CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb

ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report

September 2025

(Statistics for August 2025)

Contents

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board ("CCRB") is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for August 2025 included the following highlights:

1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 52% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 67% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In August, the CCRB opened 642 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,116 cases (page 11).

2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 41% of its fully investigated cases in August (page 17).

3) The CCRB fully investigated 30% of the cases it closed in August (page 14).

4) For August, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 41% of cases - compared to 33% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).

5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 40-47).

6) In August the Police Commissioner finalized 16 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 14 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; no trials were conducted against respondent officers in August.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same "complaint" can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted "charges" cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The "Board" of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a "case" or "complaint" is defined as any incident within the Agency's jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board's finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as "FADO".

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB's intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as "Withdrawn."

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In August 2025, the CCRB initiated 642 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (August 2025)



Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)



Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (August 2025)

NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	3
1	2
5	5
6	2
7	3
9	4
10	6
13	8
14	11
17	1
18	15
19	5
20	4
23	8
24	4
25	12
26	2
28	15
30	2
32	16
33	9
34	13
40	12
41	6
42	12
43	8
44	24
45	4
46	24
47	9
48	8
49	8
50	6
52	11
60	18
61	7
62	4
63	6
66	5

NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
67	29
68	1
69	1
70	7
71	2
72	8
73	31
75	30
76	2
77	3
78	1
79	10
81	6
83	4
84	12
88	5
90	6
94	1
100	5
101	8
102	9
103	14
104	6
105	4
106	3
107	1
108	6
109	5
110	8
111	3
112	8
113	8
114	8
115	7
116	6
120	10
121	9
122	1
123	1
Unknown	31

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (August 2024 vs. August 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

	August 2024		August 2025			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	203	45%	347	54%	144	71%
Abuse of Authority (A)	342	75%	386	60%	44	13%
Discourtesy (D)	133	29%	109	17%	-24	-18%
Offensive Language (O)	30	7%	27	4%	-3	-10%
Total FADO Allegations	708		869		161	23%
Total Complaints	453		642		189	42%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints	Change	% Change
Force (F)	1848	48%	1955	51%	107	6%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2905	76%	2739	71%	-166	-6%
Discourtesy (D)	998	26%	860	22%	-138	-14%
Offensive Language (O)	245	6%	184	5%	-61	-25%
Total FADO Allegations	5996		5738		-258	-4%
Total Complaints	3839		3844		5	0%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

	August 2024		August 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	573	29%	826	44%	253	44%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1139	58%	883	47%	-256	-22%
Discourtesy (D)	202	10%	141	7%	-61	-30%
Offensive Language (O)	34	2%	31	2%	-3	-9%
Total Allegations	1948		1881		-67	-3%
Total Complaints	453		642		189	42%

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Force (F)	4954	29%	5002	34%	48	1%
Abuse of Authority (A)	10031	60%	8346	56%	-1685	-17%
Discourtesy (D)	1523	9%	1190	8%	-333	-22%
Offensive Language (O)	336	2%	237	2%	-99	-29%
Total Allegations	16844		14775		-2069	-12%
Total Complaints	3839		3844		5	0%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of August 2025, 52% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 67% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (August 2025)

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1607	51.8%
Cases 5-7 Months	485	15.6%
Cases 8-11 Months	631	20.3%
Cases 12-18 Months*	374	12.1%
Cases Over 18 Months**	5	0.2%
Total	**3102**	**100%**

*12-18 Months: 6 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.
**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (August 2025)

	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1449	46.7%
Cases 5-7 Months	520	16.8%
Cases 8-11 Months	649	20.9%
Cases 12-18 Months*	474	15.3%
Cases Over 18 Months**	10	0.3%
Total	**3102**	**100%**

*12-18 Months: 13 cases that were reopened; 0 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.
**Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis



Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

	July 2025		August 2025			
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Change	% Change
Investigations	1393	46%	1538	49%	145	10%
Pending Board Review	1643	54%	1564	50%	-79	-5%
Mediation	8	0%	11	0%	3	38%
On DA / FID Hold	3	0%	3	0%	0	0%
Total	3047		3116		69	2%

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	106	63.1%
30 <= Days < 60	15	8.9%
60 <= Days < 90	14	8.3%
90 >= Days	33	19.6%
Total	**168**	**100%**

Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests (January 2024 - August 2025)



Closed Cases

In August 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 30% of the cases it closed.

Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - August 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated
An individual stated that he was driving his friend's vehicle with a passenger when he was stopped by the subject officer. The subject officer searched his vehicle and seized it. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed that the subject officer searched the front seats of the vehicle, its glove box compartment, and various bags in the rear seats of the vehicle. It showed that the individual informed the subject officer that he was not the owner of the car and the subject officer made no inquiries to ascertain the vehicle's owner. The investigation found that the subject officer had no legal right to search the individual's vehicle because the individual's frustration with the vehicle stop and the individual turning his body to the rear of the vehicle as stated by the subject officer did not meet the threshold required for a warrantless search, that the vehicle seizure was improper as the vehicle was parked in a legal spot, and that the subject officer took no action to ascertain the owner of the vehicle. The Board substantiated Abuse of Authority allegations.

2. Unable to Determine
An individual stated she was riding an electric scooter when an unmarked police vehicle pulled up beside her. There were three subject officers in the vehicle who stated that she had been swerving and asked her about the contents of her bags. The subject officers drove off without ever exiting the vehicle. The individual had the license plate of the police vehicle. The investigation found the vehicle and found that there were three officers assigned to the vehicle on the incident day and that their vehicle had been at the incident location for a few minutes. The three subject officers stated that they had no recollection of the incident as described by the individual and that the area had a shop where they would have stopped for a snack. Without further evidence, the investigation could not determine if the three subject officers had stopped and questioned the individual. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded
An individual stated that he was stopped by the subject officer while he was riding his moped. The individual stated that he was issued summonses by the subject officer who failed to obtain language interpretation services for him. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officer tried to speak to the individual about why he was being stopped, and the individual could only communicate back in limited English. The subject officer called the NYPD's language line service unit and asked the

individual if Chinese was his language and the individual responded "yes". A Chinese interpreter from the language line services then spoke to the individual in Mandarin and explained all the details regarding the stop, that he was going to be issued summonses, and how to respond to the summonses. The individual did not address any questions to the subject officer. The call was then disconnected, and the subject officer went back to his vehicle to print out the summonses and then handed them to the individual. The subject officer reiterated in English the information provided by the interpreter and the individual was again confused and pointed at the summonses which had text in English. The investigation found that subject officer correctly concluded that he could not communicate effectively with the individual and obtained language services to address the communication issue to carry out the law enforcement action. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that she called 911 after workers at her apartment threatened to call the police on her. The subject officer and her partner responded to the individual's 911 call. When the individual opened the door to speak to the officers, the subject officer said, "you're beautiful and smart, you shouldn't be acting crazy." The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the officers knock on the individual's door. The individual opened the door and a brief conversation ensued. The subject officer said to the individual "you're a grown lady, you look like you're smart, you're pretty, you're intelligent. Try to conduct yourself in that manner." The individual responded, "got you." The tone of the words was conversational. The conversation continued for a few more minutes wherein the individual stated that she now understood the situation. The individual returned to her apartment and the subject officer, and her partner exited the building. At no point in the interaction did the subject officer tell the individual to stop "acting crazy". The Board closed the Discourtesy allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that he was on his way to a store when he was stopped by three male officers who grabbed his arms, pushed him up against a wall and searched his pockets. The officers took a knife from the individual's pocket and damaged his phone screen when it fell to the ground. The officers said they had been looking for a suspect. After searching the individual, they began to walk away. The individual askes for his knife back and they replied, "it's either the knife or jail." The individual asked for their badge numbers and none of them provided their information. The individual could not describe the subject officers. The investigation found one police vehicle that was near the incident location and the vehicle had six officers assigned to it: three of the officers were at a fixed post, two of them had responded to a report of gunshots for which they went searching for shell casings as there was no description of a shooter, and the last officer was on patrol in the vehicle and did not see any officers conducting a stop of an individual. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Use of Force and Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.
** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (August 2025)



Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

	Aug 2024		Aug 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Substantiated	79	30%	71	41%	538	32%	719	48%
Within NYPD Guidelines	45	17%	21	12%	269	16%	184	12%
Unfounded	64	24%	33	19%	395	23%	275	18%
Unable to Determine	68	25%	38	22%	397	24%	270	18%
MOS Unidentified	11	4%	9	5%	89	5%	54	4%
Total - Full Investigations	267		172		1688		1502	
Mediation Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	5	100%	4	100%	35	100%	22	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	5		4		35		22	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	32	11%	30	8%	189	9%	154	7%
Unable to Investigate*	224	76%	269	68%	1568	74%	1601	73%
Closed - Pending Litigation	36	12%	97	24%	348	16%	414	19%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	3	1%	1	0%	23	1%	19	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	295		397		2132		2193	
Total - Closed Cases	567		573		3855		3717	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

"Allegations" are different than "cases." A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

	Aug 2024		Aug 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Fully Investigated Allegations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	270	18%	223	18%	1944	21%	2413	22%
Unable to Determine	305	21%	174	14%	1714	18%	1793	16%
Unfounded	308	21%	244	20%	1925	21%	2138	20%
Within NYPD Guidelines	536	36%	506	41%	3136	34%	4034	37%
MOS Unidentified	68	5%	90	7%	551	6%	545	5%
Total - Full Investigations	1487		1237		9270		10923	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	9	100%	16	100%	116	100%	70	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	9		16		116		70	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	82	11%	74	6%	548	9%	424	7%
Unable to Investigate*	506	67%	727	62%	3676	63%	3686	62%
Closed - Pending Litigation	118	16%	315	27%	1209	21%	1417	24%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	54	7%	54	5%	372	6%	440	7%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	23	0%	8	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	760		1170		5828		5975	
Total - Closed Allegations	2256		2423		15214		16968	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (August 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	28	32	216	90	13	379
	7%	8%	57%	24%	3%	100%
Abuse of Authority	157	119	256	128	63	723
	22%	16%	35%	18%	9%	100%
Discourtesy	31	19	32	22	9	113
	27%	17%	28%	19%	8%	100%
Offensive Language	7	4	2	4	5	22
	32%	18%	9%	18%	23%	100%
	223	174	506	244	90	1237
Total	18%	14%	41%	20%	7%	100%

Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	170	320	1514	681	76	2761
	6%	12%	55%	25%	3%	100%
Abuse of Authority	1920	1182	2294	1173	368	6937
	28%	17%	33%	17%	5%	100%
Discourtesy	266	212	222	220	72	992
	27%	21%	22%	22%	7%	100%
Offensive Language	57	79	4	64	29	233
	24%	34%	2%	27%	12%	100%
	2413	1793	4034	2138	545	10923
Total	22%	16%	37%	20%	5%	100%

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the "Untruthful Statement" allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the "Abuse of Authority" allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	August 2024		August 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	4	50%	1	100%	-3	-75%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	4	50%	0	0%	-4	-100%
Total Allegations	8		1		-7	-88%

Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	21	68%	19	70%	-2	-10%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	1	3%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Misleading official statement	9	29%	8	30%	-1	-11%
Total Allegations	31		27		-4	-13%

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing ("RPBP") Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations with % Change

Bias-Based Allegations	August 2024		August 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Disability	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Housing Status	1	33%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Race	2	67%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Total Allegations	3		0		-3	-100%

Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations YTD with % Change

Bias-Based Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	2	4%	1	5%	-1	-50%
Disability	10	21%	2	10%	-8	-80%
Gender	1	2%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Housing Status	1	2%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	5	11%	0	0%	-5	-100%
Race	28	60%	17	85%	-11	-39%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Total Allegations	47		20		-27	-57%

Substantiation Rates

The August 2025 case substantiation rate was 41%.

Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - August 2025)



Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Aug 2025) (% substantiated shown)



Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Aug 2025) (% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations* (Aug 2024, Aug 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	August 2024		August 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Disposition	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	38	26%	28	22%	282	28%	310	24%
Command Discipline B	32	22%	22	17%	208	20%	254	19%
Command Discipline A	51	35%	47	37%	384	37%	474	36%
Formalized Training	25	17%	30	24%	151	15%	276	21%
Total	146		127		1025		1314	

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was deteremined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of serverity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disiciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training (0 < penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

	Aug 2024	Aug 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	17	24	164	153
Total Complaints	567	573	3855	3717
PSA Complaints as % of Total	3.0%	4.2%	4.3%	4.1%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

	Aug 2024	Aug 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	6	2	36	27
PSA 2	3	11	45	61
PSA 3	7	13	83	59
PSA 4	0	6	30	41
PSA 5	10	7	25	58
PSA 6	2	2	18	22
PSA 7	4	2	36	25
PSA 8	2	3	26	12
PSA 9	2	3	30	18
Total	36	49	329	323

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

	Aug 2024		Aug 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	20	37%	40	67%	135	31%	181	42%
Abuse of Authority (A)	22	41%	15	25%	223	51%	189	44%
Discourtesy (D)	8	15%	4	7%	60	14%	44	10%
Offensive Language (O)	4	7%	1	2%	19	4%	11	3%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Total	54	100%	60	101%	439	100%	427	99%

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

	Aug 2024		Aug 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Full Investigations	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	10	33%	1	12%	81	38%	58	32%
Within NYPD Guidelines	6	20%	3	38%	51	24%	48	27%
Unfounded	12	40%	3	38%	56	26%	48	27%
Unable to Determine	2	7%	1	12%	27	12%	25	14%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%
Total - Full Investigations	30		8		216		181	
Mediation Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
Total - Mediation Closures	0		0		3		3	
Unable to Investigate / Other Closures	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	2	33%	0	0%	11	10%	8	6%
Unable to Investigate*	1	17%	26	63%	66	60%	68	49%
Closed - Pending Litigation	2	33%	15	37%	31	28%	53	38%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	17%	0	0%	2	2%	10	7%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total - Other Case Dispositions	6		41		110		139	
Total - Closed Cases	36		49		329		323	

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in August and this year.

Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed

	August 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	4	22

Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

	August 2025	YTD 2025
Force	0	9
Abuse of Authority	16	46
Discourtesy	0	10
Offensive Language	0	5
Total	16	70

Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (August 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	2
Queens	2
Staten Island	0

Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (August 2025)

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	3
Queens	13
Staten Island	0

Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct (Aug 2025 - YTD 2025)

Precinct	Aug 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Aug 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	1	48	0	1
18	0	2	52	0	2
19	0	1	73	0	1
23	0	1	75	0	1
25	1	1	78	0	1
32	1	2	106	1	1
40	0	2	108	0	1
43	0	1	116	1	1
46	0	1	NA	0	1

Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct (Aug 2025 - YTD 2025)

Precinct	Aug 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Aug 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	9	48	0	6
18	0	7	52	0	4
19	0	6	73	0	1
23	0	3	75	0	4
25	2	2	78	0	1
32	1	3	106	4	4
40	0	4	108	0	3
43	0	2	116	9	9
46	0	1	NA	0	1

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Aug 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial	0	2
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	7	90
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	2
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	2
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	4
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	4	17
	Disciplinary Action Total	**11**	**117**
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial	1	7
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	1	2
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	3	6
	**Retained, without discipline	0	7
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	No Disciplinary Action Total	**5**	**22**
Not Adjudicated	Other	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	1	4
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	0	1
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	3
	Retired	0	3
	Resigned	0	4
	Terminated	0	1
	Terminal leave	1	1
	Not Adjudicated Total	**2**	**21**
	Total Closures	**18**	**160**

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	58.06	55.96
2024	26.48	45.69	30.31
2025 YTD	79.88	70.00	78.41

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

Discipline*	August 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	1
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	9
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	5	66
Command Discipline B	0	1
Command Discipline A	3	8
Formalized Training**	3	32
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
Disciplinary Action† Total	**11**	**117**
No Disciplinary Action†	5	22
Adjudicated Total	**16**	**139**
Discipline Rate	**69%**	**84%**
Not Adjudicated† Total	2	21
Total Closures	**18**	**160**

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.
** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.
† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

Disposition	Disposition Type*	July 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	17	117
	Command Discipline A	45	270
	Formalized Training**	24	208
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	0	9
	Total	**86**	**604**
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	2
	Resigned	0	3
	SOL Expired	4	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	1	23
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	1	129
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	Total	**6**	**161**
	Discipline Rate	**93%**	**79%**
	DUP Rate	**2%**	**20%**

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges,and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.
** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.
† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.
†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.
††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges,those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.
†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page

Figure 53: "Short SOL"* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration (Jul 2024, Jul 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	July 2024		July 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Days to SOL at Close Group	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	8	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%	4	1%	33	26%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%	1	100%	15	3%	78	61%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	8	17%		0%	38	9%	17	13%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	26	54%		0%	73	17%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	14	29%		0%	293	68%		0%
Total	48		1		431		128	

Figure 54: "Short SOL"* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation (Jul 2024, Jul 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

	July 2024		July 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
Days to SOL at Close Group	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	3%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%		0%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%		0%	2	3%	1	100%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	4	29%		0%	5	8%		0%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	7	50%		0%	18	29%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	3	21%		0%	36	57%		0%
Total	14				63		1	

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (July 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Delgis Sotopimentel	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Pepperman	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Samantha Humburg	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Albert Piney	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Fernandez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	18	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Andre Green	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	18	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Tej Bhatt	D: Word	19	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Rachid Benlhoussain	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	19	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Andrew Testani	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	20	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Juan Quiroz	A: Threat of summons	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Alyssa Trigueno	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Edwin Nieves	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Richard Mcknight	A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Nortwich	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Merino	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Damore	D: Word	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Reuben Antwi	A: Vehicle search	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Brandon Quiles	A: Vehicle search	40	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Paul Mccarthy	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Anthony Perlmutter	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jorge Ortiz	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Willian Pachecocuzco	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Anthony Perlmutter	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Peter Delohery	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ernst Celestin	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tiago Gomes	A: Failure to Explain; D: Word	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Watson Dorce	F: Physical force	40	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joksan Barragan	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Ramandeep Lehra	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ana Hernandez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sameer Umrao	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Joel Ayala	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 6 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Thybel Felix	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Jose Cordero	A: Vehicle search	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michael Monahan	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.37 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Mckenna	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Bory Echanique	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.37 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carmelo Ayala	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.37 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Polanco	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT John Migliaccio	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Enzo Fernandez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Liron Sinanaj	A: Question	49	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Cindy Hugginswilliams	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Karina Hiciano	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Pepdjonovic	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	50	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Mariela Ortega	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	50	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Doris Miranda	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Alexander Allen	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Melida Gonzalez	D: Word	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Juan Burgos	D: Word	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT SA Andrew Hatki	D: Word	52	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Thatcher	A: Threat of arrest	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Geovani Sanchez	A: Threat of arrest	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Richard Aseng	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Commander	A: Question; A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search (of person)	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Roger Haynes	A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO George Hanna	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Keith Hum	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Khaleef Allicott	A: Frisk	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jason Simmons	A: Frisk	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Estevez	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Search (of person)	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Racine Otway	A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniston Swaby	A: Unlawful Summons	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Hiram Riffas	A: Vehicle search	69	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brian Henn	A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Carolei	A: Refusal to provide shield number	70	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Frank Hernandez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Jeffrey Goris	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Matthew Byrnes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	CPT Anthony Scapicchio	A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Espinal	A: Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gurwinder Singh	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mahmoud Mohamed	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jeffrey Rosa	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	77	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Adam Dumelle	D: Word	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Aman Rana	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Entry of Premises	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Hillary Chambers	D: Word	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andy Aguilar	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Cynita Bates	D: Word	84	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daniel Watson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word	94	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Andrew Marchello	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	94	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gerald Burtt	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vincent Pape	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); D: Word	105	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Schmachtenberg	A: Detention; A: Detention; A: Detention; A: Detention	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Wittneben	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ryan Nertney	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Barreto	A: Vehicle search	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Daniel Barreto	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Joseph Marcello	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Phillip Waldhelm	A: Search (of person)	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	A: Search (of person); A: Question	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)

Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (August 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation(s)	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steve Silverstein	F: Physical force	9	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Raymond Sze	A: Other; U: False official statement	18	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Key Matiascastillo	D: Other; D: Other; O: Other; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan	Command Discipline A 3 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Harryson Cothias	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises	41	Bronx	Command Discipline A 1 days
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Salvator Maida	A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to Explain; D: Word; A: Seizure of property; D: Word	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Gregory Hernandez	A: Bias-Based Policing (Disability); A: Forcible Removal to Hospital	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stanislav Yakovlev	A: Refusal to provide shield number; D: Word; A: Refusal to provide name; O: Race	63	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Conor Mcgouran	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to Explain; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline A 2 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Luigi Scalici	A: False official statement	83	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 5 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Pala	D: Word; D: Other	103	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Aylward	D: Word; A: Vehicle stop; A: Search (of person)	105	Queens	Formalized Training

Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (August 2025)

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rachid Lora	A: Threat of arrest; A: Interference with recording	13	Manhattan	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT SA Miguele Amoresano	A: Unlawful Summons	30	Manhattan	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Jonathan Rivera	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); F: Gun fired	52	Bronx	Closed: Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Joseph Desena	F: Chokehold; F: Restricted Breathing	61	Brooklyn	Closed: Plea set aside, Without discipline
Substantiated (Charges)	POF Olga Dudka	F: Nonlethal restraining device	90	Brooklyn	Closed: Not guilty after trial

Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (August 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sean Hildebrand	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Satriano	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	7	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maximilian Zapata	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maximilian Zapata	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maximilian Zapata	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Soto	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrew Testani	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Soto	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrew Testani	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Casey Ayrovainen	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Soto	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrew Testani	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Soto	Discourtesy	Word	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Soto	Discourtesy	Word	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Steven Caraballo	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Morales	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Stop	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	Abuse of Authority	Stop	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ruby Esparza	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Morales	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Steven Caraballo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Estrada	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Emanuel Crespo	Discourtesy	Word	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Erick Estrada	Discourtesy	Word	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Philippe Bernardin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alinson Tavarespolanco	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Khushal Khalid	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 William Bodner	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jose Velez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Nikolas Quintero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 John Gunn	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Liam Kite	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Peter Montalbano	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Miguel Ignesgonzalez	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Mota	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Mota	Force	Hit against inanimate object	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Mota	Force	Chokehold	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Mota	Force	Physical force	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaddad Salem	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meagan Camacho	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Howard Roth	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meagan Camacho	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meagan Camacho	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Discourtesy	Word	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Offensive Language	Race	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meagan Camacho	Offensive Language	Sexual orientation	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Offensive Language	Sexual orientation	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Marvin Jeanbaptiste	Offensive Language	Gender	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Scheblein	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Brendan Reilly	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Brendan Reilly	Abuse of Authority	Stop	40	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Scheblein	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Denis Rocha	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Idaniel Taveras	Abuse of Authority	Obstructed Shield Number	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Christina Moncion	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Stop	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Christina Moncion	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT DS Brian Clements	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Latimer	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT DS Brian Clements	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Christina Moncion	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Discourtesy	Word	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Edgar Santos	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Luis Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joel Feliz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joel Feliz	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kenny Acosta	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Luis Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kenny Acosta	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ramon Moretadelacruz	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Pedro Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aidan Murphy	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Pedro Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ramon Moretadelacruz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aidan Murphy	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	49	Bronx

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Pedro Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ramon Moretadelacruz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Aidan Murphy	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rodolfo Viveros	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Marianny Montanoarias	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rodolfo Viveros	Discourtesy	Action	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Adam Keegan	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Baptiste	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Adam Keegan	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ronald Urgiles	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Feliz Heredia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Travolino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Travolino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Phelan	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Marra	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jose Guzman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Cava	Discourtesy	Word	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Force	Physical force	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Charles Montalbano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dean Perez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Xiao	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ali Salem	Discourtesy	Word	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Toner	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rosarion Saintelme	Abuse of Authority	Question	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rosarion Saintelme	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Pruden	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rene Duran	Force	Physical force	67	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Cory Green	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Michael Mainolfi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Burim Kadrijaj	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katie Oneill	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katie Oneill	Discourtesy	Word	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katie Oneill	Discourtesy	Action	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ali Ahmed	Discourtesy	Action	68	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jeffrey Khabbaz	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal)	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Richard Aseng	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ahmed Ali	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Elijah Boyle	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Quran Mcphatter	Force	Physical force	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jairo Villardiaz	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Hoffner	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Hoffner	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Thomas Rourke	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zachary Cachia	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zachary Cachia	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Teran	Force	Vehicle	75	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO David Teran	Force	Vehicle	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Hit against inanimate object	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Hit against inanimate object	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Pepper spray	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Yael Magori	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Yael Magori	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edward Bejaran	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kemeisha Douglasgibbs	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edward Bejaran	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO James Govindan	Discourtesy	Word	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Edward Bejaran	Force	Physical force	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Lisbeth Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Andrew Morris	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Matthew Crescione	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jean Polonia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Casey Catalano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Shane Jacobs	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Michelle Giglio	Abuse of Authority	Detention	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Richard Charles	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Richard Charles	Force	Gun Pointed	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Kevin Oconnor	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT2 Conrad Narcisse	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sohaib Rasool	Discourtesy	Word	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Joel Rodriguez	Force	Chokehold	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sohaib Rasool	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Stop	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Lettow	Abuse of Authority	Stop	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Lettow	Abuse of Authority	Question	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	83	Brooklyn

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Lettow	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albert Barbosa	Force	Physical force	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Kim	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eleni Karagkouni	Discourtesy	Word	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Frank Vetere	Force	Physical force	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Usama Ashfaq	Force	Physical force	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Kim	Force	Physical force	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Kim	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eleni Karagkouni	Offensive Language	Gender	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kevin Donato	Abuse of Authority	Other	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincent Pastore	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Siderius	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincent Pastore	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Siderius	Abuse of Authority	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tyler Harper	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cameron Deluise	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andrew Diaz	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicholas Correggia	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Paul Petrone	Force	Hit against inanimate object	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Pryor	Force	Physical force	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincent Pastore	Force	Physical force	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cameron Deluise	Force	Physical force	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincent Pastore	Force	Physical force	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Siderius	Force	Physical force	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Caitlin Steele	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	104	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Sean Finnegan	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon James	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	109	Queens

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon James	Discourtesy	Word	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon James	Discourtesy	Word	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon James	Force	Physical force	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon James	Offensive Language	Gender	109	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenneth Dalton	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sasha Rosen	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenneth Dalton	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Thomas Sorrentino	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Thomas Sorrentino	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Thomas Sorrentino	Abuse of Authority	Stop	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Brussell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kyle Clavin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jason Rieger	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jason Rieger	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Marmol	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jason Rieger	Force	Physical force	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Amilcar Garcia	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brian Marmol	Offensive Language	Gender	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Barrow	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Barrow	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	Abuse of Authority	Stop	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Timothy Barrow	Abuse of Authority	Stop	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Robert Semioli	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Robert Semioli	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Frederick Daley	Discourtesy	Word	121	Staten Island