun Pointed	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Rawle Persad	Force	Physical force	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Lawrence Granshaw	Force	Physical force	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Adam Cohen	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	108	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Charlie Viera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Nertney	Discourtesy	Word	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thomas Sinclair	Discourtesy	Word	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Florin Kuka	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	121	Staten Island

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	CPT Matthew Divito	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edwin Santiago	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ng	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	122	Staten Island