CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

vestigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force ☐ Discourt. ☐ U		☐ U.S.
Henry Oliver		Squad #3	201802324	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 03/16/2018 11:45 PM		Inside of § 87(2)(b)		61	9/16/2019	9/16/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Sat, 03/17/2018 1:45 AM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 03/2	Mon, 03/26/2018 11:00 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Lior Chernyhovsky	07514	957453	061 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Michael Shimer	17158	961284	061 PCT			
2. SGT Pawel Maslinski	01708	947227	061 PCT			
3. POM Richard Carolina	07705	963433	061 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Lior Chernyhovsky	Abuse: P	Abuse: Police Officer Lior Chernyhovsky threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On March 17, 2018, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB over the phone. It was received at the CCRB on March 26, 2018, under IAB log number 18-10477.

On March 16, 2018, at approximately 11:45 p.m., PO Lior Chernyhovsky and PO Michael Shimer, of the 61st Precinct, arrived at \$87(2)(b) , in response to a 911 call \$87(2)(b) made regarding a broken key in the lock of his bedroom door. requested that the officers file a report for an attempted robbery, and the officers explained that they could not. Sgt. Pawel Maslinski, also of the 61st Precinct, was called to the location by the responding unit and arrived with PO Richard Carolina. Sgt. Maslinski inspected the lock and said that the officers could file a report for damaged property. PO Chernyhovsky requested \$87(2)(b) s identification to file the report, and when \$87(2)(b) refused to provide his identification, PO Chernyhovsky threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g)

There was no video evidence obtained in relation to this investigation. No arrests were made and no summonses were issued as a result of this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Lior Chernyhovsky threatened to arrest

It is undisputed that PO Chernyhovsky and PO Shimer arrived at the location in response to a 911 call [887(2)(6)] made regarding a damaged lock with a broken key inside of it, and that Sgt. Maslinski was called to the scene and arrived with PO Carolina. It is also undisputed that PO Chernyhovsky requested [897(2)(6)] is identification multiple times, that he informed him that his identification was required to file a report regarding the incident, and that [887(2)(6)] refused to provide this document multiple times.

In his CCRB interview, \$87(2)(b) stated that he invited PO Chernyhovsky and PO Shimer into his residence after being alerted of their arrival by the 911 operator. He informed the officers that he believed someone had attempted to burglarize his room, and that his housemates may have been involved. He requested that the officers speak with his housemates, but the officers refused to do so. \$87(2)(b) requested that the officers file a report regarding a robbery, but PO Chernyhovsky said that it did not appear to him as though a robbery had occurred. PO Chernyhovsky advised \$87(2)(b) to await Sgt. Maslinski's arrival and discuss the matter with him.

Upon Sgt. Maslinski's arrival, he inspected \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ s door, and noted that it did not appear to him that a robbery had been attempted. \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ requested that Sgt. Maslinski take a report, and he refused to do so. \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ stated that PO Chernyhovsky began asking him to provide his identification. \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ said that PO Chernyhovsky "periodically" informed him that he needed his identification to file a report. \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ refused to provide his identification unless he presented him with the paperwork to file the report. \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ said PO Chernyhovsky requested his identification three times before allegedly saying "If you don't show me your identification, I'm going to arrest you." \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ who is a Russian speaker, said that PO Chernyhovsky informed him that he spoke Russian, and made the same threat of arrest in Russian. He noted that he had some difficulty understanding the information PO Chernyhovsky was relaying to him in English, and that PO Chernyhovsky refused to speak Russian with him beyond the above

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201802324

him, at which point he handed over his identification. PO Chernyhovsky took §87(2)(b)
identification back to his vehicle and returned it to him, at which point, §87(2)(b) asked the
officers to leave his home.
PO Chernyhovsky stated that he responded to a call about a dispute at the location, and
entered \$87(2)(b) s residence through the front door when \$87(2)(b) let him and PO Shimer in.
He observed the broken key in the lock of \$87(2)(b) s bedroom door. \$87(2)(b) requested
multiple times that the officers break into his room to determine if any items had been taken. He
told § 87(2)(b) that this was not an action that he and PO Shimer could take, but said that
would not take no for an answer. PO Chernyhovsky decided to contact Sgt. Maslinski, who
arrived at the location and inspected \$87(2)(b) s door. \$87(2)(b) requested that Sgt. Maslinski
break down his door multiple times, and Sgt. Maslinski informed \$87(2)(b) that they could not
take this action. Sgt. Maslinski and PO Chernyhovsky informed him that they could not file the
report in regards to a robbery as there was no proof that this had occurred, and discussed filing a
report for the damage done to §87(2)(b) s door. PO Chernyhovsky informed §87(2)(b) that they
would need his identification to file the report and requested § 87(2)(b) s identification multiple
times. When §87(2)(b) refused to provide his identification, PO Chernyhovsky became
suspicious as to whether \$87(2)(b) lived at the location.
PO Chernyhovsky said that he never threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest, and merely stated that
if he could not prove that he lived at the location, he could be arrested for trespassing. At this
point, \$87(2)(6) handed PO Chernyhovsky his identification. PO Chernyhovsky filed the report
in his vehicle, gave \$87(2)(b) his identification, and left the location with PO Shimer. PO
Chernyhovsky speaks Russian, but did not recall if he spoke Russian with \$87(2)(b) on the night
of the incident, and did not recall threatening \$87(2)(b) with arrest in Russian.
Sgt. Maslinski's, PO Carolina's, and PO Shimer's CCRB statements are consistent with PO
Chernyhovsky's, except that all three officers corroborated \$87(2)(b) s assertion that PO
Chernyhovsky briefly spoke with \$87(2)(6) either in Russian or a language that was not English.
PO Carolina, who speaks Russian, noted that PO Chernyhovsky told \$87(2)(6) in Russian that
he would only speak in English, given that no other officers present spoke or understood Russian.
Sgt. Maslinski's statement is consistent with this, and noted that PO Chernyhovsky translated this
same information to him after briefly speaking in Russian with 887(2)(5) All officers stated that
PO Chernyhovsky never threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest to their knowledge, and noted that \$7(2)(b)
s 87(2)(b) s refusal to provide his identification raised suspicions as to whether 887(2)(b) lived at
the location. None of the officers noted any other behaviors or circumstances that raised their
suspicions, but stated that without verifying that \$87(2)(b) lived at the location, they could not
file the report for damage to the door.
An arrest requires probable cause to believe that the person to be arrested has committed a
crime. People v. Debour, 40 N.Y.2d 210(1976) (Board Review 01).
§ 87(2)(g)
Page 3
1 486 3

CCRB Case # 201802324

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories							
er-of-service for two years and this bject.	is the first CCRB						
vil and Criminal Histories							
e New York City Comptroller has an accomplaint (Board Review 03). ministration (OCA), \$87(2)(b) has d Review 04).							
Print Title & Name	 Date						
Print Title & Name	 Date						
rime rule & manie	Date						
Print Title & Name	——————————————————————————————————————						
	er-of-service for two years and this bject. vil and Criminal Histories complaint. e New York City Comptroller has his complaint (Board Review 03). ministration (OCA), \$87(2)(6) has d Review 04).						

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201802324