In Re Public Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board January 10, 2024

```
1
 2
                  CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
 3
                            PUBLIC MEETING
 4
                           January 10, 2024
 5
                              4:11 p.m.
 6
 7
                     HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE/
                   100 Church Street, 10th Floor
 8
                     New York, New York 10007
 9
10
11
12
      B E F O R E:
13
      ARVA RICE - INTERIM CHAIR
14
      JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ. - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
15
16
17
      COURT REPORTER:
18
      Sabrina Brown Stewart
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	PUBLIC	MEETING AGENDA
2	======	=======================================
3	1.	Call to Order
4	2.	Remarks from the Chair
5	3.	Remarks from the Executive Director
6	4.	Presentation from Outreach on the CCRB
7	5.	Public Comment
8	6.	Old Business
9	7.	New Business
10	8.	Adjourn to Executive Session
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CCRB	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	====	
3	1.	Arva Rice - Interim Chair
4	2.	Pat Smith - Board Member
5	3.	June Northern - Board Member
6	4.	Herman Merritt - Board Member
7	5.	Charlane Brown-Wyands, Esq Board Member
8	6.	Kevin Jemmott - Board Member
9	7.	AU Hogan - Board Member
10	8.	Joseph A. Puma - Board Member
11	9.	John Siegal - Board Member
12		
13	PRESENTERS:	
14	JAHI ROSE - Director of Outreach New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board	
15	11 C W 1	ork city civilian complaint keview board
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
      S P E A K E R S:
 2
      Ace Sutherland - Director of Community Organizing,
      Equality New York
 3
      Christopher Dunn - Legal Director, NYCLU
 4
      Jeffrey Omura - Volunteer Advisory member,
 5
     Equality New York
 6
      Susan Hadley - Vocal-NY, Civil Right Union Leader
 7
     Michael Meyers - President, New York Civil Rights
 8
      Coalition
 9
      Shawn - Civilian
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 MS. ALVAREZ: Good evening, everyone. 2 For those utilizing ASL interpretation 3 services, our two interpreters today are Craig Ridgway and Alli. The display names on 4 5 the screen are ASL Interpreter Craig Ridgway and ASL Interpreter, SignNexus, Alli. 6 7 CART services, if you would like to utilize those, are going to be in the chat, and 8 9 instructions of how to pin videos will also 10 be found there. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIR RICE: Good evening and welcome. My name is Arva Rice. I use she/her 13 14 pronouns, and I am the Interim Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board. I would 15 16 like to call the January Public Board Meeting 17 to order. 18 Will the Board please introduce 19 themselves, starting out on this side 2.0 (indicating). 21 MR. SMITH: Pat Smith, designated by 22 the Mayor. 23 June Northern, Brooklyn, MS. NORTHERN: 24 appointed by the Mayor. 25 MR. MERRITT: Herman Merritt, City

1	Council designee from Brooklyn.
2	MR. DARCHE: Jon Darche, Executive
3	Director.
4	MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Charlane Brown, NYPD
5	designee.
6	MR. JEMMOTT: Good evening. Kevin
7	Jemmott, the Mayor appointment from Queens.
8	MR. HOGAN: Good evening. AU Hogan,
9	City Council designee from Queens.
10	CHAIR RICE: Thank you.
11	And I believe we have a number of
12	members that are also joining us online.
13	MR. PUMA: Good afternoon. Joseph
14	Puma, and I'm the City Council designee from
15	Manhattan. And I go by he/him pronouns.
16	MR. DARCHE: Joe, unmute.
17	MR. SIEGAL: It's John Siegal, one of
18	the mayoral appointees appearing virtually
19	and will be off camera.
20	CHAIR RICE: Thank you, John.
21	Do we need Joseph Puma to reintroduce
22	himself? I don't believe we heard him, for
23	the record.
24	MR. PUMA: Good afternoon. Joseph
25	Puma, I go by he/him pronouns. And I'm the

2.0

City Council designee from Manhattan.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you. Appreciate that.

I would like to -- we'll table the approval of the December Board Meeting minutes until next month.

Okay. Last year, the PBA sued the CCRB with the hope of restricting our investigative powers. Last week, a court sided with the CCRB. Judge Arlene Bluth ruled that the Board had the power to include misuse of body-worn cameras as an abuse of authority. Judge Bluth also determined that the CCRB could change the language we use regarding full investigations, to make those results clearer and more transparent to the public.

As a reminder, we changed "exonerated" to "within NYPD guidelines," and "unsubstantiated" to "unable to determine." The Judge did, however, rule that we continue to use more specific terminology when describing why we had to close complaints without full investigations. We are pleased that the Courts have once again upheld the

2.0

City Charter, defended the CCRB's rights, and strengthened oversight.

As the police unions work to undermine the CCRB's authority, we rely on elected officials more than ever to strengthen police oversight. The Agency's number one legislative priorities for the last several years has been to be exempt from sealing statutes. Sealing statutes make it harder for the CCRB to hold officers accountable for misconduct. If evidence is sealed, it creates significant delays or impediments to investigations.

The CCRB sometimes has to file motions to access basic evidence of a case. In one example, the case investigating the killing of Delrawn Small, the case was delayed by a year and a half, as we awaited a judge's ruling. When that judge ruled in our favor, the PBA appealed. The case is still pending. Mr. Small's family has waited nearly eight years to see the officer who killed their son held to account. This is unacceptable. We hope legislators in Albany will act to pass legislation that exempts the

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

CCRB from sealing statutes.

As we announced this last month, the budget crisis in New York City is greatly affecting the CCRB's ability to keep up with the rising number of cases. Executive Director Jon Darche will now give us an update on this.

Jon.

2.0

MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Chair Rice.

Earlier, I forgot to give my pronouns.

I use he/him pronouns.

Last month, we announced that due to the 15 percent budget cuts the Office of Management and Budget ordered, the CCRB will not be able to investigate all complaints within our jurisdiction. So far, the Agency closed 245 complaints and referred 30 complaints to the NYPD. Going forward, the Agency will report on investigations closed in this manner. This is a last resort. We hope the Mayor and City Council fully fund the CCRB, so we can investigate every case within our jurisdiction and help ensure the safety of each and every New Yorker.

We still encourage all New Yorkers to

2.0

continue to file complaints if they
experience misconduct, as we are still
investigating thousands of cases. If our
budget is restored quickly, we hope to reopen
the closed complaints. We have updated our
website and outreach presentations to include
this information. We also updated our
website to make it easier to view past board
meetings. All of our board meetings are
recorded and posted online within a few days.
We have just added all of our past board
meeting videos to YouTube and linked them to
our website, to make it easier for the public
to find.

Our office is open to walk-in complainants, but it is also possible to file complaints online at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint, by telephone at 1(800)341-2272, or by calling 3-1-1. If anyone wishes to file a complaint right now, we have two investigators on hand ready to take any new complaints; Jillian Bevens and Emma Stydahar, as well as one of our CAU staff members, Ivonne Torres.

Some ground rules for today's meeting -- and I actually think I saw a third

1	investigator, Rob Bryan here.
2	Thank you, Rob, for coming.
3	Some ground rules for this afternoon.
4	We will here from folks who are online first
5	before going to the people who are here in
6	person and wish to comment. Regardless of
7	whether you are joining online or are here in
8	person, please keep your comments to four
9	minutes. If you are joining online and want
10	to participate, please use the
11	raise-your-hand function.
12	I want to thank our staff for their
13	hard work, and thanks again to the members of
14	the public for participating in tonight's
15	meeting.
16	CHAIR RICE: Thank you so much, Jon.
17	Does anyone have any question of our
18	executive director?
19	(No response).
20	CHAIR RICE: Does anyone have any
21	questions?
22	(No response).
23	CHAIR RICE: All right. Terrific.
24	We're going to ask for a presentation from
25	our Director of Outreach, Mr. Jahi Rose.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSE: Thank you very much, Chair Rice.

My name is Jahi Rose. I am the Director of Outreach for the Civilian Complaint Review Board, and I go by he/him pronouns. I just want to provide a brief update of the Agency and provide, hopefully, some fun updates and information.

The Civilian Complaint Review Board is the nation's largest independent oversight entity over the largest police force in the country. The CCRB investigates, mediates, and prosecutes complaints of misconduct alleged against members of the NYPD. Agency is governed by a 15-member board, many of those that you've met today on the dais or There are five that are appointed by online. the New York City Mayor, five are appointed by the New York City Council, three designated by the Police Commissioner, and one appointed by the Public Advocate, and the Chair is jointly appointed by the Mayor and the New York City Council.

The CCRB can accept complaints of allegations of misconduct where it relates to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

force, abuse of authority, discourtesy, and offensive language. FADO is a helpful reminder.

Just a brief update: On September 9, 2023, the Office of Management and Budget announced citywide budget cuts. As a result, the CCRB will no longer be able to fully investigate certain cases within its jurisdiction. After careful consideration, effective January 1, 2024, the CCRB suspended investigating: For failure to provide RTKA or Right to Know Act cards with no other allegations; refusal to provide name and shield number with no other allegations; discourteous words or actions with no other allegations; threats with no action with no other allegations; refusal to process civilian complaints with no other allegations; property seisures with no other allegations; forcible removal to the hospital with no other allegations; untruthful statements with no other allegations; any complaint that has only the above referenced allegations, we will also be unable to investigate.

2.0

The CCRB will resume investigating these cases once the City allocates sufficient funding to do so. If the complaint contains one of the above allegations, in addition to another allegation that falls within the CCRB's jurisdiction, every allegation of the complaint will still be investigated.

There are various ways to file complaints with the Civilian Complaint Review Board. One of the easier ways, as previously mentioned, is by going to the CCRB's website; that's nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint or you could call the CCRB's hotline at 1(800)341-CCRB; that's 1(800)341-2272. Remember, if you see footage of misconduct on social media or in news media, you could feel free to file a complaint, even if you were not there in person. The typical handle for the CCRB is CCRB_NYC.

Other ways to file complaints include calling 3-1-1. You could also visit the CCRB's headquarters at 100 Church Street on the 10th Floor in Lower Manhattan. You could direct message the CCRB on Instagram and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Twitter or X. You could also send a letter to the CCRB. You could also file a complaint at a local precinct, even if it was not the precinct where the interaction took place.

Officers are required to accept CCRB complaints at any precinct. They could also provide you with a complaint form and a postage-free envelope.

So, 2023 for the Civilian Complaint Review Board's outreach team was definitely interesting. The CCRB was able to engage 58,398 New Yorkers during the course of 1,265 events. We did that by partnering with 312 organizations throughout the five boroughs. We were able to do language access events, totaling a number of 337. We distributed 5,800 pieces of material in various languages to New Yorkers throughout the five boroughs. We connected with youth in a number of 149 events, totaling to 3,700 young people that we connected with throughout the year. also connected with New York City Housing Authority residents in 89 different occasions and still connected with 3,700 NYCHA residents. The CCRB has an initiative called

2.0

CCRB Cares, which I will explain shortly, and we were able to do 466 CCRB Care hours.

We did this by connecting with

New Yorkers through workshops tabling, staff

trainings, and flyer distribution. They

would also do initiatives called the

Barbershop and Salon Series, CCRB Block by

Block, CCRB Cares, and CCRB Courtside. This

year, the Agency had annual events. Some

folks from Harlem would appreciate this:

CCRB Courtside at the Rucker, where we were

able to gauge thousands of young people that

come to watch the historic New York City

Harlem basketball, street basketball game at

Rucker Park.

We did our fifth annual Week of
Awareness. We were able to engage hundreds
of New Yorkers throughout the City of
New York regarding bias-based policing and
racial profiling. In addition to that, we
were able to do our Youth Advisory Council
Fifth Annual Speak Up, Speak Out, an
international conversation about police
misconduct.

The different means of outreach that we

2.0

did in order to gauge those over 50,000

New Yorkers were, one, the CCRB Barbershop

and Salon Series, which we did in Queens,

Brooklyn, and Staten Island. We did CCRB

Block by Block, where we walked neighborhoods

and canvassed neighborhoods with members of

the public. We did a revolving initiative

with Downtown Goddard, where we gauged those

who were experiencing street homelessness.

We also did CCRB Canvassing with Bronx SOS,

Save Our Streets, and we did Occupy the

Corner with our East Flatbush Village, where

we gauged members of the East Flatbush

community.

CCRB Cares is an initiative where the CCRB puts our sweat equity into communities to build trust and also provide information about our agency by volunteering with community members. As we do so, we provide information about our agency, build trust, and individuals know that we are there for them to provide resources that they need beyond the Agency's ability and capacity to accept complaints and investigate complaints. We volunteered with the New York City Parks

1 Department in the Borough of the Bronx and 2 local food pantries citywide. 3 If you would like to request a CCRB presentation, feel free to reach out to 4 5 our -- the CCRB's Outreach Unit at outreach@ccrb.nyc.gov. You could also feel 6 7 free to connect with us on social media at Twitter, also known as X, and Instagram. 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIR RICE: Thank you. Thank you so 11 much for that comprehensive report. 12 Do any of our board members have any questions of Mr. Rose? 13 14 MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Going down that comprehensive list of events with NYCHA, was 15 16 it 86 developments or 86 different events in 17 some of the same developments? It's 86 different events. 18 MR. ROSE: 19 Some of them did relapse, so they could have 2.0 been tenant associations, it could have been 21 community street fairs, but we engaged 86 22 different times throughout the NYCHA 23 developments in the City of New York. 24 MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Thank you for 25 clarifying.

MR. HOGAN: First of all, I'm from 1 2 Queens and I appreciate what you did in 3 Harlem at Rucker. All right? 4 (Laugher). 5 MR. HOGAN: So, Jahi, with budgets, how is that going to affect all that you did, 6 7 this good work that we did during 2023? How are the budget cuts going to affect that, if 8 at all? 9 10 MR. ROSE: So far, I think that might 11 be a question better suited for the executive 12 director. But on our end, we haven't made 13 any changes to the way that we do outreach or 14 the revolving programs that we've been doing so far. 15 16 MR. HOGAN: Okay. So, thank you. 17 Jon? 18 CHAIR RICE: Jon. 19 MR. DARCHE: So, we had planned to add 2.0 another outreach coordinator. That plan is 21 on hold right now. There are going to be 22 difficulties in printing more material, so a 23 lot of the things that we distributed, we are 24 not going to be able to distribute. 25 lot of the work will depend on Jahi and his

team, and you know how committed the outreach 1 2 folks are. So, I'm still hopeful we will be able to reach New Yorkers where they are, but 3 also the money for public education through 4 advertising, whether it was online or on TV, 5 radio, or print, it's just not there, so we 6 7 won't be doing that. MR. SMITH: You would reach out through 8 9 the community boards, 52 community boards in 10 the City, the meeting once a month, these are 11 the community leaders? 12 MR. ROSE: That's correct. So, yes, all of our coordinators attend at least one 13 14 to two community board meetings annually. And then, we also connect with the community 15 16 boards when they're ready to do public and 17 community events. Some of those partners 18 that are listed in the slide definitely are 19 community board partners. 2.0 MR. SMITH: How did you make out with the Vladeck Houses? I have some -- you and I 21 22 shared a contact there. 23 MR. ROSE: Yes. I didn't get a 24 response. I didn't get a response yet. 25 Oh. You didn't get a

MR. SMITH:

response?

2.0

MR. ROSE: No.

MR. SMITH: I had that problem, too.

(Laughter).

MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Just one other question.

Out of these, what you call the unconventional events, if you had a bigger budget, are there any of those that you would repeat or want to expand, like the barbershop, beauty salon, or any of those you saw were most effective that you would like to expand in the upcoming year?

MR. ROSE: I believe the -- when it comes to like CCRB Courtside, I think what we would love to do is be able to at least invest something into the actual sports activity itself, to become a genuine partner. So, a lot of times they like what we do and they allow us a table, they allow us to be kind of a part of the event. But without a genuine tangible buy-in to be able to offer something to the program, we're still kind of a vendor sitting there sometimes, unless we have like baked in relationships with them.

So, I think a larger budget would allow us to do a little bit more of our CCRB Courtside initiative.

And then, also, when it comes to giveaways, some of our giveaways are helpful for the Block by Blocks, especially when we're doing street canvassing for those experiencing homelessness.

MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Thank you.

MR. DARCHE: Jahi, your team hits every community board at least once during the year, though, correct?

MR. ROSE: That's correct.

MS. NORTHERN: So, then what's the plan for 2024?

MR. ROSE: So, what we would like to do for 2024, while maintaining our numbers, is expand our outreach as much as possible, to be able to use some of the relationships that we built in 2023, some of those hard relationships where they really depend on us to be able to expand that, to be able to reach more New Yorkers. We've developed a very good relationship with programs like Transfer High Schools, who literally see us

2.0

2.0

as an avenue for their students whenever they have issues. We also have a very good relationship with Generation Citizen, so those we want to be able to use that, to be able to amplify their voices and be able to use that to gain more access to more programs, in addition to Pathways to Graduation, who also gave us an avenue to get access to those that were not necessarily abundant in English. So, some of our Week of Awareness was catering to those students that literally just arrived a couple of months ago, but want to get their education.

CHAIR RICE: Anything else from board members for Mr. Rose, including those who are online?

(No response).

CHAIR RICE: Okay. Thank you again for the terrific presentation, and the work of your staff is greatly appreciated.

Okay. We're going to go into the public comment portion of the meeting. We will begin with those joining us virtually who would like to make a comment, followed by those who are joining us in person. For

2.0

those joining virtually, please use the raise-your-hand feature, and we please ask that you keep your comments to four minutes.

Yojaira, would you please call on the first person.

MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chair.

First, we'll be hearing from Ace

Sutherland, followed by Jeffrey Omura.

MX. SUTHERLAND: My name is Ace
Sutherland. My pronouns are they/them. I'm
currently the Director of Community Organizing
at Equality New York. I'm going to go a
little bit slower just to be good with
accessibility.

We are the largest advocacy organization rooted in queer and trans liberation, and we have been beginning our work to see how we can help change things from the state advocacy level. We're working specifically with Jeffrey Omura, who's a member of our advisory council, and Catherine Buccello, who's the owner of the Bodega Lounge newly open in Brooklyn as of October.

So, I just wanted to say thank you all for this opportunity. Thank you for the time

to allow us to even speak, and I'm really 1 excited to see how we can continue to work 2 3 together on the betterment of all New York City individuals from the state advocacy 4 5 standpoint; that's all I have. CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Ace, for your 6 7 comments. Appreciate them. Yojaira, Mr. Omura is next? 8 MS. ALVAREZ: Correct. 9 10 Go ahead Jeffrey. You can unmute and 11 have your camera on. 12 (No response). MS. ALVAREZ: Jeffrey, there might be 13 some technical difficulties. We'll circle 14 back. We'll hear from Chris Dunn next, and 15 16 we'll try Jeffrey one more time. 17 MR. DUNN: I'm unmuted. 18 Can you hear me now, Arva? 19 CHAIR RICE: I can hear you. 2.0 MR. DUNN: Great. Good afternoon to 21 you and Jon and everybody else. 2.2 I wanted to raise a question about the 23 monthly statistical report which you posted, 24 which now has the year-end figures for 2023, 25 and there are lots of things in there that

2.0

are concerning. But the perhaps most dramatic thing is the sharp increase in complaints in 2023. And it appears there, approximately, is a 65 percent increase in complaint for the two years of the Adams Administration. I know there's been a lot of discussion about the impact of the proposed budget cuts on the Agency, which, of course, take on greater import in the face of a rising level of complaints. But the thing I want to focus on is what this dramatic increase reflects about police misconduct.

And, Arva, I don't want to put anyone on the spot, but I would be very interested in hearing what the Board is thinking about this steep increase in complaints that are coming, and what that may mean about what has to happen in terms of what the Board is doing with the Police Department.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Mr. Dunn, for that question. And we know that there's been a -- obviously, we just released a report about the increase in complaints. We have some theories on that, but those have not been fully -- you know, fully proven at this

point. So, I wanted to hear if any of the other members of the Board wanted to speak on that, or else I'll turn it over to Jon.

(No response).

2.0

CHAIR RICE: Okay.

MR. DARCHE: I just don't have a firm answer on why there's an increase. There has been an expansion in the CCRB's jurisdiction, so that could result in an increase in complaints. But there are other reasons that we just don't know, so it's something that our Policy Unit is digging into. What we do know is that the Agency needs help in resources to adequately investigate, mediate, and prosecute allegations of misconduct.

MR. DUNN: Well, Jon, I'd certainly be interested in seeing some analysis about the extent to which some of this is attributable to increases in the jurisdiction of the CCRB, and I am not somebody who tends to assign much weight to year-to-year changes in complaint numbers because after all, complaints are just complaints. But these increases are so dramatic that something is going on, and I think the obvious suggestion

2.0

something is going on in the street that is different than what we have seen recently, for board members who may not have looked very closely at these numbers, this marks a ten-year high. Since 2012, there has been a steady decline in complaints with some small fluctuations. Last year -- 2022, there was an uptick to be sure, but last year, the complaints really exploded. I think it is something like over a 50 percent increase in complaints from 2022 to 2023, and that's not a change that we have seen in a very long time.

So, Jon, I appreciate that the policy unit is looking at this. I think that's right, but I think the Board has got to start confronting this quite quickly because, again, these numbers are so significant that it feels to me like there's got to be some conversation with the Department. I mean, we know the Department is being more aggressive in terms of its tactics, and that is fine. The issue here is not they're being -- or energetic about enforcing the law, the concern, of course, is what they are doing is

2.0

being very aggressive in terms of flouting the law and abusing people and misusing their authority. And at the risk of repeating myself, these increases are so dramatic that something real is going on, and I really hope the Board is going to spend some serious time trying to get to the bottom of that and talking to the Department. The Police Department reps who are on the Board, you folks are the ones who are most connected to the Department. Something needs to be happening here.

Thank you, Arva.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you so much,
Mr. Dunn. And as Jon mentioned, we are going
to do that analysis because it's an increase
in complaints, but we also need to know where
the increases are coming from and in what
category. So, we'll take a deeper dive and
we'll definitely talk more about that in the
future.

Next, was there anyone else who was holding? Did Mr. Omura -- is he able to join us?

MS. ALVAREZ: Yes. We'll try Jeffrey

1	one more time, followed by Michael Meyers.
2	MR. OMURA: This is Jeffrey Omura.
3	Sorry about the technical difficulties. I'm
4	a volunteer advisory member of Equality
5	New York, and we're looking at ways to
6	empower the CCRB because we're big fans of
7	what you all do. And we're looking forward
8	to getting to know you all and hopefully make
9	your job easier and build a better and safer
10	New York for all of us.
11	Thank you all so much.
12	CHAIR RICE: Thank you so much. Thank
13	you for your comments.
14	Yojaira, is Mr. Meyers on the line?
15	MS. ALVAREZ: Yes.
16	Mr. Meyers, can you hear us?
17	(No response).
18	MS. ALVAREZ: There may be some
19	technical issues with Michael Meyers. We'll
20	circle back.
21	Next, we'll be hearing from Susan
22	Hadley.
23	CHAIR RICE: Take yourself off mute.
24	MS. HADLEY: Can you hear me?
25	MS. ALVAREZ: Yes, we can hear you now,

1 Susan. MS. HADLEY: Oh. You can hear me now. 2 3 I'm sorry. I was speaking the whole time. 4 Hi. I'm a Vocal New York Civil Rights 5 Union Leader. I wanted to know, what do you think 6 7 about the "How Many Stops Act," do you think that would make your life easier? 8 9 MR. DARCHE: So, one of the main tools 10 the CCRB has is paperwork that we use to identify officers who are involved in 11 12 encounters, but we haven't, as an agency, dealt with the issue of whether or not 13 14 that -- how that legislation would impact the CCRB. But generally speaking, if the law is 15 16 enacted, we would use the paperwork that it 17 provided because any clues we can have to the identity of members of service in certain 18 19 investigations will be helpful. 2.0 MS. HADLEY: Okay. Thank you for that. 21 MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Susan. 22 We'll try Michael Meyers one more time. 23 CHAIR RICE: Hello. We can hear you, 24 Mr. Meyers. 25 MR. MEYERS: Oh, good. Okay. Finally.

2.0

I'm Michael Meyers. I'm the president of the New York Civil Rights Coalition.

First of all, I don't understand why we still don't have a quorum present, people in attendance at this meeting, to even adopt the minutes from the last meeting. If people cannot come to meetings, I urge them, we urge them, get off the Board. If you can't come to meetings and do your job, get off the Board. There are people waiting to replace you.

Secondly, I want to know from the Chair, are you still Interim Chair? Have you been appointed yet by the City Council and the so-called Mayor? I want an answer to that question. I don't know why we're taking so long. Thirdly, we've been -- "we," meaning you. I shouldn't say we. You, meaning the CCRB, has been in the process of getting the Police Commissioner, the current one now -- I don't know how long he's going to be that, but he's the current one -- to a meeting of the CCRB.

Has he been invited to come to a public meeting of the CCRB, so the public can look

2.0

at him, listen to him, and so that he can hear us; has that been done yet?

Finally, I don't understand why we cannot have a CCRB that has a quorum and has members who are interested in following up on agendas and following up on the procedures that we have agreed to. I don't understand it. I get silence, crickets, from the board members. I don't understand why you people are there. You are not representing the public, you're just not. You're doing a pitiful job. I want to emphasize that. All of you, especially the public members, especially the people who represent the City Council, who represent the citizens of New York, and I'm furious about it.

whether or not you are there to do your jobs, to hold the police accountable, and all these meetings and publicity and public relations efforts are just that. I appreciate

Mr. Rose's report, but when it comes down the bottom line, it's all PR, public relations.

I want to have action. I want to see CCRB taking action. And if you don't have enough

2.0

money to investigate complaints, then maybe, maybe you ought to all as a board say, "We don't have enough money to do the job. We have to resign," and have the Mayor be accountable, have the Police Commissioner be accountable to the CCRB.

I am so sick of this cowardliness, this pusillanimous actions or miss -- no action on the part of the CCRB. I got a lot more to say, but I don't want to hold up the meeting any longer than I have to because I have a lot more to say, and I don't have the time to say it.

MR. DARCHE: Madam Chair, I just want to point out to the people here and online that these board members considered 2,500 full investigations this year. That's not just showing up to a board meeting once a month. They give huge amounts of their time to review extremely serious complicated complaints that oftentimes have large numbers of allegations and large amounts of evidence that they have to review. And so, the idea that they're not hardworking is untrue and unfair. And I understand they might not want

2.0

to say it, but if you look at our monthly report for January, in 2023, there were 2,581 full investigations that this Board closed. And some of them are short investigations and some of them are long, complicated investigations. It is a huge amount of work, and I'm proud to work for them.

CHAIR RICE: I do really truly appreciate that. In regards to the quorum, we most times do have quorum. We have a couple of board members who are joining us online today, and we have a few who are out of town, but normally we do have quorum. I am still your interim chair. At any point that that changes, I will most certainly let you all know. We have not requested a meeting with the NY Police Commissioner at this point. And I believe that that concludes all the questions from Mr. Meyers today.

Yojaira, do you have any other people online who have questions?

MS. ALVAREZ: Yes. Next, we'll be hearing from Shawn.

MR. SHAWN: Can you hear me?

MS. ALVAREZ: Yes.

2.0

MR. SHAWN: Okay. I have a question about the integrity of the Board. Here's the situation, and I'm not asking you guys to comment on these complaints. But there were two CCRB complaints -- well, I made several, but there were two CCRB complaints in particular that I want you guys to address. One was a decade ago and one was recently in 2022, and you just gave me your findings about a week ago. And here's the situation:

In both situations, I was in the subway system recording police activity. In both situations, I was contacted by police for my recording and kicked out of the subway station, and in both cases force was used. Here's the difference in those two cases from recently and a decade ago. The one a decade ago made the major media. It made the news. It was considered high-profile by CCRB. And the findings in that case, they found the officer -- they substantiated the complaint against the officer for the use of force and everything, and surprisingly -- I have it in here from discovery because I sued -- that

2.0

CCRB even cited case law to say what the police did was wrong in approaching me for taking pictures in the subway system and kicking me out.

Now, contrast that to today, what you find in this case was that the facts are the same, virtually the same in both cases. In the first case, it involved one cop. In this case, it involved five cops. But in this case, you found that the use of force and everything was within NYPD guidelines and that -- and even in this case, an officer said to me, "Get the fuck out." You found that -- for the language, you found that that was in, within NYPD guidelines.

My question is, I'm trying to figure out what guidelines are you citing that this was within, so that I can begin the Monell claim, which I thank you for. And is discrepancy the difference between a decade ago and now? Is that an integrity issue with CCRB or competence issue with CCRB, that you're less competent today than you were yesterday, or you have less integrity today than you did yesterday? Or maybe was it that

2.0

the case from a decade ago, because it was high-profile, you actually did your job? I just want answers on that.

CHAIR RICE: I'm going to ask Jon to take that. There's some other options, though, in that there could be differences between the cases or differences in between the guidelines, but I'm going to go ahead and have our executive director respond.

MR. DARCHE: Shawn, thank you for participating. I think if you reach out to me or share your information with the folks online, I will have our FOIL people get you all the materials from the current investigation, so you can see why the Board made the determination it did. But these are very fact-specific investigations, and so if a few details are different from the incident, the recent incident and the incident from ten years ago, you could have very different results in the investigations.

And Madam Chair, earlier we heard from

Ace and Jeffrey who are members of an

organization that I had not really dealt with

before, Jeffrey and Ace, from the Equality

1	New York. And so, I just wanted to thank
2	them for joining and let them know that Jahi
3	and I will be reaching out to meet with them
4	and figure out how we can partner with them
5	in the future.
6	CHAIR RICE: Yojaira, is there anyone
7	else online with comments?
8	MS. ALVAREZ: No, that concludes the
9	virtual portion, Chair.
10	CHAIR RICE: Okay. So, if there's
11	anyone in the room who would like to make a
12	comment, for those joining us in person and
13	are interested in making a public comment, if
14	you can line up behind the podium, we're also
15	going to ask you to limit your comments to
16	four minutes.
17	Anyone in the room who would like to
18	make a comment this evening?
19	(No response).
20	CHAIR RICE: Okay. We will then move
21	to old business.
22	Do we have any old business to come
23	before the Board? Any old business?
24	(No response).
25	CHAIR RICE: Do we have any new

```
1
             business to come before the Board?
 2
                  (No response).
 3
                   CHAIR RICE: Okay. Hearing none, I'm
             going to move now that we break into
 4
             Executive Session. The agenda for Executive
 5
             Session is the executive director will
 6
 7
             discuss pending personnel actions, and the
 8
             general counsel will update the Board on
             pending litigation. I would like to make a
 9
             motion to conclude the January Board Meeting.
10
11
                   All those in favor?
12
                  (Chorus of ayes).
13
                   CHAIR RICE: All right. The meeting is
14
             concluded. Thank you so much.
15
                  (TIME ADJOURNED: 4:53 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF NEW YORK) :SS 4 5 COUNTY OF QUEENS) 6 7 I, Sabrina Brown Stewart, a shorthand 8 reporter within and for the State of New York, do 9 hereby certify that the within is a true and accurate transcript of the statement taken on 10 11 January 10, 2024. 12 I further certify that I am not related to 13 any of the parties to this action by blood or by 14 marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the 15 outcome of this matter. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 17 hand this 15th day of January, 2024. 18 19 2.0 Sabrina Brown-Stewart Sabrina Brown Stewart 21 22 23 2.4 25