In Re Public Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board May 14, 2025

```
1
 2
              CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
 3
                       PUBLIC MEETING
 4
                        May 14, 2025
 5
                           4:05 p.m.
 6
 7
                     HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE/
                   100 Church Street, 10th Floor
 8
                     New York, New York 10007
9
10
11
12
      B E F O R E:
13
      DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID - INTERIM CHAIR
14
      JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ. - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
15
16
17
      COURT REPORTER:
18
      Sabrina Brown Stewart
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

In Re Public Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board May 14, 2025

1	PUBLIC	MEETING AGENDA	
2	======		
3	1.	Call to Order	
4	2.	Remarks from Interim Chair	
5	3.	Remarks from the Executive Director	ļ
6	4.	Public Comment	
7	5.	Adoption of Minutes	
8	6.	Old Business	
9	7.	New Business	
10	8.	Adjourn to Executive Session	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CCRB	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	====	=======================================
3	1.	DR. Mohammad Khalid - Interim Chair
4	2.	Joseph A. Puma - Board Member
5	3.	Patrick Smith - Board Member
6	4.	Sherene Crawford Esq Board Member
7	5.	Esmeralda Simmons, Esq Board Member
8	6.	Joseph Fox - Board Member
9	7.	John Siegal Esq Board Member
10	8.	Herman Merritt - Board Member
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
S P E A K E R S:
1
 2
  3
      Mari Moss - Mayor's Task Force to End Gender
 4
                  and Domestic-Based Violence
5
6
      Michael Meyers - President and Executive Director
  2 .
 7
                      New York Civil Rights Coalition
8
      Nick Pinto - Journalist
9
  3.
10
      Asma Abdullah - Member of the Public
11
      Andrew Case, Esq. - Supervising Attorney
12
                         LatinoJustice PRLDEF
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CHAIR KHALID: Good afternoon, everyone.
2	My name is Dr. Mohammad Khalid, and I'm the
3	Interim Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review
4	Board. I would like to call the CCRB May
5	Public Board Meeting to an order.
6	Thank you all for joining us. It is
7	with great sadness that I share the news of
8	passing of Mr. Kevin Jemmott, a former member
9	of this board. On behalf of the Agency, I
10	extend our sincere condolence to his family.
11	Would the Board please introduce
12	themselves.
13	MR. MERRITT: Herman Merritt, City
14	Council designee from Brooklyn.
15	MR. SIEGAL: John Siegal, a mayoral
16	appointee.
17	MR. DARCHE: Jon Darche. I use he/him
18	pronouns, and I'm the executive director of
19	the Agency.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: Good evening. Sherene
21	Crawford. I'm a mayoral appointee.
22	MR. FOX: Yes, and I'm Joe Fox, police
23	commissioner designee.
24	
25	MR. SMITH: Pat Smith. Good afternoon.

1	Mayoral appointee.
2	MR. DARCHE: Are there any board
3	members online? If you could have Mr. Puma
4	introduce himself.
5	MR. PUMA: Good afternoon, everyone.
6	My name is Joseph Puma. I go by he/him
7	pronouns. I'm the City Council appointee
8	from Manhattan. My regrets that I could
9	not
10	MR. DARCHE: Joe, you're on mute.
11	MR. PUMA: attend in person today.
12	MR. DARCHE: Oh, we can?
13	MR. PUMA: Should I do that again?
14	MR. DARCHE: Joe, you weren't on mute,
15	but we couldn't hear you in the room. If you
16	could say it again.
17	MR. PUMA: Sure. No problem.
18	Good afternoon, everyone. My name is
19	Joseph Puma. I'm the City Council appointee
20	from Manhattan. I go by he/him pronouns, and
21	I regret that I couldn't attend in person
22	today.
23	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, Joe. Thank you
24	very much.
25	Anybody else?

2.0

MR. DARCHE: No, that's it.

CHAIR KHALID: Since we don't have the quorum, we cannot adopt the minutes of the March and the April meeting, also.

We couldn't -- so, therefore, I'll go
further about -- May is the Asian American and
Pacific Islander Heritage Month, a time to
recognize and celebrate the many contribution
that AAPI communities have made to our city
and nation. This month also makes the
National Police Week, which serves as a time
to appreciate the service of the law
enforcement official.

Finally, I'm proud to share that yesterday the CCRB had the honor of hosting -- cohosting the NACOLE Regional meeting at City Tech in Brooklyn. The gathering brought together four-side professionals, educate community leaders from across the region for a day, and I would like to thank NACOLE our staff and all the attendees who made the day very, very successful.

Now, I will ask our Executive Director, Jon Darche, to give an agency update.

Jon.

2.0

MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Dr. Khalid.

I'd like to begin by extending my sincere condolences to Kevin Jemmott's family and friends. A lifetime New Yorker and fellow Queens resident, Mr. Jemmott, made community advocacy a central tenant in his career. He brought decades of experience to his time on the Board and was truly an asset to the Agency and our city on a whole.

Kevin's calm and gentle demeanor covered a passion and drive that made him easy to work for, and I will miss him.

As Dr. Khalid mentioned yesterday, the Agency cohosted an event with the National Association for the Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement. It was truly a great day of insightful conversation between members of our Civilian Oversight community. I'd like to thank Jeanine Marie and Yojaira Alvarez, along with the rest of the members of staff who made the event possible.

At the event, Dr. Lesli Myers-Small, executive director of the Rochester Police Accountability Board, shared a proposal to

2.0

establish a statewide coalition of civilian oversight entities. This organization would enhance collaboration between local oversight groups to facilitate resource sharing and advance the project of police accountability as a whole. I think it is a great idea. I look forward to working with the Rochester Police Accountability Board and other entities in New York State, to make this idea a reality. You can find Dr. Myers-Small's proposal on our website.

I'd also like to update you all on the Agency's rule-making process. The Agency's office, the general counsel, submitted our regulatory agenda to City Hall. That agenda is posted on our website. At next month's public meeting, the general counsel's office will do a presentation for the Board about the proposed rules regarding officer and civilian interviews and how that process works at the Agency.

After receiving feedback from the Board, staff will then draft proposed amended rules and send those proposed amended rules to the Law Department and Mayor's Office of

2.0

Operations. Once the proposed amended rules are approved by the Law Department and Mayor's Office of Operations, the Agency will continue with the formal rule-making process. The Agency is committed to a public process that allows for input from stakeholders and the public. We will keep updating you all on this process as it goes forward.

The Administrative Prosecution Unit released their 2025 First Quarter Report on Monday. The report is available on the Agency's website, ccrb.nyc.gov. Our office is open for walk-in complaints, but it is also possible to file complaints online at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint, by telephone at 1(800)341-2272, or by calling 3-1-1, or by tagging the Agency on Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram.

For those wishing to speak during the public comment section of today's meeting, we ask you to keep your questions and comments to four minutes. If anyone wishes to file a complaint right now, we have two investigators on hand ready to take any new complaints. The investigators on call this

1	afternoon are Emma Stydahar and Rob Bryan.
2	Yvonne Torres is also here from our Civilian
3	Assistance Unit.
4	Thank you, Dr. Khalid.
5	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you,
6	Jon.
7	Again, once again, I want to thank the
8	staff. They did a really, really great job
9	yesterday at the session of the NACOLE
10	Regional event. It was very nice. I spoke
11	on behalf of the CCRB, and I am again
12	thankful to all, took such a hard work to do
13	that.
14	The Board has any question on Jon?
15	(No response).
16	CHAIR KHALID: If none, then I'll go
17	further from the ask director of Outreach,
18	Jahi Rose, to come and give us a presentation.
19	MR. DARCHE: So, okay. I apologize, Dr.
20	Khalid. I should have taken that out. We're
21	going to skip that for today.
22	CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Now, we will enter
23	into the public comment portion of the
24	meeting. We'll begin with those
25	

2.0

2.3

joining us virtually who would like to make a comment, followed by those who are joining us in person. For those joining virtually, please use the raise-your-hand feature.

Please keep your comments to four minutes.

MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chair.

First, we'll be hearing from Mari Moss.

INTERIM CHAIR DR. KHALID: Go ahead,
please.

MS. MOSS: Good afternoon, everyone.

Sorry I was not there today. It's quite raining. But I want to -- before I begin, I wish a heartfelt happy belated Mother's Day to all the mother's present and to those listening. Mother's Day should be a time of reflection, celebration, and gratitude, not a reminder of unchecked traumatic or delayed justice. It has now been over a year since the case involving police brutality directed at me outside my daughter's school was filed. The case to this day remains unresolved.

This horrific incident occurred not only in broad daylight, but in the presence of children and community members prompted by my abusive former husband. The officer

involved, NCO Samantha Cortez of the 24th Precinct used an electrical device to zap me on my right side while I was handcuffed to a bench at the right wrist and shackled at the ankles. I was fully restrained, defenseless, and yet I was assaulted. The pain remains, so does the message this action sends, that some lives, particularly those of women survivors, are disposable. Let me be fully (inaudible), no human being should ever be subjected to this abuse of power, especially not while in custody of those sworn to protect and serve.

It is a shame and outrage that city tax dollars, my tax dollars, were used to fund the very institution that caused me harm.

I've dedicated my life to community service.

I serve on the Task Force to End Gender and Domestic-Based Violence and the Community Action Board, allocating federal funds to help lift up the very people (inaudible). To suffer this kind of abuse, despite that record of service, and (inaudible), for accountability is more than personal injustice. It's a public failure.

What message are we sending to our 1 2 daughters when this kind of abuse goes 3 unanswered? What do we teach our other survivors when institutions delay --4 5 (Technical difficulties). 6 MR. DARCHE: Ms. Moss, we may have lost 7 you. 8 MS. MOSS: The time to act is now. The 9 time to heal is now. We must not investigate 10 the change, so that women who have endured 11 trauma and injustice are protected, not 12 re-victimized, so that future generations 13 know they are worth defending, and so that 14 our officers and other public servants are 15 truly held to the standard that their badges 16 represent. Our men and woman in uniform must 17 protect and serve. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIR KHALID: Thank you very much. 2.0 Everything is noted, and I'm sorry the way you feel. We'll look into everything which you 21 22 have mentioned. 23 Jon, do you want to add something to 24 that? 25 MR. DARCHE: I understand that Mother's

Day must have been very tough, Ms. Moss, but 1 2 we will get you an update, and I'll reach out 3 to you separately and let you know the status 4 of the investigation into your complaint. 5 CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. Yojaira, do 6 you have somebody next? 7 That concludes the online MS. ALVAREZ: 8 public session. CHAIR KHALID: Now, we would like to 9 have people in person to join, Mr. Michael 10 Meyers. 11 MR. DARCHE: If anyone else is 12 interested in using the public comment, get 13 on line behind Michael. 14 15 CHAIR KHALID: Yes. 16 MR. MEYERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 17 Mr. Executive Director, Members of the Board 18 of the CCRB. I want to say that the quorum 19 call is again inadequate. I don't understand 2.0 why people can't come to meetings. I really 21 People come from great distances to don't. 22 get to these meetings, who are not even 2.3 voting members of the committee, of the 24 Board, so people should be able to get here. 25 If they can't get to meetings in person, then think about resigning. I've said this so many times. I'm not going to say it anymore. I'm going to write them all letters. Get the hell off the Board.

Now, speaking of hell, I'm reminded of the TV commercial, you know, the adults who come into the room, and they -- "oh, damp," and then the kid follows them, and the kid says, "Oh, damn." And, of course, the adults say, "watch your language." Well, I have to try and watch my language today. Because I come into the board meetings and I come into this building and I say, "Damn."

I couldn't even get upstairs today
because some officious, crazy woman
downstairs, who calls herself a supervisor,
would not give me her card, would not give me
her name, refused to let me upstairs. And
only because of Jon's excellent staff did I
get upstairs, only because of them.
Otherwise, I'd be downstairs waiting for a
police officer to arrest me at the CCR -entering the CCRB meeting. This is
outrageous behavior. So, I tried to ask for
a supervisor. She wouldn't give me her name.

2.0

She wouldn't give me her name or the supervisor's name, and I'm outraged. And I'm going to find that supervisor's name, because I want her out.

Now, speaking of police commissioners, I saw the Police Commissioner at one of the public meetings recently, and I put it to her at a meeting -- "When are you coming to see the CCRB Board? I want you to come to the -- we want you to come to the CCRB meeting at a public session. We want to hear what they have to say to you, and we want to hear what you have to say to them and to the members of the public." Now, she promised me -- I shouldn't use the word "promised" -- she indicated to me -- knows Jon, spoke about him very nicely, by the way. She knows Jon and the Chairman of the Board, and she said she would be here.

So, have the invitation going out to the Police Commissioner to join us, join the board meeting at a public session, so we can hear directly from her. Because her predecessors were atrocious, and I don't want her to repeat what they did or did not do. I

2.0

really don't. I mean, if she's a decent person, she'll come to this meeting and meet the public in person.

I am running out of time already, but I have called the attention to board members and the executive director of several articles, which I can only reference in my less than three minutes left. In The City headlines, "Police Complaint Panel Struggles To Get Work Done While Missing Members."

It's not just me who complains. Missing members, why can't people come to meetings?

Second article, "This Eric Adams
Appointee and New York Post Veteran Is
Upending Police Oversight." Read it for
yourself. You know who they're talking
about. I don't necessarily go for it, but
it's interesting somebody is writing about
people who are members of this Board who are
doing the bidding of Eric Adams, who is not
doing the bidding of the public. He has to
go. And anybody who supports him and echos
his nonsense ought to go, too. They ought to
resign.

Now, the other article, third, "CCRB:

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

2.0

NYPD Complaints Rise, As Do Cases With No
Discipline Issued." Haven't we talked about
this already? This is now public. This is
in the press. Not in the New York Times, but
it's in the press. "No discipline issued."
So, somebody else, other than me and the Civil
Rights community, is complaining about
no -- and you -- they're complaining about no
discipline of these police officers and the
watering down, the dilating, of punishment,
to training, warning -- not even a warning to
caution, but training.

Retrain them, we're not going to discipline them. We're not going to suspend them for four days or five days. We're not going to do that anymore because the Police Commissioner is more identify the police officers than you are with the public. And again, I exempt the present Police Commissioner from this indictment because I'm going to give her a little more time, a little more time to do the right thing, to say the right thing, to come here to face the public, to face the criticism of this Agency.

And the final article is "New York's

2.0

Finest deserve a fully functioning CCRB."

Amen to that, "fully functioning CCRB," so
it's not just the Civil Rights Coalition, not
just the civil rights community, not just the
Civil Liberties Union that is complaining
about what the hell is going on here and
asking you, "How come we don't see reports
that are backed up? Why are the staff
recommendations ignored?" Why? Is it the
staff or is it the Board or is it the lack of
investigation? Is it the process? What's
going on?

You can get staff recommendation to the Board saying, "discipline this person.

Discipline this person. Discipline this person," you're not listening to the staff.

And then, you have the Board, and how many members of the Board actually come to meetings -- I mean, to the panels? I don't know, but it seems, according to these articles, the police part of the panel is always there. But our people, the civilians, where are they?

I'm sick and tired of people coming to meetings acting as if they're their public

representatives and never show up or late getting here, or don't do the right thing when they know them. I'm just so -- I have so many articles that are exposing this committee for what it is. I don't even have time to make a reference to them. Look at these people. This is in a public domain. People are criticizing you, not just me, because the word has gotten out. The word has gotten out. So, if you're not going to do anything, get the hell off the Board, or as the kids would say, get the darn off the Board if you can't do what you're supposed to do.

If the mayor doesn't want you here because he thinks that you're not a part of his team, forget him. His election is coming up. His term is ending soon; sooner I hope, than later. But you've got to do the right thing. You have the first -- I argue with people all the time, arguing with them that you, the members of this Board, have integrity, they can be trusted, they are personable and not friendly to me. They are decent people. They're people of

1	intelligence. And I refuse to believe the
2	stories I read in the paper about you.
3	So, I ask one more time, one more time
4	in person, please do the right thing. Please
5	get these panels working and get these
6	reports done, and get the punishment to fit
7	the offense, and get Police Commissioner
8	here, so she too can hear us complaint about
9	her and her department.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, Mr. Meyers,
12	for the information. And I'm surprised they
13	stopped you. You've been coming here for
14	years.
15	MR. MEYERS: I know. That's why I
16	thought I checked out.
17	(Laughter).
18	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you.
19	We have a new board member just joined
20	us. Could you please introduce yourself.
21	MS. SIMMONS: I apologize for being
22	late.
23	Esmeralda Simmons, appointee from
24	Jumaane Williams, public advocate. I hail
25	from Bed-Stuy, New York.

2.0

CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. Anybody else in person, please.

MR. PINTO: Hi. My name is Nick Pinto. I'm a journalist. I wrote one of the stories referenced there. I just wanted to ask a couple of follow-up questions related to my -- to those stories, which concerns what I think are termed on the Board, flip rates, cases in which a board panel goes against the recommendations of staff for discipline. And so, I guess the first question for people who sat on the panels that I referenced in my stories were whether you had any sense or explanation of why the flip rate on your panels should be dramatically different from the historic rate and the rate of your peers.

And then, the second question, which I think really is for anybody on the Board who cares to answer it is, how you would say -- how you would respond to New Yorkers who wonder how they're supposed to feel about an apparent situation in which the fate of their complaints about police misconduct investigated by staff investigators, substantiated by that investigation really

hangs on the luck of the draw of which board members are assigned to the panel reviewing that case. Should they feel as though they're getting equal justice or equal benefit of the services of the CCRB or not? And if not, like what is the appropriate intervention here? How can something be done to make sure that this sort of disparity doesn't exist?

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, Mr. Pinto, for coming. I read both the articles previously and the one yesterday, couple days ago. just want to tell you that I'm really confident that each member of the Board takes their role seriously and are fully committed to making fair and artful decisions. at each case carefully concerning the facts and the relevant legal guidance. There are times that we all may agree with the investigators and maybe won't agree with the investigators, have our own opinion. Because the panel is made up of three people, and in all, there's a big discussion on each case,

2.0

and when we discuss -- and if two people, board members, in that panel feels that their opinion is different than the investigator.

So, they look into law and, of course, we all have to follow the law, what the law permits us to do. And if the two people agree, the other one is voted out. So, I'm fully confident that our board members, they are really hardworking. It's very complicated. Sometimes there's complicated cases that, you know, it requires a lot of work, BWC and all that. So, may be -- with due respect, maybe you're -- you may not agree, but it's really hard work here what the members, the Board members, are doing.

And we'll look into what your article is, but I think that we at this board certainly -- I've been there previously ten years, and now I joined recently about six to eight months ago. And I found that people are really, really concerned about the civilian, but at the same time we have to be fair to the members of the Police Department, so we have to make a fair decision. And that is what I accepted the position as, to make

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

sure that both the parties are fairly 1 treated, and we don't make any kind of 2 3 discrimination with either. So, I think that 4 we listen to you, what you have written, and 5 we'll look at it, what we need to do. 6 Jon, do you want to add something, too? 7 No. I think, Dr. Khalid, MR. DARCHE: 8 you were spot on. 9 CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Any board member 10 wants to answer? 11 (No response). 12 CHAIR KHALID: Does that answer your 13 question, Mr. Pinto, or you have any 14 questions? We'd be happy to. 15 MR. PINTO: You could give me a 16 followup, you know, I don't think my question 17 -- I'm sorry. Yeah, I don't think my 18 question necessarily principles as bad faith 19 on anyone's part. My question has to do with 2.0 the evident disparity of outcome here, where staff recommendations are treated with one 21 22 degree of seriousness on a given panel and 23 are, you know, disagreed with much more 24 frequently on another panel. So, maybe 25 that's just how the machinery works. I don't

But, you know, that's my question. 1 2 CHAIR KHALID: We are really -- I tell 3 the truth, none of us are really perfect, 4 neither the investigator or the Board, but we 5 try our best to make sure that we do the right 6 thing. Again, as I said, we're human beings. 7 People are not perfect, so thank you for your 8 questions, and I hope I answered to your 9 satisfaction. Thank you, sir. 10 11 Anybody else need to -- please, please 12 come. 13 MS. ABDULLAH: Afternoon. My name is 14 Asma, and I think it's not necessary to say 15 my name is Asma anymore. I suppose to be say 16 my name is like famous or whatever, like this 17 is stuff reaction and whatever happen. Because I don't know, every single time I 18 19 have something, it never got responded from 2.0 anyone from here, even when I call, even when 21 I got like anything from the CCRB to call 22 back to get me what's going on with my result 23 with my cases. 24 You always tell them it's no fair and 25 they said they always try to be fair. And

it's not really for us or for me, especially, because every single year -- every single year, I have like some situation, like two situation, some arrested for nothing, anything. Every year I have like some situation like this one, but every single time I try my best to explain to you why us, you never get back to us and to give us, like, something like make sense for me to say what's going on. And whenever I get promise from anyone from who is around the CCRB, they never respond.

They keep continuing telling me, "We promise you are in the top of the schedule," whatever, and they keep continuing giving me any excuse. Until this moment, I didn't understand what's going on with me, and this is not supposed to be happening to any woman. And at the same time, I requested from you, if you have something against us, or especially me, so I am here. I am not hiding anywhere. I'm not, like, invisible so you can just tell me what's going on and faces me what you have against me and I will see. If this one is me, I'm going to admit. If it's

2.0

not -- so, you have to understand that I'm not going to admit by something I never did. It doesn't matter if you make, fabricate report, if you make using the AI or whatever system, that does not make sense for me, because it's not every single year I'm going to feel some situation like this one.

Just recently, like just in January, I feel something, every single time they make bad reputation on me. They stop me. They kick me from the mall. They rush me, and they understand that I have already medical, like, history for -- I can't like -- I don't want to explain something, but it's not like -- I can't move, like, quickly. And then sometimes you kick me. Why? Every single time they call everyone behind me and they say to the people, "She's screaming and she's suspicion, she steal from their pocket or she steal from" -- the other people, they steal. The other people are guilty. I saw them by myself. I saw many times.

But if I knew that they will do something for me in the future, I swear to Allah, I will just take video for them. I

saw so many time they really steal from the people. They take the wallet and they put the money in the garbage. After that, they take the wallet, everything in the wallet, and they put it in the garbage. If I know that they will do something for me, I will take video. And the last time I saw two police officers, they're waiting for the lady until she forgot her bag and the (inaudible), and in the same time after she moved it, she take the bag, so why they didn't give her this one?

And then, they said the other people did it or the other people criminal, or whatever, and since they are, not us. But at the same time, I keep continuing calling the CCRB to tell me what's going on for my cases before or this one, they never give me any respond and that is not fair. You're supposed to be telling what's going on with us. You already made bad reputation on us, even back home. Back home, you didn't even want to leave me alone, even back home. The people, they say to me, "We know everything that happen to you here." So, how they know

everything here? Who is came into my 1 2 apartment? Only the police. 3 CHAIR KHALID: Madam, I'm sorry to cut I just want to ask you, I know you have 4 5 appeared twice before. I've seen you 6 speaking. 7 MS. ABDULLAH: Yeah, but I don't want to just disturb me. Then, you talk to me --you 8 9 always focus for the minutes. Oh, it's over? You don't want to let me 10 keep continuing? 11 12 CHAIR KHALID: No. No. I understand. 13 I just want to tell you that we have received 14 your case. We have looked at it, and the 15 executive director was trying to help you with 16 the other agency. I'm sure that --17 MS. ABDULLAH: That never is going to happen. That is from last year they keep 18 19 continuing giving me excuse. You always talk 2.0 about the (inaudible) one. His secretary, her name Helen, she told me and after that she 21 22 keep continuing refuse to answer my phone, 23 refuse to answer my e-mail. And this is why 24 you want to see -- I don't want to see Mr. 25 Jon,

2.0

so, like, he refused to see me. And then, sometimes -- I have the right to understand what's going on. I'm not going to see anyone here just to have like love story. No, I respect everyone, but you're supposed to be respect to us, too, and tell us what's going on.

So, I'm not going to keep continuing with -- they surrounded us everwhere, and is involved with all the people in my area, especially in my building. They give me -- I don't want to say a lot of things -- bad words on us, no any woman can respect any woman, has like some even feeling can just handle all this one.

And myself, I feel that I am not myself because sometime when I get mad, I have to answer them, same like them. But I don't want to do something like that, but I have to because I can't take the people cursing me like that.

MR. DARCHE: So, Ms. Abdullah, with regard to the prior incidents that don't involve members of the NYPD, we reached out to the Human Rights -- the New York City

Human Rights Commission, and they were willing to have you come in, and they were willing to make arrangements to talk over the phone with you. And then, with regard to the January incident, we have an open complaint. We're working on that investigation. And when you have a complaint, it does not make sense to talk to me because I'm not investigator. And so, we try and get -- we were adamant that you needed to speak to an investigator, because that is the process that we need to start our investigation.

And I know that on April 15th, you actually met with our investigator, and Investigator Kabaddi is working on your complaint right now, and we're doing an investigation, and we're gathering evidence. And so, if you have questions about the process, you can call. If you don't want to talk to the investigator, you can call my office, and we will explain what's going on. But there was a long time where there was a disconnect because we were trying to get you to -- with your complaints about non-NYPD folks through the right agency. But the

complaint from January, we are investigating right now.

MS. ABDULLAH: Everything before, it was already talk to the NYPD, they want to tell me, and when they take me from my home. And until this moment, they distribute my picture, even for the mosque, even for everywhere. And they told me, "We see everything by our eyes." And everywhere I go, they told me, "You are not good to have, like, some communication with the people." The NYPD, they already do something misconduct, and the same time, they make bad reputation and they're completely wrong. And the same time, you want to tell me that it's not related to the NYPD.

They have the right to take me without my scarf? They have the right to take me with no my clothes? They have right to arrest me with not tell me why the reason? And when I am the one, I called them to help me, they took me. And until this moment, I have to wait for this one, and this is not first time. Every single time I get help from them, they took me from my home and they

2.0

distribute my picture to all the people around my area, and they said, "We saw you."

I am better than them. I am polite woman.

Even when I'm saying something wrong, I'm saying -- I say it because they already provoke me too much. And I don't like to do something like that, but what can I do? If the people they keep continuing cursing me, I will give them the finger still.

Even some of the stuff, I didn't understand the meaning. But when I asked somebody, they told me that it's bad word.

Like, I'm sorry to say something like that, like "whore" or whatever, "bitch." I didn't even understand what does it mean. But I'm trying to repeat because I don't understand, but the people told me that it's bad word.

They follow -- they keep continuing following me. I can show it to you right now some picture from the people from my building (inaudible).

And they always, the NYPD, they already support the one his name Reselis Bensar (phonetic), the one under me. They give him some devices to block everything, like even

2.0

my video camera in the door. Whatever they're doing to me, they keep continuing come against me, and that's even the same. Can you tell me why? Because they work as NYCHA and work some stuff like them there and this boy. So, that is not supposed to be. How come that every single time I'm trying to get help from them they come against me, and they turn to arrest me or they put me in the hospital? Because they are mentally sick, not me.

Because if they really have (inaudible), they are all in the street surrounded around the people. If they have real work, they'll have no time to do something like that. But every single time surrounded around us, and sometimes they keep continuing UPS, Fedex, Amazon. I can show it to you so many video. Since I came from here, they always behind the -- how we say it? The subway station, the subway, the mic were not in the home. Until I go home, I think after that, they're going to sleep on my bed, too. They didn't give me one minute.

And then, sometimes they want to know

1	everything about my kids, about my family.
2	Now, back home, they know everything, and
3	they want to tell me that I'm the one not
4	good. They keep continuing make bad
5	reputation even back home. They keep
6	continuing behind me. They don't want to
7	leave me alone. That is not fair. I can try
8	now to take my
9	CHAIR KHALID: I understand your
10	frustration. There are two issues here, as
11	the executive director mentioned; human rights
12	issue, and the CCRB issue. We assure you that
13	the CCRB issue is under investigation. You
14	have the interview with them, and we'll keep
15	on investigating till we have some answers for
16	you. And we'll be happy to let you know of
17	that.
18	MS. ABDULLAH: Until what?
19	CHAIR KHALID: Well, it
20	takes time. It started. It's a process.
21	MS. ABDULLAH: Surrounded and they keep
22	continuing do this stuff for me for how
23	many years? Five years, more than
24	five years.
25	CHAIR KHALID: I

MS. ABDULLAH: They arrested me and they brought me in the jail. That was no

fair. No one is going to know anything about

understand. I'm talking about the CCRB --

me, like that, every single time I'm going to

6 be like that?

2.0

CHAIR KHALID: No, it's not going to be like this. Madam, we're going to try our best to help you. Because as an agency, this is our job to take the complaint and investigate it. We can't do it in one day or two days or three days, but it takes time to bring, you know, police officer. The investigator has to do everything, and then we'll give you an update where your case is right now. Human Rights Commission issue, which Jon has already helped you on that. If you need anything else, we will be happy to help you on that.

MS. ABDULLAH: It's okay. Thank you, but just give me one second because I'm not sure if it's finished or not. But thanks, anyway. But when I told you that I need to speak with you about something personal, about what he promised me before, that it's

here already -- the result he sent to me is not right, or whatever. I didn't feel that it's not fair. He told me -- he promise me, this one, just to discuss with me this one because I was -- and they give you the evidence, and I think it's not fair for us if you see already by your eyes everything, and sometimes you keep continuing saying, like there is nothing. They're going to just send the result from the NYPD. They take the decision that they want their job. How come like this one? Really, I can't understand.

They want to tell me that it's supposed to be the NYPD, they do this one for me.

They are the people, they have the right to take the decision. And if they're not right, how come? I can't understand. How come if you're going to kill me or you're going to do something, I'm going to get back to see you are guilty or not. Of course, they're going to say that they are not doing something wrong. How come like this one? They're supposed to be doing something, and it's not every single time. Even when I go there, like outside, the security doesn't like to

2.0

be -- me, like, attend here or apply here.

He always give me hard time, so that is not supposed to be happening. But this day, it's okay. But this is not supposed to be happen.

He's supposed to be help us. I'm not welcome to come here. For my safety, even, I don't even want to come. Trust me, I don't want to even come. But you are the people who keep continuing bothering us, cursing us, exploiting us, make bad reputation, so what I can do?

MR. DARCHE: Unfortunately, we only have jurisdiction over the NYPD. And the people you are saying, other than that incident on January 23rd, the people you're saying are harassing you are private citizens, so that you have to go to the New York City Human Rights Commission, and we've given you the information, and we've made special efforts to connect you with someone there, so that you can file. And if you -- I understand that it's disappointing to you, and that the pace at which government works is disappointing to you, but we are trying to do our best. And that investigator

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

1	has your complaint, has taken your interview,
2	and is now continuing with the investigation.
3	MS. ABDULLAH: Okay. That is fine. I
4	will end it. But in the same time, I don't
5	know if he's going to say something or not.
6	But if not, I want to say something about
7	him, too.
8	MR. DARCHE: Ma'am, your four minutes
9	are up. Your four minutes are up, ma'am.
10	CHAIR KHALID: We've given you more
11	time.
12	MR. DARCHE: So then, if he wants to get
13	up, he can
14	MS. ABDULLAH: Can I speak instead of
15	him?
16	MR. DARCHE: No.
17	MS. ABDULLAH: No? It's okay.
18	Come tell them about what happened to
19	you.
20	CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Thank you,
21	ma'am.
22	There's anybody else that needs to
23	speak?
24	MR. CASE: Hi. Good afternoon,
25	everyone. Andrew Case, LatinoJustice PRLDEF.

2.0

2.3

I'm going to start by sharing condolences for Mr. Jemmott and his time on the Board, and thank you all for the words. And thank you all for the conference yesterday. I had the opportunity to sit in the panel. It was terrific. I thought it was a wonderful experience. And thank you to Tina, Maroua and everyone who was helpful, and I got to meet Jonathan, Matthew in person.

The report of the month, I had a chance to look at, and I think it's really positive. I am very happy to see the caseloads lowering slowly, the substantiation rate higher. I think part of that has to do with the triage that's going on with the OMB cases. And it's sort of an interesting sign that you're able to focus on the body of cases that's going to actually have a result, and you see this and a 67 percent substantiation rate is something much higher than I remember ever seeing at any agency in a long time, and there's a real positive side to that focus.

We all have read the news about the flip rates. The flip rates have always been a concern. They were a concern at the prior

instance that they were reported on. you know, we've also advocated that one of the reasons that -- one of the ways to solve the problems with the flip rates is to rethink board review, and board review of cases is something that was discussed in 2006 on this board. And I know there's all sorts of issues as to what would be required in it, but in terms of thinking about whether it would be a good idea to change how this board actually resolves cases and whether or not you need to have panels at all or panels for majority of cases, is worth investigating, I think.

But what I really want to talk about is what's going to happen next Friday. Because next Friday, I'm going to be at New York State Supreme fighting for this board. Because LatinoJustice represents William Harvin in a petition claiming that the NYPD's decision to retain his case, which was substantiated by the CCRB after he was tased by officers, and then retained by the NYPD under Section 2 of the MOU. Even though the officer, Detective Torres, does have a CCRB

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

2.3

24

2.0

history, and there was no ongoing criminal investigation pending or otherwise, we have alleged that that was an arbitrary and capricious agency action. We're asking the Court to tell the NYPD that when they have a policy that they will take cases to trial, that they should go to trial.

One of the things the City has said in response is that Mr. Harvin has no standing to bring an Article 78 petition saying the NYPD is not following an agreement that it agreed to with the CCRB. And while we believe Mr. Harvin does have an interest in seeing whether or not Detective Torres is put on trial, we also would like to ask this board to look at what it can do independently to deal with both the retaining under Section 2 problem, and the short statute of limitations problem, which is the really serious issue right now.

The NYPD is dismissing cases on a short statute of limitations. The statute of limitations has not run. It's because they say it will run soon. The statute of limitations pulls when they serve the

officer; that's all they have to do. 1 2 that is an arbitrary and capricious agency 3 action, and you have the right to take action 4 about that. So, I'm going to be taking 5 action next week, to make sure that Detective Torres is tried. And I will 6 7 encourage you all to watch that and to follow 8 up yourselves with action on the short statute of limitations. 9 10 Thank you all very much. 11 Thank you, Mr. Case. CHAIR KHALID: 12 MR. DARCHE: So, the -- in the March 13 meeting, the Police Commissioner announced 14 that the Department had ended their short SOL policy via -- and I think the reporting, we 15 16 are a little behind, so some of the -- there 17 were still some complaints that were closed short SOL in March, but I think when future 18 19 reporting comes out, it will show that the 2.0 policy has stopped. 21 MR. CASE: That's really -- that's great 22 to hear, so thank you. 2.3 MR. DARCHE: It was at the Police 24 Commissioner's budget hearing on the same day 25

1	Dr. Khalid testified at the budget hearing.
2	MS. SIMMONS: But it's in writing?
3	MR. DARCHE: No, but I have seen
4	dispositions and had conversations with the
5	Department Advocate, and it appears that they
6	are following through with the promise at the
7	Budget Commission.
8	MS. SIMMONS: Good.
9	CHAIR KHALID: Anybody else
10	to come and speak in person?
11	MS. SIMMONS: Do you want to explain to
12	people what short SOL means?
13	MR. DARCHE: So, is it okay if I do
14	that?
15	CHAIR KHALID: Yes, sure.
16	MR. DARCHE: So, Board Member Simmons
17	asked me to explain what the short SOL policy
18	means. So, SOL is an acronym for statute of
19	limitations. And under and Andrew, you can
20	check and make sure I'm getting this right.
21	Under Civil Service Law Section 75, the
22	statute of limitations for a discipline matter
23	expires 18 months after the date of incident.
24	The only thing that can stop the SOL is when
25	this, the expiration of the

statute of limitations, is when charges are served upon the member of service.

The Department had taken the position, under prior commissioners, that for efficiency purposes, they were not going to review disciplinary matters that our agency referred to them less than 60 business days from the expiration of the statute of limitations. We reported on that, explained it to the public, and then the new police commissioner, as a result of the conversations that surrounded the reporting, decided to end the policy.

And so, I have not said that much about the policy because I've been waiting for the reporting -- the data to catch up to where it is current and makes sense. The one thing I forgot to mention about the statute of limitations is there is only one exception to the statute of limitations under Civil Service Law 75, and that is if the conduct that is alleged to have occurred could be pled as a crime. We refer to that as a crime exception, but that is not how it is referred to in Civil Service Law.

1	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, Jon.
2	Since we have a quorum now, so we're
3	going to put up the adoption of minutes. In
4	the March meeting, was there any to make
5	any appropriate changes and review the
6	corrected minutes, do I have a motion to
7	MS. SIMMONS: Motion moved to accept
8	the minutes as written.
9	
10	MR. SMITH: Second.
11	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. All those in
12	favor of approving say
13	yes.
14	(Chorus of yeses).
15	CHAIR KHALID: All those opposed?
16	
17	(No response).
18	CHAIR KHALID: The minutes are approved
19	for the March.
20	Now, we have minutes for the April.
21	I'm sorry we didn't have the quorum at April
22	as well.
23	So, motion to approve the minutes for
24	April.
25	MS. SIMMONS: So moved.

```
MR. SMITH: Second.
1
 2
                  CHAIR KHALID: Any changes?
 3
                  (No response).
 4
                  CHAIR KHALID: So, I quess
 5
             all are in favor. Please say yes.
 6
                  (Chorus of yeses).
 7
                  CHAIR KHALID:
                                                All those
8
             opposed?
9
                  (No response).
                   CHAIR KHALID: None.
10
             The minutes for April has approved.
11
12
                   The last item on the -- does anybody
13
             have any question; any board member has any
14
             questions so far?
15
                  (No response).
16
                   CHAIR KHALID: Any old business?
17
             you have any old business to come before
18
             the board?
19
                  (No response).
2.0
                   CHAIR KHALID: Mr. Meyers is laughing.
21
                   Any new business -- do you have any new
22
             business to come before the board?
23
24
                  (No response).
25
                   CHAIR KHALID: So, if we
```

```
don't have any, hearing none, I'm going to
1
             move now to the break for the Executive
 2
 3
             Session.
 4
                   The agenda for the Executive Session is
             that the executive director will discuss
 5
 6
             pending personnel actions, and the general
 7
             counsel will provide updates regarding
8
             pending litigation.
9
                   Is there a motion to adjourn to the
10
             Executive Session?
11
                   MR. SIEGAL: So moved.
12
                   CHAIR KHALID: Do I have a second?
13
                   MS. SIMMONS: Second.
14
                   MR SMITH: Second.
15
                   CHAIR KHALID: So, this is Executive
16
              Session -- going into the Executive Session.
17
             Thank you all.
18
                  (TIME NOTED: 4:56 p.m.)
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE
1
 2
      STATE OF NEW YORK)
 3
                          :SS
 4
     COUNTY OF QUEENS)
 5
                I, Sabrina Brown Stewart, a Notary Public
 6
 7
     within and for the State of New York, do hereby
8
     certify:
                That the witness whose examination is
9
10
     hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that such
     an examination is a true record of the testimony
11
12
     given by such a witness.
13
                I further certify that I am not related to
14
     any of these parties to this action by blood or
15
     marriage, and that I am not in any way interested in
16
     the outcome of this matter.
17
                IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18
     hand on this 22nd day of May, 2025.
19
2.0
21
                            Sabrina Brown-Stewart
                             Sabrina Brown Stewart
22
23
24
25
```