



ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
March 2025
(Statistics for February 2025)

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| Glossary | 3 |
| Complaints Received | 4 |
| CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct | 5 |
| Allegations Received | 7 |
| CCRB Docket | 10 |
| Body Worn Camera Footage Requests | 12 |
| Closed Cases | 14 |
| Dispositions / Case Abstracts | 15 |
| Dispositions - Full Investigations | 17 |
| Dispositions - All CCRB Cases | 18 |
| Dispositions - Allegations | 19 |
| Substantiation Rates | 23 |
| Substantiation Rates and Video | 24 |
| Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers | 25 |
| Complaints by PSA | 26 |
| Mediation Unit | 28 |
| Administrative Prosecution Unit | 30 |
| NYPD Discipline | 31 |
| List of Substantiated Allegations | 42 |

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for February 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 46% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 64% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In February, the CCRB opened 437 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 2,953 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 43% of its fully investigated cases in February (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 40% of the cases it closed in February (page 14).
- 4) For February, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 44% of cases - compared to 38% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 42-51).
- 6) In February the Police Commissioner finalized 12 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 2 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 2 trials were conducted against respondent officers in February.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is “Closed Pending Litigation.”

Complaints Received

The CCRB's Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB's jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In February 2025, the CCRB initiated 437 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - February 2025)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - February 2025)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (February 2025)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (February 2025)


| NYPD Precinct of Occurrence* | Number of Complaints | NYPD Precinct of Occurrence* | Number of Complaints |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 4 | 67 | 13 |
| 1 | 6 | 68 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 | 69 | 3 |
| 6 | 3 | 70 | 7 |
| 7 | 4 | 71 | 9 |
| 9 | 5 | 72 | 6 |
| 13 | 4 | 73 | 11 |
| 14 | 10 | 75 | 17 |
| 17 | 3 | 76 | 2 |
| 18 | 5 | 77 | 7 |
| 19 | 1 | 78 | 7 |
| 20 | 3 | 79 | 12 |
| 23 | 3 | 81 | 3 |
| 24 | 3 | 83 | 9 |
| 25 | 9 | 84 | 6 |
| 26 | 2 | 88 | 2 |
| 28 | 9 | 90 | 5 |
| 30 | 4 | 94 | 2 |
| 32 | 7 | 100 | 3 |
| 33 | 9 | 101 | 2 |
| 34 | 6 | 102 | 2 |
| 40 | 15 | 103 | 10 |
| 41 | 2 | 104 | 2 |
| 42 | 10 | 105 | 2 |
| 43 | 7 | 106 | 1 |
| 44 | 7 | 107 | 3 |
| 45 | 4 | 108 | 2 |
| 46 | 6 | 109 | 5 |
| 47 | 5 | 110 | 1 |
| 48 | 9 | 111 | 2 |
| 49 | 7 | 112 | 3 |
| 50 | 4 | 113 | 6 |
| 52 | 7 | 114 | 6 |
| 60 | 11 | 115 | 5 |
| 61 | 7 | 116 | 4 |
| 62 | 5 | 120 | 9 |
| 63 | 6 | 121 | 3 |
| 66 | 3 | 123 | 1 |
| | | Unknown | 25 |

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (February 2024 vs. February 2025)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

| | February 2024 | | February 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Complaints | Count | % of Total Complaints | | |
| Force (F) | 211 | 50% | 208 | 48% | -3 | -1% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 309 | 74% | 297 | 68% | -12 | -4% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 116 | 28% | 81 | 19% | -35 | -30% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 29 | 7% | 18 | 4% | -11 | -38% |
| Total FADO Allegations | 665 | | 604 | | -61 | -9% |
| Total Complaints | 419 | | 437 | | 18 | 4% |

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

| | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Complaints | Count | % of Total Complaints | | |
| Force (F) | 417 | 46% | 447 | 49% | 30 | 7% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 697 | 77% | 667 | 73% | -30 | -4% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 251 | 28% | 204 | 22% | -47 | -19% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 70 | 8% | 52 | 6% | -18 | -26% |
| Total FADO Allegations | 1435 | | 1370 | | -65 | -5% |
| Total Complaints | 907 | | 918 | | 11 | 1% |

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

| | February 2024 | | February 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Force (F) | 609 | 30% | 465 | 33% | -144 | -24% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 1228 | 60% | 835 | 59% | -393 | -32% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 181 | 9% | 97 | 7% | -84 | -46% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 41 | 2% | 22 | 2% | -19 | -46% |
| Total Allegations | 2059 | | 1419 | | -640 | -31% |
| Total Complaints | 419 | | 437 | | 18 | 4% |

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

| | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Force (F) | 1126 | 26% | 1093 | 31% | -33 | -3% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 2748 | 63% | 2073 | 59% | -675 | -25% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 406 | 9% | 285 | 8% | -121 | -30% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 107 | 2% | 80 | 2% | -27 | -25% |
| Total Allegations | 4387 | | 3531 | | -856 | -20% |
| Total Complaints | 907 | | 918 | | 11 | 1% |

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of February 2025, 46% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 64% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (February 2025)

| Case Age Group | Count | % of Total |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cases 0-4 Months | 1338 | 45.6% |
| Cases 5-7 Months | 537 | 18.3% |
| Cases 8-11 Months | 602 | 20.5% |
| Cases 12-18 Months* | 448 | 15.3% |
| Cases Over 18 Months** | 7 | 0.2% |
| Total | 2932 | 100% |

*12-18 Months: 11 cases that were reopened; 1 case that was on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 0 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 3 cases that were on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (February 2025)

| | Count | % of Total |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cases 0-4 Months | 1190 | 40.6% |
| Cases 5-7 Months | 563 | 19.2% |
| Cases 8-11 Months | 654 | 22.3% |
| Cases 12-18 Months* | 514 | 17.5% |
| Cases Over 18 Months** | 11 | 0.4% |
| Total | 2932 | 100% |

*12-18 Months: 13 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 0 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 3 cases that were on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - February 2025)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

| | January 2025 | | February 2025 | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Change | % Change |
| Investigations | 1522 | 52% | 1484 | 50% | -38 | -2% |
| Pending Board Review | 1417 | 48% | 1448 | 49% | 31 | 2% |
| Mediation | 11 | 0% | 16 | 1% | 5 | 45% |
| On DA / FID Hold | 5 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 2955 | | 2953 | | -2 | 0% |

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

**Figure 18: Average Days To Recieve Positive Return on BWC Requests
(January 2024 - February 2025)**






Figure 19: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

| Days Pending | BWC Requests | % of Total |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| 00 <= Days < 30 | 143 | 73.3% |
| 30 <= Days < 60 | 23 | 11.8% |
| 60 <= Days < 90 | 5 | 2.6% |
| 90 >= Days | 24 | 12.3% |
| Total | 195 | 100% |

**Figure 20: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests
(January 2024 - February 2025)**




**Figure 21: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2024 - February 2025)**



Closed Cases

In February 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 40% of the cases it closed.

Figure 22: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - February 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual stated that she was asleep when subject officer 1 knocked on the window of her bedroom. He asked her if her property was next door to another and she told him no. Subject officer 1 and subject officer 2 had opened the gate to the individual's property and climbed over a chain link fence to walk through her next-door neighbor's property. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed subject officer 1 approach the fenced in property of the individual, open the fence gate, walk onto the property, walk to the side of the property where a chain link fence separated the individual's property from her neighbor's and cross into her neighbor's property. Subject officer 1 then told subject officer 2 over the phone the path he took and subject officer 2 followed the same path. The investigation found that the subject officers had no warrant to enter the individual's property, nor her neighbor's property nor did a warrant exception apply to their actions. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegations.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that as he was being transported to a precinct in a police van, he stood up to request medical attention. The subject officer who was driving the van stopped the vehicle, went to the back of the van and punched the individual in his face. The subject officer stated that he had punched the individual in his face when he and other officers had been loading the individual into the van and as they tried to secure him inside the van, he started flailing his arms and opened his mouth to attempt to bite them. Once he began driving, the individual tried to stand up in the van but was unsuccessful in doing so. Two other officers recalled the individual trying to bite one of them but did not recall if any officer struck the individual in the face during the incident. Without any independent witnesses or video footage, the investigation could not determine if the subject officer's punching of the individual was reasonable. The Board closed the Use of Force allegations as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that during a vehicle stop, the subject officer called him a "bitch ass nigga" when he was asked to exit his vehicle. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the subject officer tell the individual, "Taser. You're about to get tased, get the fuck out of the car" as the individual asked why he needed to exit the vehicle. The individual then exited the vehicle; no other officer present said to the individual "bitch ass nigga". The investigation found that the subject officer did not make the statement to the individual. The Board closed the Discourtesy and Offensive Language allegations as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he was on a subway train when he was approached by six to seven officers. The subject officer grabbed him by his arm with a bit of force and escorted him off the train and used his forearm to hold the individual against a wall while he patted down his entire body. The incident was captured on BWC. The subject officers was part of a group of officers that was approached by a civilian who stated they believed that the individual was carrying a firearm. They gave a specific description of the individual and pointed him out to the officers. They confronted the individual, told him to follow them, stand by the wall so that they could frisk him. The subject officer momentarily placed a hand on the individual's shoulder, and the individual complied without any officer touching him, and no one held him with a forearm to the wall. The investigation found that the subject officer had a reasonable suspicion to stop and frisk the individual because he matched the description given by the complainant who further confirmed his identity to the officers once they had him off the train. Furthermore, the officers only patted down areas where a firearm could be concealed on the individual's person and used a minimal amount of force to get the individual off the train. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Use of Force allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that he was walking home from a school competition when subject officer 1 and 2 pulled up in an unmarked vehicle. Subject officer 2 exited the vehicle, while subject officer 1 asked the individual if he was okay. The individual responded that he was fine and subject officer 2 approached him, told him to wait and proceeded to frisk the individual. Once the frisk was completed, subject officer 2 began walking back to the vehicle. The individual asked for his name and subject officer 2 responded but the individual could not make out all that he said. The individual had a general description of the subject officers and believed that he had been subjected to racial profiling. The investigation found a police vehicle that was in the vicinity of the incident location and incident time and officers who had a similar sounding name as relayed by the individual and none of those officers recalled any stop of the individual. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers who stopped and frisked the individual, failed to provide the individual with a business card, failed to turn on their body worn camera, and took law enforcement action based upon the actual or perceived race of the individual. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 23: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (February 2025)





Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 25: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

| | Feb 2024 | | Feb 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Full Investigations | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Substantiated | 66 | 41% | 76 | 43% | 132 | 38% | 205 | 51% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 23 | 14% | 19 | 11% | 47 | 14% | 46 | 11% |
| Unfounded | 36 | 22% | 22 | 13% | 69 | 20% | 54 | 13% |
| Unable to Determine | 29 | 18% | 50 | 29% | 62 | 18% | 84 | 21% |
| MOS Unidentified | 8 | 5% | 8 | 5% | 36 | 10% | 12 | 3% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 162 | | 175 | | 346 | | 401 | |
| Mediation Closures | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Mediated | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% | 2 | 100% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 2 | | 0 | | 11 | | 2 | |
| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Complaint Withdrawn | 11 | 6% | 26 | 10% | 58 | 7% | 38 | 7% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 31 | 18% | 47 | 18% | 101 | 13% | 88 | 16% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 130 | 75% | 187 | 70% | 629 | 79% | 407 | 76% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 2 | 1% | 6 | 2% | 9 | 1% | 6 | 1% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 174 | | 266 | | 797 | | 539 | |
| Total - Closed Cases | 338 | | 441 | | 1154 | | 942 | |

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 26: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

| | Feb 2024 | | Feb 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Fully Investigated Allegations | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Substantiated | 224 | 27% | 267 | 21% | 449 | 25% | 664 | 23% |
| Unable to Determine | 115 | 14% | 250 | 20% | 261 | 15% | 532 | 18% |
| Unfounded | 185 | 22% | 214 | 17% | 323 | 18% | 534 | 18% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 266 | 32% | 437 | 34% | 584 | 33% | 1012 | 35% |
| MOS Unidentified | 49 | 6% | 102 | 8% | 172 | 10% | 152 | 5% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 839 | | 1270 | | 1789 | | 2894 | |

| Mediation Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Mediated | 10 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% | 4 | 100% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 10 | | 0 | | 28 | | 4 | |

| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Complaint Withdrawn | 29 | 6% | 70 | 10% | 170 | 8% | 113 | 7% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 309 | 69% | 427 | 58% | 1480 | 72% | 952 | 62% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 86 | 19% | 179 | 24% | 317 | 16% | 321 | 21% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 23 | 5% | 57 | 8% | 75 | 4% | 148 | 10% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 447 | | 733 | | 2042 | | 1534 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|
| Total - Closed Allegations | 1296 | | 2003 | | 3859 | | 4432 | |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as “Miscellaneous” closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (February 2025)

| | Substantiated | Unable to Determine | Within NYPD Guidelines | Unfounded | Officers Unidentified | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Force | 11 4% | 39 13% | 163 56% | 69 24% | 9 3% | 291 100% |
| Abuse of Authority | 229 27% | 180 21% | 248 29% | 113 13% | 78 9% | 848 100% |
| Discourtesy | 21 20% | 23 22% | 26 25% | 22 21% | 11 11% | 103 100% |
| Offensive Language | 6 21% | 8 29% | 0 0% | 10 36% | 4 14% | 28 100% |
| Total | 267 21% | 250 20% | 437 34% | 214 17% | 102 8% | 1270 100% |

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

| | Substantiated | Unable to Determine | Within NYPD Guidelines | Unfounded | Officers Unidentified | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Force | 35 5% | 81 12% | 380 56% | 172 25% | 16 2% | 684 100% |
| Abuse of Authority | 554 29% | 375 20% | 578 30% | 289 15% | 111 6% | 1907 100% |
| Discourtesy | 66 26% | 58 23% | 53 21% | 56 22% | 19 8% | 252 100% |
| Offensive Language | 9 18% | 18 35% | 1 2% | 17 33% | 6 12% | 51 100% |
| Total | 664 23% | 532 18% | 1012 35% | 534 18% | 152 5% | 2894 100% |

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 29: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

| Untruthful Statement Allegations | February 2024 | | February 2025 | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | Change | % Change |
| False official statement | 1 | 100% | 0 | NA | -1 | -100% |
| Impeding an investigation | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| Inaccurate official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| Misleading official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 1 | | 0 | | -1 | -100% |

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

| Untruthful Statement Allegations | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | Change | % Change |
| False official statement | 1 | 33% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Impeding an investigation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Inaccurate official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Misleading official statement | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | -2 | -100% |
| Total Allegations | 3 | | 1 | | -2 | -67% |

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 31: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations with % Change

| Bias-Based Allegations | February 2024 | | February 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Age | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Color | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 1 | NA |
| Disability | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Gender | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Housing Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Immigration Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| National Origin | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Race | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 2 | NA |
| Religion | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Sexual Orientation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 0 | | 3 | | 3 | NA |

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations YTD with % Change

| Bias-Based Allegations | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Age | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Color | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 1 | NA |
| Disability | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | -2 | -100% |
| Gender | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Housing Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Immigration Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| National Origin | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Race | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 2 | NA |
| Religion | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Sexual Orientation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 2 | | 3 | | 1 | 50% |

Substantiation Rates

The February 2025 case substantiation rate was 43%.

Figure 33: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - February 2025)





Figure 34: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 35: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Feb 2025)
(% substantiated shown)





Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Feb 2025)
(% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and “Command Discipline A” are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

Figure 37: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations* (Feb 2024, Feb 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)

| Disposition | February 2024 | | February 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| Charges | 22 | 19% | 26 | 19% | 55 | 23% | 73 | 19% |
| Command Discipline B | 36 | 31% | 26 | 19% | 58 | 25% | 82 | 22% |
| Command Discipline A | 51 | 43% | 53 | 38% | 96 | 41% | 141 | 37% |
| Formalized Training | 9 | 8% | 33 | 24% | 27 | 11% | 81 | 21% |
| Total | 118 | | 138 | | 236 | | 377 | |

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB’s adoption of the NYPD’s Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days ≥ 11) 2. Command Discipline B ($6 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 10) 3. Command Discipline A ($1 \leq$ penalty days ≤ 5) 4. Formalized Training ($0 <$ penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 38: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

| | Feb 2024 | Feb 2025 | YTD 2024 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| PSA Complaints | 14 | 24 | 45 | 47 |
| Total Complaints | 338 | 441 | 1154 | 942 |
| PSA Complaints as % of Total | 4.1% | 5.4% | 3.9% | 5.0% |

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 39: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

| | Feb 2024 | Feb 2025 | YTD 2024 | YTD 2025 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| PSA 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| PSA 2 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 13 |
| PSA 3 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 18 |
| PSA 4 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 12 |
| PSA 5 | 1 | 16 | 9 | 23 |
| PSA 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| PSA 7 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| PSA 8 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| PSA 9 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 12 |
| Total | 29 | 57 | 78 | 108 |

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 40: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

| | Feb 2024 | | Feb 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|
| | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Force (F) | 15 | 36% | 32 | 43% | 37 | 38% | 60 | 41% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 21 | 50% | 32 | 43% | 49 | 51% | 65 | 45% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 5 | 12% | 7 | 9% | 9 | 9% | 15 | 10% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 1 | 2% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 2% | 4 | 3% |
| Untruthful Statement (U) | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% |
| Total | 42 | 100% | 74 | 99% | 97 | 100% | 146 | 100% |

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 41: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

| | Feb 2024 | | Feb 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Full Investigations | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Substantiated | 8 | 47% | 11 | 41% | 13 | 39% | 22 | 35% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 5 | 29% | 3 | 11% | 9 | 27% | 9 | 14% |
| Unfounded | 4 | 24% | 8 | 30% | 9 | 27% | 19 | 30% |
| Unable to Determine | 0 | 0% | 5 | 19% | 1 | 3% | 11 | 17% |
| MOS Unidentified | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 2 | 3% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 17 | | 27 | | 33 | | 63 | |
| Mediation Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Mediated | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 3 | | 0 | | 3 | | 0 | |
| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Complaint withdrawn | 0 | 0% | 5 | 17% | 2 | 5% | 5 | 11% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 4 | 44% | 3 | 10% | 25 | 60% | 12 | 27% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 5 | 56% | 17 | 57% | 15 | 36% | 22 | 49% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 0 | 0% | 5 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 13% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 9 | | 30 | | 42 | | 45 | |
| Total - Closed Cases | 29 | | 57 | | 78 | | 108 | |

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in February and this year.

Figure 42: Mediated Complaints Closed

| | February 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| Mediated Complaints | 0 | 2 |

Figure 43: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

| | February 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| Force | 0 | 1 |
| Abuse of Authority | 0 | 2 |
| Discourtesy | 0 | 1 |
| Offensive Language | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 4 |

Figure 44: Mediated Complaints By Borough (February 2025)

| | Mediations |
|---------------|------------|
| Bronx | 0 |
| Brooklyn | 0 |
| Manhattan | 0 |
| Queens | 0 |
| Staten Island | 0 |

Figure 45: Mediated Allegations By Borough (February 2025)

| | Mediations |
|---------------|------------|
| Bronx | 0 |
| Brooklyn | 0 |
| Manhattan | 0 |
| Queens | 0 |
| Staten Island | 0 |

**Figure 46: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Feb 2025 - YTD 2025)**

| Precinct | Feb 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 23 | 0 | 1 |
| 73 | 0 | 1 |

**Figure 47: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Feb 2025 - YTD 2025)**

| Precinct | Feb 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 23 | 0 | 3 |
| 73 | 0 | 1 |

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB's Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 48: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

| Disposition Category | Prosecution Disposition | Feb 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Disciplinary Action | Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Guilty after trial | 0 | 1 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty | 0 | 0 |
| | Resolved by plea | 7 | 24 |
| | Plea Renegotiated by PC | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B | 0 | 1 |
| | Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Formalized Training | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Instructions | 0 | 0 |
| | *Retained, with discipline | 1 | 3 |
| | Disciplinary Action Total | 8 | 29 |
| No Disciplinary Action | Not guilty after trial | 2 | 2 |
| | Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Without discipline | 0 | 3 |
| | **Retained, without discipline | 2 | 4 |
| | Dismissed by Police Commissioner | 0 | 0 |
| | No Disciplinary Action Total | 4 | 9 |
| Not Adjudicated | Charges not served | 0 | 0 |
| | Deceased | 0 | 0 |
| | Dismissed by APU | 0 | 0 |
| | Other | 0 | 4 |
| | ***Previously adjudicated, with discipline | 0 | 0 |
| | ***Previously adjudicated, without discipline | 0 | 1 |
| | †Reconsidered by CCRB Board | 0 | 0 |
| | Retired | 0 | 0 |
| | Resigned | 0 | 1 |
| | Terminated | 0 | 0 |
| | Terminal leave | 0 | 0 |
| | SOL Expired prior to APU | 0 | 0 |
| | SOL Expired in APU | 0 | 0 |
| | Not Adjudicated Total | 0 | 6 |
| | Total Closures | 12 | 44 |

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 49: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

| Discipline Report Year | Non APU % | APU % | Total % |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| 2023 | 55.53 | 57.69 | 55.90 |
| 2024 | 26.48 | 45.40 | 30.27 |
| 2025 YTD | 42.66 | 70.00 | 48.63 |

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 50: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

| Discipline* | February 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|---|---------------|------------|
| Terminated | 0 | 0 |
| Forced Separation | 0 | 0 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation | 0 | 0 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days | 0 | 1 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days | 3 | 6 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days | 3 | 18 |
| Command Discipline B | 0 | 0 |
| Command Discipline A | 0 | 1 |
| Formalized Training** | 2 | 3 |
| Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded | 0 | 0 |
| Disciplinary Action† Total | 8 | 29 |
| No Disciplinary Action† | 4 | 9 |
| Adjudicated Total | 12 | 38 |
| Discipline Rate | 67% | 76% |
| | | |
| Not Adjudicated† Total | 0 | 6 |
| Total Closures | 12 | 44 |

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

| Disposition | Disposition Type* | January 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|
| Disciplinary Action | Terminated | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Command Discipline B | 4 | 4 |
| | Command Discipline A | 5 | 5 |
| | Formalized Training** | 52 | 52 |
| | Closed Administratively (With Discipline) †† | 2 | 2 |
| | Total | 63 | 63 |
| No Disciplinary Action | Retired | 0 | 0 |
| | Resigned | 0 | 0 |
| | SOL Expired | 0 | 0 |
| | Department Unable to Prosecute ††† | 1 | 1 |
| | Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) †††† | 76 | 76 |
| | Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) †† | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 77 | 77 |
| | Discipline Rate | 45% | 45% |
| | DUP Rate | 55% | 55% |

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 52: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration
(Jan 2024, Jan 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

| Days to SOL at Close Group | January 2024 | | January 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| 03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30 | 1 | 6% | 23 | 30% | 1 | 6% | 23 | 30% |
| 30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40 | | 0% | 44 | 58% | | 0% | 44 | 58% |
| 40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50 | 6 | 33% | 9 | 12% | 6 | 33% | 9 | 12% |
| 50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60 | 6 | 33% | | 0% | 6 | 33% | | 0% |
| 60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90 | 5 | 28% | | 0% | 5 | 28% | | 0% |
| Total | 18 | | 76 | | 18 | | 76 | |

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation
(Jan 2024, Jan 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

| Days to SOL at Close Group | January 2024 | | January 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--|--------------|----|--------------|----|----------|----|----------|----|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| 03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| Total | | | | | | | | |

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (January 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Alen Mariano | A: Frisk | | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Victor Arauz | A: Search (of person) | | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Joseluis Deluna | A: Threat of arrest; A: Threat to notify ACS | 6 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Julio Davila | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 6 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | LT Joseph Corcoran | A: Property damaged; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 13 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Brian Raghoenathsingh | D: Other; A: Sexual Misconduct (Sexual Humiliation); A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 13 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Antonio Shilinsky | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 13 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Juan Hernandez | A: Threat of arrest | 14 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Sukjae Lee | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 14 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | LT Bryan Smith | D: Word; D: Word | 14 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Nicholas Viola | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 19 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Andrew Freeman | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jessica Little | A: Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 25 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Andy Luna | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 25 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Edison Pullaastudillo | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 25 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Barry Stokes | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Shalaya Banks | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 26 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Andres Ruiz | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 30 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Randy Delgado | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 30 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Alvin Joseph | F: Physical force | 30 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT1 Hector Lopez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 32 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Samet Gulu | A: Entry of Premises; A: Property damaged | 34 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Yessi Hidaigourena | A: Property damaged; A: Entry of Premises | 34 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Emmanuel Delacruz | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 34 | Manhattan | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Enmanuel Rosario | A: Frisk; A: Search (of person) | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Peter Delohery | A: Frisk; A: Stop | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Tiago Gomes | A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Entry of Premises | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Steven Echevarria | A: Entry of Premises | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Ryan Hennessy | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Denis Rocha | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide name | 40 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Nicholas Roveto | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Osvaldo Casadoperalta | A: Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 40 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jesette Adorno | A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 42 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DTS Bory Echanique | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 42 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Calvin Black | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 43 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jonathan Santos | D: Word | 44 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Bismal Tineo | D: Word | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Carlos Morales | F: Physical force | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Karolin Abreugarcia | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Gregg Albaum | A: Entry of Premises | 45 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO David Vazquez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Then | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jose Padilla | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Desiree Pena | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 0.50 days |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Evan Angels | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Ariel Cruz | D: Word | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Brielle George | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 48 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Tracey Liriano | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 48 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT James Seder | A: Vehicle search | 48 | Bronx | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Nichole Meadows | D: Word | 49 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Terry Myers | A: Search (of person) | 50 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Auger | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 60 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Carlos Deleon | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 60 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Stephanie Beplat | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Yan Gleyzer | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Threat re: removal to hospital; A: Other | 61 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Joseph Buttigheri | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Conneely | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Umar Mirza | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 63 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Daniel Serrano | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 66 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Dennis Diaz | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 67 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO James Yee | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; D: Word | 67 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | CPT Mobeen Yasin | A: Frisk | 69 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Steve Cruz | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 69 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Tromba | D: Word | 69 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ralph Gaston | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 69 | Brooklyn | Closed Administratively (Instructions) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Leon Batson | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 70 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jesus Hernandeztorres | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 70 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Eddy Acosta | A: Entry of Premises | 70 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Nuccio | A: Entry of Premises | 70 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Curatolo | A: Unlawful Summons; A: Search (of person) | 70 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Andrew Harris | A: Unlawful Summons | 70 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Tetsola Santiago | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Andrea Lemmon | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Matias Franco | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Tiffany Inniss | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joel Torres | A: Frisk | 71 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Joel Cruz | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Rafal Korycki | D: Word | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Joseph Muller | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jesus Martinez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nolan Grabowski | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Willem Kerzner | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Anthony Trapani | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Korkut Koseoglu | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jonathan Angulo | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Ronald Reynolds | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Derrell Henry | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO James Fowler | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Tyler Barnett | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO James Fowler | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Michael Caracciolo | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO James Vigiano | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 75 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Abdus Tahmeed | A: Entry of Premises | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Adrian Theobald | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Andrew Burke | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Franklyn Guaman | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Isidro Valdez | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Oscar Mancilla | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Antonio Ortiz | A: Search of recording device | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Keon Lawson | A: Search (of person) | 75 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Keith Hockaday | D: Word | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Ronald Griffin | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 77 | Brooklyn | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Abraham Hernandez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 77 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Lucas Mcguirewright | A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 79 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Kiersten Chambers | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 79 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Krishawni Denton | F: Physical force | 79 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Malik Hirani | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 83 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Stephanie Banchs | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; D: Word | 83 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Anthony Fernandez | A: Refusal to provide name | 83 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jeffrey Chin | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 83 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Daniel Prendergast | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 88 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jiovanni Fierro | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 88 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jazeel Jones | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 88 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jeimmy Garrido | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 88 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kevin Sandoval | A: Frisk | 90 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Rocco Colletti | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number | 102 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Thomas Montalbano | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 102 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Robert Sabella | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 102 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jacob Vasconez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 102 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Michael Bohan | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 102 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Franklin Taveras | F: Chokehold | 103 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jason Quintanilla | A: Vehicle search; D: Word | 105 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Brandon Kane | A: Entry of Premises | 106 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Seith | A: Entry of Premises | 106 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Kwame Agyei | A: Refusal to provide name | 108 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Jingbo Chi | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 109 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Alana Catapano | A: Entry of Premises | 113 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Deshawn Ziths | A: Entry of Premises | 113 | Queens | Formalized Training |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Anthony Coppola | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Aleksandar Antic | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Maher | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Caggia | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 120 | Staten Island | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Connor Bille | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 120 | Staten Island | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO John Gavin | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 120 | Staten Island | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Vasile Dubovici | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 120 | Staten Island | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Daniel Cepeda | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 120 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Michael Devito | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number | 120 | Staten Island | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Paul Dieugenia | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 122 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 John Weiburg | A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name | 122 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Anthony Catania | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 122 | Staten Island | No penalty |

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (February 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|----------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Rafael Payano | D: Word; O: Disability; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; D: Word; D: Word | 25 | Manhattan | Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Binah Previl | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; O: Disability; F: Physical force; D: Word; A: Search (of person) | 25 | Manhattan | Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SSA Kevin Grayson | A: Stop; A: Strip-searched | 44 | Bronx | Forfeit vacation 20 days |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Clairet Corderolantigua | A: Stop; A: Frisk | 48 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Jiantong Li | A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment | 60 | Brooklyn | Forfeit vacation 20 days |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Jiantong Li | U: False official statement; F: Physical force | 60 | Brooklyn | Forfeit vacation 20 days |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT John Felicetti | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 71 | Brooklyn | Forfeit vacation 2 days |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Hamlet Deleon | A: Threat re: removal to hospital | 83 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |

Figure 56: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (February 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Angel Lopez | A: Strip-searched | 47 | Bronx | Closed: Not guilty after trial |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marcarthur Jean | A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); F: Physical force | 84 | Brooklyn | Closed: Not guilty after trial |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Luis Matos | F: Physical force | 121 | Staten Island | Closed: Retained, without discipline |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Difrancesca | F: Physical force | 121 | Staten Island | Closed: Retained, without discipline |

Figure 57: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (February 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Raymond Chu | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 1 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ricardo Nuesi | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Eda Bifulco | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Louis Caputo | Discourtesy | Word | 9 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sean Virella | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 13 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sean Virella | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 13 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Reginal Pierre | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 13 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT1 Timothy Aiken | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to obtain medical treatment | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Vincent Martinez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kristian Astudillo | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kristian Astudillo | Discourtesy | Word | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kristian Astudillo | Force | Chokehold | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 17 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Discourtesy | Word | 17 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Discourtesy | Word | 17 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Juan Quiroz | Abuse of Authority | Threat of summons | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brian Sanchez | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to process civilian complaint | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Marissa Medina | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to process civilian complaint | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Alexander Sanchez | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Marissa Medina | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brian Sanchez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Marissa Medina | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ibrahim Morsi | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Andrew Trupiano | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Henry Gregorek | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Crismarlin Henriquezcruz | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 26 | Manhattan |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Tonvia Mays | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jorge Lealenriquez | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jorge Lealenriquez | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ena Lewis | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO German Geronimo | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Nathalie Fernandez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Amandeep Kaur | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 28 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Marcella Hildevert | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 28 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Discourtesy | Word | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Discourtesy | Word | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Discourtesy | Word | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Martin Sierra | Offensive Language | Race | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Riquelmy Mendez | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Michael Bletcher | Abuse of Authority | Retaliatory summons | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Liam Kite | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Liam Kite | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Michael Bletcher | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Liam Kite | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Peter Crane | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Khalid Bakkal | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Muhitul Ambia | Discourtesy | Word | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Aleksey Berezovski | Force | Physical force | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Torres | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Hosward Veloz | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Alex Durst | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Martinezcabrera | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 40 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Ivan Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Ivan Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Martinezcabrera | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Mayky Santos | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Denis Rocha | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Antigua | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Mayky Santos | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Husamedin Umer | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Torres | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Alex Durst | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sinaka Javorovac | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Martinezcabrera | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Hosward Veloz | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Ivan Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Denis Rocha | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Peter Delohery | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Mayky Santos | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Martinezcabrera | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jorge Ortiz | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Anthony Perlmutter | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Torres | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Mayky Santos | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Christopher Crain | Discourtesy | Word | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Kendrick Maldonado | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 41 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jairo Asilis | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Luis Gutierrez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Calvin Black | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Felix Jimenez | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 43 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Elijah Rodrigo | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Justin Hoff | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Theo Roussos | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Ohagan | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Felix Jimenez | Discourtesy | Word | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Elijah Rodrigo | Force | Vehicle | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Elijah Rodrigo | Force | Vehicle | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Ariel Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Evan Angels | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Gomez | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Ariel Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Question | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Evan Angels | Abuse of Authority | Question | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Ariel Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Robert Marschall | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Hamza Jaji | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Ariel Cruz | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Gomez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Sean Mandel | Discourtesy | Word | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Sean Mandel | Discourtesy | Word | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Sean Mandel | Discourtesy | Word | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Jovaniel Cordova | Force | Chokehold | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Sean Mandel | Offensive Language | Disability | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Sean Mandel | Offensive Language | Gender | 46 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Jonathan Rivera | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 47 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | LT Wady Mata | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 47 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Melissa Marquez | Abuse of Authority | Other | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Melissa Marquez | Abuse of Authority | Question | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ismael Reyeslopez | Abuse of Authority | Question | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Melissa Marquez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ismael Reyeslopez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Taylor Williams | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Dexter Ortiz | Discourtesy | Word | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nanakwame Mensah | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Patrick Jean | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcgrisenraymond | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcgrisenraymond | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 52 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Patrick Jean | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nanakwame Mensah | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Race) | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Race) | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Color) | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Patrick Jean | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nanakwame Mensah | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Nico Martino | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Joel Ayala | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcgrisenraymond | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Giacoio | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcgrisenraymond | Discourtesy | Word | 52 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Michael Wallace | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 60 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Oliver Vidal | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 60 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO George Hanna | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 60 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Burton | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Richard Lebron | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Burton | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Burton | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Burton | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Burton | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Richard Lebron | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 63 | Brooklyn |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT John Romani | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Thomas Smith | Abuse of Authority | Forcible Removal to Hospital | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Michael Guglielmo | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Elizabeth Ramoscastelan | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Dylan Grottano | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Lemali Outrambaptiste | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Thomas Smith | Discourtesy | Word | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Thomas Smith | Force | Physical force | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Thomas Smith | Offensive Language | Other | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Joseph Curatolo | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Sergey Shklarov | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 71 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Arnol Rodriguez | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 71 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Sergey Shklarov | Abuse of Authority | Other | 71 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Antonio Meyers | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 72 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Nikita Babenko | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 72 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Obada Suleiman | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 72 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Nicholas Ortega | Discourtesy | Word | 72 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | LT William Fields | Abuse of Authority | Retaliatory arrest | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Cheng | Abuse of Authority | Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Gesture) | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Cheng | Discourtesy | Word | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Cheng | Discourtesy | Action | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Cheng | Offensive Language | Gender | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jose Fuentes | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sean Collins | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jose Fuentes | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jose Fuentes | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Donald Daal | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT2 Robert Schierenbeck | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO William Mei | Force | Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Donald Daal | Force | Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Gabriel Pamphile | Abuse of Authority | Threat of summons | 76 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Gabriel Pamphile | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 76 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Md Majumder | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 76 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Gabriel Pamphile | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Md Majumder | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Gary Vanzanten | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Gary Vanzanten | Abuse of Authority | Retaliatory arrest | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Sohaib Rasool | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to obtain medical treatment | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Gary Vanzanten | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Gary Vanzanten | Force | Physical force | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jeff Mayard | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 84 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sergiomonty Ang | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sergiomonty Ang | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sergiomonty Ang | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Esha Claxton | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 94 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Sarabella | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Sarabella | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brian Cohen | Force | Physical force | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DTS Christopher Krause | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 102 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Elias Dallis | Abuse of Authority | Property damaged | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Pala | Force | Physical force | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Philippe Dupont | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 104 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joshua Kim | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 107 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT2 Ryan Lane | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 108 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO John Nunziata | Abuse of Authority | Strip-searched | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Rashard Jones | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Property damaged | 110 | Queens |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Rashard Jones | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Kania Washington | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT2 Duane Atkinson | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Estevez | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT2 Duane Atkinson | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Kania Washington | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT2 Duane Atkinson | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Estevez | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Kania Washington | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Estevez | Abuse of Authority | Question | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Rashard Jones | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brian Estevez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Discourtesy | Gesture | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alex Mills | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Interference with recording | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mahmut Halis | Abuse of Authority | Threat re: removal to hospital | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Nikolaos Stefopoulos | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Nikolaos Stefopoulos | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Nikolaos Stefopoulos | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mahmut Halis | Discourtesy | Word | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mahmut Halis | Offensive Language | Disability | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Brian Grant | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Genaro Barreiro | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Genaro Barreiro | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Brian Grant | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Maurice Singletary | Abuse of Authority | Seizure of property | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Genaro Barreiro | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Brian Grant | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Maurice Singletary | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide name | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Maurice Singletary | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ashley Notaro | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kevin Vega | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Jason Leung | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Daniel Tucker | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT John Falzarano | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 122 | Staten Island |