In Re Public Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board February 12, 2025

```
1
 2
             CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
 3
                     PUBLIC HEARING
 4
                    February 12, 2025
 5
                          4:03 p.m.
 6
 7
                     HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE/
                   100 Church Street, 10th Floor
 8
                     New York, New York 10007
9
10
11
12
      B E F O R E:
13
      DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID - INTERIM CHAIR
14
      JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ. - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
15
16
17
      COURT REPORTER:
18
      Sabrina Brown Stewart
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

In Re Public Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board February 12, 2025

1	PUBLIC	MEETING AGENDA	
2	======		
3	1.	Call to Order	
4	2.	Remarks from the Interim Chair	
5	3.	Remarks from the Executive Director	
6	4.	Presentation from Outreach on the CCRB	
7	5.	Public Comment	
8	6.	Old Business	
9	7.	New Business	
10	8.	Adjourn to Executive Session	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CCRB	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	=====	=======================================
3	1.	DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID - INTERIM CHAIR
4	2.	Joseph A. Puma - Board Member
5	3.	Patrick Smith - Board Member
6	4.	June Northern - Board Member
7	5.	Herman Merritt - Board Member
8	6.	Esmeralda Simmons, Esq Board Member
9	7.	Joseph Fox - Board Member
10	8.	Frank Dwyer - Board Member
11	9.	Charlane Brown-Wyands, Esq Board Member
12	10.	John Siegal - Board Member
13	11.	AU Hogan - Board Member
14	PRESE	NTERS:
15		Rose - Director of Outreach ork City Civilian Complaint Review Board
16	new i	ork city civilian complaint keview board
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	SPEAKERS:
2	=======================================
3	Elijah McCormick - Member of the Public
4	Asma Abdullah - Member of the Public
5	Mari Moss - Mayor's Task Force
6	Andrew Case - Supervising Attorney, LatinoJustice
7	PRLDEF
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MS. ALVAREZ: Good evening, everyone.

Thank you so much for joining us. For those utilizing ASL Interpretation Services, our two interpreters today, Andria Lamberton and Jillian Deaton. Their display names begin with ASL Interpreter. If you would like CART Closed Captioning Services, the link to that service was provided in the chat.

Thank you so much for joining us.

MR. CRUZ: Yojaira, can you repeat that

MR. CRUZ: Yojaira, can you repeat that one more time, please.

MS. ALVAREZ: Yeah, no problem.

Thank you, everyone, for joining us.

For those utilizing ASL Interpretation

Services, our two interpreters today are

Andria Lamberton and Jillian Deaton. Their

display names start with ASL Interpreter.

Instructions on how to pin their video will

be in the chat. For those utilizing CART

Closed Captioning Services, the link will

also be provided in the chat.

Thank you so much for joining us.

CHAIR KHALID: Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Dr. Mohammad Khalid, and I am the interim chair of the

1	Civilian Complaint Review Board. I would
2	like to call the CCRB February Board Meeting
3	to order.
4	Thank you for joining us. Last week,
5	Mayor Adams appointed Sherene Crawford to the
6	Board. Ms. Crawford is the chief of staff to
7	the Center for Justice Innovation and began
8	her career as a prosecutor in Manhattan.
9	Ms. Crawford will be joining us at the March
10	board meeting.
11	Will the Board please introduce
12	themselves from the right.
13	MR. SMITH: Pat Smith, mayoral
14	appointee. Good afternoon.
15	MS. SIMMONS: Good afternoon.
16	Esmeralda Simmons, I am from Brooklyn. I am
17	a Public Advocate appointee.
18	MR. DARCHE: My name is Jon Darche. I
19	use he/him pronouns, and I'm the executive
20	director of the Agency.
21	MR. MERRITT: Herman Merritt, City
22	Council designee from Brooklyn.
23	MR. DWYER: Frank Dwyer, police
24	commissioner designee.
25	MR. PUMA: Good afternoon. I'm Joseph

Puma. I'm the City Council designee from Manhattan, and I go by he/him pronouns.

CHAIR KHALID: Thank you all. Adoption of the minutes, seeing that we do not have a quorum, so we will push the approval of the January meeting to next month.

I would like to begin today's meeting by wishing everyone a happy Black History Month. This is a time to celebrate the invaluable contribution black Americans have made to our country, while also acknowledging the challenges this community continues to face, particularly in relation to the policing. The CCRB 2024 report, released on Monday, highlights that the black New Yorkers are significantly overrepresented among victim of alleged police misconduct. While black New Yorkers are made up or 20.2 percent of the City's population, they account for 42.48 percent of alleged victims.

The CCRB Racial Profiling and
Bias-Based Policing Unit was established in
part to address this issue and continue to
work diligently to identify patterns of bias

2.0

2.3

2.0

in law enforcement and investigate these sensitive cases. As I mentioned, the Agency published our 2024 annual report on Monday. This report analyzes data on various aspect of agency operations throughout the year, including the complaints received, investigation quality, and officer discipline.

A key takeaway is that 2024 saw the highest number of complaints filed in the past 12 years. Last year also set a high record, demonstrating that New Yorkers remain committed to exercising their right to civilian oversight. At the same time, we saw a decrease in investigation timelines, a testament to our investigation unit's dedication to the increasing efficiency, despite a high workload.

Looking at the data of complaints received in January, this trend appears to be continuing into 2025. Concerning this, it remains crucial that the Agency receives enough funding to meet this need and continue to serve the New Yorkers. On this note, I look forward to testifying at the Public

Safety Committee budget hearing on

March 11th. I will use this opportunity to
advocate for the Agency and request the

funding necessary to ensure impartial and
thorough investigation into the alleged
police misconduct.

I will now turn over to our Executive Director, Jon Darche, to give us an update on the Agency.

Jon.

MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Dr. Khalid.

Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Jon Darche. I'm the executive director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board, and I use he/him pronouns.

During our last meeting, Board Member
Smith asked about the substantiated rate of
complaints received in 2023. Of the 5,542
complaints received in 2023, the CCRB
substantiated 764 complaints by the end of
2024. That leaves us with a 13.8
substantiation rate. We can compare this
number to the complaints received in 2022.
Of the 3,697 complaints received in 2022, the
CCRB substantiated 541 complaints by the end

of 2023. This is a substantiation rate of 14.6 percent. Therefore, while the number of complaints received in 2024 was more than 49 percent higher than in 2023, the change in the substantiation rate was less than one percent.

As Dr. Khalid mentioned, one focus of his testimony at the upcoming budget hearing will be to advocate for funding to hire additional staff. It is equally important to focus on retaining our existing team and encouraging high quality work. In 2024, there were delays in the promotion process for qualified investigators to go from Level 1 investigator to Level 2.

Recently, the Office of Management and Budget denied the promotions of four Level 2 investigators to Level 3, citing insufficient time at Level 2. This, despite the fact that the reason they had less time at Level 2 was because the Office of Management and Budget had not processed their initial promotions. If the Agency is to retain high-quality investigators, it is important that we reward and promote staff who do good work. The

2.0

1	Agency will continue to work with OMB to
2	promote these high-quality investigators.
3	Our office is open for walk-in
4	complaints, but it is also possible to file
5	complaints online at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint,
6	by telephone at 1(800)341-2272, by calling
7	3-1-1, or by tagging the Agency on Twitter,
8	Facebook, and Instagram. For those wishing
9	to speak during the public comment section of
10	today's meeting, we ask you to keep your
11	questions and comments to four minutes. And
12	if anyone wishes to file a complaint now, we
13	have two investigators on hand ready to take
14	new complaints. The investigators on call
15	this afternoon are Emma Stydahar and Rob
16	Bryan. If you guys could they're both in
17	the back. And Ruth Villafane is also here
18	from the Civilian Assistance Unit.
19	Thank you, Dr. Khalid.
20	CHAIR KHALID: Does the Board have
21	any questions?
22	MR. SMITH: Dr. Khalid, if I may, or
23	Executive Director?
24	CHAIR KHALID: Yes, sir. MR. SMITH:
25	Thank you for the research

2.0

there. I mean, we're -- it is distressing we're taking complaints -- 56, 55, 5,600 complaints, and so many of them, apparently, are not valid. I mean, we're clearing our sustaining at a rate of 16 or 17 percent.

MS. SIMMONS: 13.8.

MR. SMITH: 13.8.

MR. DARCHE: So, first of all, that is of all complaints we receive, not of fully investigated complaints. We closed 1,500 complaints this year without even looking at them, just because of the allegation that's alleged in them. So, if you look at the substantiation rate, it is right along where you would expect it to be. In 2023, the NYPD arrested, approximately, 208,000 people. They convicted, approximately, 25,000 people. So, our rate is better than the NYPD's rate, if you're comparing the number of arrests to prosecution and the number of complaints to substantiations.

Or I just don't -- what has happened is that this Agency is better at determining whether or not conduct we get complained about is misconduct or not misconduct. So,

you've seen the number of cases that we 1 2 closed is unable to determine --3 MR. SMITH: Right. 4 MR. DARCHE: -- go way down. And that 5 has caused an increase in the number of substantiated complaints, the number of 6 7 complaints deemed within guidelines, and the 8 complaints determined to be unfounded, but 9 that's this Agency doing its job. 10 MR. SMITH: Jon, I absolutely agree 11 with you. Please do not misunderstand me. Ι 12 find no fault with you or the staff or the 13 Agency. 14 What I find troubling is, how do we 15 curb the number of spurious complaints coming 16 into these Agency --17 MR. DARCHE: So, I think just because a 18 complaint is unfounded does not mean it is 19 spurious. Unfortunately, this city has a 2.0 high incidence of unaddressed mental health 21 issues, and so people may sincerely believe 22 what they are complaining about and it is not 2.3 So, just because something is 24 unfounded does not mean it is spurious or 25 malicious. And we doing our job by getting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

those complaints and investigating them. To me, a far bigger problem is when there is a complaint that comes in, and because of our resources, we can't even address it to begin with.

MR. SMITH: And again, those resources are stretched beca -- Jon, I'm reviewing anywhere from 60 to a hundred cases a month, and I'm seeing cases where, you know, a person makes an allegation against a police officer, that the officer, you know, made a racially insensitive remark and struck the complainant. And you get to the body-worn camera footage, and it never happened. And I say, "How can someone do something like that, and how can they escape any penalty for perjury for having done that?" I mean, it just -- it boggles the mind. And what you end up with is 5,600 complaints. You end up with a staff dedicated, working hard, overwhelmed by the number of the spurious complaints.

MR. DARCHE: So, Pat, with all due respect, that's just not true. The number of complaints that come in that we are able to

1	fully investigate that turn out to be
2	unfounded is not what is causing the increase
3	in complaints. Because the percentage of
4	unfounded complaints has not increased higher
5	than the increase to, within guidelines or
6	substantiated complaints.
7	So, the fact that there like, we
8	could go to the different reasons. We don't
9	know why there has been an increase in
10	complaints. We I have ideas, but I have
11	no proof. Like, our look at the statistics
12	has not revealed to us why there has been an
13	increase. But there's no there's no
14	reason to think that it is an increase in
15	unfounded complaints that's causing the rise.
16	MS. SIMMONS: I'd like to comment as
17	well.
18	MR. DARCHE: So, Ms. Simmons, I think
19	John had raised his hand first.
20	MS. SIMMONS: Oh, sorry. Sorry.
21	MR. DARCHE: Mr. Siegal.
22	MR. SIEGAL: No, let Esmeralda go ahead
23	first.
24	MS. SIMMONS: Thanks, John. I didn't
25	see your hand. Oh, I see it now, the little

yellow thing.

2.0

MR. SIEGAL: Yeah.

MS. SIMMONS: Okay. I would like to speak a little bit about -- well, I -- the spirit in which the comments are made are, obviously, concern for the Agency and for the City. But I also would like to challenge the use of the word "spurious" complaints because that is a charge that -- that is a word that is charged, that says that someone is deliberately making allegation against a police officer, and they're trying to harm the police officer by making a complaint. I'm not saying that that never happens. This is a wide, big city, and sometimes it happens.

But most of the times, and the complaints that I have reviewed, and I've been here a long time it seems it me, but not as long as John Siegal, and the people make complaints because they believe that what the police officers did was unlawful, and particularly around a force. I'll just make up a situation. Unfortunately, this happens very often.

2.0

The police -- an officer, member of service, approaches a young person, gives the young person an order, ask for ID or whatever. The young person comments back, you know, makes a smart comment says, you know, whatever they're going to say, then refuses to give ID. The police officer says again, "Give the ID." It winds up that they don't give the ID, and then the police officer says, "Well, I'm going to take you in," and then they get into a scuffle. The scuffle then winds up with the person being slammed to the ground, be handcuffed because they're not cooperating, obviously. They think this is all wrong.

And then, when it comes before us, we see that the police officer followed the rules of the Police Department. And what to do in a situation like that? That is not, in my opinion, a spurious complaint.

MR. DARCHE: Right.

MS. SIMMONS: That's a complaint where the public is unaware of the power and the responsibilities of police officers and what they are charged to do in certain

1	circumstances. And they, the public, think
2	that if they get slammed to the ground, that
3	that's excessive force, and it's not
4	considered excessive force by police
5	procedure. I see many, many more of those
6	cases than I do of somebody lying or somebody
7	thinking something happened and it never
8	happened.
9	MR. DARCHE: So, technically, in
10	addition to Mr. Siegal, I think Joe Fox is
11	also online with us now, if you wanted to
12	CHAIR KHALID: Yes.
13	MR. DARCHE: have him introduce
14	himself.
15	CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Joe? Joe Fox?
16	
17	MS. ALVAREZ: He was joining us via
18	phone, so we'll reach out on how to unmute.
19	CHAIR KHALID: I don't think he's on.
20	He's not on.
21	John, do you have a question?
22	MR. SIEGAL: Yes.
23	CHAIR KHALID: John Siegal,
24	board member.
25	MR. SIEGAL: Thank you, Dr. Khalid. I

2.0

don't have much to add after Ms. Simmons' very factual and specific presentation.

I would only urge, as I have in our hearing panels, that there's a very big deference between finding that something doesn't meet the standard for a misconduct by a preponderance of the evidence on the one hand, and assuming that a witness or a complainant is providing false or certainly malicious information.

And we see this both in terms of dealing with investigations of both civilian statements and police statements, and I've urged that we have to be very careful and precise in the rare instances when there are allegations of false official statements by officer. And I think we need to be just as careful and precise before we start labeling civilians who come to this Agency with complaints about the policing and using words like "spurious" or "malicious" or "false."

And I think that the rates that you're describing show that this Board, by and large, does a careful and good job, and I don't see that the rate -- that the number of

1	unsubstantiated cases is a negative thing. I
2	think it's indication of how careful and
3	thorough we're being in the work, so I thank
4	you for the opportunity. Nothing more.
5	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you,
6	John.
7	Joe Fox, are you still there?
8	(No response).
9	MS. ALVAREZ: IT is still reaching out
10	to be able to unmute him.
11	CHAIR KHALID: Should we wait for him
12	or move on?
13	MR. FOX: Can you hear me?
14	MR. DARCHE: Yes. Now we can. Now we
15	can.
16	CHAIR KHALID: Go ahead.
17	Yes.
18	MR. FOX: Sorry about that. It's a
19	different mute button.
20	Joe Fox. Thank you for catching that
21	I'm here. Joe Fox, NYPD police commissioner
22	rep. Glad to be here. And thank you for
23	this substantive conversation that I'll allow
24	to continue.
25	Thank you.

CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, Joe. 1 2 ahead. 3 Do you have any comments? 4 MR. FOX: No, I'm just listening 5 carefully, and thank you. 6 CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Anybody else has 7 any question? 8 Yes, sir. 9 MR. DWYER: Whatever the numbers, 10 whether they be ten or a thousand, there is no 11 recourse when film shows that one allegation 12 or multiple allegations did not occur. And it is certainly understandable that different 13 14 people remember things different ways, but I 15 have had enough cases where people said I was 16 dropped on my head twice, for example, I was 17 punched in the face multiple times, and we watch five films, three films, eight films, 18 19 and they weren't. 2.0 So, what my fellow board member is 21 alluding to is these cases are real, whatever 22 their numbers. It would be good to know their 23 numbers. I mean, to some degree, it could come up under unfounded. But again, 24 25 unfounded, I totally accept, that different

2.0

2.3

people remember things different ways. But that doesn't change the fact that there was some cases that there was more than one thing that one would think wouldn't be misremembered if it never happened.

So, I don't know what the appropriate recourse is, but it just does seem to me that the fact that there was no recourse, maybe the recourse is a civil tort. I don't know, but it is not not an issue.

MR. DARCHE: So, I just don't understand where the harm is to a member of service who has been accused of misconduct, and then we find that the misconduct did not occur. There's no harm there because we're saying definitively there was no misconduct.

MR. DWYER: Yeah, see, I disagree with you, and I'll give you one reason why: The fact that there are -- that these allegations themselves are then publicly put on the internet by some outside agencies, who I guess, whirl them -- you can tell me. But when some child looks up their father and mother and sees that there have been five allegations against their father for beating

up people, even if all five of them are 1 2 unsubbed or exonerated, there is still harm 3 there. 4 Jon, can I just add to that? MR. FOX: 5 CHAIR KHALID: Yes, go ahead. 6 7 MR. FOX: Yeah. Thank you, Dr. Khalid. 8 Yes, Jon, it's no harm in the fact that 9 the case is found substantiated or 10 unsubstantiated within the guidelines, but 11 Frank is right, there's harm on multiple 12 levels. There's sometimes the year to 18 13 months that the case is pending, is the fact 14 that even if it's within guidelines or unfounded, the case still exists. And you 15 16 know that concept that you can't unsee 17 something, as much as it should not weigh 18 against, you know, choice assignments and 19 things like that, the number is still there. 2.0 And if a cop has 18 civilian 21 complaints -- I mean, we've seen cases where 22 police officers are appropriately aggressive 23 in communities where there's been organized,

you know -- not so much organized, but a

number of people making complaints to try

24

2.0

and, like, cool the activity down, and a cop like that could wind up with a lot of complaints. And then, back to Frank, it's on the internet, so I think --

MR. DARCHE: If you look at what happens to police officers who have large number of complaints, they get promoted.

They end up running the NYPD. So, I don't -- I just -- I understand that it might feel badly, but in the same way that we don't -- like, there are oftentimes officers who come in and give a version of events that we determine is untrue, but we don't bring an untruthful statement allegation against them.

We just substantiate the misconduct, right?

If we are going to somehow take punitive action against the civilian for giving incorrect information, then should we also take punitive action against members of service for giving incorrect information?

Like, it seems like we are trying to come up with a problem that there isn't one. We have complaints. We investigate them. We make the best determinations we can. We are not perfect. We make mistakes.

In the same way that three quarters of 1 2 the people who are arrested don't get 3 convicted, only -- right, like these are 4 numbers that happen when you have a large 5 organization doing large numbers of investigations. And in any system where 6 7 you're trying to make true/false 8 determinations, there are two kinds of 9 errors; you can make a true determination 10 when the event is false, and you can make a false determination when the event is true. 11 12 And I think our system is set up properly to 13 not come up with false positives. 14 MR. FOX: You know, Jon -- I'm sorry. 15 MR. DARCHE: Go ahead. 16 CHAIR KHALID: Go ahead. MR. FOX: You 17 know, like I said in the 18 beginning of this, it's a very substantive 19 conversation, and everybody who has spoken 2.0 has made actually very correct and good points, including the beginning with Pat. 21 22 And like Frank said, I'm not sure what the 23 solution is. I hear the obstacles to just 24 coming up with a blanket policy, Jon. 25 Thank you.

1	MR. SMITH: Jon, can I ask you you
2	had mentioned there's 1,500 cases that are
3	just dismissed and out of hand or something
4	that you mentioned
5	MR. DARCHE: Yes.
6	MR. SMITH: Tell us, how do you get to
7	one of those case, what are they?
8	MR. DARCHE: So, we have a strategic
9	resource allocation determination, and there
10	are approximately nine allegations where if
11	that is the sole allegation in the complaint
12	or if it is the one second, because I have
13	the list of those allegations in front
14	MR. SMITH: That goes back to what we
15	did during the budget cutting
16	MS. SIMMONS: Yes, exactly.
17	MR. SMITH: Okay. Fine. That was it.
18	I understand. Thank you.
19	MR. DARCHE: Do you want me to read the
20	allegation?
21	MR. SMITH: No. No. I understand it,
22	yeah. When you said 1,500, you know, I
23	didn't know where it connected. Go ahead.
24	You've connected the dot.
25	Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

MS. SIMMONS: I'd like to just follow up the line of reasoning of the conversation we're having, and I think it's very good we're having it at the public meeting, so I thank you for raising it.

> MR. SMITH: Thank you.

MS. SIMMONS: Because one of the things I think that's the underpinning of this agency, and the reason why I'm willing to serve on it, is because the City's public has decided that they would like the public to have an opportunity to raise issues about policing in New York, and they want to encourage people to come forward. And we go through a lot of effort work to encourage people to come forward and make a complaint if they think that the policing has not been correct.

Some communities, actually, are still afraid to do that. They're afraid of retaliation. They're afraid that ICE is going to come get them. They're just afraid. They're afraid the police are going to come after them if they make a complaint against the police. But it has instilled some level

2.0

of feeling of justice within the City populous, that the fact that the CCRB exists and is historically such a well-reputed organization, institution, agency, that they could actually bring the complaints, the investigations occur, you know, people aren't always happy with the results, but they occur. They see people take them seriously, and this has been going on for over 20 years.

And that's the reason this institution exists, is to instill within the public the fact that they can say something if they think policing is not done correctly. We don't want to put a chilling effect on that. We have a hard enough time to get people to make complaints. What we don't want to do is to discourage people for making complaints by saying, you're going to be pushed if we find that what we found -- that what you believed is true, is not true.

So, I think that things are actually -we have a balancing act to do here. I think
that officers may feel burdened, but the
public -- the people who make the complaint
obviously feel burdened, too. So I think the

balancing act is one that we have to do and, 1 2 of course, the burden is on us and our 3 fabulous staff to do a good investigation, and make sure the police officers are cleared 4 5 when the complaints are not substantiated, 6 and to make sure that the public knows that if we find that there was something wrong, 7 8 that we took action. 9 Now, the only step missing is that we 10 don't actually do the administration of discipline, and I'll pick up on that another 11 12 time. 13 MR. SMITH: I agree with everything 14 that Esmeralda says here, but I would say one thing, I think we would be better served, I 15 16 think this city would be better served if 17 complainants were required to give their 18 statement under oath with a penalty for 19 perjury. And again, if there's a 2.0 misunderstanding, it gets cleared up. If 21 it's not a misunderstanding, if it's a 22 malicious act, then there's a penalty.

MR. HOGAN: May I say something to some of this conversation? Hello?

MR. DARCHE: Yes, AU.

23

24

2.0

MR. HOGAN: Yes. Good afternoon, I just think, really, it is good to have this conversation with the public, but I think what Pat might be saying -- and I don't mean to be facetious by saying that, this is the Civilian Complaint Review Board, right? And civilians, they trust us to make these complaints. And a lot of the complaints, that's a very low percentage to me, 13.8 percent, 14.2 percent, you know, as far as that substantiated, to me, if we're going to correct misconduct.

Right now, I have a commanding officer at my precinct who had 17 allegations and five of them substantiated, two weeks ago he's promoted inside Southeast Queens into 113th Precinct. So, the things that is on their records, although might say -- I think it was Jon. I don't know who -- I think it was Frank that made a statement about, that mommy or daddy, the kids would see that they're saying something wrong about their parent. This officer, this commanding officer, is the deputy inspector now at 113th Precinct with those allegations and with

those substantiations.

2.0

I think we do a good job here at the Civilian Complaint Review Board of trying to look at the facts objectively and then putting our request. Unfortunately, as Esmeralda said, that even if we put in our request -- and this is why we'd have to annihilate even the concept of someone being charged with perjury because even if we put in our request, the Police Department has the final call here.

That -- and my last statement is that if we're going to say take the position -- maybe there needs to be another board where there's a police review board where they see things, and we have the power to see whether or not somebody that might make a false allegation, and we'll look at was it intentional or it was somebody that was experiencing trauma and don't know exactly what happened to them at that time, you know.

Because when someone's sitting you on your back and turning you over, you might think you got punched in your face. You might think you were chokehold, held. So,

1	there's a lot of things that we have to look
2	into before we make some of these wide
3	statements about charging the public who
4	we're trying to gain trust, to say that we're
5	going to do the right thing and look at all
6	these cases.
7	Thank you for the time.
8	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. Anybody else
9	has a question?
10	MR. DWYER: I just want to add, lest
11	the public misunderstand. And Jon, you said
12	a lot, so I would suggest that I just want
13	to make sure there's not a misinterpretation.
14	If there's evidence that a police
15	officer in his or her interview intentionally
16	lie to the investigator here, we do recommend
17	charges, correct?
18	MR. DARCHE: Yes.
19	MR. DWYER: Because I think there was
20	enough complexity in your explanation that
21	some could have understood it otherwise.
22	MR. DARCHE: Okay.
23	MR. DWYER: I encourage you to watch it
24	on the video later.
25	MR. DARCHE: All right.

CHAIR KHALID: Anybody else have any 1 2 question? 3 (No response). CHAIR KHALID: Well, you know, the 4 Agency has been established 5 6 30 years ago by Mayor Dinkins, and certain 7 things were put into -- and we're lucky, New 8 Yorkers are very lucky that we have an 9 independent agency, and we have great staff, 10 that the complaints comes into the system and 11 they look into it. I mean, we added another 12 untruthfulness, besides F.A.D.O. So, we look 13 at every complaint, and I think the staff 14 looks at it. The investigator looks at it. The Board looks at it. Yes, we are not 15 16 hundred percent perfect. We can make 17 mistakes. 18 But I think that my colleague here said 19 that if we prevent people from complaining, 2.0 making a complaint, and telling them that this will be the penalty, I guess people will not 21 22 come to complain. Even they will just hold 2.3 that thing in their heart and head and say 24 that no, I will be penalized for that, making 25 a complaint. So, I think we are lucky

that this Agency is there to help the 1 2 New Yorkers. And I am proud of the Board 3 Members, and also Jon Darche, that he's being 4 a great executive director in trying to run 5 the agency in a proper way, so that we can help the New Yorkers with a better 6 7 investigation. 8 So, I noted all these things. We'll 9 discuss that. The issue, Pat, of asking the 10 complainant, signing a document, I think that 11 will be better that we discuss that and send 12 it to the Law Department. 13 MR. SMITH: Yeah. 14 CHAIR KHALID: And let them, their 15 input in it, that whether technically we can 16 do this thing without any penalties to the 17 And so, I will definitely have all the 18 Board discuss on this, and maybe we can get an 19 opinion from the Law Department. 2.0

MR. DARCHE: I will -- our general counsel is sick with COVID, so he is not here today. But I will let Matt know, and he will reach out to the law Department.

Dr. Khalid, there are two board members

24

25

21

22

1	who joined us online, who have not had the
2	opportunity to introduce themselves. If you
3	wanted to call on Ms. Northern and Ms. Brown.
4	CHAIR KHALID: Go ahead, please,
5	introduce yourself.
6	MS. NORTHERN: Hi. I'm June Northern.
7	A mayoral appointee from Brooklyn. Sorry I
8	couldn't be there today.
9	MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Good afternoon. My
10	name is Charlane Brown. I'm a police
11	commissioner designee. Unfortunately, I'm
12	under the weather, so I didn't want to spread
13	that to the audience and our board members,
14	but happy to be online.
15	MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Charlane.
16	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. Thank you so
17	much.
18	Any other questions before we go
19	further?
20	(No response).
21	CHAIR KHALID: Now, I would like to ask
22	
23	MR. DWYER: Sorry. I just want to make
24	one more comment to emphasize the point I
25	made. To the best of my knowledge, the NYPD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

is the only city agency that is required to post the disciplinary records of the agency. And then, of course, as we know, other people take them and put them on the internet forever. Well, I guess it's up to whomever, the council or whoever, whether to post that, but we don't even do that. MR. DARCHE: So, if we have disciplinary cases that go to OATH, where every other city agency has their case go to OATH, OATH posts those disciplinary hearings online. You can go find every other agencies' disciplinary matters at the OATH website. MR. DWYER: But we don't post. I mean, I can do a search through, right? MR. DARCHE: But you said that they're not available. Those disciplinary records

MR. DARCHE: But you said that they're not available. Those disciplinary records are available. If someone goes to OATH for a trial, they'd get tried. That will be there, so I guess only the most serious ones.

MR. DWYER: Hear my point, though, that the transparency we demand of the Police Department, even we don't emulate. And when I say "we demand," I guess it was the City

1	Council who passed the law to do it. But,
2	you know, why not every city agency? I mean,
3	we publish even if the officers get
4	instructions, even if they get a Schedule A,
5	we publish it. We make it public, you know?
6	MS. SIMMONS: Unfortunately, I think
7	that's because of the duty that police
8	officers have to serve and protect. I think
9	that's why people feel that they want to know
10	if there are allegations against officers.
11	Because we feel that they may not take that
12	duty that we all want them to take seriously,
13	as seriously and effectively. As great as
14	the staff of the CCRB are, they're not here
15	to serve.
16	CHAIR KHALID: Any other questions?
17	It's a nice, interesting discussion.
18	MS. SIMMONS: It goes to the core of
19	what this agency is about.
20	CHAIR KHALID: The next item is the
21	outreach presentation by Director of Outreach
22	Jahi Rose.
23	
24	Jahi.
25	MR. ROSE: Hello. Good evening,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

everyone. As mentioned, my name is Jahi
Rose. I'm the director of outreach for the
Civilian Complaint Review Board. I go by
he/him pronouns. I'm just going to provide a
brief overview of our agency.

The Civilian Complaint Review Board is a city agency. We are the largest oversight entity over the largest police force in the country. CCRB investigates, mediates, and in some cases, administratively prosecutes allegations of misconduct alleged against members of the New York City Police Department. Our agency is governed by a 15-member board, many of which you've met today, that are comprised of members that are appointed by the Mayor, five members that are appointed by the City Council, three that are designated by the Police Commissioner, and one that is appointed by the Public Advocate. The Chair is jointly appointed by the Mayor and the City Council.

The CCRB can investigate allegations of abuse of authority, force, discourtesy, and offensive language. A helpful acronym is FADO. As previously mentioned, if you'd like

2.0

2.3

to report misconduct, there are a few ways to do it. Some of the easiest ways are to file that complaint online at nyc.gov/CCRB complaint. You can also file that complaint by calling the CCRB's hotline, 1(800)341-CCRB or 1(800)341-2272. Remember, if you see footage of police misconduct on social media or on news media, you could file a complaint, even if you were not there in person. The typical CCRB handle is @CCRB_NYC.

Additional ways to file a complaint include calling 3-1-1. You could also come to the CCRB's headquarters at 100 Church Street, that's on the 10th floor, New York, New York, ZIP code 10007. You could also go to a local police precinct. It does not have to be the precinct where the incident took place. Police officers are required to accept the complaints in the precinct. You could also just ask for a self-addressed envelope and a complaint form, and you could send that complaint directly to the Agency. You could also file a complaint online, and you can go to our agency's social media platform to file the complaint as well.

If you'd like to request a CCRB presentation by our outreach team, feel free to request that presentation by sending us an e-mail to outreach@ccrb.nyc.gov. Also, feel free to follow us on our social media platforms -- Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter or X.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR KHALID: Thank you,

Jahi.

2.0

When the budget allows us, I'm sure as a outreach, we will certainly be going into all the boroughs and have the public meeting there in every borough. But that we cannot determine until -- there's a lot of preparation and a lot of resources needed. So, when we have enough budget, we will certainly make that effort to go into every borough and do the public meeting.

Now, we will enter into the public comment portion of the meeting. We'll begin with those joining us virtually who would like the make a comment, followed by those who are joining us in person. For those joining virtually, please use raise-your-hand

feature. Please keep your comment to 1 2 four minutes. 3 MS. ALVAREZ: First online, we'll be hearing first from Elijah McCormick. 4 5 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes, can everyone hear 6 me? 7 CHAIR KHALID: Yes. Please go ahead. 8 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes. My name is Elijah 9 McCormick. I'm just, you know, a member of 10 the public, and also I've filed complaints 11 myself, I've complained myself, that's been 12 substantiated. And I wanted to respond on the 13 topic y'all was mentioning about possible 14 having a means to, I guess, filter complaints 15 that come in, to make sure that, you know, the 16 obvious, what seems to be obvious, complaints 17 of lies about officer, accusation against 18 officer, that they don't meet the desk, and 19 that it doesn't increase the number of 2.0 complaints that needs to be reviewed. 21 I want to respond to that by saying I 22 hope none of the staff members, board members, 23 are motivated by a sense of overwhelming 24 number of cases to oversee and 25

2.0

misdirecting that frustration to the people and civilians or the public who are making these complaints.

Because as we know, as this last meeting that I've reviewed, that the CCR Board is actually missing board members that could be helping and assisting with these cases, and there's only specific people, I believe it was the Mayor, Eric Adams, and then there's another -- there's other people who was supposed to have been appointed for a seat, that you are not occupying all 15 seats. So, I can understand when your cases are being overloaded on your desk and you may feel overwhelmed, that I hope that each and every one of the board members doesn't take that frustration and mistarget it towards the people who are making the complaints.

And number 2, I also want to make mention that you gotta realize this -- it sounds like to ask that there be some type of penalty for a blatant lie against an officer, it sounds like what we're asking for is citizen accountability. When we -- when the Board is -- that the reason for CCRB

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

existence is for police accountability. When I was arrested, penalty was given to me by accusation, a false accusation, without any trial, without any review, without any, you know, a third-party oversight. And I was placed in handcuffs and placed in a cell, and I had to wait to get -- I was not trusted enough, you know, to move about freely until I see a judge.

Pretty much, I felt I was penalized for somebody else's false accusations. And when I -- when my charges was dismissed, that record is still there. From my understanding, there is still records of this dismissal on my record for the false allegation of this officer. And I believe that -- and also, it affected my job. arrest affected my job. So, I don't really think that we should even entertain a concept of accountability to be placed -- a responsibility to hold citizens or people who put the complaints in accountable when there's so much hope, so much power, and so much immunity and lack of accountability when an officer makes the exact same allegation as

1	we so-call saying that citizens are doing.
2	So, I just want to make sure everybody
3	is on the same page because this if I'm
4	understanding, this is a Board for police
5	accountability, not civilians accountability.
6	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, sir. Noted
7	very well.
8	And Jon, do you have anything you want
9	to tell him?
10	MR. DARCHE: I think this gentleman
11	said it better than I did earlier, so I
12	should have we should have just called on
13	him.
14	(Laughter).
15	CHAIR KHALID: Next online, Yojaira.
16	MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chair.
17	Currently, no folks have raised their hand
18	no more folks have raised their hand, so that
19	concludes the online portion for the public
20	session.
21	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you very much.
22	For those joining us in person and are
23	interested in making a public comment, please
24	
25	

1	line up behind the podium, and please keep
2	your comment to four minutes.
3	MR. DARCHE: So, would you like to
4	speak first? Come on up. Can you speak into
5	the microphone, so people can hear you.
6	MS. ABDULLAH: Good afternoon,
7	everybody. I just I don't want to just
8	speak of everything I have before. Just I
9	want to just ask some questions, maybe you
10	can just a little understand what's going on.
11	Because the City run this agency, and as much
12	as
13	MS. SIMMONS: I'm sorry. You have to
14	give us your name.
15	MS. ABDULLAH: Oh, sorry. Because I
16	know that I am famous right now. Everybody
17	know me.
18	MS. SIMMONS: No. No.
19	MS. ABDULLAH: Trust me, everybody in
20	the street, Asma Abdullah, trust me. Okay.
21	It's my name, Asma Abdullah.
22	Just I need to understand, if you said
23	that you're trying to run your agency so much
24	as I mean, like much better or whatever,
25	anything, and they dismiss some stuff and

just they close it, depend about what -- from where you get the clue or wherever they get the evidence or get the proof, from where?

And even you get it, why you don't want to show it to the other people you have something against him or them or any person, to let us know that this is truth or not?

How we didn't know that they make something like fake or using the AI or using some stuff? Because that is what happened to me.

Because I'm trying my best to understand what's going on. It's not only for the situation you have it before.

It's -- I just -- I figured out recently that they already distribute some picture from different cases before. And I didn't even understand what is the reason, even I didn't understand why. Just every single time I call them to get help, they come to arrest me. Like that, they love me so much. That's why I love them so much, At the same time, I just I'm trying to understand, from where they get this stuff because I'm asking everybody. They gave that is what they have against us. They said, "We

1

2

3

don't have something against you." How come that is even we're going to take our right from the lawyer, they come like crazy behind us, they give them some fake information, fake report, fake a lot of things, and after that, says we're criminal? Okay. We're criminal everything, we're everything, so why you don't want to show it to us? And they just said -- you are there. You are just in this situation or we done this situation or whatever we did this criminal stuff, so why?

Just I need to understand because every single time right now, and they always like that. Before Ramadan, before any holy months, they keep continually squeezing us too much, to bother us to make anything is They try their best to make us so wrong. crazy. Anything is wrong because they try just to prove that we are just like criminal. Even back home, everybody in this meeting, they understand that if you want to help us, I know for sure that you would help us, but I'm sorry to say something that everyone in this room, they don't want to like to help us. Just to listen, follow the case, it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

not related to us, give it to IAB, the IAB close it, never just call us for anything, anything.

Recently, just I called to make a report, they just without -- even I didn't finish my complaint, they -- he transferred at the same moment to the IAB. How come like that? That's why I'm always mad. And the people when I get mad, "Oh, see she's psycho." Okay. I'm psycho. I don't care about the people they say something like that. They don't have what I have. They don't feel what I felt. So, I don't care about anyone. Just I care just about -- I need the truth.

If you have something, just give it to me, show it to me. Why you just hide it? continuing calling the IAB. "Please, if you me? Why every single time I call you to get help, you come to arrest us? Just because I didn't afraid from you? Okay. I'm not going to afraid of anyone from you, because I know myself. I'm proud of myself. After even they do a lot of arrests, even they gonna kill me. I'm proud of myself. I'm not going to afraid of them. The only thing I need is just I need the truth. You are here, so why you don't want to help us? Because I know that if you really make serious investigate or you make something really serious, it will show everything and they will know the truth.

But I'm sorry to say something like
that. You keep continue like, oh, these
people is not like in our related, like is
not our family or maybe not from anyone
related to us, so they don't care about us.
That's why they keep continuing bother us.
And I'm sorry to say that they didn't even
respect us or they didn't respect anyone from
the Government. That's why they keep
continuing. They want to let us -- okay.
You see, we'll prove that we're going to keep
continuing to bother you until you're going

1	to give up, until you're going to kill
2	yourself, but that is not going to happen.
3	It's going to happen in their dream because I
4	am already religion, and I respect my
5	religion. And I'm not I never going to do
6	something wrong. Even I'm going to do
7	something wrong, trust me I cannot prove that
8	I do it, because it's mine. Like, just I
9	don't want to just use this time for nothing,
10	just the only thing
11	CHAIR KHALID: You have passed four
12	minutes. Yes
13	MS. ABDULLAH: Okay. So
14	CHAIR KHALID: Your four
15	minutes passed, and can you conclude, please.
16	MS. ABDULLAH: Okay. That is fine.
17	Just give me just the result or whatever, give
18	me any answer for my question, because every
19	single time like that.
20	CHAIR KHALID: Last month, you came in
21	and you expressed yourself, and this was not
22	related to CCRB. It was related to
23	MR. DARCHE: The Commission on Human
24	Rights.
25	

Human Rights. 1 CHAIR KHALID: (Inaudible). 2 MS. ABDULLAH: 3 CHAIR KHALID: Well, you know, the 4 religious part of the issue, like the 5 executive director said that, it's a human 6 rights, you know, commission that you need to 7 -- and I think we were trying to help you with that. Jon --8 9 MR. DARCHE: So, Mr. Rose has been 10 working to get someone at the Commission on 11 Human Rights to hear your complaints about the 12 restaurants and your place of worship where you had issues. If you're saying that police 13 14 have been coming up behind -- police, not 15 civilians, if you're saying -- so, Rob will --16 sorry, Rob, but you're the one in my eyeline. 17 So, if you would go talk with Rob and 18 explain to him when a police officer has 19 committed misconduct against you, allegedly, 2.0 then we will investigate that. But we have 21 had a -- we have, to this time, not -- you 22 haven't given us reason to think that it's 23 police officers and not the private 24 25

institutions, whether they're houses of 1 2 worship or restaurants. 3 But Rob, if you could talk with this 4 individual and get her information. 5 we can sort it out and there are allegations against police officers, we will investigate 6 7 them. 8 So, go talk to the investigator. 9 MS. ABDULLAH: Thank you. CHAIR KHALID: Thank you 10 11 very much as well. 12 The next person. 13 Hi. MS. MOSS: Thank you for 14 mentioning Black History earlier, Black History Month. My name is Mari Moss. I'm 15 16 the mother of Calia, Sophia, and Anya. 17 serve on the task force to end gender and domestic-based violence, and I serve on the 18 19 community action board that gives federal 2.0 funding to nonprofit organizations within the 21 community. 22 I want you to imagine something, 23 imagine being handcuffed, shackled at the 24 ankles, trapped in a system that is supposed

to protect you. Now, imagine electricity

surging through your body, not because you were a threat or not because you had committed a crime, but because those in power decided they could get away with it. I don't have to imagine it because it happened to me. In May, I was brutalized by the very people who were sworn to uphold the law. I was wrongfully arrested on a legal court order, shackled, and then subjected to intimidation, psychological abused, and finally, electrocuted, all while I was restrained, unable to defend myself.

I want to be clear, this was not an accident. This was not a misunderstanding. This was a system flexing its power, trying to silence me, trying to strip me of my dignity, my rights, my humanity. But they failed, because I am standing here. But not everyone gets to stand here and tell their story. Some are stolen from us too soon.

And today, I want to take a moment to honor Robert Brooks, a life lost to the same broken system we are fighting against. Before I say another word, I ask all of you to join me in a moment of silence for Robert Brooks and for

every victim of police brutality who should still be here with us today.

(Brief moment of silence).

MS. MOSS: I am here today because I survived, but survival is not enough.

Justice is the only answer. And I'm not just speaking for myself. I'm speaking for every mother, every woman, every person who has been brutalized and ignored. For every survivor of domestic violence who dares to stand up and demand protection, only to be met with punishment instead.

The real question is, how many more of us will it take before the system is held accountable? How many more mothers will be torn from their children? How many more of us have to suffer before something actually changes?

I'm here today to say, "No more." No more courts weaponized against survivors. No more police using their badges as shield for abuse. No more children being separated from their mothers who fought to protect them.

And if you sit here and listen but you do nothing, you are complicit. If you have the

power and refuse to use it, you're part of the problem.

So, I ask you, what will you do? Will you let another mother be silenced? Will you let another woman be shackled and electrocuted while pleading for her rights? Or will you stand with me and fight with me and make sure this never happens again? I was just at a meeting last month with Council Member Althea Gibs -- sorry, Stevens. Thank you. And she had people in the room talking about how they were brutalized by police officers in Rikers Island.

If you would have heard some of the things that people were saying happened to them at Rikers Island, the human rights violations, and the overwhelming abuse. We have to do something about holding people accountable. And to sit here and listen to the fact that a lot of these officers get promoted after they have 17 offenses, you can go through the list. I just sat here and went through the list, and you see a repeat of the same names over and over again, and there's nothing being done about it.

1	So, we're looking to you, as the agency
2	that Mayor David Dinkins enacted, that we
3	need to hold police accountable. So, I ask
4	you to please do so.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you very much for
7	your presentation. Just a question that
8	have you filed any reports on this thing with
9	the CCRB? Just curious.
10	MS. MOSS: Yes.
11	CHAIR KHALID: Yes. And
12	did you receive the results?
13	MS. MOSS: I last checked on forgive
14	me. When I went onto the online you know,
15	to check on my case, it said that the
16	information was not available at the moment.
17	MR. DARCHE: Ms. Moss, I'll have Rob
18	check on that for you, or Emma. Emma will go
19	check on it and get you if you go talk to
20	her right now, she'll get you the updates on
21	your case.
22	MS. MOSS: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you. Thank you
24	very much.
25	Now, we've come to

MR. DARCHE: There's one more person.

CHAIR KHALID: Sure.

2.0

2.3

MR. CASE: Good afternoon. Andrew
Case, supervising attorney at LatinoJustice
PRLDEF, he/him pronouns. Happy Black History
Month.

I want to talk a little bit about the punishment for unfounded cases issue that has come up. This has been something that has been discussed at the CCRB as far back as the 1992 City Council hearings. I think people should go read those. There are very good reasons that people are not prosecuted for perjury for complaining about police officers. It creates a terrible disincentive and scares people off to believe that they will be arrested if they raise issues with police, because they do not trust that they will be heard fairly and that they will not be subject to retaliation.

And it's not special to police officers. My sister is a New York City high school teacher. You will not be surprised to learn that people file complaints against

New York City high school teachers that turn

2.0

out to be unfounded. People file complaints against taxi drivers that turn out to be unfounded. And we believe in this city that the City employees are mature enough and able enough to withstand the possible hard feelings of being accused of something, particularly if they're eventually exonerated or unfounded.

When I was the spokesman for the CCRB, Paul Brown, who was the DCPI, called me a liar in the New York Times, and I had no recourse against him. I just had to grow up about it. So, I will say, if you look at the body-worn camera and a complaint is purely unfounded, maybe you can find a way to not bring the police officer in for an interview, just to have them be interviewed and then unfounded, that could be a time waste.

But the idea that their feelings are so special and other New York City employees' feelings are -- have to withstand this, it creates this, sort of, special notion that police believe and are entitled something different. In fact, police officers have special responsibilities. They have special

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

duties. They carry guns. They can arrest people. They should be held to a higher standard than just about anyone else in the City. I'll speak -- the Department of Corrections and the things that you say about Rikers are particularly horrible in there.

On transparency about these complaints, one of the reasons that there is such transparency now about police complaints is that for 30-40 years, there was a law on the books specifically exempting police misconduct complaints from FOIL, Civil Rights Law 50-a, which kept anyone from knowing anything about what actually happened in places like the CCRB, and the response to the repeal of 50-a has been a large demand to know what actually happens. And you could FOIL the Department of Education and find out teachers complaints. It's not posted online unless they go to OATH, but it is publicly available. And the reason it's posted for the NYPD is there's a long history involved of people not disclosing that.

I want to speak very briefly about what
I was going to talk about before I heard

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

other things in the meeting, which is the short statute of limitations and the retaining of the cases under Section 2 of the I saw that the January stats are much, much better for discipline than they have I hope that is a trend that is going to continue. Michael Gerber has told me it Jessica Tisch has said it is. I hope that that's actually true and they're not blowing smoke. But I think you should be watching closely the Police Department's failure to punish officers when you find that they have committed misconduct.

We are currently suing the NYPD over a case where you substantiated an allegation and they dismissed it, even though there was no ongoing criminal investigation and the officer had previous complaints. And the NYPD is saying that we don't have the right to bring that suit. We believe we do, on behalf of James Harvin, who was tased unlawfully and you guys substantiated it. But if the NYPD continues and prevails in that regard, the only people that can hold it accountable are you. This NYPD has acted

```
arbitrary and capricious in dismissing
1
 2
             complaints. And if it comes to it, you
 3
             should consider bringing action against them
 4
             for that.
 5
                    Thank you guys all so very much.
 6
                   CHAIR KHALID: Thank you, sir.
 7
             you very much.
 8
                   Anybody else to speak?
9
                  (No response).
10
                  CHAIR KHALID: If none,
11
            we --
12
                  MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair, may I offer a
13
            statement under old business, please?
14
                  CHAIR KHALID: Absolutely, please.
15
            That's what we're going to do, old business.
16
                  MR. SMITH:
                             I'm sorry.
17
            Colleagues, the CCRB D-panel scheduled
18
            for February 26th was canceled because there
19
            were not enough CCRB members to fill the panel
2.0
            and vote on the cases. Now, we learned today
21
            there has now been a new appointee, but didn't
22
            know that when I wrote this. This is the
23
            second time in five months a D-panel was
24
            canceled because of a lack of CCRB members.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A brief explanation: Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB should have 15 members. Currently, there are only 11 members. next month, we hope to have 12, because the Mayor and City Council have not made appointments.

These members are divided into three-member panels, each of which reviews 50 to 60 cases a month by computer. Each panel meets virtually once a month and votes to accept or revise the recommendation of the CCRB staff which has investigated the cases. Right now, there are three panels reviewing cases, instead of five. Hundreds of civilians are waiting for justice, most for 15 months or more, because we're missing four CCRB members. After this new appointment, we're still missing three.

Each month, there is a D-panel, 50 or 60 cases in which CCRB staff investigators have cleared the officers of the charges against them. In 99 percent of these cases, the three CCRB members on the D-panel vote to In many cases, charges

2.0

are made against several officers. So, now there are up to 100 officers whose names will not be cleared because we do not have enough CCRB members to do the job. Up to 100 officers who wake up every morning, often for more than a year, wondering about the CCRB case against them.

Some of these officers have pending promotions which are delayed until the CCRB case is cleared. Some officers have transfers which are delayed until their name is cleared. Some of these charges are based on honest misunderstandings in police work. In many cases, however, these officers are victims of malicious lies by people who just want to stick it to a cop. They can do that because there is no punishment for a member of the public to lie to the CCRB.

There is no requirement for complainants to swear to the truth of their statement. Anyone can make the most outrageous allegations against a police officer, and it can take more than a year for the officer to have his or her name cleared by CCRB members voting in three-member

1	panels, especially the D-panel.
2	Our Mayor and our City Council must do
3	their duty and appoint members to the CCRB.
4	We have a solemn obligation to our citizens,
5	including our police officers, to administer
6	justice in a timely manner.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIR KHALID: Thank you,
9	Pat.
10	Let me say this thing, that we have a
11	new board member joining us next month, but
12	we are working on to get more board
13	members. We're going to be making a request
14	to the Mayor and to the Speaker, to put more
15	members as required by law, so we can do the
16	work needed by the panels. So, I assure you
17	that we'll work on that as soon as possible,
18	to remind them that this is an important step
19	needed to fulfill all the board members.
20	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
21	appreciate that.
22	CHAIR KHALID: Any new business that
23	we have before the Board?
24	(No response).
25	CHAIR KHALID: Hearing

```
none, I'm going to move now that we break
 1
 2
            into Executive Session. The agenda for the
 3
            Executive Session is, the executive director
            will discuss pending personnel actions, and
 4
 5
            the general counsel, who is not here today,
            will be having some updates regarding the
 6
 7
            pending litigation.
 8
                  MR. DARCHE: Mr. Chair, I'll fill in
            both roles.
 9
10
                  CHAIR KHALID: Okay. Thank you, sir.
11
                  Is there a motion to adjourn to
12
            Executive Session?
13
                  MR. SMITH: So moved.
                  CHAIR KHALID: Do I have a second?
14
15
16
17
                   MR. SMITH: Second.
18
                   CHAIR KHALID: This meeting
19
             is now adjourned. Thank you.
2.0
                  (TIME NOTED: 5:15 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE
1
 2
      STATE OF NEW YORK)
 3
                          :SS
 4
     COUNTY OF QUEENS)
 5
                I, Sabrina Brown Stewart, a Notary Public
 6
 7
     within and for the State of New York, do hereby
8
     certify:
                That the witness whose examination is
9
10
     hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that such
11
     an examination is a true record of the testimony
12
     given by such a witness.
13
                I further certify that I am not related to
14
     any of these parties to this action by blood or
15
     marriage, and that I am not in any way interested in
16
     the outcome of this matter.
17
                IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18
     hand on this 20th day of February, 2025.
19
2.0
21
                            Sabrina Brown-Stewart
                             Sabrina Brown Stewart
22
23
24
25
```