



ERIC L. ADAMS  
MAYOR

**CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD**  
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235  
[www.nyc.gov/ccrb](http://www.nyc.gov/ccrb)



DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID  
INTERIM CHAIR

**Executive Director's Monthly Report**  
**August 2025**  
**(Statistics for July 2025)**

## Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	41

# Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for July 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 50% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 66% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In July, the CCRB opened 439 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,060 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 47% of its fully investigated cases in July (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 60% of the cases it closed in July (page 14).
- 4) For July, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 47% of cases - compared to 25% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 41-51).
- 6) In July the Police Commissioner finalized 12 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 14 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 8 trials were conducted against respondent officers in July.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

# Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

**Allegation:** An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

**APU:** The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

**Board Panel:** The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

**Case/Complaint:** For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

**Disposition:** The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

**FADO:** Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

**FADO&U:** A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

**Intake:** CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

**Investigation:** CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

**Mediation:** A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

**Unable to Investigate:** A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”


**Withdrawn:** When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

**Closed Pending Litigation:** When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."


# Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In July 2025, the CCRB initiated 439 new complaints.


**Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - July 2025)**



**Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - July 2025)**



**Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)**



## CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (July 2025)





Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)



**Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (July 2025)**


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	8	67	9
1	6	68	3
5	7	69	8
6	3	70	4
7	2	71	1
9	3	72	3
10	1	73	14
13	3	75	21
14	17	76	1
17	2	77	11
18	6	78	3
23	7	79	12
24	5	81	4
25	6	83	6
26	2	84	5
28	5	88	1
30	1	90	4
32	8	94	3
33	8	100	3
34	11	101	4
40	17	102	3
41	6	103	7
42	3	105	3
43	11	106	1
44	14	107	1
45	4	108	4
46	9	109	2
47	2	110	4
48	5	111	5
49	8	112	3
50	2	113	6
52	6	114	5
60	10	115	2
61	1	116	11
62	2	120	9
63	6	121	1
		122	3
		123	1
		Unknown	31

\*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

# Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

**Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (July 2024 vs. July 2025)**




\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

**Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)**

	July 2024		July 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	283	55%	209	48%	-74	-26%
Abuse of Authority (A)	379	73%	300	68%	-79	-21%
Discourtesy (D)	116	22%	102	23%	-14	-12%
Offensive Language (O)	26	5%	17	4%	-9	-35%
Total FADO Allegations	804		628		-176	-22%
Total Complaints	519		439		-80	-15%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

**Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)**



\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

**Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)**

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	1646	49%	1605	50%	-41	-2%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2563	76%	2347	73%	-216	-8%
Discourtesy (D)	864	26%	735	23%	-129	-15%
Offensive Language (O)	215	6%	155	5%	-60	-28%
Total FADO Allegations	5288		4842		-446	-8%
Total Complaints	3386		3215		-171	-5%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

**Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)**

	July 2024		July 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	722	32%	486	35%	-236	-33%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1297	58%	746	54%	-551	-42%
Discourtesy (D)	173	8%	134	10%	-39	-23%
Offensive Language (O)	40	2%	23	2%	-17	-43%
Total Allegations	2232		1389		-843	-38%
Total Complaints	519		439		-80	-15%

**Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)**

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	4375	29%	4166	33%	-209	-5%
Abuse of Authority (A)	8903	60%	7248	57%	-1655	-19%
Discourtesy (D)	1322	9%	1026	8%	-296	-22%
Offensive Language (O)	302	2%	203	2%	-99	-33%
Total Allegations	14902		12643		-2259	-15%
Total Complaints	3386		3215		-171	-5%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

## CCRB Docket

As of the end of July 2025, 50% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 66% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

**Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (July 2025)**

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1529	50.1%
Cases 5-7 Months	483	15.8%
Cases 8-11 Months	654	21.4%
Cases 12-18 Months*	378	12.4%
Cases Over 18 Months**	5	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3049</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 7 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

\*\*Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (July 2025)**


	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1384	45.4%
Cases 5-7 Months	497	16.3%
Cases 8-11 Months	701	23.0%
Cases 12-18 Months*	455	14.9%
Cases Over 18 Months**	12	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3049</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 9 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.


\*\*Over18 Months: 2 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

*An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.*

**Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - July 2025)**



**Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis**



**Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change**


	June 2025		July 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1482	50%	1406	46%	-76	-5%
Pending Board Review	1464	50%	1643	54%	179	12%
Mediation	4	0%	8	0%	4	100%
On DA / FID Hold	3	0%	3	0%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2953</b>		<b>3060</b>		<b>107</b>	<b>4%</b>

# Body Worn Camera Footage Requests


Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

**Figure 18: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Unredacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - July 2025)**




**Figure 19: Average Business Days To Recieve Positive Return on Redacted BWC Requests (January 2024 - July 2025)**




**Figure 20: Pending Requests for BWC Footage**

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	93	54.4%
30 <= Days < 60	33	19.3%
60 <= Days < 90	15	8.8%
90 >= Days	30	17.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Figure 21: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2024 - July 2025)**




**Figure 22: Fulfilled BWC Requests (January 2024 - July 2025)**



# Closed Cases

In July 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 60% of the cases it closed.

**Figure 23: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - July 2025) (%)**



## Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.\*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.\*\*
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

## Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

### 1. Substantiated

An individual stated that he was in a vehicle accident when the subject officer and his partner arrived on the scene. The subject officer accused the individual of being drunk and the individual denied it. The subject officer grabbed the individual's arm and said the following: "I'll fuck you up"; "I'll fucking kill you right now"; "I'm going to beat the shit out of you"; and "I'll knock you the fuck out." The individual in response said to the subject officer that he would fight him. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed that the individual was told by the subject officer to "step the fuck back" when he moved the individual away from the vehicle. A few minutes later, the individual was speaking to another officer said to the subject officer was now across the street, "just because you're a cop you think you can speak that way." The subject officer then ran back across the street towards the individual and said "I'll fuck you up, come on". The officer that had been speaking with the individual had to stand between the individual and the subject officer. The subject officer at his interview admitted that he had acted in an unprofessional manner. The investigation found that the subject officer had spoken discourtesy towards the individual by using foul language and threatened him with force by stating that he would physically assault the individual. The Board substantiated the Discourtesy and Abuse of Authority allegations.

### 2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that she was at home when she heard a knock on her front door. When she answered it, the subject officer stated that he had a warrant for her son. She asked to see it and he refused to show it to her. The subject officer at his interview stated that he had an I-card for the individual's son which he did not need to show. He stated that he had difficulty hearing the individual through her screen door, did not tell the individual that he had a warrant, could recall being asked to show a warrant and refusing to show it. The investigation found that there were no warrants issued for the individual's son. Without further evidence, the investigation could not determine if the individual asked to see a warrant and if the subject officer refused to show one. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as Unable to Determine.

### 3. Unfounded

An individual stated that she called 911 on an unknown date in the month of February to report that her housemate had threatened her and stole from her. The subject officer and five additional officers responded to the home. The officers knocked on the individual's bedroom door to speak with her. While

the officers were speaking to the house mate, the subject officer knocked the individual down to the floor and sat on her chest. The subject officer stated that she had previously responded to the individual's home on other occasions but not in the month of February. The investigation found that police responded to the individual's home 18 times in the month of February, 10 of which were harassment related. During that time, the subject officer was not one of the responding officers. A review of BWC footage relating to the individual during the month of February revealed that that no force used on the individual as she spoke to the responding officers, and that none of them were the subject officer. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as Unfounded.

#### **4. Within NYPD Guidelines**

An individual stated that he was driving his recently purchased moped with his girlfriend as a passenger. The individual stated that neither of them wore helmets and the moped had a temporary Puerto Rico license plate affixed to the back of the moped. As he parked the moped an unmarked dark brown cargo van pulled up on his right side and the three subject officers exited the van with flashlights on. Two of the subject officers told him to turn off the moped and he complied. All three asked him about his moped.

The incident was captured on BWC. The individual and his girlfriend were not wearing helmets and when the subject officers questioned the individual about his license plate, he admitted that it had been purchased from a shop. The subject officers at their interview stated that they noticed that the individual was not wearing a helmet and that the license plate on the moped was fake. The investigation found that the subject officers were legally allowed to stop the individual because they observed that the individual was in violation of traffic laws that state that a motorcycle driver must wear a helmet and that vehicles on public roads must have a legally issued and registered license plate. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

#### **5. Officer Unidentified**

No Officer Unidentified cases were closed this month

\* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

\*\* Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

## Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (July 2025)





Figure 25: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



## Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

**Figure 26: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)**

	Jul 2024		Jul 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	61	23%	94	47%	459	32%	648	49%
Within NYPD Guidelines	47	17%	25	12%	224	16%	163	12%
Unfounded	67	25%	46	23%	331	23%	242	18%
Unable to Determine	78	29%	33	16%	329	23%	232	17%
MOS Unidentified	16	6%	2	1%	78	5%	45	3%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>269</b>		<b>200</b>		<b>1421</b>		<b>1330</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	6	100%	2	100%	30	100%	18	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>6</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>30</b>		<b>18</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	16	8%	7	5%	157	9%	124	7%
Closed - Pending Litigation	37	19%	15	11%	312	17%	317	18%
Unable to Investigate*	139	72%	111	83%	1344	73%	1332	74%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	1	1%	1	1%	20	1%	18	1%
Administrative Closure***	1	1%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>194</b>		<b>134</b>		<b>1837</b>		<b>1796</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>469</b>		<b>336</b>		<b>3288</b>		<b>3144</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

## Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

**Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)**

	Jul 2024		Jul 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
<b>Fully Investigated Allegations</b>								
Substantiated	232	17%	295	22%	1674	22%	2190	23%
Unable to Determine	286	21%	211	16%	1409	18%	1619	17%
Unfounded	300	22%	269	20%	1617	21%	1894	20%
Within NYPD Guidelines	468	34%	545	40%	2600	33%	3528	36%
MOS Unidentified	105	8%	35	3%	483	6%	455	5%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>1391</b>		<b>1355</b>		<b>7783</b>		<b>9686</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	32	100%	4	100%	107	100%	54	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>32</b>		<b>4</b>		<b>107</b>		<b>54</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	52	9%	12	3%	466	9%	350	7%
Unable to Investigate*	314	56%	219	60%	3170	63%	2959	62%
Closed - Pending Litigation	149	27%	80	22%	1091	22%	1102	23%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	41	7%	51	14%	318	6%	386	8%
Administrative Closure***	5	1%	0	0%	23	0%	8	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>561</b>		<b>362</b>		<b>5068</b>		<b>4805</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Allegations</b>	<b>1984</b>		<b>1721</b>		<b>12958</b>		<b>14545</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

**Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (July 2025)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	21 5%	43 11%	219 56%	98 25%	13 3%	394 100%
Abuse of Authority	229 28%	132 16%	300 37%	142 17%	18 2%	821 100%
Discourtesy	33 29%	27 24%	26 23%	23 21%	3 3%	112 100%
Offensive Language	12 43%	9 32%	0 0%	6 21%	1 4%	28 100%
Total	295 22%	211 16%	545 40%	269 20%	35 3%	1355 100%

**Figure 29: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	142 6%	288 12%	1298 54%	591 25%	63 3%	2382 100%
Abuse of Authority	1763 28%	1063 17%	2038 33%	1045 17%	305 5%	6214 100%
Discourtesy	235 27%	193 22%	190 22%	198 23%	63 7%	879 100%
Offensive Language	50 24%	75 36%	2 1%	60 28%	24 11%	211 100%
Total	2190 23%	1619 17%	3528 36%	1894 20%	455 5%	9686 100%

## Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

**Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change**

Untruthful Statement Allegations	July 2024		July 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	7	100%	1	50%	-6	-86%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	0	0%	1	50%	1	NA
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>7</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>-5</b>	<b>-71%</b>

**Figure 31: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change**

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	17	74%	18	69%	1	6%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	1	4%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Misleading official statement	5	22%	8	31%	3	60%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>23</b>		<b>26</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>13%</b>

## Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

**Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations with % Change**

Bias-Based Allegations	July 2024		July 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Disability	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Housing Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Race	3	100%	2	100%	-1	-33%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>-1</b>	<b>-33%</b>


**Figure 33: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations YTD with % Change**

Bias-Based Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	2	5%	1	5%	-1	-50%
Disability	10	23%	2	10%	-8	-80%
Gender	1	2%	0	0%	-1	-100%
Housing Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	5	11%	0	0%	-5	-100%
Race	26	59%	17	85%	-9	-35%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>44</b>		<b>20</b>		<b>-24</b>	<b>-55%</b>


## Substantiation Rates

The July 2025 case substantiation rate was 47%.

**Figure 34: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - July 2025)**



**Figure 35: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints\* (2025)**




\* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.


## Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

**Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Jul 2025)**  
(% substantiated shown)



**Figure 37: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Jul 2025)**  
(% substantiated shown)



## Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 38: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations\* (Jul 2024, Jul 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Disposition	July 2024		July 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	28	22%	41	25%	244	28%	282	24%
Command Discipline B	24	19%	41	25%	176	20%	232	20%
Command Discipline A	63	49%	41	25%	333	38%	427	36%
Formalized Training	13	10%	40	25%	126	14%	246	21%
Total	128		163		879		1187	

\* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training ( 0 < penalty days < 1)

## Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

**Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed**

	Jul 2024	Jul 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	20	19	147	129
Total Complaints	469	336	3288	3144
PSA Complaints as % of Total	4.3%	5.7%	4.5%	4.1%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

**Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA**

	Jul 2024	Jul 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	8	3	30	25
PSA 2	6	11	42	50
PSA 3	9	3	76	46
PSA 4	1	7	30	35
PSA 5	1	7	15	51
PSA 6	0	7	16	20
PSA 7	1	1	32	23
PSA 8	2	0	24	9
PSA 9	1	0	28	15
Total	29	39	293	274

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

**Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type**

	Jul 2024		Jul 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Force (F)	9	23%	22	41%	115	30%	141	38%
Abuse of Authority (A)	20	50%	27	50%	201	52%	174	47%
Discourtesy (D)	6	15%	5	9%	52	14%	40	11%
Offensive Language (O)	4	10%	0	0%	15	4%	10	3%
Untruthful Statement (U)	1	3%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
Total	40	101%	54	100%	385	101%	367	100%

## Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

**Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)**

	Jul 2024		Jul 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	9	47%	8	24%	71	38%	57	33%
Within NYPD Guidelines	7	37%	12	36%	45	24%	45	26%
Unfounded	0	0%	8	24%	44	24%	45	26%
Unable to Determine	3	16%	5	15%	25	13%	24	14%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	1%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>19</b>		<b>33</b>		<b>186</b>		<b>173</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>0</b>		<b>3</b>		<b>3</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	0	0%	9	9%	8	8%
Unable to Investigate*	9	90%	1	17%	65	62%	42	43%
Closed - Pending Litigation	1	10%	4	67%	29	28%	38	39%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	1	17%	1	1%	10	10%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>10</b>		<b>6</b>		<b>104</b>		<b>98</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>29</b>		<b>39</b>		<b>293</b>		<b>274</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

# Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in July and this year.

**Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed**

	July 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	2	18

**Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed**

	July 2025	YTD 2025
Force	0	9
Abuse of Authority	4	30
Discourtesy	0	10
Offensive Language	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>54</b>

**Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (July 2025)**

	Mediations
Bronx	2
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

**Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (July 2025)**

	Mediations
Bronx	4
Brooklyn	0
Manhattan	0
Queens	0
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct  
(Jul 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Jul 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Jul 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	1	46	0	1
18	0	2	48	0	1
19	0	1	52	0	2
23	0	1	73	0	1
32	0	1	75	0	1
40	1	2	78	0	1
43	1	1	108	0	1
			NA	0	1

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct  
(Jul 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Jul 2025	YTD 2025	Precinct	Jul 2025	YTD 2025
6	0	9	46	0	1
18	0	7	48	0	6
19	0	6	52	0	4
23	0	3	73	0	1
32	0	2	75	0	4
40	2	4	78	0	1
43	2	2	108	0	3
			NA	0	1

# Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

**Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures**

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Jul 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial	0	2
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	9	83
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	2
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	2
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	2	4
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	1	14
	<b>Disciplinary Action Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>107</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial	0	6
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	1
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	3
	**Retained, without discipline	0	7
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	<b>No Disciplinary Action Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>
Not Adjudicated	Other	0	4
	Department adjudication in process	0	3
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	0	1
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	3
	Retired	0	3
	Resigned	1	4
	Terminated	0	1
	<b>Not Adjudicated Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Total Closures</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>143</b>	

\*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. \*\* When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. \*\*\* In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

# NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

**Figure 50: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence**

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	57.69	55.90
2024	26.48	45.69	30.31
2025 YTD	77.90	71.21	76.86

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

**Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases**

Discipline*	July 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	1
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	9
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	2	62
Command Discipline B	0	1
Command Discipline A	0	5
Formalized Training**	10	29
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
<b>Disciplinary Action† Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>107</b>
No Disciplinary Action†	0	17
<b>Adjudicated Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>86%</b>
Not Adjudicated† Total	1	19
<b>Total Closures</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>143</b>

\*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

**Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases**

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Disposition Type*</b>	June 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	22	100
	Command Discipline A	54	225
	Formalized Training**	20	184
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	1	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>518</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	1	2
	Resigned	0	3
	SOL Expired	0	0
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	3	22
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	0	128
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>155</b>
	<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>77%</b>
	<b>DUP Rate</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>22%</b>

\*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”\* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration  
(Jun 2024, Jun 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	June 2024		June 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		N/A		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		N/A		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		N/A	8	2%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		N/A	4	1%	33	26%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	5	7%		N/A	15	4%	77	61%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50	1	1%		N/A	30	8%	17	13%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	8	12%		N/A	47	12%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	53	79%		N/A	279	73%		0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>67</b>				<b>383</b>		<b>127</b>	

**Figure 54: “Short SOL”\* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for  
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation  
(Jun 2024, Jun 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	June 2024		June 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20		0%		0%	2	4%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%		0%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40	1	25%		0%	2	4%	1	100%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50		0%		0%	1	2%		0%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	2	50%		0%	11	22%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	1	25%		0%	33	67%		0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>				<b>49</b>		<b>1</b>	

\* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

**Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (June 2025)**

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Yakov Kaushanskiy	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	6	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Joel Manou	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Formalized Training)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Ahmed	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Siddiq	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Stephen Barnes	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Action	7	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Joan Ferreira	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Refusal to provide name	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Grant Pulgarin	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Osvaldo Garcia	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO David Caquias	A: Question	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Franklin Garrido	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Question	23	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edwin Yanez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Frank Gashi	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search	24	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Abreu	A: Stop	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Morales	A: Stop	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Rufian Arshad	A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Frisk	28	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lallit Beharry	A: Search (of person); A: Question	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Michael Bletcher	D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	32	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Vicente Constantine	A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	34	Manhattan	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 John Theos	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Thomas Accomando	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Odani Acevedo	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Sanchez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Vehicle search	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edward Casillaalmanzar	A: Vehicle search	41	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Harmanjot Singh	A: Question	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Danny Aguilar	A: Vehicle search; A: Question	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Carlos Alcantara	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Hector Rodriguez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Crosby	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Danny Aguilar	A: Frisk	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Harmanjot Singh	A: Stop; A: Search (of person); A: Frisk	42	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Angel Henriquez	A: Search (of person)	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Todd Jacoby	A: Search (of person)	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Reymies Marmolejos	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joksan Barragan	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical)	44	Bronx	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Montanez	A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Cotto	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Entry of Premises; A: Search of Premises	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gustavo Santana	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Nasiruddin	O: Race; D: Word; D: Action	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	CPT Francis Drummond	D: Action	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Yakuba Jalloh	D: Action	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Clairet Corderolantigua	A: Stop; A: Frisk	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Timothy Arthur	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Phong Le	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dante Pulido	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kaseem Pennant	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Vanessa Solis	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edin Bacovic	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Search (of person)	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dante Pulido	A: Question	48	Bronx	Formalized Training

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS James Lukeson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kenneth Burton	A: Search (of person)	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Richard Lebron	A: Search (of person)	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Sean Keegan	A: Detention	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Zureno Wilson	A: Vehicle search	63	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Julio Martinez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dennis Diaz	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT SA Leonardo Deoliveira	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Joseph Rosario	A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Dennis Wu	A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christian Perez	A: Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Francesca Lovetro	A: Vehicle search; A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Williams	A: Vehicle search; A: Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Besnik Bojkovic	A: Vehicle stop	68	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Louis Vannucci	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name	68	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brendan Finnigan	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	68	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Carlos Rueda	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	68	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Tyrone Cassara	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	68	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michael Venditti	A: Detention	70	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Rosarion Saintelme	A: Search (of person)	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dia Abdallah	A: Frisk; A: Question; A: Search (of person)	70	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Toner	A: Search (of person)	70	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jazmin Gorislavtsev	A: Entry of Premises; A: Threat of arrest	71	Brooklyn	Formalized Training

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Loporto	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Junaid Saeed	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gregory Clena	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Derrell Henry	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Barnett	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Everett Kerr	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop; A: Frisk	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jon Derise	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Andrew Tofalli	A: Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Mahmudul Bhuiyan	A: Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Paul Mastronardi	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Closed Administratively (Instructions)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Bessen	A: Question	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Michael Dechiaro	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jarrett Dill	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paul Searchwell	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Andy Wong	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Ryan Coffaro	A: Vehicle search	103	Queens	Retired
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vincent Pape	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	105	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Robert Rose	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bart Glowa	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Ryan Mccrain	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Thomas Sorrentino	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO George Sprague	A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search (of person)	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dominique Hyson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Michael Bicchetti	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Terrance Lloyd	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search (of person)	114	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Riccardi	A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Maurice Singletary	A: Vehicle search	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Kevin Vega	A: Question; A: Frisk; A: Search (of person)	120	Staten Island	Command Discipline - B

**Figure 56: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (July 2025)**

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Yakov Kaushanskiy	U: False official statement	1	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Miguele Amoresano	A: Search of Premises; A: Threat of arrest	30	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Ahmad Othman	A: Threat of arrest; A: Threat of arrest	30	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Alexander Bobo	A: Electronic device information deletion	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Mehmet Kanbur	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Alexander Bobo	A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word	77	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Giancarlo Pineda	F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device; F: Nonlethal restraining device	102	Queens	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Arjun Kumar	D: Word; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	106	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Musso	D: Word; D: Word	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Musso	A: Unlawful Summons	110	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Brian Bang	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint; A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	111	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kenneth Miklas	A: Threat re: removal to hospital; A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; A: Refusal to provide name	113	Queens	Formalized Training

**Figure 57: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (July 2025)**

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
----------------------------------	---------	------------	----------	---------	-----------------

**Figure 58: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (July 2025)**

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cam Ates	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Volkan Uretener	Discourtesy	Word	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Omer Sadeh	Discourtesy	Word	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Omer Sadeh	Offensive Language	Disability	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Minh Tran	Discourtesy	Word	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Minh Tran	Discourtesy	Action	5	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kevin Hall	Discourtesy	Word	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Eastmond	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Eastmond	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaina Moore	Abuse of Authority	Other	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaina Moore	Abuse of Authority	Other	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Clara Akomeah	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Barron	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Vince London	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Eastmond	Discourtesy	Word	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Christopher Eastmond	Discourtesy	Word	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Shaina Moore	Force	Physical force	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Licata	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Licata	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory summons	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Mdtanvir Hasnat	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Licata	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ryan Walker	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ryan Walker	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ryan Walker	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Licata	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Licata	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	17	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Maria Chau	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	18	Manhattan

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Crisantos	Abuse of Authority	Sexual Miscon (Sexual Assault)	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Crisantos	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	19	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Crudele	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dennis Maroldo	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ronald Cangemi	Discourtesy	Word	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ronald Cangemi	Force	Physical force	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ronald Cangemi	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Omar Collado	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Omar Collado	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Gellison Flores	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT SA James Seder	Force	Physical force	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Lucia Linares	Force	Physical force	26	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	CPT Michael Urena	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Germaine Hall	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	CPT Michael Urena	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Felipe Ramirez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paris Giddins	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Austin Masiello	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Jairo Perez	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ramon Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ivan Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Martinezcabrera	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	40	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Grant	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kirolos Ishak	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kirolos Ishak	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kirolos Ishak	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Joe Green	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Leclair	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kevin Weber	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kevin Weber	Abuse of Authority	Stop	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Michael Leclair	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Joe Green	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Anthony Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Michael Cohen	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Michael Cohen	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shaun Walker	Abuse of Authority	Question	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Michael Cohen	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Michael Cohen	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Cristina Andeliz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Devone Carreiro	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Mary Fay	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dhafne Jorgereyes	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alfred Maldonado	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ryan Moyles	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jose Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Mohsin Javid	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Elvin Pichardo	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Estevez	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Estevez	Abuse of Authority	Question	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ryan Moyles	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alfred Maldonado	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	44	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Marbury	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Luis Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Ryan Moyles	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Alfred Maldonado	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Estevez	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Mohsin Javid	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Rivera	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Force	Physical force	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Rivera	Offensive Language	Race	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Offensive Language	Ethnicity	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Mohsin Javid	Offensive Language	Sexual orientation	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Hanisi Ballesteros	Offensive Language	Other	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ryan Loeffel	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Ryan Loeffel	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Mandel	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jean Santiago	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Doyle	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Thybel Felix	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ahmed Khalifa	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Diran Buccellato	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anthony Torres	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ruben Gonzalezvargas	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sarah Washington	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon Bahaw	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Crystal Dones	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Gladwin Sato	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Mandel	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Roman	Force	Vehicle	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Crystal Dones	Offensive Language	Race	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Mandel	Offensive Language	Disability	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sheldon Bahaw	Offensive Language	Other	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Steve Mayorga	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Steve Mayorga	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Steve Mayorga	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Rivera	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Steven Mora	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Steve Mayorga	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Dylan Signor	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Whelan	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Whelan	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Christopher Hendrickson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to Explain	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Yvette Juste	Discourtesy	Word	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albulena Kukaj	Discourtesy	Word	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Benjamin Bayan	Force	Chokehold	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Valerie Hernandez	Force	Pepper spray	49	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Taylor Williams	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Juan Marte	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albulena Kukaj	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albulena Kukaj	Force	Physical force	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Benjamin Bayan	Force	Restricted Breathing	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Albulena Kukaj	Offensive Language	Gender	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Yvette Juste	Offensive Language	Gender	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Matthew Guerrido	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Satinderpal Singh	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Satinderpal Singh	Abuse of Authority	Question	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Matthew Guerrido	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jelfri Henriquez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jamaal Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Shamyaa Hussein	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Umar Cheema	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Paul Incorvaia	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Nicholas Cava	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Question	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Question	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Electronic device information deletion	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Marra	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anoop Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Moran	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Conner Anzalone	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	60	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Paul Incorvaia	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Umar Cheema	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Paul Incorvaia	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Sheridan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Paul Incorvaia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Meir Benishai	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anoop Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Sheridan	Discourtesy	Word	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Farone	Discourtesy	Word	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Alexis Realegeno	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Richard Brown	Discourtesy	Word	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Umid Karimov	Force	Physical force	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Richard Brown	Force	Physical force	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO David Rodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Patrick Layburn	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Rahul Sahni	Abuse of Authority	Other	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Rahul Sahni	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Rahul Sahni	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kyle Sforza	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Denny	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Porcelli	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kyle Sforza	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Porcelli	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Denny	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Kyle Sforza	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brendan Denny	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Juliana Dixson	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Porcelli	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Juliana Dixson	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Juliana Dixson	Abuse of Authority	Detention	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO George Hanna	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Saidul Islam	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Keith Hum	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Liam Rubino	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT SA Keith Hum	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Liam Rubino	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO George Hanna	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michael Wallace	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Tashea Simsgaby	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Saidul Islam	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Genesis Montero	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Imad Beydoun	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Drilon Shahini	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Saidul Islam	Discourtesy	Word	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kenneth Vega	Abuse of Authority	Strip-searched	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Yuriy Pavlykov	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Yuriy Pavlykov	Discourtesy	Word	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Yuriy Pavlykov	Offensive Language	Other	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Kelleher	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Kelleher	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Ramirez	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sean Kelleher	Abuse of Authority	Question	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jon Derise	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	73	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Alan Ramirez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nadeem Khan	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Guarrera	Discourtesy	Action	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jon Derise	Force	Pepper spray	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nadeem Khan	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO John Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	CPT Anthony Scapicchio	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Michael Ganz	Abuse of Authority	Detention	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steve Torres	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mohsin Mohsin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mohsin Mohsin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Mohsin Mohsin	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Aldo Salas	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brandon Villabedoya	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Darren Mcfadzean	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Katherine Devito	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Colin Russell	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Darren Mcfadzean	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Orlando	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Darren Mcfadzean	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Zacchaerus Branch	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Darren Mcfadzean	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brandon Villabedoya	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Armin Becirovic	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Aleksandar Todoroski	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michelle Giglio	Abuse of Authority	Stop	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Michelle Giglio	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jasmit Singh	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Gray	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	81	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Gray	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Gray	Abuse of Authority	Stop	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jason Gray	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ronny Claudiorodriguez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shawndella Latham	Abuse of Authority	Search of recording device	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shawndella Latham	Discourtesy	Word	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Steven Vaisblai	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Korkut Koseoglu	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	100	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Mahbubur Rahman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	100	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Wilson	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Christopher Giordano	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jared Pilkington	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Wilson	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Jared Pilkington	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	101	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT3 Christopher Giordano	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Shaun Healy	Force	Physical force	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Other	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DTS Jorge Salazar	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	107	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Syed Ali	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	110	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Denis Gamez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Denis Gamez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Eric Adams	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Siobhan Obrien	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Cecilia Orilia	Offensive Language	Gender	114	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincenzo Disimonebiggins	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	120	Staten Island

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Sal Flores	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincenzo Disimonebiggins	Discourtesy	Word	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Anthony Gitto	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ismael Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Question	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Question	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ismael Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ismael Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Richard Degaetano	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	121	Staten Island