```
1
             ----X
 2
               CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
 3
                      PUBLIC MEETING
                       June 12, 2024
 4
 5
                         4:10 p.m.
 6
 7
                 HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE/
8
                100 CHURCH STREET, 10th FLOOR
9
                  NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007
10
11
     BEFORE:
12
     Arva Rice, Interim Chair
13
     Jonathan Darche, Esq. Executive Director
14
15
16
     COURT REPORTER:
17
     Stephanie O'Keeffe
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

In Re June Public Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board June 12, 2024

1	PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA				
2					
3	1.	Call to Order			
4	2.	Adoption of Minutes			
5	3.	Remarks from the Chair			
6	4.	Remarks from the Executive Director			
7	5.	Presentation on Policing at Protests			
8	6.	Public Comment			
9	7.	Old Business			
10	8.	New Business			
11	9.	Adjourn to Executive Session			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

CCRB MEMBERS PRESENT: 1 2 3 1. Arva Rice, Interim Chair 2. June Northern, Board Member 4 3. Herman Merritt, Board Member 4. Joe Fox, Board Member 6 5. Kevin Jemmott, Board Member 7 6. Pat Smith, Board Member 8 7. Charlane Brown-Wyands, Esq. Board Member 9 8. Frank Dwyer, Board Member 10 9. John Siegal, Board Member 11 10. A.U. Hogan, Board Member 12 13 PRESENTERS: 14 Molly Biklen, Associate Legal Director - New York 15 Civil Liberties Union 16 Jennvine Wong, Supervising Attorney - Cop 17 Accountability Project, Special Litigation Unit -¹⁸ Legal Aid Society 19 Lillian Marquez, Deputy Bureau Chief of the Law 20 Enforcement Misconduct Investigative Office - Office of the NYS Attorney General 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

MR. ROSE: Thank you very much for joining us. For those utilizing ASL interpretation services, our two ASL interpreters today will be Craig Ridgeway and Lisa Dennett. The instructions on how to pin their videos will be in the chat. You can feel free to utilize -- feel free to find them at ASL interpreter Ridgeway, or ASL interpreter Craig Ridgeway, and ASL interpreter, Signexus, Lisa Dennett, also for those utilizing CART services, those instructions will be in the chat as well. Thank you.

CHAIR RICE: Good evening and welcome. My name is Arva Rice. I use she/her pronouns and I am the interim chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board.

I would like to call the CCRB's June public board meeting to order.

Will the Board please introduce themselves now, starting to my right with Pat?

MR. SMITH: Pat Smith, mayoral appointee from Manhattan. Good evening. How are you?

MR. JEMMOTT: Good afternoon. Kevin Jemmott, mayoral appointee from Queens.

	PROCEEDINGS			
1	MR. FOX: Good afternoon. Joe Fox, police			
2	commissioner designee.			
3	MR. MERRITT: Herman Merritt, City Council			
4	designee from Brooklyn.			
5	MR. SIEGAL: Good afternoon. John Siegal,			
6	I'm one of the mayoral appointees.			
7	CHAIR RICE: Members who are joining us			
8	virtually, I want to start with Frank, if you			
9	would introduce yourself, please.			
10	MR. DWYER: I'm Frank Dwyer, police			
11	commissioner designee, and I am traveling, so			
12	I'm joining you remotely.			
13	MS. NORTHERN: mayoral appointee from			
14	Brooklyn.			
15	MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Charlane Brown-Wyands,			
16	police commissioner designee.			
17	CHAIR RICE: please repeat your			
18	introduction, you got cut off.			
19	MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Apologies. Charlane			
20	Brown-Wyands, police commissioner designee.			
21	Thank you.			
22	CHAIR RICE: And, June, did you introduce			
23	yourself as well?			
24	MS. NORTHERN: I did. June Northern,			
25	mayoral appointee from Brooklyn.			

PROCEEDINGS Thank you so much. Is anyone CHAIR RICE: 1 2 else on the line? MR. HOGAN: A.U. Hogan, City Council 3 designee for the Queens. 4 CHAIR RICE: Thank you so much, A.U., for 5 joining us as well. 6 7 Are there any corrections or changes to the May public Board meeting minutes? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIR RICE: Any change or corrections? 11 (No response.) 12 Okay, seeing none, can I ask for a motion 13 to approve the May board meeting minutes. 14 MR. SMITH: So moved. 15 CHAIR RICE: Can I have a second? 16 MALE SPEAKER: Second. 17 CHAIR RICE: All those in favor? 18 (Chorus of ayes.) 19 All those opposed? 20 (No response.) 21 Okay, the meeting minutes are approved as 22 presented. 23 (No response.) 24 Okay, the meeting minutes are approved as

25

presented.

It has been four years since the murder of George Floyd. Four years ago, our city and many other cities saw massive protests.

As I said at our last meeting, New York
City had a long and vibrant history of political
protests. It appears this summer will be no
exception, as demonstrations continue in regard
to the Israel/Palestine conflict. The CCRB
remains committed to investigating any
misconduct that may occur during the policing of
these protests.

To date, the CCRB has conducted 32 investigations into alleged police misconduct at Middle East protests around the City. Of those complaints, six took place on college campuses. In total, the complaints involved 66 subject officers with 14 subject officers involved in campus complaints.

This afternoon, the CCRB welcomes representatives from the New York City Civil Liberties Union, the Legal Aid Society, and the Office of the Attorney General, who are here to give a presentation on the settlement that they reached with the NYPD related to the 2020 protest. This settlement was reached with the

NYPD with the aim of reforming how we police protests in New York City. This presentation is a great opportunity for the Board and the public to learn more about the settlement's impact on policing in the City and how it may impact the work of the Agency.

On behalf of the Board, I'd like to thank NYCLU, Legal Aid, and the Office of the Attorney General for taking the time to present to us today.

We will now hear from Executive Director Jonathan Darche.

Jon.

MR. DARCHE: (Technical issue) -- CCRB received in 2023 when compared to 2022. In January 2024 the Agency implemented a program to focus our resources by closing complaints that only contained certain types of allegation. Since then (technical issue) closed or referred (technical issue) complaints without investigation. If those complaints were still on the Agency's open docket, the docket would be 2,491 complaints. So far this year, the Agency received 2,355 complaints within our jurisdiction. This is only 3.8 percent of

PROCEEDINGS

2023's pace, but is still 63.6 percent ahead of 2022's pace. While the staff and Board members of the Agency remain committed to serving New Yorkers in fulfilling our charter mandate, the impacts of the current budget situation cannot be understated. We remain hopeful that the City's new budget will restore the Agency's capacity to investigate all misconduct within our jurisdiction, so as to better meet the needs of New Yorkers.

Our office is open for walk-in complaints, but it is also possible to file complaints online at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint, by telephone at 1-(800)341-2272, by dialing 311 and say that you would like to make a complaint about the police officer or by tagging the Agency Twitter, Facebook, or Instagram. If anyone wishes to file a complaint right now, we have two investigators on hand, ready to take your complaints. We also have somebody from the Civilian Assistance Unit to help them. The investigators on call this afternoon are Emma Stydahar, and Idan Falek, and Ruth Villafane is here from the Civilian Assistance Unit.

Chair Rice.

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR RICE: Do any of the members of the Board have any questions for our executive director?

(No response.)

Any questions for the executive director on the line?

(No response.)

If not, we will now hear a presentation about policing at protests from Molly Biklen, Associate Legal Director at NYCLU.

MS. BIKLEN: Thank you very much, members of the Board.Good afternoon. I'm Molly Biklen, Associate Legal Director of the NYCLU, and I'm happy to be here with my colleague, Daniel Lambright, Senior Staff Attorney, Jennvine Wong from the Legal Aid Society, Travis England, and Lillian Marquez from the New York Attorney General's Office.

So, as mentioned, the settlement agreement we are discussing here today resolves four consolidated cases that were filed to seek redress for the NYPD's response to the protests following the May 25, 2020 murder of George Floyd by police in Minneapolis.

These four cases allege that the NYPD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

engaged in unconstitutional policing, using excessive force in arresting protesters, journalists, and legal observers without probable cause at racial justice protests in New York City beginning in late May of 2020. If I can advance the slide. Thank you.

So, I know that these protests are familiar to the Board and many people in New York City. Many of the incidents that were the subject of the cases were investigated by the CCRB, and as this Board found in its 2022 report on the protest response, there were patterns of misconduct in the NYPD protest response. the Board also found that 146 officers committed misconduct and recommended disciplinary charges. So, after these four lawsuits were filed, the sergeants', detectives', and police officers' unions, the PBA, SBA, and DEA intervened, and so after more than two years of very intense litigation, the City, the Plaintiffs, the DEA, and the SBA union settled. So, the parties to the settlement are the Attorney General's office, the Plaintiffs in the Payne, Gray and Rolon cases, the City of New York, the SBA, and DEA. The PBA did not enter into the agreement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and has challenged, that matter is on appeal, but the settlement is currently in effect.

So, I'm going to provide a review and then turn it over to my colleagues for the specifics.

So, this settlement agreement is phased; it has three phases. In phase 1, which is our current phase, the NYPD develops policies, procedures, and training to implement the settlement.

Phase 2 then begins 45 days after the NYPD adopts these updated policies and procedures that were developed in phase 1. There is then a three-year compliance period during phase 2, during which a collaborative committee, that includes representatives of the Plaintiffs, the Attorney General's office, the NYPD, the City of New York, and the Department of Investigation, will review compliance and make recommendations. Then phase 3 is 12 months of continued court jurisdiction to ensure compliance. So, writ large, the settlement creates a new senior role within the NYPD to oversee responseto This is the First public demonstrations. Amendment activity senior executive.

It also establishes a four-tiered protest

PROCEEDINGS

response system, with one of the primary goals to facilitate the First Amendment rights of protesters.

It alters and formalizes practices around crowd control, use of force, and mass arrest processing centers, and incorporates current Patrol Guide policies in several areas, including treatment of legal observers and institutes new policies and procedures for treatment of the press and those recording protests.

It also establishes this oversight committee, the collaborative committee that I mentioned before, creates new requirements for documenting protest response to assist in that oversight, and incorporates an aggravating factor for disciplinary -- in the disciplinary matrix for protest misconduct.

One of the major points of the settlement is this new senior role for response to protest and to manage compliance. This role is from within the Police Department, it must be at a deputy chief or above and work in connection with the Department's Legal Bureau. This position will take an active role in pairing the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

new policies, procedures, and training, and also of the Department response to anticipated protests and participate in after-action reviews, as necessary. But the senior executive role does not replace the incident commander tasked with managing on the ground.

And, now I'll turn it over to my colleague, Jennvine.

MS. WONG: Thank you for having us here today. Going a little bit more into detail, in sort of the -- into one of the areas of the agreement that has gained a lot of attention, is NYPD's Red Light/Green Light Policy as part of the tiered response system. What this does is, it formalizes and implements a Red Light/Green Light Policy in where there are red light offenses, offenses that are designated as those that require the approval of the rank of captain or above before a line officer can make an authorized arrest. These include common protest offenses, like obstruction of governmental administration, violation of emergency orders, disorderly conduct, and other VTL, vehicle and traffic law, offenses. The identity of the individual who authorized the red light arrest

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

must be documented. Any offenses not designated a red light offense shall be considered what is called a green light offense, which means that individualized probable cause is required for -- there would still be a requirement for individualized probable cause for each green light or red light arrest. Moving into the tiered response system, which is an essential part of this settlement agreement. Tier 1, this would be something that is central to phase 2, tier 1 basically would be the presumptive tier, unless other conditions are met. And this is in response to what was a rapid police response escalation during the 2020 protests, and this basically formalizes that NYPD should be responding with the softest touch possible at the outset for most demonstrations.

NYPD, during tier 1, may deploy protest liaisons from the Community Affairs Bureau, these individuals should be trained in effective communication with protesters, deescalation in crowd psychology, and should be tasked with a primary goal of facilitating First Amendment activity.

This role is to communicate with protesters

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and demonstrators. It would be --it would prioritize establishing a dialogue with protest leaders to help facilitate this First Amendment activity.

Patrol officers may be deployed to re-route vehicle or pedestrian traffic, and officers cannot be drawn from the SRG Unit, the Strategic Response Group, and cannot carry equipment associated exclusively with those units.

Moving between the tiers would require specific conditions to be met. So for tier 2, the incident commander, in consultation with the First Amendment activity senior executive, the FAA senior executive has to be, that there are agreement violations that are imminent, or that there is a serious risk that the crowd size will obstruct critical infrastructure, so this provides that measure of discretion for the incident commander and the FAA senior executive to make the decision to move to tier 2, if needed. In this tier, NYPD will be able to dispatch protest liaisons, officers for traffic control, as well as additional officers that could be staged off-scene, if at all possible,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

out of the view of protesters, but certainly nearby, in order to be able to be deployed quickly if a tier 3 or tier 4 winds up being authorized by the incident commander with the FAA senior executive. So, this deployment must also be proportional to the threat and they should be removed when the threat has been resolved.

Tier 3, implementation of tier 3 must also be authorized by the incident commander in consultation with the FAA senior executive. tier 3, if there is individualized probable cause to arrest individuals engaged in either green light offenses or authorized red light offenses, NYPD officers may make arrests based on that approval and authorization. NYPD can deploy an appropriate number of officers to address specific individuals engaged in these offenses, and in tier 3, SRG may be deployed, but only as long as necessary, and proportional to address the specific situation. Protest liaisons and patrol officers may also be deployed to address traffic and should continue to facilitate the First Amendment activity.

I'd like to note also that tiers do not

PROCEEDINGS

have to be moved through in a sequential order; it is based specifically on what the circumstances of each demonstration may present at that time, and so it does not mean that demonstration must go from tier 1 to tier 2 before moving to tier 3. It may be that there are circumstances that allow the incident commander and an FAA senior executive to determine that a demonstration has moved from a tier 1 to a tier 3, it also means that a demonstration that is in tier 3 may also move back down to tier 2 or tier 1, based on the specific facts on the ground.

Tier 2 is based on the approval of the incident commander and the FAA senior executive, is if there are protesters seeking to gain unauthorized entry, they're physicality blocking others' entry into a sensitive location, if there's engagement in criminal offenses or trespass of third degree or higher would allow officers to engage in targeted efforts to address green light offenses or wide-spread instances of riot, incitement to riot or unlawful assembly. However, those offenses cannot be -- the offenses have to be continued

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

to be unabated, they cannot be addressed through further deescalation or targeted enforcement. So, they also may move to disperse a protest and the crowd must be provided the opportunity to disperse and be provided with information that allows them to disperse so that demonstrators have the information they need in order to disperse in an orderly fashion. They must be audible to the entire crowd, exit points must be clearly identified, protesters must be able to be directed to where they may continue to lawfully demonstrate and protest whenever possible. Some of the offenses that we -- some of the misconduct that we saw and that were complained about from the 2020 protest included kettling, and so part of the tiered response system also addresses these tactics. So, NYPD cannot engage in widespread kettling, which is enclosing all individuals with an intent to take police action against them without having individualized probable cause. However, NYPD can encircle individuals within a crowd who are the target of a particular arrest. So, the targeted arrests for either red light offenses or for green light offenses can still be done.

PROCEEDINGS

Individuals who are inadvertently encircled must be allowed to exit the formation immediately and officers must help facilitate their exit, and this is in direct response to a lot of the misconduct that was observed and reported during the 2020 protests.

As for use of force, many of these -- much of what we are seeing here actually already encompassed in NYPD policy, but it is reiterated in the agreement for clarity for example, OC spray shall not be used at a demonstration unless there is use of physical force that is otherwise permitted by law or NYPD policy.

Large volume OC spray can only be used by officers specifically trained in the use and authorized by a supervisor. It cannot be used in an indiscriminate manner or against peaceful protesters or those engaging in passive resistance.

Bicycles cannot be used -- only may be used consistent with the tiered approach and cannot be used indiscriminately as well. And flex cuffs must be checked regularly. NYPD must also have the necessary tools to remove and loosen them where appropriate and when requested.

PROCEEDINGS

Another issue that came up frequently during the 2020 protest was the use of mass arrest processing centers, particularly during the Mott Haven protests, where many protesters were spread out amongst several different mass arrest processing centers, and so the NYPD must establish one, it must be authorized by the senior executive or the designee.

NYPD is required to ensure that the location and contact information are readily available to the public via social media accounts so that loved ones, defense attorneys are able to find those who are arrested and taken to mass arrest processing centers, and all people held must be provided clean water, food, PPE, prompt access to telephones, and adequate medical care, and restrooms, all of which is already part of NYPD policy as written.

Other arrest processing requirements, individuals other than juveniles must be processed in under seven-and-a-half hours, except when there are particular circumstances that affect that, like emergency medical care, a violation -- you know, if it is a violation not involving a First Amendment activity. There must

PROCEEDINGS

be expedited processing of juveniles or, for example, if there is an out-of-state warrant that might affect the amount of time a person may be held in custody.

So, what this creates is, again, something that I think is already in NYPD policy, which is a presumption that those held in custody shall be processed expeditiously and not held for prolonged detention.

As my colleague had mentioned earlier, discipline for misconduct, the disciplinary matrix shall be amended to add an aggravating factor to excessive force cases if it is engaged in during legally protected First Amendment speech.

There has been, also, a specific clause with respect to the treatment of members of the press. So, the NYPD must explicitly acknowledge that there is a clearly established right under the First Amendment to record police activity in public. Officers cannot prohibit the press the right to observe and record protests, including where putting up crime scene tape. And they cannot arrest members of the press or public solely for observing or recording police

PROCEEDINGS

activity in a public place. To ensure compliance, NYPD will be required to participate in at least two meetings a year to discuss any news gathering, to allow the press to make recommendations on policies.

I'm going to hand this to my colleague.

MS. MARQUEZ: Thank you, Board. My name is Lillian Marquez; I'm from the New York State Attorney General's Office, and thank you to my colleagues for providing you with a general summary of some of these substantive provisions that will be applying at the protests.

I will be going over how we will be ensuring that there is compliance with these provisions.

So the first phase, where we find ourselves today, is you really making sure that these provisions are -- thank you -- these provisions are, you know, encompassed in NYPD policy.

Jennvine mentioned a number of those are already there in the Patrol Guide, to the extent that they are not, now is the time that NYPD is going to be drafting those policies, and, eventually, also drafting training curricula. Those will be shared with plaintiffs

PROCEEDINGS

and they will be, you know, a derivative process to finalize those.

What we expect to be included in the new policies are, the four-tier response system, that arrest policies, use of force at public demonstrations, again to the extent not already there, and treatment of the members of the press.

Forty-five days after those policies are finalized, phase 2 begins, and this is when the oversight committee that was mentioned earlier will begin its work. They will meet regularly to review and evaluate NYPD's protest responses and, part 2, facilitate that effort. The DOI will be conducting an in-depth review of two protests each six-month period and make recommendations tied to that review.

They must publicly issue progress reports summarizing the review of the NYPD's response to protests. And the City will be providing funding to hire full-time staff to achieve this to the tune of\$1.625 million. They will also be providing funding to plaintiffs' attorneys to conduct this oversight work throughout this period, which is, again, a multi-year period.

PROCEEDINGS

And that includes a fundamental piece, which is the community outreach expert. This person will be responsible for engaging affected communities and incorporating their input. And I'll just emphasize this for the public, that we do want to continue, as we had during litigation, to get that input, the critical input from the folks who are attending and witness what is happening at these protests.

The oversight committee will generally be overseeing and monitoring the implementation of the provisions we went over and compliance with reforms in this multi-year period.

And to give you an idea who will be sitting on it, the commissioner of DOI will chair, NYPD'S FAA senior executive will be on the committee, as will a representative from the Office of Corporation Counsel, a union representative from either the SBA or DEA, a representative from our office, the New York State Attorney general's office, and two attorneys from remainder of the plaintiffs' groups, either Legal Aid Society, NYCU, Gray or Rolon.

One report that will be a main focus point

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

for the committee, any protest, and there is certain criteria set forth in the agreement that would trigger an after-action report. This is the sort of a report that allows for NYPD to measure certain information, data about how they responded. Any of those action reports will be created by the FAA senior executive and document exactly how they responded, who the incident commander was, how many folks were deployed, what decisions were made with regards to arrests and the justifications for those, what uses of force --what force was used, and why, if at all, tiers were moved between all these different elements will be detailed in these written reports, and these will be the subject of, you know, much of the committee's review.

After the 36 months and the final report from DOI, we move into phase 3, and, as mentioned before, it's a 12-month period during which the Court will continue to retain its jurisdiction over the agreement. If the NYPD violates the terms of the agreement at any time, the plaintiffs may take action to bring the matter to court and that, again, continues through phase 3.

PROCEEDINGS

And I'm sure what the -- is at least somewhat interested in is what your role is. So the settlement does not confer any changes to your FADO jurisdiction, it explicitly, in paragraph 87, it notes that. So, you know, NYPD must continue to refer to you and you will continue, of course, exercising your own justification over complaints of misconduct related to protest policing, that includes excessive force and abuses of authority.

And to the public, if you have experienced police misconduct while demonstrating, there are a number of things you can continue to do, and we encourage it, file a complaint with the CCRB, contact any of the plaintiffs' counsel, again, including the New York State Attorney General's office, Legal Aid Society, NYCLU, or the other consolidated plaintiffs' counsels to report your experience if you believe you had potentially -- you did experience violated portions of the settlement agreement or, of course, consult with any other civil rights attorney.

So, we just want to thank you again for the time you have granted us to go over this,

PROCEEDINGS

what we believe is a momentous agreement, and welcome -- turn it back to you, Chair Rice, and welcome any guestions that we can help answer.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you for that very thorough presentation, and I should have said this in the beginning, but to other members of the Board, the materials are before you. I think most of you were able to read along, so thank you for that.

I do have quite a few questions, but I do want to give that opportunity to the other members of the Board as well, but I think that the first two questions that I have, I can't resist asking.

The first is, I wanted to know if there was any conversation about including the CCRB in the oversight committee, if there was any discussion about that and what was concluded.

Then I also wanted to know, in terms of the four tiers, what was your -- what was the mindset for what could be either avoided and/or included, offset by going to a four-tier system?

MS. BIKLEN: Those are very interesting questions. Molly Biklen again, from the NYCLU.

PROCEEDINGS

Without getting into, sort of, some of the discussion behind, you know, the settlement agreement, which would, of course, be confidential, I think, you know, the entire agreement recognizes the important role of the CCRB in terms of investigating complaints and assuring the, you know, accountability and compliance for constitutional policing by the NYPD, so that remains a very significant part of the settlement agreement. But the DOI is there to chair the committee and add, sort of, a different investigatory perspective to the compliance committee.

With respect to the four tiers, the idea, and this is not -- you know, it's not novel to New York, and bringing it all together, we think is momentous, but it is a tactic that has, you know, literature applied from other places to bring sort of a right-sized approach to policing. I think, you know, if you look at some of the reports coming out of the 2020 protests, including the DOI's report on the police response, including the Corporation Counsel's report, you know, this is CCRB's own report of, sort of, what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

happened, you see escalation when there -- you know, enormous numbers of police officers were often responding and can get out of hand very quickly. So rather than, you know, sending the maximum number of police officers to any First Amendment activity, the idea is to right-size that approach to eliminate some of the escalation, try to reduce some of the escalation that was seen during the 2020 protests from, sort of flooding the zone in that way. And sometimes the crowd reactions -- it was an escalatory response as opposed to a deescalation response. You know, there are hundreds of protests that happen in New York City, thousands each year and, most thankfully, do not lead to violence to, you know, brutality, to unconstitutional policing, so we want to learn from those, and often it's those where there is not, sort of, that outsized police response, and that is what that four-tiered response is looking at. CHAIR RICE: Do any members of the Board have any additional questions? (No response.)

Any additional questions?

MR. SIEGAL: I do.

First, on the schedule, where are we at?

It says the settlement was approved

February 24, then there is phase 1. Do you know when phase 1 is going to end, when it's going to go to phase 2, and what the schedule is?

MS. WONG: Jennvine Wong from Legal Aid.

So, we are currently in phase 1. The timeline that was provided is really just a general history. I don't have a strict schedule to provide to the Board, unfortunately, just that we are currently in phase 1, that policies are being changed, those are being finalized. Once those are finalized, training will be implemented, and once that has been done, 45 days out from that, we will be in phase 2.

My understanding is that we are moving as expeditiously as we can at this point, but policies must be changed first and training must be rolled out.

MR. SIEGAL: The First Amendment, the FAA, the First Amendment, that's in person,

1	right,	right?

MS. WONG: Correct.

MR. SIEGAL: That person's approval is required before you go to tier 2 or to tier 4?

MS. WONG: Correct.

MR. SIEGAL: What happens if, as happened in 2020, there are multiple incidents across the City?

MS. WONG: This requires -- this doesn't take away the role of the incident commander, who is the person who is on the ground at the demonstration. It merely requires that the incident commander consult with the FAA senior executive, and also that these decisions and the factors that go into these decisions are actually recorded.

MR. SIEGAL: So, that FAA senior executive will that person have staff?

MS. WONG: I think, yeah they --

MR. SIEGAL: Can that power delegated because I have been in situations that there is a demonstration in every borough and that person (unintelligible) contact with the incident.

MS. WONG: Yes, they can have a designee.

MR. DARCHE: I have some questions along

this line. Is it going to be a uniformed member of service or a civilian member of the service?

MS. WONG: The FAA senior executive does need to be, I think, ranked captain or higher, deputy chief.

MR. DARCHE: So, in theory, the deputy commissioner is senior to a captain, but is not a uniformed member of service, but you're saying it does need to be a member of service.

MS. BIKLEN: The NYPD designates this person. It's up to the NYPD to designate this person. They do have to be -- you know, the settlement agreement provides that it shall be the chief of department or a senior level designee within the chief of department's office holding the rank of deputy chief or higher, with extensive knowledge of the application of the First Amendment as it relates to protest activity, and this person will then consult with members of the legal bureau and can also have a designee available to assist in overseeing.

MR. DARCHE: So is it going (technical issue) -- so, like the CCRB, there might be a

deputy general counsel who is also the FOIL appeals officer, so would there be someone in the chief of department's office who is also the senior First Amendment activities senior executive?

MS. BIKLEN: That seems likely.

MR. DARCHE: So, I have one more question. There -- and I apologize if I missed it, but at one point in the presentation, you referred to having community affairs officers involved at the tier 1, but then later, you referred to protest liaisons, so is that the same thing as someone who is in community affairs or is there a subset of community affairs officers who is going to be considered protest liaison?

MS. BIKLEN: It will the latter, where there will be a subset.

MR. DARCHE: I don't remember, so it's a subset of community affairs officers?

MS. BIKLEN: A subset of community affairs officers, correct, that would receive additional training on being protest liaisons.

CHAIR RICE: I wanted to ask those folks who are joining us virtually, do you have any

comments or questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(No response.)

I have a follow-up question on two CHAIR RICE: of the issues that were really highlighted, both in the report of the CCRB, as well as in reports of the Attorney General's office, everyone basically talked about this in the media and that with that, the kettling and the treatment of members of the press, and so they're highlighted in the agreement and, but I wasn't completely sure of the implementation process or the accountability, so under the treatment of members of the press, it talks about the NYPD must explicitly acknowledge there is a clearly established right under the First Amendment right to record police activity in public.

I don't know what explicitly acknowledging means and what it means in the day-to-day interaction of the police with the press in a situation like what we had in the George Floyd protest.

MS. BIKLEN: I believe what, Chair, you're referring to is, paragraph 89, and that's simply reviewing knowledge within the Patrol

PROCEEDINGS

Guide. So, for instance, that subsection A says "the Patrol and/or Administrative Guide shall be updated to acknowledge" -- so on and so forth, "these very clearly established rights." So a number of these provisions are restating what the law is but will just be more clear in the Patrol Guide so that, you know, rank and file can see, that in what we believe they see just more often.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you.

Yes.

MR. SMITH: What are criteria to establish who is a member of the media, as opposed to someone who just shows up with a camera?

MS. BIKLEN: So, that is also in the guide, both recognizing that a member of the press is not limited to people officially issued Mayor's Office of Media and Entertainment press passes, and that the NYPD must set clear standards in its training to ensure that members of the press are able to exercise their rights.

And so the settlement agreement identifies certain indicia of what it is to be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

journalist or member of the press, meaning an individual who gathers reports and licenses news or content, and to facilitate this realtime investigation, or identification of members of the press, the following shall be considered indicia, visible identification, such as by wearing or carrying a press credential or other press identification, wearing or displaying distinctive clothing, label, or insignias, or logos to identify the bearer as a member of the press. Other indicia may include professional equipment, such as cameras, lights, microphones, or audio recorders, they're not exclusive and a person need not exhibit every one of them to be considered a journalist or a member of the press.

So, the idea here is to update the training to make sure that, you know, identification is not simply one limited press card, you know, there are several other press identifications out there and to make sure that that training is disseminated to the NYPD.

MR. SMITH: So, there is nothing to stop someone showing up with something on their

PROCEEDINGS

body that says "press" and says "I'm press, I get treatment that these other demonstrators don't get"?

MS. BIKLEN: The NYPD would still be able to exercise their discretion, but some of those indicia would be indicia whether that person is a working member of the press.

For example, in one of the cases, one of the plaintiffs was arrested wearing a press card and was, you know, a stringer journalist for several, you know, significant outlets but did not have a MOME press pass, had a State Department press card.

CHAIR RICE: Any other questions from members of the Board, either virtually or here in person?

(No response.)

I want to thank you, Ms. Biklen, for that presentation, and to the rest of your colleagues. We know you worked very hard in putting together the presentation and also in negotiating the agreement, so we appreciate your efforts towards creating greater accountability for New Yorkers, so thank you so much for that.

PROCEEDINGS

We are now going to enter into the public comment portion of the meeting. We will begin with those joining us virtually who would like to make a comment, followed by those who are joining us in person.

Jahi, do we have anyone who is going to be joining us virtually?

MR. ROSE: So far, I do not see any raised hands virtually.

MR. DARCHE: Madam Chair, I neglected to remind -- (technical issue) -- joining us online or who want to speak here today.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you very much for that.

So, reminder about the length of the comments, if there is anyone here in the room who would like to make a comment, if they want to make their way to the podium, they can do so.

MS. MOSS: Hello, my name is Mari Moss this is for my daughter and mothers who refuse to have their rights and civil liberties taken taken from them (technical issue).

I would also like to say that I support Arva Rice's request on March 20, 2023 for an increase of (technical issue) dollars in the

PROCEEDINGS

City Council budget, which is a budget that has never been as big as it is right now. The biggest budget since 2020, of April 2015, was \$85 billion, it is now \$111.6 billion, so (technical issue) 570 is a good enough budget for the CCRB to be -- to be an independent arm of the NYPD, investigating any kind of police brutality, excessive force or any other challenges that police may cause.

I don't have a written speech, the only thing I can come up with since my last speech that I mentioned, I quoted Tupac Shakur. This time I want to say that Malcolm X said that the most unprotected person in America is the black woman, the most disrespected person in America is the black woman and until I see evidence differently, I'm going to say Malcolm X again and again.

We got to have decorum in our police department. We have got to have respect in our police department. We have got to have those who are willing to protect and serve our communities to make safeguards for the citizens of our community, and that does not mean using excessive force. I've seen individuals,

PROCEEDINGS

especially mothers, who are checking on children at the schools to be shackled at the ankles like a slave, to be shackled at the wrists with no breathing room for your veins to pump blood through them. There has got to be a safeguard.

And we keep talking about training, but I think the only training that we really need in our police force is protect and serve -- (technical issue) with compassion and integrity, either one and -- (technical issue).

I'm just going to -- because I have two-minutes time, I'm just gonna end with this, we have police officers who don't want to be police officers, we have police officers who think that the badge allows them to bully people. Those are the ones that we need the find new jobs, for because we citizens of New York City and State do not pay our police officers to abuse citizens or to use negativity in any form to the citizens of this City.

That's all I have to say.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Ms. Moss, for your comments.

Is there anyone else who has a comment

PROCEEDINGS

this evening, if they want to step to the podium? As you heard from our executive director, you have two minutes for comment.

MR. McCORMICK: My name is Elijah

McCormick, I'm just a member of the public.

This is my first time coming to one of these

meetings, and pretty much, I got some

questions. Is that okay?

CHAIR RICE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. McCORMICK: Alright, first question, I have filed a complaint with CCRB, and it has been moved up the ranks to APU (technical issue), and once it reached that, I was researching, trying to find more information about a process APU or how they are chosen to be elected for the APU, and it's kind of like, really like vague, like I feel like I've been ghosted on that part, without really knowing who or what or how it's conducted, so I wanted to ask about, more so, transparency provided to the public through the website for CCRB. most we get is, like, one paragraph about the APU. And that was my question, was there any future, you know, intentions of providing more information?

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Mr. McCormick, for that question, I'm going to have our executive director talk a little more about the APU unit.

MR. DARCHE: So, Mr. McCormick, once the Board votes and substantiates at least one allegation against a member of service in the complaint, if the Board recommends a level of discipline called charges and specifications, which is the most serious level of discipline that can be imposed on a police officer, that complaint then is sent to the administrative prosecution.

The administrative prosecution unit was established in 2012 as part of a memorandum of understanding between the New York City Police Department and the CCRB.

Before the APU was created, the CCRB would -- if the CCRB substantiated misconduct, it would merely send a recommendation to the Police Department, and the Police Department would be responsible for all the disciplinary matters that happened after the CCRB substantiated misconduct.

Now, with the Administrative Prosecution Unit, on these most serious cases, the

PROCEEDINGS

attorneys for the Administrative Prosecution
Unit, which we call the APU, they stand in the shoes of the Department Advocate, so instead of having an NYPD attorney bringing the disciplinary case at One Police Plaza and bringing those disciplinary charges and prosecuting the disciplinary charges through calling you as a witness, as the victim in this case, it will be a CCRB attorney, APU prosecutor, who will be prosecuting that case.

It is a -- they're not elected, they're hired, experienced attorneys who are the staff at the CCRB, some of them are former prosecutors. I was the first deputy chief prosecutor for the unit when it was created, but we have also hired former defense attorneys to the APU. The current chief prosecutor, who is here today, Andrea Applewhite, is a former defense attorney.

And when our attorneys go to One Police
Plaza, and they are in the trial room, they are
going to advocate for the appropriate level of
discipline to be imposed on the police officers
for the misconduct that they are accused of,
that this Board has substantiated, but then

PROCEEDINGS

there is a whole separate subsequent disciplinary process that happens at the department.

So, if you wait around, I'm sure

Mr. Applewhite would love to talk to you and tell

you more about the process and how it affects

your case.

MR. McCORMICK: Thank you. I wanted to ask that some way, somehow the public is accessible to that, because once my decision, it was pretty much APU, CCRB sent it to APU, and that was the most information that I got. So I would ask for more transparency on the website or some way or fashion, maybe during the receipt and notification of charges substantiated or not, during that process, so the person would know more detail about the person being hired, everything you just told me pretty much, but just more details so they feel more comfortable with the process.

MR. DARCHE: I'm going to talk with Claire

Platt, who is our acting Senior Communications

Advisor and who is responsible for our website,

about making sure there is more information about

the Administrative Prosecution Unit available for

everyone on the website, but also

PROCEEDINGS

I'll talk with Andre and make sure to tighten up the lines of communication to make sure there is a smooth transfer between -- so that you understand what is the next step in the process when the case goes to the APU, so I appreciate you coming out and pointing out that flaw and where we can do better.

MR. McCORMICK: One more question, I got some more time.

Alright, I know CCRB is aware of a bill being passed for -- not being passed, but going up through the process of police personal liability insurance for holding people -- having police officers -- this billed S3515 pertaining to police officers having to be liability insured when they -- you know, in order to be a police officer, there is a bill. So, basically, if you're not aware, I don't know if CCRB is aware or if you're a part of that or -- is there a consensus upon the views on the bill, if there is accuracy or backing up for the bill, I think that bill will be very effective when it comes to accountability.

MR. DARCHE: I'm not familiar with the bill, but I will do is, next month in my

PROCEEDINGS remarks, I will tell folks what the bill is, 1 2 so that it's more clear to everyone what's 3 involved. MR. McCORMICK: Okay. That's it. 4 That's 5 all I have. Thank you for the information. Thank you, sir. 6 MR. DARCHE: 7 CHAIR RICE: Does anyone else have any 8 comments? Do you want to come to the 9 microphone? 10 (No response.) 11 If not, do we have any old business to come before the Board? 12 (No response.) 13 Any old business? 14 15 (No response.) 16 Any new business? 17 (No response.) 18 Hearing none, I'm going to move that we break into executive session. 19 The agenda for executive session is the 20 21 Board will deliberate on three full-board The Executive Director will discuss 22 23 pending personnel actions, and the General 24 Counsel will provide updates regarding pending 25 litigation.

```
PROCEEDINGS
                 Could I have a motion to adjourn the
 1
 2
           meeting?
 3
                 MR. SIEGAL: So moved.
                 CHAIR RICE: Do I have a second?
 4
 5
                 MR. MERRITT: Second.
                 CHAIR RICE: All in favor?
 6
 7
                 The June meeting of the CCRB is concluded.
 8
           Thank you.
                            (Time Noted: 5:07 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                    CERTIFICATE
 2
     STATE OF NEW YORK
                          ss:
 3
     COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
 4
 5
             I, STEPHANIE O'KEEFFE, a Reporter and Notary
 6
     Public within and for the State of New York, do
 7
     hereby certify that the within is a true and
 8
     accurate transcript of the proceedings taken on June
 9
     12, 2024.
10
              I further certify that I am not related to
     any of the parties to this action by blood or
11
12
     marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the
13
     outcome of this matter.
14
              IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15
     hand this 12th day of June, 2024.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```