	NYC- Civilian Complaint Review Board November 13, 2
1	
2	X
3	CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD PUBLIC MEETING
4	NOVEMBER 13,2019 6:38 P.M.
5	
6	X
7	88 Pitt Street
8	New York, New York
9	BEFORE:
10	FREDERICK R. DAVIS, CHAIR
11	JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
12	
13	
14	Transcribed by:
15	Shechinah Jackson
16	Birceirrian Gaerson
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	DIIDI TA	AGENDA
	======	AGENDA ====================================
3		
4	1	Call to Order
5	2	Adoption of Minutes
6	3	Report from the Chair
7	4	Report from the Executive Director
8	5	Presentation from Outreach on the CCRB
9	6	Presentation from General Counsel's Office on Civil Rights Law 50A
10	7	Comment from Community Groups
11	8	Public Comment
12	9	Old Business
13	10	New Business
14	11	Adjourn
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	
2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	Frederick Davie, Chair
4	Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director
5	Erica Bond, Board Member
6 7	Joseph Puma, Board Member
8	Corrine A. Irish, Esq., Board Member
9	John Siegal, Board Member
10	Marbre Stahly-Butts, Board Member
11	Michael Rivadeneyra, Board Member
12	Frank J. Dwyer, Board Member
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	SPEAKERS
3	=======================================
4	Kerri Jamison
5	Yojaira Alvarez
6	John Mc Farlane
7	Qadira Coles
8	Nahal Zamani
9	Michael Sisitzky
10	Molly Griffard
11	Charlotte Pope
12	Kisha Walker
13	Shuk King Cheng
14	Josmar Trujillo
15	Andrew Case
16	Isabelle Jackson
17	Adrienne Torres
18	Ernest Torres
19	Opal Gordan
20	Terrence Byerson
21	Caroline Wekselbaum
22	Serrice Holman
23	Marsellette Davis
24	Marcus Altheus
25	Joshua Lopez

1	
2	Y. Jennings
3	August Grager
4	Christine Berthet
5	Susanna Troy
6	Michael Harding
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.1

2.3

CHAIR DAVIE: Good evening, everyone and welcome to the November meeting of the Civilian Complaint Review Board. I want to thank you all for coming out on this January -- I mean, November night. It is cold and brisk and the fact that you're here is evidence of your commitment and dedication to your participation in this public process. So we're really pleased that you have come out tonight for the meeting.

I would -- I'm Fred Davie. I'm the chair of the Civilian Complaint Review

Board and I would like to ask my fellow board members to introduce themselves as well, and I'll start on my right.

MS. STAHLY-BUTTS: Hello, everybody.

My name is Mabre. I use she and her

pronouns and I am a Brooklyn appointee

for the City Council. Super excited for

this conversation and lots of familiar

faces in this space.

MR. DARCHE: Jonathan Darche, Executive Director.

MR. PUMA: Good evening. I'm Joseph
Puma. I'm the City Council Designee to
the CCRB from Manhattan. I also happen
to be a lifelong resident of this
community, four generations of my family
have lived in the lower east side. I'm
happy to see some familiar faces and I'm
looking forward to meeting others that I
don't know this evening.
Thank you for coming out on a cold
night.
MR. RIVADENEYRA: Good evening.
Buenos Tardes. My name is Michael
Rivadeneyra. I am the City Council's
Bronx designee.
MS. IRISH: My name is Corrine
MB. IRIBIT My Hame IB COTTING
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee.
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee.
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee. MR. DWYER: My name is Frank Dwyer.
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee. MR. DWYER: My name is Frank Dwyer. A VOICE: Microphone is not working.
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee. MR. DWYER: My name is Frank Dwyer. A VOICE: Microphone is not working. MR. DWYER: No. It's certainly on.
Irish. I am a Mayoral appointee. MR. DWYER: My name is Frank Dwyer. A VOICE: Microphone is not working. MR. DWYER: No. It's certainly on. My name is Frank Dwyer. I'm a Police

1 2 two pretty big pieces of news in the 3 realm of policing in New York City. The first was that the Police 4 5 Commissioner James O' Niell announced that he's resigning from the NYPD. 6 7 appreciated Commissioner O'Neill's 8 leadership, his accessibility and his 9 responsiveness in our one-on-one 10 relations. While the Commissioner and I have 11 12 not always agreed, when I look at 13 Commissioner O'Neill's three decades of 14 dedication to the City of New York, I 15 see a model of professionalism and public service. And I think my 16 17 colleagues on the Board would agree. Personally, I've never doubted our 18 19 relationship and that it was rooted in 20 mutual respect. 2.1 I sincerely wish Commissioner O'Neill the best and well in his new 22 2.3 endeavors. Chief Dermot Shea will take over as 24

25

Commissioner in a few weeks as we all

1 know, on

2.1

2.3

know, on December 1. And I look forward to a smooth transition and to working with him in our mutual efforts to improve police community relations in New York City.

The other major news is that last
Tuesday, again, as we know, on November
5th, Election Day, that there was a
significant set of changes for civilian
oversight of police in New York City.
Almost a half of million New Yorkers
showed up to vote in support of valid
Question 2: A measure to improve
civilian oversight of the NYPD.

I know our Executive Director Jon

Darche will have a few comments on this shortly, but as Chair I feel compelled to highlight how important that election result is for this Agency and I think for the City of New York.

New Yorkers overwhelmingly raised their voices and made it clear that transparency and accountability in policing matters.

2.1

2.3

And the work of this Agency matters as well. And I think I speak for the Board and staff when I say that that support given to us by the public matters to us, matters deeply. It drives us to seek ways to serve this City even better.

I look forward to working with the rest of the Board and the staff as well as elected officials, NYPD and other City agencies throughout the next few months that these changes, as approved by New York voters, are put into place and implemented.

Another point of discussion both here in New York City and in Albany is transparency in the police disciplinary process. This matter more specifically we call New York Civil Rights Law Section 50-a and it is something on which I actually had the opportunity to testify before the State Senate Committee a few weeks ago in Albany.

As many of you know, 50-a is a law

2.1

2.3

that prohibits the CCRB from sharing information about officers' disciplinary records with the public. This is a significant issue for policing here in the State of New York and I think it's important that we here, in New York City where we have the largest police department not only in the state but in the nation, continue the conversation about what's happening in Albany around 50-a.

That said, I am very interested in hearing what everyone here tonight has to say about 50-a and the future of transparency in policing.

In addition to hearing from our Outreach Unit, we will receive testimony on the impact of 50-a during the public comment section of tonight's meeting.

But before we get to that, we have a few other agenda items including words from our Executive Director and a presentation on 50-a from our General Counsel's office.

Given that we have a full agenda tonight, I'll offer just one final comment before we move forward.

Applications for the next class of CCRB's Youth Advisory Council, our Youth Advisory Council, a counsel who's inaugural class has impressed me and I think many of the Board members beyond measure.

Applications for that Youth Advisory Council are now open. The deadline this year is Friday, November 29th for us to receive those applications. We invite New Yorkers between the ages of 10 and 24 to apply on-line at NYC.GOV/CCRByouthcouncil. Again, NYC.GOV/CCRByouthcouncil.

If you'd like more information you can also visit the CCRB web page or see our director of Outreach and Intergovernmental Affairs, Yojaira Alvarez, who is right up here in the front. Yojaira will -- can give you more information on the Youth Council.

2.3

1 2 With that, I'll turn things over to 3 our Executive Director Jon Darche. Mr. Darche. 4 5 MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Welcome and thank all of you for 6 7 coming out here tonight. And I also 8 especially would like to thank the Grand 9 Street Settlement and Mr. Maurice Rawls. 10 The Board meetings are a critical part of the CCRB's outreach work. 11 12 As the Chair mentioned, Question 2 13 of the City Charter Reform Efforts 14 passed last week and I think the fact 15 that 75 percent of the people who voted on the matter voted in favor of 16 17 Proposition 2, is a testament to the 18 public interest in civilian oversight of the NYPD. 19 Work of the staff on investigation, 20 2.1 outreach and overall public education has led to a greater awareness of 22 2.3 civilian oversight causing an increase

Charter changes are going to help

24

25

in public confidence in the CCRB and the

advance the work that we've done already.

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

The new Charter provisions will require the Police Commissioner to provide detailed explanations when the level of discipline imposed by the Police Commissioner deviates from the CCRB's recommendation, will allow the Board to delegate subpoena -- the power to issue subpoenas to me and successive executive directors, allow the CCRB to investigate false official statements and recommend discipline in cases where we substantiate allegations of false official statements, linked our budget to the NYPD's budget and increased the size of the Board, gave the City Council the power to directly appoint its designees and gave the public advocate a designee on the board.

These changes are going to be taking effect in the spring of 2020 and the staff is going to be working hard to make sure that their implementation is

2.1

2.3

smooth.

As the Chair mention, Section 50-a of the Civil Rights Law is extremely important to the CCRB, and I'm looking forward to hearing everyone's comments on them. But I want to point out that this is not the last conversation we'll have on this issue and people have the opportunity at future board meetings and future events to let us know their opinions on 50-a.

Just to set some ground rules for public comment. If you have concerns about an individual incident related directly to you, I'd ask that you talk to our investigator tonight rather than presenting testimony about it in public.

We have your manager, Vanessa Rosen and Investigator Barice (phonetic)

Jones. Are you guys -- I think I saw you earlier -- Can you stand. There they are. So if you guys have anything about an individual incident you want to make a complaint about, they're there in

1 the back and I'm going to ask everyone 2 3 to please limit their comments to two minutes in the interest of fairness so 4 5 that everyone can have a chance to say their peace. And thank you all again 6 7 for coming out. 8 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Mr. Darche. 9 We will now have a presentation from 10 our Outreach department Yojaira Alvarez. 11 MS. ALVAREZ: Hello everyone. Thank 12 you so much for coming out on this very 13 cold November evening. I'm still 14 thawing. So I know some of you are familiar 15 16 with the agency. My name is Yojaira 17 Alvarez. I'm the Director of Outreach 18 and Intergovernmental Affairs. I just 19 want to take a few moments to give you a brief overview of our jurisdiction and 20 2.1 to share some additional information on 22 how we can be useful in your respective 2.3 communities. 24 So, as you know, we are a City 25 agency independent from the NYPD. We're

1 2 responsible for investigating, mediating 3 and administratively prosecuting allegations of police misconduct. 4 5 Our agency is governed by a 13-member board. The full board is 6 7 composed of five Mayoral designees 8 appointees, five members appointed by 9 the City Council and three by the Police 10 Commissioner. 11 So we don't investigate all 12 allegations of police misconduct. 13 four categories that we investigate 14 follow under the acronym FADO, F-A-D-O. 15 F stands for force. We investigate allegations of a police officer using 16 17 force; that can include a punch, a kick, 18 use of a taser, an impact weapon, et 19 cetera. So what this means is, if there's 20 2.1 force used in an incident, that falls 22 under our jurisdiction and it's 2.3 something that we would investigate to determine if it's excessive or 24 25 unnecessary given the totality of the

1 2 circumstances. 3 The second category is abuse of 4 authority. That's a large category. 5 Some of those things include an improper stop, an improper search, a police 6 7 officer sexually harassing me, a police 8 officer entering my house without a 9 warrant, a police officer threatening to 10 call ICE. 11 Also, if I ask a police officer for 12 their name and badge number and they 13 cover it up, that's something that we 14 would investigate. 15 The third category is discourtesy. So that means a police officer using 16 17 profanity or improper gestures. 18 And the last category is offensive 19 language. So that's language that 20 inappropriately refers to my race, my 2.1 ethnicity, my sexual orientation, my 22 gender, my religious -- my religious 2.3 identity and also my disability status. One thing I wanted to mention is 24 25 that there's this really important paper

2.1

2.3

outside it's all about the right to know act. So please pick it up if you haven't already. We are a year -- we're completing a year of this law taking effect. So what this means is that in most situations, police officers must proactively give you a business card. That card has their name, their command, and some information to contact 311 if you have a comment or complaint to make about that.

This law also impacts that in situations where a police officer does not have the legal justification to search you, they must ask for consent. That means that they must inform you that you have the right to say no.

That also means that a police officer using the normal protocol laid out in the language access plan must provide you with language interpretation services if needed.

1 2 looking for youth ages 10-24 to apply 3 for Youth Advisory Council. We're really excited about the program. 4 5 you know of anybody is interested in police oversight in proving youth 6 7 engagement with the CCRB, please go to our WWW.NYC.gov/CCRB. On the front page 8 9 you'll see a tab for the YAC. If you 10 have any questions, please reach out to I have my business card and I look 11 me. 12 forward to working you in the future. 13 Thanks. 14 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Yojaira. Just from the Board members for now, 15 16 any questions or comments on Yojaira's 17 presentation. 18 MS. BOND: Good evening, all. 19 apologies for being late. My name is 20 Erica Bond. I am a Mayoral designee. 2.1 CHAIR DAVIE: Welcome. Thank you. 22 We'll take care of one little piece 2.3 of business and that is the adoption of the minutes of the last meeting. 24 25 Is there a motion to approve the

1	
2	minutes from the last meeting?
3	MR. RIVADENEYRA: Motion.
4	CHAIR DAVIE: Is there a second to
5	that motion.
6	MS. IRISH: Second.
7	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
8	Are there any changes or additions
9	or revisions to the minutes? Fair
10	enough. Are we ready to vote? All
11	those in favor of adopting the minutes
12	as they've been presented to us, please
13	say aye?
14	(Chorus of "Ayes.")
15	CHAIR DAVIE: Oppose.
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIR DAVIE: No. The "ayes" have
18	it. Minutes are passed.
19	We will now hear from our General
20	Counsel's office for a presentation on
21	the Civil Rights Law 50-a.
22	MS. JAMISON: Good evening,
23	everyone. My name is Kerri Jamison.
24	I'm an Assistant General Counsel of the
25	CCRB.

2.1

2.3

So to facilitate the discussion today we're doing a brief presentation on 50-a and some of the proposed legislation to either repeal or reform of 50-a.

As it was mentioned before, Civil
Rights Law 50-a is a statue that
prohibits the disclosure of discipline
records or the personnel records of
police officers, firefighters,
correction officers, among others.

So one of the biggest questions about Civil Rights Law 50-a is: What is a personnel record?

So a personnel record is any record used to evaluate performance towards continued employment and promotion. And that's a lot of words and its really hard understanding what that means, but it does include police disciplinary records.

And there's been a lot of litigation about Civil Rights Law 50-a and the Courts have said that the record doesn't

2.1

2.3

actually have to ever have been used to make an employment decision as long as it could have been used, that is sufficient to bring a record within the scope of Civil Rights Law 50-a, which means it can be disclosed.

Civil Rights Law 50-a also applies to retired officers, so as long as the conduct while the officer was on the force. If the officer has since retired, the records are still protected.

That also applies to off-duty conduct. That's conduct that was engaged in by a police officer who's on the force but not acting in his or her official capacity at the time of conduct. However, once an officer leaves the force, if they engage in any conduct then obviously that would not be covered by the statue.

So, I think we may be having some technical difficulties here. Okay, there we go.

2.1

2.3

So the CCRB records are considered personnel records. So our records contain information about whether or not officer was -- whether there's been a complaint against an officer in one of the FADO categories that Yojaira just mentioned.

And once we get a complaint against an officer it goes into the officer's personnel record or CCRB records and the courts have found that CCRB records are considered a part of NYPD records; and therefore, they are covered by the Civil Rights Law 50-a.

That is why when a Freedom of
Information Law request is made to the
CCRB records, they're often rejected
pursuant to Civil Rights Law 50-a.

There are certain ways that information that is covered by 50-a, and I believe this was printed out for everyone so if you can't see what's on the screen, you should be able to follow along in your written materials.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

So the officer can always provide written consent to the release of his or her records, so that is one way that the records could be disclosed.

Another way is through a court order and that requires someone to actually be in litigation. And just to give you a little bit of history about Civil Rights Law 50-a when it first was enacted, it was really geared towards preventing defense attorney from using an officer's disciplinary record against them while they were testifying during litigation.

feels that an officer's disciplinary record is relevant to the litigation at camera review" from the judge. That means that the information that -- the record that the lawyer seeks to disclose is provided to the judge. The judge will do a review of it and if the judge feels that the information is material and relevant to the litigation, then the

But during litigation if a party hand they can seek what's called an "in

2.1

judge can approve disclosure of that.

But, again, you need to be involved in an active litigation. So it's no as though anyone can go to a judge and seek release of records.

And then the final category, actually is an exemption from 50-a so certain governmental agencies are exempt from 50-a so they can get access to records.

So a couple of examples would be the District Attorney's Office or the Attorney General's Office and also CCRB would fall under that exception so that's why we're able to set information from the NYPD about an officer.

So it's basically any governmental entity as long as they are exercising their official duties, they can have access to an officer's records.

Now we going to turn to just a few of the proposed bills in Albany about the repeal of Civil Rights Law 50-a.

And just to mention Civil Rights Law

2.1

50-a is a State statue, it's not a New York City statue. So if there's any change it would have to be by the State legislature in Albany.

There are few -- there's one that's proposed by Senator Bailey, that's for full repeal. CHAIR DAVIE mentioned, our Chair Davie mentioned earlier that he testified in Albany a couple of weeks ago, it was in relation to this particular proposed statue to the full repeal of 50-a.

Another proposal is a Parker Bill with also Assembly Member Gantt.

Senator Parker has a couple of 50-a

bills as you'll see as we go through.

This particular bill provides

Civilian Review Boards with the option

to petition the courts for records. So

I just mentioned earlier that during the

course of litigation, someone can seek

to have an in camera review, this

statute allowed CCRB or civil agency to

be able to seek that same kind of in

camera review to get access to records.

3 | 4 |

4 Par

The next Parker Bill which is a

Parker/Bailey bill. Would have 50-a

only apply to records that were created

and used solely to evaluate performance

towards continued employment.

It's not entirely clearly exactly

what that would encompass, but it could be something like an evaluation or something like that that would be considered created and used solely for evaluation of continued employment. But it would seem to be disciplinary records may not fall underneath that category, but it would remain to be more fleshing out of exactly what that means.

And then this Parker Bill is a little bit more nuance and it contains a lot of pieces, but to put it in a nutshell, it's basically once the administrative process for a police officer's disciplinary records is complete and the allegations have been substantiated, then those records would

2

be disclosable to the public.

3 4

to unsubstantiated conduct that was exonerated or conduct that was deemed

Now keep in mind, it would not apply

6

5

unfounded.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1 22

2.3

24

25

There is an exception for the complainant. So if you are the person who filed the complaint against an officer, then you would be able to get more information about that officer under this proposed bill.

And then finally, we have this last bill by Senator Benjamin and Assembly Member Quart which would -- proposes that 50-a wouldn't apply to recordings made of officers. That would include body cameras and dash cameras.

So one of the big questions that comes up when people talk about 50-a repeal or reform is well, is it going to release information about officers and complainants? If 50-a were to be repealed or reformed, we still have what's called the Freedom of Information

1 2 Law commonly known as FOIL. 3 And FOIL contains exceptions that would still allow for either withholding 4 5 or redacted information that is sensitive and personal. 6 7 And also New York City has what's 8 called an Identifying Information Law 9 which limits the disclosure of 10 identifying information such as name, 11 date of birth, address, things like 12 that. So there are protections for that 13 type of information that goes for 14 officers and complainants. 15 So some other relevant FOIL exceptions that could come up in the 16 17 context of police officer disciplinary 18 records are records that are exempt from 19 disclosure by Federal, State law. 20 yes, 50-a falls under that category, but 2.1 there are other things that come 22 underneath that category.

So say, for instance, records that are sealed because of the defendant received a favorable outcome of the

2.3

24

25

1 2 If those records are contained in case. 3 a CCRB records, they're able to be prohibited from disclosure under that 4 5 exemption of FOIL. Same thing for victims of sex 6 7 crimes, under Civil Rights Law 50-b. 8 Records that are complied for law 9 enforcement purposes, disclosure that 10 could endanger the life or safety or 11 another person or if any person; and 12 also records that inter-agency or 13 intra-agency materials. 14 So that's pretty much a quick 15 overview. I know that was a lot of information but I provided that to 16 17 facilitate the conversation here. 18 So if any of the Board members have 19 questions or would like me to expand on 20 anything that we -- I've presented on we 2.1 can do so now. 22 CHAIR DAVIE: So thank you, Kerri. 2.3 So let's see if any of the Board 24 members have any clarifying questions 25 right now. We won't do comments.

1 2 do that in a bit. 3 Any clarifying questions for Kerri from any of the Board members? 4 5 All right. Okay, thank you. hear from the public in a minute. 6 7 I'm sure there will be lots of 8 conversations about this. 9 We have been joined by a new member 10 of the Board since we got started. 11 new to the Board, but new tonight. 12 You want to introduce yourself. 13 MR. SIEGEL: Is that on? Sorry 14 about that. Good evening. My name is 15 John Siegel. I'm one of Mayor de Blasio's appointees to the Board. 16 17 please to be here for this important 18 hearing. I apologize for my tardiness, 19 I was locked in a room asking a witness questions and I had to finish before I 20 2.1 came here. So I'm glad to be here. I 22 really appreciate the very large turn 2.3 out here tonight. 24 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, John. 25 We're going to have a few people

1	
	and the thousand the Tay Constant Duned
2	speak if they wish. Is Senator Brad
3	Hoylman here? Did I see him?
4	So a representative for him,
5	Caroline Wekselbaum, is that correct?
6	Not close. Do you want to speak? You
7	want to stand up? Do you want to say
8	hello? Welcome.
9	Would you like if you want to
10	speak, you'll need to come to the mic so
11	that we can pick it up.
12	MS. WEKSELBAUM: Hi. I'm Caroline
13	Wekselbaum from State Senator Brad
14	Hoylman's office. And I just wanted to
15	thank you very much for holding a
16	hearing. I don't have any prepared
17	remarks, but I just wanted to say thank
18	you for having us.
19	CHAIR DAVIE: Sure. Welcome.
20	MS. WEKSELBAUM: Thank you.
21	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
22	Isabelle Jackson who is from Council
23	Woman Rivera's office, are you here?
24	Would you like to say anything? You
25	have to do it from here.

2.1

2.3

MS. JACKSON: Hello everyone. I'm representing Council Woman Carlina Rivera. I like to share testimony, that she wrote for tonight.

I would like to thank the members of the Civilian Complaint Review Board for holding a meeting on New York State Civil Rights Law 50-a, and for the opportunity to the submit testimony on the rule's impact on our City.

We are here today because a law that originated as a means to protect police officers who cooperated in court cases has now become a tool for the NYPD to conceal nearly all police records from public view.

Many of us became familiar with the law in the aftermath of Eric Garner's death in 2014, when his mother was prevented from finding out if the officer who killed her son had a prior history of misconduct.

By using 50-a as a way to shield officers and the department from

1 2 scrutiny, we will only amplify the tensions and distrust that exist between 3 our police and our communities. 4 5 We need our police department to transparent and accountable. 6 7 Commissioner O'Neill made a concerted 8 effort to do this; however, we need 9 reform at the state level. 10 These calls for greater transparency 11 are not new and the NYPD's own 2018 report on 50-a, advocated for 12 13 legislation to amend 50-a to improve 14 public confidence in the department. 15 When considering this necessary 16 reform, I would also like to call to 17 mind the recent incidents where police 18 officers have unnecessarily and unfairly 19 targeted poor and working class New 20 Yorkers. The CCRB must examine the NYPD's 2.1 22 heightened role in controlling our 2.3 subway system. 24 Improving police community relations 25 has been one of priorities since taking

1 2 office. My office works with 3 neighborhood coordinating officers on a daily basis to ensure that our 4 5 communities feel safe, but the Department's lack of transparency is 6 7 major barrier to this community 8 building. This is why, in addition, for 9 10 pushing for better police community relation in the council, I will continue 11 12 to support our law makers in Albany as 13 they seek to reform this crucial issue. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIR DAVIE: Are there questions 16 from the Board members for Ms. Jackson 17 at this point. 18 All right. Thank you. We'll now hear from Kisha Walker. 19 20 MS. WALKER: Good evening everyone. 2.1 My name is Kisha Walker. I am the God 22 sister of Sean Bell who was killed in a 2.3 hale of 50 shots on his wedding day in 24 November 26, 2000 -- November 25, 2006. I'm also a member of the Justice 25

1	
2	Committee who is also part of the
3	leadership for communities of the I'm
4	sorry.
5	MR. DARCHE: United for police
6	reform?
7	MS. WALKER: Yes. Excuse me, can I
8	come back?
9	CHAIR DAVIE: Would you like to come
10	back.
11	MS. WALKER: Yes.
12	CHAIR DAVIE: Sure. No worries.
13	Okay. We'll hear from Shuk King Cheng,
14	who is the director of the Chinese
15	American Planning Council.
16	MS. CHENG: Good evening. My name
17	is Shuk King Cheng. I come here as a
18	civilian. I do not come here to
19	represent my organization. So I would
20	like to share my views on, you know, the
21	police actions and involvement with my
22	community. I worked at lower east side
23	and I also grew up on the lower east
24	side.
25	I feel that, you know, I have

2

3

4

5

personally, I have no issues with the police officers but like, today, I also -- I feel that there's definitely need to be transparency, there needs to be rules and regulations and definitely needs, you know, additional -- like, normal barriers. Right? We need to penetrate into all these rules that they try to conceal from the public.

6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

And just, like, for example, today, I saw a man, he was crossing the street on Delancey and Essex -- Eldridge and Delancey. So it was one individual. Не has nothing on him. I don't even think he have a thick jacket, and then I saw a police car rush over into the curb, even though there was another police officer there already. There's another car coming and then I see another officer like coming from the other side, rushing over. It was just one man. necessary to have -- it was seven cops there when the individual had nothing on there hand at all or not even carrying

1	
2	anything. I think that is just over
3	over do.
4	So I understand from both sides that
5	there are just doing our job, but at the
6	same time I think there is a need for
7	training.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
10	Any comments or questions from the
11	Board members?
12	All right. We'll hear from Kisha
13	Walker again.
14	MS. WALKER: Good evening. Once
15	again. Thank you for being patient with
16	me.
17	My name is Kisha Walker. I'm the
18	God the sister of Sean Bell who was
19	killed in a hail of 50 shots on his
20	wedding day on November 25, 2006. And
21	I'm also a member of the Justice
22	Committee which is part of the
23	Leadership of Communities United for
24	Police Reform.
25	I'd like to begin by thanking CCRB

Board Chair Fred Davie for personally stating his support for the full repeal of the New York's Police secrecy law 50-a.

I'm here to say that we need the CCRB to take the position to support the full repeal of 50-a.

50-a is a police secrecy law that protects police who kill, brutalize and harm New Yorkers. It hides police misconduct and it hides the fact that NYPD and other police departments around New York State do not discipline or fire officers in a timely or meaningful way when they harm New Yorkers. This is dangerous for everyone.

2.1

It has been 13 years since Shawn was murdered in a hail of 50 shots,

50 shots. And my family deals with this pain everyday. Part of how my family deals with this pain is to fight to try to make sure that families do not go through the same thing that we've gone through. That's why my Godmother

1 Valerie Bell testified at two New York 2 3 State Senate hearings last month calling for legislature to fully repeal 50-a at 4 5 the start of the legislative session. Under de Blasio, the New York Police 6 interpretation of 50-a has expanded and 7 the City has gone to court to the defend 8 9 the backwards interpretation. 10 Basically, they are trying to keep secret almost everything about police 11 12 misconduct. 13 The public should have the right to 14 know about all misconduct, complaints against officers, and whether any 15 discipline action was taken. 50-a 16 17 prevents this and 50-a must be repealed. 18 Thank you for your time, and I hope 19 that the CCRB will help demand the full 20 repeal of 50-a. Thank you. 2.1 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much. 22 So since you referenced my personal 2.3 position as opposed to the position of the board on 50-a, I just want to speak 24 25 briefly to that and say that I do

3 |

personally believe that complete repeal of 50-a will be the most effective way for getting the kind of information to the public that we need to get about police discipline.

I do believe that we should protect the privacy, the appropriate privacy, of police officers, and I've been convinced that the Freedom of Information Law would allow for that protection of the privacy of police officer as is appropriate.

I should just also say that I think the repeal of 50-a would allow the CCRB to share more information with complainants about substantiated cases, substantiated allegations that have been -- that have been approved by this Board against -- substantiated allegations against, obviously, New York City police officers.

And right now there's lots of information about why particular decisions were made, what went into the

making of those decisions, et cetera, that we are not allowed to share with people who filed complaints; particularly, where the allegations have been substantiated.

And I just think it would better serve transparency and accountability if the CCRB were in a position to share that information.

A change or a repeal in 50-a does not mean that CCRB would necessarily provide on any kind of routine, ongoing basis public information about officers unless it was done through a FOIL request. So not much of what we currently do in terms of providing public information about this these

cases would change unless it' FOIL; but

what it would allow us to do and what I

think is really important, if people are

going to have confidence in this agency,

complaints and particularly when those

information to the people who bring

is it would allow us to provide

1	
2	allegations within those complaints are
3	substantiated. I think we owe that to
4	the people of the City of New York to be
5	able to share that kind of information.
6	That said, let's go back to hearing
7	from you. And I have on the list now
8	from Vocal New York, John Mc Farlane.
9	And as Mr. McFarlane is coming up,
10	we're going to ask girls for Gender
11	Equity Qadira Coles, will you stand next
12	in line and be ready to speak?
13	Mr. McFarlane?
14	MR. MCFARLANE: Thank you.
15	Good evening, Board members and
16	attendees. My name is John McFarlane.
17	I'm 51 years old. I'm a lifelong
18	resident of Queens County, and I'm also
19	a leader at Vocal New York.
20	As a resident of the State of New
21	York, I have huge stake in seeing that
22	50-a, a law that unnecessarily restricts
23	access to police misconduct, records and
24	files is repealed.
25	Once 50-a is repealed, the Freedom

of Information Law will become the limiting statue, appropriately protecting the types of law enforcement records that should not be exposed to the public at large.

Police misconduct files and reports to the extent that certain sensitive information is redacted should not be hidden from public scrutiny simple to save the NYPD or other law enforcement agencies from any embarrassment.

In a similar vain, these documents should not be concealed in an attempt to cloak officers who's credibility should be questioned when circumstances arise that tend to create such an inquiry.

Shielding law enforcement files in such a way is akin to shielding employee files from the employer. After all, NYPD and correction officers are public servants, employed by the people, the public. Why would we not want to see how these employees conduct themselves while on the job.

2.1

2.3

In testimony before the New York

State Senate Codes Committee on

October 17, 2019, Valerie Bell the

mother of NYPD murder victim Sean Bell,

stated, "The part that was terrible was

not getting the answers on who killed my

son." That's why I'm here testifying

today and why I have been fighting to

repeal 50-a.

People of color continue to be killed by the police. And I understand what it's like for the families to have fought tooth and nail for transparency, closed quote.

Gwen Carr the mother of NYPD murder victim, Eric Garner stated over five years later repeal 50-a, I don't have full information about the roles, the misconduct or the names of many of the officers involved. 50-a makes it close to impossible for me to truly fight for justice for Eric, closed quote.

In the aftermath of criminal justice

2.1

2.3

reforms passed by the New York State
Assembly in April and signed by Governor
Cuomo, the District Attorneys
Association of New York also known as
DASNY has filed a despicable campaign of
opposition rather than implementation of
the new law.

Part of this strategy includes recording training sessions that teach New York State District Attorneys how to circumvent the elements of reform built into the law.

Please note the NYPD walks in lock step with DASNY's strategy. Thus, it is imperative that going forward the public have access to all the tools and resources available to combat this state wide campaign of fear mongering.

Part of this arsenal will necessary include public access to NYPD officer misconduct files and documents.

Now, there has been some talking and grumbling about the public having access to complaints that were unfounded or not

substantiated against police officers.

This argument is nothing more then a red herring designed to confuse and detract from the real issue at hand.

Note that if a complaint has been unfounded how does that designation put an officer's reputation in a bad light? How would public access to unsubstantiated allegations against a law enforcement officer impune that officer's integrity?

Stated differently if the public has access to the complete file which contains the boards rational for clearing an officer. This will enable the public to understand the logic or reasoning that went into such a decision.

Ultimately some debate may emerge regarding whether the Board was correct in absolving officers named in a particular complaint. But that debate would be premised on facts in the record rather than on pure speculation.

2.1

2.3

As of the current process due to an officers record of misconduct, not being accessible to the public at all.

In closing, I state there is no legitimate reason to continue the abysmal practice of shielding police officer misconduct file from the public.

All interested stakeholders can agree that proponents of 50-a repeal do not desire familia data, such as wife or children demographics, we are not concerned with the location of the officer's residence and we certainly have no interest in any document germane into an officer's private or personal life. To suggest otherwise would be quite disingenuous.

With that, I urge the New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board to support the repeal of 50-a today.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much.

I thank you for the testimony. I will remind the speakers that you have two minutes.

2.1

2.3

MS. COLES: Good evening, Chair

Davie, members of CCRB. My name is

Qadira Coles and I'm the policy manger

at Girls for Gender Equity.

Thank you for receiving testimony from the public today on the impact of 50-a.

Girls for Gender Equity is a intergenerational advocacy and youth development organization that is committed to the physical, psychological, social and economic development of girls and woman.

We work daily with young woman and transgender non-conforming youth of color who are policed at every juncture of their lives on their way to and from school, in school, while assessing City services or simply being in public space.

Young women and transgender
non-conforming young people are
criminalized for everyday behavior that
are regularly policed because of their

1 2 race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, 3 gender identity and/or gender 4 expression. 5 The full repeal of 50-a is necessary to advance true community safety for 6 7 girls and transgender non-conforming 8 youth of color in New York. 9 As an organization that has worked 10 to address gender-based violence for 11 over 16 years, we understand that acts 12 of gender-based violence are often 13 pattern and repetitive. 14 Survivors who report sexual 15 misconduct by police officers are met by disciplinary system that benefits from 16 17 hiding misconduct, especially repeated 18 misconduct from the public eye. 19 This secrecy unnecessarily causes 20 undo onus on the survivors of police 2.1 misconduct including families who have 22 lost loved ones to police violence. 2.3 We deserve to know if there are any 24 police officers in our community who 25 have sexually harassed or assaulted

2.1

2.3

someone or have repeatedly been disciplined for the use of excessive force or have displayed a pattern of behavior that can escalate to put the safety of our community at risk.

The NYPD itself has admitted that the disciplinary process is deeply flawed with Commissioner O'Neill stating in February that the process suffered from a fundamental and pervasive lack of transparency.

Without a full repeal of 50-a, the public cannot know whether remedial efforts are effective or accountability processes are happening in the NYPD.

Anything short of repealing 50-a will fail to address the concerns we are testifying to today, and will only lead to new measures by the NYPD to prevent against disclosing police misconduct or patterns of concerning behavior to the public.

For all these reasons, we urge the Board to support a full repeal.

1	
2	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much.
3	So we're going to ask the next two
4	speakers, if they'll come forward:
5	Nahal Zamani from the Center for
6	Constitutional Rights and Michel
7	Sisitzky from the NYCLU.
8	MS. ZAMANI: Good evening and thank
9	you for the opportunity to testify
10	today.
11	My name is Nahal Zamani. I'm an
12	advocacy program manager with the Center
13	for Constitutional Rights.
14	Today I'm here to comment on the
15	Board's contemplation of the repeal of
16	50-a which is absolutely a crucial
17	matter of public debate today.
18	As we all know, New York City's
19	interpretation of 50-a hides the
20	outcomes of police disciplinary
21	proceedings including crucial
22	information such as the names of
23	officers involved police misconduct and
24	how, if at all, they were punished.
25	Despite how little is public, what

1 2 we have learned, reveals extensive 3 disciplinary failure that require significant and immediate changes. 4 5 These problems include, but are not limited to, the NYPD's preference for 6 low or no disciplinary penalties; the 7 8 NYPD downgrading of the discipline 9 that's been recommended by this body, 10 the CCRB; the NYPD's disagreement with this Board regarding substantiating 11 12 misconduct findings; and some of the 13 phenomena that's been tracked in the AP 14 review reports by this Board as well. 15 And we know this from a lot of the independent bodies that have oversight 16 17 over the NYPD, the CCRB's public 18 reporting, from media leaks; and from 19 this, there's a very disturbing painting 20 that has emerged about failures with 2.1 regards to the NYPD. 22 So here are a few reasons why the 2.3 Board needs to come out in support of a 24 full repeal of 50-a: 25 Number 1, the top two meet your

2.1

2.3

mandate. The CCRB is one of the most, if not the most, powerful oversight agencies in the country overseeing the conduct of police departments, and investigating and addressing police misconduct. In fact, through your work and tracing the ark of the complaints that comes through on your guys' desk through to their final disciplinary disposition by NYPD, many problems have emerged. But because of 50-a, many of the Boards actions and steps are not really known. And also what the NYPD does is actually shielded.

Another reason. This would really illuminate the work of the APU, which is what sets the CCRB across -- apart from other civilian oversight bodies nationally. Particularly, around the charges and specification cases, and how those were ultimately resolved.

It would also look at the wide number of cases that are before the CCRB which are not charges and specification

1 2 cases. And we would argue that the 3 policy analysis of the CCRB could become more robust through a full repeal of 4 5 50-a. So that we can see kind of more broadly trends and disturbing 6 7 allegations. 8 The CCRB should support a full 9 repeal of 50-a, not a modification. 10 Why? 11 Well, recently the NYPD posited that 50-a should be reformed and only allow 12 13 for public disclosures around charges 14 and specifications, but that's a 15 problematic position. For the CCRB to 16 maintain it's engagement with 17 communities, you -- and that can only be 18 achieved with the full repeal of this 19 law. Merely modifying 50-a would still shield important information about 20 2.1 discipline and misconduct and lead to 22 the same abuses and issues that my 2.3 co-panelists have testified too. 24 Additionally, as I said, charges and 25 specs are just a sliver of the bodies

1 2 that you guys -- of the cases that you 3 handle. We are equally concerned around the 4 5 NYPD's use of the reconsideration process. We've testified to that effect 6 7 and knowing more with a full repeal of 8 50-a. In conclusion, I want to thank you 9 10 guys for your consideration and call for the Board for a full repeal. 11 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIR DAVIE: And just reminding 14 you, Michael, two minutes. 15 MR. SISITZKY: Good evening. My name is Mike Sisitzky, Lead Policy 16 17 Counsel of the New York Civil Liberties Union. 18 19 The core component of our work is 20 protecting a New Yorker's right to be 2.1 free from the discriminatory and abusive 22 policing, and there is no greater legal barrier to that work than Section 50-a. 2.3 24 The NYCLU's position is that the 25 only way to address this statue is full

1 2 legislative repeal. 3 The types of records that 50-a shrouds in secrecy are vitally important 4 5 to public conversations, about the impact that policing has in the 6 7 communities throughout New York. 8 And they're also essential if we're 9 going to ask the public to have any 10 confidence in agencies like the CCRB 11 that are empowered to investigate and attempt to hold officers accountable for 12 13 their misconduct. 14 But because of 50-a, we don't get to 15 turn to the CCRB for detailed information about specific cases about 16 17 officers, about what goes wrong in the 18 process. Instead, we're forced to rely 19 on the media, on leaks to the press 20 instead of being able to turn directly 2.1 to our public officials. 22 And this is not a process that 2.3 inspires much public trust or confidence in public agencies. 24 And what have we learned from these 25

leaks. We learned in 2017 that the officer who killed Eric Garner had an extensive history of misconduct that was known to the NYPD. And once made public, Pantilaio history of CCRB complaints have been described as amongst the worst on the force.

The fact that an officer who later
went on to kill someone using a banned
procedure already had a noteworthy
history of engaging misconduct, and that
the NYPD had a noteworthy history of
downgrading CCRB recommendations for
that officer, is something that the

In 2018, through another leak. We learned about a database of more than 300 officers who were still on the force despite they committed fireable offenses including officers that have committed perjury, lied on official statements, and this is despite the fact that the NYPD's own policy generally requires

public had clear interest in knowing.

officers to be fired for having

1	
2	committed such misconduct.
3	Without this leak, the public would
4	have no no idea of the NYPD was
5	failing to adhere to it's own
6	disciplinary rules in that manner.
7	It's already been discussed that
8	50-a really serves no purpose in terms
9	of protecting officer privacy and safety
10	those are all addressed clearly in FOIL.
11	So 50-a as it exist now serves the only
12	purpose of hiding vitally important
13	public information about public
14	officials which is why it's full repeal
15	is necessary. It shouldn't be this
16	difficult to have public conversations
17	about misconduct.
18	And we invite the CCRB to join us in
19	calling for these conversations to
20	happen in the public and to support the
21	repeal of 50-a.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
24	I'm going to ask the next two
25	speakers to come up, Molly Griffard from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

the Legal Aid Society and Charlotte Pope from Girls for Gender Equity.

MS. GRIFFARD: Good evening. thank you for having us here tonight.

My name is Molly Griffard. I'm a legal fellow at the Legal Aid Society. And we echo the concerns raised by our colleagues who have already spoken. I'll keep my remarks short and focussed on the unique perspective that the Legal Aid Society brings to this issue which is how 50-a harms our clients. And also how it contributes to the injustices of a criminal legal system that disproportionately arrests, prosecutes and incarcerates low income people of color.

As the largest provider of indigent defense in this state, we at the Legal clients on a daily basis. Our job as defense attorneys is to thoroughly investigate our clients cases including

20 2.1 Aid Society see how 50-a harms our 22 2.3 24 25 investigating the credibility of all the

2.1

witness against our clients.

Without access to the conduct records of involved officers, we cannot effectively examine and cross-examine an officer as a witness when we do not whether they've been disciplined for making false statements, falsifying evidence or lying under oath in the past.

Under 50-a, the burden is on the defense to make a motion to a judge to issue a subpoena for an officer's misconduct record; however, the defense must allege a specific good faith basis to believe that that relevant misconduct material exists.

In order words, the City and the NYPD are able to shield officer misconduct behind 50-a and the judge, according to the law, expects the defense to be able to point out specific incidents of misconduct to get those misconduct records. If it sounds like an absurd chicken and egg situation,

2.1

2.3

that's because it is.

And the problem with this is how the law was written in the first place, and also how the law has been broadly interpreted by the courts. And because of this broad interpretation by the courts, there really is not a way to amend or fix the bill, a full repeal is absolutely necessary.

Sufficient privacy protection for all government employees including police officers are already in existence in FOIL as you've heard from many of my colleagues tonight. And in such, 50-a is just not necessary.

Our clients at Legal Aid are some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers and bear the cost of this badly written, badly interpreted law and they pay for it with their liberty.

That is why we at the Legal Aid Society urge the CCRB Board to wholeheartedly endorse the full repeal of Section 50-a. A law that is

1 2 unnecessary, erodes trust in the 3 criminal legal system and harms our communities and clients at Legal Aid. 4 5 Thank you. CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. 6 7 MS. POPE: Good evening, Chair, 8 members of the Board, staff, and 9 everyone. My name is Charlotte Pope. 10 I'm also here with Girls for Gender 11 Equity. Thank you for the opportunity 12 to comment on the need to repeal 50-a. 13 My comments current focus on the 14 thousands police interventions experienced by girls and gender 15 non-conforming youth in their schools 16 17 every year. While the CCRB does not 18 investigate incidents involving the 19 5,000 school safety agents stationed in 20 schools, we know there were over 190 2.1 fatal complaints made against agents 22 last year because of the reporting 2.3 requirements passed by City Council, school policing also includes 200 24 25 uniformed police officers in the school

2.1

2.3

safety division in addition to the unreported number of officers that are called into schools that otherwise enter or patrol schools on a daily basis.

Students citywide have long raised alarm for the oppressive and violent conduct of police in and around their schools. And it is essential to end police secrecy for these students who experiences police violence in the very places they must be able to feel safe in order to learn.

As mention, the work of the media has shown that the NYPD has institutional issues with imposing meaningful and adequate discipline.

The Buzzfeed database in particular revealed serious misconduct including 206 school-base cases of substantiated charges there were 52 instances of physical contact with students such as unnecessary and excessive force and quote, dragging a student by the arm.

With the harshest response being the

1 forfeiture of vacation days. 2 3 Students and their caregivers and families should be able to know whether 4 5 police officers have been disciplined by the department and should have access to 6 7 what that discipline was. 8 The NYPD is not able to police 9 itself and the secrecy of 50-a 10 jeopardizes community safety including the safety and education and well being 11 12 of young people in theirs schools. 13 A full repeal of 50-a is critical 14 for the goal of the CCRB. In addition 15 to accountability for officers who harm young people and the safety of young 16 17 people in their homes and schools. 18 Thank you again. 19 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much. 20 So the next two speakers Oron 2.1 Christamonte and Joshua Flores are 22 coming up together. Are you here? Oron Christamonte and --2.3 24 I'm sorry. You don't want to speak. 25 Okay.

1 Opal Gordan and Terrence Byerson, if 2 3 you could just get in line here. MS. GORDAN: Good evening. My name 4 5 is Opal. I'm a deaf advocate for the deaf community of New York. 6 7 Last summer, my friend who is deaf, 8 informed me and I was shocked, shocked. My friend informed me and I was shocked 9 10 now some cops know about cell phones, 11 cell phones apps. You click the app and 12 there's a language line. They have 13 international languages. You tap the 14 app and there's an ASL interpreter. That is the idea, there's a picture. 15 16 It's on the phone. 17 Two cops showed up at the a deaf 18 person's home. The man had his cell phone and the deaf woman and another 19 20 deaf man and another cop behind them 2.1 already clicked the app. 22 The apps up. The language line, the 2.3 interpret ready to interpret and on

The apps up. The language line, the interpret ready to interpret and on hold. The deaf person is right there, the interpreter said Hello, turn the

24

2.1

phone to the deaf person. And they did not listen to the interpreter on the phone. And the interpreter kept saying, hello, turn the phone, face it to the deaf person.

The other deaf person try to signal but was a little afraid, didn't want to touch the cop, grab the -- didn't want the cop thinking they were going to get harassed.

The second cop said nothing and just stood there.

The deaf man didn't know what to do.

He had an idea. He picked up his own

cell phone out of his pocket and started

to text. To show the police officer

what he was texting, the policewoman

said, oh, turn the phone that way. Oh,

oh, oh, okay.

That's lack of communication.

There's no training. I feel that that wasn't right. This is a serious issue and needed communication, but there was a misunderstanding.

2.1

2.3

The point is what? The point is I want all the cops to have a school training on to use technology and appropriateness and how to click an app to face deaf people and not hold into their ear and actually pay attention to the person on the phone he was basically ignoring the phone.

This is a serious problem. You know, if there was domestic violence or someone blacked out; many, many different issues. Many different reasons.

The police must have training. Some cops do know and they're willing and they'll volunteer to learn, but I would prefer all the cops in school, learn some type of training on how to use specific apps, language line and interpreting services and deaf culture to learn how to communicate. It's quite important for everyday.

Thank you.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.

2

Terrence Byerson?

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BYERSON: Good evening, everyone. How y'all doing? My name is Terrence Byerson and I represent -- I work for STRIVE which is non-profit organization. I run a program for young men and woman that have been impacted by the criminal justice system 18 to 24 and I'm also a member of 100 Black Men where I mentor a lot of young men for the Eagle Academy of Young Men which is a school that we created.

I'll like to say thank you to the CCRB because I use you guys as part of my curriculum for my work force development with my kids 18 to 24. Ι feel as though it's important. When we're teaching them how to interview, how to dress, how to do a 30-second pitch, how to get a job and how to keep a job.

But on the other side of that, once they get out of the street, they should know their rights as it pertains to when

2.1

2.3

you get stopped by the police, if you're being harassed by the police, such young -- because most of the kids that I work are men and woman that look like me and I think that's another barrier that they have to deal with.

They learn how to get a job and get on the job where they feel is safe, but they go outside, they could be dressed just like I am going to work, got over one barrier because they had a record and now they have to deal with police interactions.

So I applaud you guys for giving me someone Timothy Harroll, I don't know if he's here, but he's great at what he does. And to come in and talk to my youth, it's very informative and it's helpful for them to understand. And we also employ that at the Eagle Academy for young men.

So I just have a question for you guys. I want to know if anyone of you have ever been impacted by 50-a; and if

_ `

so, what do you feel about that?

CHAIR DAVIE: Let me just reiterate and I'll my colleagues talk, but the agency is impacted by 50-a as we've said and it prohibits us from sharing crucial information that we think would go to our being as accountable to the public as we can be, in particularly those people who file complaints, for which there are substantiated allegations.

We would like maybe share more information with them about those substantiated allegations and we cannot now do that. I'll let my colleagues speak.

MR. BYERSON: Okay. All right.

MR. PUMA: Not personally but to the extent, kind of echoing what the Chair said that the agency has been affected. I recall that the last time we actually held a community meeting in this neighborhood was about four and a half years ago. And at that time, one of the advocates had brought it to the

6 | 7 |

attention of the entire Board that some of the -- that 50-a was impacting some of the ways that our agency was communicating with complainants about their own complaint in which, because of the way that 50-a had been reinterpreted to be shield things like officer names.

I wasn't even aware that letters that were coming from our agency to complainants were excluding things like that.

Imagine getting your own complaint against Police Officer Smith, but it doesn't have Police Officer Smith's name in it? You know, and I knew that, you know, say, I'm the complainant, I know it's Police Officer Smith but my letter doesn't even mention his name.

So I know that we had different leadership at that time, different chair, different executive director and I don't think our practice is that any more.

But this just goes to show. So when

I heard about that at the last community meeting in this neighborhood, it was

June so Albany's legislative session was pretty much over at that point, and I said let's make this an item. Let's take a stand on this.

And so I'm happy -- it's taken a little bit too long, but we're able to have this discussion and hopefully advocate for change in Albany around

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.

this law.

MR. DARCHE: One of the areas that 50-a really makes it tough for the agency to communicate with people is when the agency exonerates conduct because that sounds to people as if we're saying that the conduct did not happen.

When, in fact, the agency is saying that the conduct alleged by the civilian happened, but it was within the law and departmental guidelines. And that is a very different message than saying it

2.1

2.3

did not happen.

And I feel like we need to have -the CCRB needs to have a better way to
explain to people what happened and I
think if you can explain to someone,
yes, you were stopped, but we were able
to determine this is why you were
stopped and therefore, it was lawful,
that may go a long way to helping people
understand what happened and increase
their appreciation for what the CCRB
does and also make -- lower their
dissatisfaction with that interaction
they had.

CHAIR DAVIE: Will John.

MR. SIEGAL: I appreciate that comment by the Executive Director because 50-a applies to all cases before us. And while many of the comments have been about the impediments for people to learn about recommendations and discipline determinations against officers who we recommend for discipline because of what they've done, the vast

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

majority of the cases filed before this agency result in determinations that the officer's exonerated of any wrongdoing or that we were unable to make a finding regarding any wrongdoing.

And the one thing I know about this agency having been on the board for a couple of years, and this is applies to the board members in particular and the staff as well, is that it's a group of people who take this very seriously, who work very hard at it, who make fact-based investigative recommendations and fact-based determinations. while we come from different experiences and different perspectives and we're appointed by different municipal leaders, the one thing that I know is that everybody involved in this process works in good faith and makes determinations based on the facts.

And I feel like what we do is not understood by the public and is criticized from all perspectives because

2.1

2.3

we're unable to explain large amounts of this and what we do.

And I think that under the current interpretation of 50-a, disserves people who filed complaints before us and I think it disserves police officer as well as just the public discussion generally and I'd like you all to know how fact-based and nonideological and careful and in good faith the work this agency does, but we can tell you about much of it.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Mr. Siegal.

MS. STAHLY-BUTTS: Thank you. I would then just add to answer your question. I think that Kisha and John and both have spoken about a family who was brutalized and killed can speak on personal impacts in profound ways, but as a black woman who lives in Bed-Sty, once of the most policed areas and one of the highly policed areas in New York City. I think, the daily occurrence of police conduct both by where I live and

1 2 across the city are all impacted by the 3 lack of transparency and accountability that might and 50-a is at the heart of 4 5 that. CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you Ms. 6 7 Stahly-Butts. Anyone else. 8 Thank you. 9 We'll here from Andrew Case and 10 following Andrew, Josmar Trujillo. 11 MR. CASE: My name is Andrew Case. 12 I started working at the CCRB in 1997. 13 I served as the Director of 14 Communications and the spokesman of this 15 agency from 2006 until 2009. You want to talk about personal 16 17 effects of CCRB of Civil Rights Law of 18 50-a. It may as well, during that 19 period, have written to say Andrew Case shall not. 20 2.1 The perspective I bring is that of a 22 press officer barred by this law. 2.3 the most important thing you see as a press officer when you see how this law 24 25 operates, it's not how it stops the

1 CCRB, but it is how the New York Police 2 3 Department regularly, casually and unapologetically violates Law 50-a when 4 5 it serves the NYPD's interests while the CCRB and other oversight agencies are 6 7 gagged from even responding to the 8 NYPD's messaging. 9 For example, in July 2017, 10 Commissioner O'Neill declined to punish an officer who used a choke hold after 11 12 an NYPD administrative law judge 13 recommended that he did so. 14 The NYPD's response was to release limited surveillance video and claim 15 that the CCRB and the NYPD's own 16 17 administrative law judge were wrong to 18 call for discipline. It did not release the ALJ's decision. It did not release 19 20 any further information. 2.1 The CCRB was gagged by 50-a from 22 responding to this release. 2.3 Just days after police shot Miguel 24 Antonio Richards in September 2017, the

officer's body-cam footage was released

25

2.1

2.3

to the press even though it could have been used in later disciplinary proceedings. This was messaged by the NYPD as exonerating the officer before any investigation had even begun.

Less than two weeks ago, after the NYPD had testified in support of 50-a and against full repeal in Albany, the department announced that Detective Josh R. Olan (phonetic) had been placed on desk duty for releasing a celebrity mug shot.

The NYPD officers who gave Detective Olan's name to the press, quote, requested anonymity; to discuss a confidential disciplinary matter.

When a CCRB employee violate 50-a, they are fired. The investigator who leaked Pantaleo's CCRB history was forced to resign in early 2017, a former executive director of this agency was, according to the new reports, forced to resign for violating 50-a.

The department of investigation

2.1

2.3

issued a subpoena to the investigator who released Pantaleo's information and Pat Lynch said that, quote, the DA should investigate because the release of a police officer's confidential personal records is a crime, unquote. There are no criminal provisions to Section 50-a.

The day before firing Officer

Pantaleo, the NYPD released the entire

47-page decision by Deputy Commissioner

of Trials Rosemarie Maldonado. Clear

50-a material, there's been no question

or investigation as to how that material

came out.

Make no mistake this video footage, the Deputy Commissioner of Trial's decision, all of this material should be public and should be reported on, but the existence of 50-a and the NYPD's refusal to abide by this law itself allows for one way rachet in information.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Andrew.

I just want to remind the speakers that it's two minutes.

Mr. Trujillo?

_ _

MR. TRUJILLO: Good evening. I'll make my remarks short. Course, I'm going to give the remainder of my time to my friend Joshua Lopez, who I'm here to support. His uncle was killed in 2011, in a case where some people maybe familiar with, when an undercover shot John Collato (phonetic) in the stomach. His family just received a civil suit where the police officer who killed John Collato, who was since prompted to sergeant, lied in front of a trial jury.

It has come to my understanding the City -- the members of the City of New York voted to give you guys the power to investigate police officers for lying.

So what I'm here today for is not to ask you to support a legislative agenda, but to do something specific to your job which is to hold police accountable. In this instance specifically, Sergeant

2.1

2.3

James Connolly.

And this is a case where the officer lied just within the last year and this agency wants to tell the members of this public that it is series about holding police officers accountable and is going to value the power to investigate police officers for lying then it must investigate this officer who lied to the City of New York and continually lied to this man's family and not only had not been held accountable.

This is not an issue of police officers where we do not have information about them, this is an officer that we have the information about him, he killed someone before he killed John Collato, so this is not just about his transparency, this is about accountability and this well within what you are guys are commissioned to do.

And so what I'm asking for is a commitment from this agency to meet with Joshua and meet with the family to

1 2 actually take this new power that you 3 guys were just given seriously. Because as some of you know, I've 4 5 been coming here religiously. I stopped coming here once I realized that the 6 agency was not designed really to hold 7 8 police accountable, in my opinion; but 9 with the exception of Mr. Puma, I've 10 been coming here before many of the faces here were here. 11 12 This is something that you guys can 13 do know and can take seriously and 14 actually bring some level of accountability and justice for his 15 16 family. 17 MR. LOPEZ: I would like to thank 18 Joe. My name is Joshua Lopez. I'm the 19 nephew of John Collato and I would like to hand out these letters to each member 20 2.1 if that's possible and I would like to 22 -- for you guys to start an immediate 2.3 investigation into this officer's lying. 24 And I thank you for your time. 25 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.

1 2 And Mr. Darche will have a 3 conversation with you before you leave 4 tonight. 5 Thank you. We'll hear from Adrienne Torres and 6 7 Ernest Torres. 8 MS. TORRES: Good evening to the 9 members of the board of the CCRB and to 10 the public as well. There have been so many speakers. 11 I'm Adrienne Torres. This is my husband 12 13 Ernesto. I've lived here in Imagine Towers for four years, although I was 14 15 born in Brooklyn. At my age, at 60 plus, I've been 16 17 through it all as far as the NYPD is 18 concerned. I am a graduate of the 19 civilian police department and I have 20 been a member of my seventh precinct 2.1 community council for over 20 years 22 until five years ago. 2.3 And I have members, family members who are police officers, and I have one 24 25 brother who is a fire fighter in the

2.1

2.3

NYFD.

I don't hate anyone and as a person of color, as a woman of color, I have been on the receiving end of terrifying, life-threatening situations with police. So it took me the better part of my adult life to be able to go to become a graduate of the police academy as a civilian and to realize that all police officers are like those that police me up in Washington Heights when I was growing up.

In any event, what I want to say is that we were suppose to be at the Puerto Rico heritage celebration tonight at City Hall, but because Joe Puma e-mailed to tell us that this was going on tonight, we knew the critical importance of this meeting, so we cancelled out on our having fun and said we have to be here tonight to address this issue because it is one of such serious import.

I've read many articles over periods

2.1

of time and more in the last couple of days about 50-a, and certainly I watch every news report every single night, read online news and hear all the things that I going through in my community here in lower east side and beyond, of all the terrible tragedies that have occurred.

And inevitably, I have found myself coming always on the side of the people that have been injured or murdered or abused or all manner of things with police.

And I have to say that no matter how old I'm and how long I have lived, I'm constantly shocked and appalled and just when I think I heard the worst possible thing, something else goes on that's even more horrible.

I'm crying all the time over all the stuff that goes on in this City and beyond with the police and people. And, you know, I really am a very measured person, a very reasonable person, a very

1 intelligent person, and a very well-read 2 3 person, but all of the things that I 4 see, all of the arguments that I see, 5 just seem to complicate stuff for us. And what I really have come down to 6 reason about 50-a is that it has to be 7 8 thrown out entirely because if we try to 9 reform it in any reasonable sense or if 10 we have try to amend it in any way, the NYPD will inevitably find it's way or 11 12 the courts will find their way to pick 13 at it and, you know, make holes through 14 it so big that a whole room full of 15 people can walk through it. So, you know, in the end analysis, I 16 17 just feel sometimes you do have to 18 through the baby out with the bath 19 water. And, you know, the old saying that if it looks like a duck and walks 20 2.1 like a duck and quacks like a duck, then 22 it's a duck. 2.3 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Ms. 24 Torres--25 MS. TORRES: So if you see an

2.1

2.3

officer who abusing his power -- his or her power is pushing people around, mistreating the public that person doesn't deserve for the City of New York for the taxpaying people because they don't belong in the public forum. They don't know how to conduct themselves in an professional manner in an appropriate way, and they usually, almost inevitably have a history of having this type of conduct before the incident that is being discussed.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.

MS. TORRES: So I really believe in my heart that we must do the best that we can and we must do the right thing and even though the CCRB has had mixed results and has had bad reputation before this present Board, you know, it seems that together with what the voters, what we all voted for recently, and together with legislative work, we can do better in the future and we can hopefully safe lives, especially those

1 of people of color. 2 3 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you so much. 4 MS. TORRES: Thank you very much. 5 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Ms. Torres. Just remind, again, two minutes. 6 7 First, I'd like to say MR. TORRES: 8 thank you to the Board and thank you for 9 everyone who's spoken tonight. A lot of 10 people said very powerful thing and I 11 will try to keep it to two minutes. 12 You know, basically, my opinion is 13 that there is no half stepping. 14 has to be repealed. It just has to be. 15 You know, I also am a graduate of the civilian police academy and the FBI 16 17 civilian police academy as well. 18 know that there are -- you know, most 19 police are okay. They do their job and 20 it's a tough job, but there are some 2.1 that, you know, unfortunately, abuse 22 that power, and they have to be held 2.3 accountable. They can't hide behind the 24 curtain and that's what happening now, 25 they're hiding behind a curtain and

2.1

2.3

they're getting -- you know, they're getting away with all kinds of things.

You know, where in an environment now where we're trying to make everybody accountable. You know, we have the "Me Too" movement. I mean what's happening in Washington. We're trying to make someone in Washington accountable for their lies and what they're been trying to hide. So, you know, we need to do this.

The public needs to feel safe and, you know, there are all kinds of, you know, things to protect police officers' identities. But this law should not be one of them.

So, again, please, do within your power to repeal this and everyone in this room keep on fighting to make this happen. Our voices need to be heard and, again, thanks everyone.

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. Thank you.

So we'll here from Jordan Wouk and
Suzannah Troy. Again, remember two

2.1

2.3

minutes, please.

MR. WOUK: I'm Jordan Wouk. I'm a resident of Manhattan well not this part of Manhattan. I notice that there are many seats here which were originally designated for the New York Police Department and I notice that the seats are empty.

I've been to many of these meetings in different boroughs and very often they do show up, so it's disappointing that none of the local police showed up.

As an interested member of the public, I have attended a number of police trials at One Police Plaza. Some of them, the prosecution is the police department itself and some of the prosecution is the Board the CCRB and these are called APU trials, so I know something about them.

I really wanted to hear the trial of Mr. Pantaleo and what I'm about to tell you I think is an extreme example of what happens with 50-a currently.

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

2.1

2.3

For the first day I showed up at 7:15 in the morning. I was the first member of the public in line. Slowly they moved us in and at 10:00, the trial began and we were all still downstairs.

After a while I went up to somebody who I thought had authority and said, hey, listen, I was the first one here, I was here at 7:15, they sent me up so that I got to here the beginning of the defense opening statement.

The next person to be lead up was not lead up until lunch and the law is that the public must be present for these trials.

So I requested a transcript of the trial for the part that I missed and under 50-a, that cannot be released. I was permitted to be at the trial. I could listen, the reporters can make notes, but no one could get a transcript of the trial due to 50-a and I'm sure that's not the most absurd result, but it's an absurd result.

1 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. 4 MS. TROY: Hi. My name is Susanna 5 Troy. I've been dealing with CCRB since 2013. I was a victim in 2012, contacted 6 7 CCRB, immediately there's audio in 2012 at the first precinct where I'm told I 8 9 can't record my attackers false 10 cross-complaint because I'm not from the DA and I'm not a detective and that's 11 12 simply a lie. It's obstruction of 13 justice, a violation of my civil rights to report a crime, discrimination and 14 you hear me call CCRB internal affairs. 15 I love my CCRB investigator, he 16 17 left. He went to go work for the MTA 18 because he said at least I can get to 19 people from point A to point B. I love 20 that guy. Awesome, awesome person. 2.1 And I know from then he called in 22 one of Bloomberg's bodyguards that was 2.3 verbally abusive to me. As much as I am 24 the biggest criticism -- critic of 25 Michael Bloomberg, things are worse

under de Blasio because on the survivor's rally, the steps of City Hall, Charlene McCray, State of New York NYPD tried to remove me.

Again, immediate discrimination by the NYPD intelligence detective body guards of the Mayor and his wife. was -- also an official from city government or he's NYPD photograph, I don't know who they are, they tried to remove me. There were 300 woman that did not allow that to happen.

So anyway, I've been asking CCRB year after year to please take back my case. So I handed you all the ones that were here on time, what I expect in terms of changes for you. Yes, I voted. I'm one of 20 percent of the registered voters that showed up. Yes, I said repeal 50-a. I'm saying that. I'm saying, give CCRB more power. I want to give you more power. I want you to be able to call Deputy Inspector Ed Winski, if he hasn't retired. I want you to be

24

25

able to call in chiefs. And why?

Because eight years ago to today, you
can call in police officers and
sergeants and lieutenants and question
them under oath. Otherwise, Internal
Affairs protects them.

I've got Eric Garner's handwritten lawsuit. If you want to read it, you will cry. It was sent to me anonymously and legally I have a right to share what "It stops today" means. It will give you chills. It will make you cry and devastate you, written from Rikers'

Island.

So what I'm telling you is you can take my case, rewind eight years and you can map those cops and the precinct. If you feel you legally are bound by 50-a, your hands are bound, you can map to protect the children that -- transgender or anybody who comes to you and says -- for me it was the 1st Precinct, a gang. From detectives to supervisors, the commander and 50-a you can't say their

1	
2	names. I didn't know what they looked
3	like. They hid from me like Ku Klux
4	Klan. You can map it. From eight years
5	ago to now, you can map it without using
6	their names.
7	And then someone else goes, oh, that
8	happened to me. And then when you use
9	50-a, you can release their names. So
10	you can start now by mapping. I gave
11	you all the lists, people can take it
12	from me, my extras. Looking me up
13	Susanna Troy, S-U-Z-A double N-A 8 Troy,
14	T-R-O-Y.
15	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
16	MS. TROY: Top of my blog, radical
17	reform, I want you to explain, what is
18	chief of department?
19	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
20	MS. TROY: You keep sending my cases
21	to chief of department.
22	CHAIR DAVIE: All right. We have
23	your written
24	MS. TROY: It needs to be on your
25	web site.

1	
2	CHAIR DAVIE: information.
3	MS. TROY: What does that mean, and
4	you have to say how many of my cases you
5	send to Internal Affairs and check in
6	with them because and hold them
7	accountable because Internal Affairs
8	won't talk to me.
9	CHAIR DAVIE: Sure.
10	MS. TROY: They're like there own
11	50-a.
12	Thank you so much.
13	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
14	MS. TROY: Anybody want these?
15	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.
16	MS. TROY: Thanks everybody.
17	CHAIR DAVIE: We'll now hear from
18	Marcus Altheus and Joshua Lopez.
19	MR. MARCUS: My name is Altheus
20	Marcus. I was a former candidate for
21	the 87 Assembly District. I'm a
22	community advocate.
23	I believe strongly, and let me say
24	this before I even go. I started the
25	NCO programs, as well as the build the

2.1

2.3

block program. I've dealt with issues against the community. I'm responsible for maybe six percent of the high profile cases in the Bronx leading to convictions and arrest. I've also been apart of holding the police accountable for some of the actions that have taken place within the black communities.

I think your position here within the City is more of the pacification to the community that's actually affected by some of these oppressive abuse and it needs to be broaden, and it's not through City legislation, I believe y'all should be broaden by State legislation as well because, again, as a City agency, y'all are, from what I've heard and what I've seen, y'all are just there for one purpose, to make the people feel we got somebody, but feel we really have nobody.

I think you need to be more active within the communities especially of color is affected by some of these

1 2 abuse. I believe strongly that any 3 appointment by some of these elected officials need to start building jobs 4 and not pacify the elected the officials 5 that put y'all in office. 6 7 A lot of these elected officials 8 know who I am. I hold them accountable 9 throughout the City. And I would love 10 for y'all to really go inside this communities. 11 12 One of the precinct or several of 13 the precincts, 48 Precinct in the Bronx, 14 28th Precinct in Manhattan, the 25th 15 Precinct, as well as the recent 71st Precinct or 73rd Precinct in Brooklyn. 16 17 This way you get a real response of 18 what's going on because these people are 19 scared and they don't now y'all to 20 actually go out there to say, we need 2.1 somebody to help us. Y'all need to be visible as well as 22 2.3 diligent within what y'all roles. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much.

1 2 Mr. Lopez. 3 Marcella Davis and L. August, you can come up to the mic, if you want to 4 5 speak. All right. Marsellette Davis and L. 6 7 August. Okay. 8 Serrice Holman? 9 MS. DAVIS: Hello. My name is 10 Marselette Davis. I'm here, I'm 11 representing for black and brown deaf 12 community, and I want to advocate for 13 all. 14 I've been listening to different 15 people who struggle to understand why cops do this and cops are doing that. 16 17 I'm trying my best to explain, based on 18 one of the cops perceive, at the same 19 time I'm trying explain to cops, based on what the deaf perceive. So both --20 2.1 there are two ways -- two extremes. 22 Both have lack of resources by not 2.3 having proper interpreters who have certifications. Same thing with deaf 24 25 communities, the deaf community

1	
2	struggles to find times to express their
3	concerns to you.
4	Now, I want to pass it on to
5	Nicolyn. So I'm here to ask CCRB to
6	have different times and different dates
7	specific for the deaf community to come
8	together so you are able to hear from
9	each, maybe maybe different type
10	I'm asking CCRB to find time and day
11	for specific deaf community to come
12	together, so we can share our concerns
13	like tonight.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much.
16	L. August?
17	MR. DARCHE: May I?
18	CHAIR DAVIE: Sure. Mr. Darche.
19	MR. DARCHE: I think that makes a
20	lot of sense and something that Ms.
21	Alvarez will reach out to you and to
22	other people in the community, we should
23	set like that up. That's a very good
24	idea.
25	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you.

1	
2	the investigator that took the time to
3	have me come in and record my case.
4	However, like I was saying, I just
5	recently received some information, an
6	e-mail from the CCRB, in regards to the
7	50-a, which I just learned about
8	tonight. I didn't even know it was any
9	kind of repeal and the affect about it.
10	CHAIR DAVIE: Okay.
11	MS. HOLMAN: This is way
12	CHAIR DAVIE: Has your case been
13	resolved.
14	MS. HOLMAN: It has been resolved to
15	the point where CCRB is saying that most
16	of the officers who attacked me and
17	brutalized me were exonerated and then
18	the ones which are the sergeants,
19	they're most of there information is
20	redacted. So this is important for my
21	case because I would not have learned
22	about this information had I not come
23	out here.
24	CHAIR DAVIE: Sure.
25	MS. HOLMAN: And I didn't even know

1	
2	I would be here tonight, which does not
3	
4	CHAIR DAVIE: We're glad you're
5	here. But I'm going to since we
6	don't now the status of your case
7	MS. HOLMAN: The status is
8	exonerated plus unidentified sergeants.
9	CHAIR DAVIE: All right.
10	MS. HOLMAN: So I mean, I did hear
11	several people speak about sergeants not
12	being here. I don't want to be
13	silenced, I'm here to express my voice.
14	CHAIR DAVIE: All I want to do is
15	make sure that we didn't do anything to
16	jeopardize your case. But it sounds
17	like it's been resolved. So go ahead.
18	I mean, resolved in the sense that
19	we've communicated with you the outcome
20	of your investigation; is that right?
21	MS. HOLMAN: Yes.
22	CHAIR DAVIE: Okay.
23	MS. HOLMAN: So you did communicate
24	with me which is great. However, I need
25	to move forward in having the

1 information about the officers and the 2 3 sergeants who have not been revealed to I need that to be transparent. 4 5 Because in order for me to move forward, I need to know the full transparency of 6 the whole situation. 7 8 They should not be protected. 9 did something wrong, you should be 10 accountable -- held accountable for what 11 you have done to people. I'm a public member. I should not have been snatched 12 13 off the street, arrested unlawfully, and 14 actually detained for several months because of these officers and sergeants' 15 gang mentality. It's not right. 16 17 I think the people need transparency 18 so I'm for full support of the repeal of 50-a. 19 20 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you very much. 2.1 MS. HOLMAN: Thank you so much. 22 CHAIR DAVIE: And I'm sorry you had 2.3 to go through what you went through. 24 Mr. August? 25 MR. GRAGER: Good evening. My name

2.1

is August Grager (phonetic). I was born in Spanish Harlem in 1940. The atrocities I saw during my years is unbelievable. You guys didn't exist then.

Very quickly, just let me say this:
The police department has put cameras on themselves, so when they take the criminal to justice, they cannot deny what they did. However, they were not expecting the camera to come back and bite them in the butt. When they got caught murdering a man and he was subdued by more than five or six people who will not walk on the carpet. This is unacceptable.

These people -- these people need to serve some time. You want the public to believe in you, put those people in jail. Make them -- make them go to jail. That's right. You're here, powerful. Use your power. Make them go to jail and you'll have the respect and they'll give you the respect.

1 2 Thank you very much. 3 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. Chisk Berthet. And, of course, one 4 5 of my favorite New Yorkers, if she's still here, Ms. Jennings. I think I saw 6 7 her leave. 8 MS. BERTHET: So my name is 9 Christine Berthet and I am representing 10 Check Peds which is Pedestrian Safety 11 Organization in Manhattan. 12 I was not prepared to discuss 50-a, 13 I was coming for a different subject but 14 indeed 50-a seems to be one thing that 15 totally undermines the integrity and reputation of the NYPD. And I just for 16 17 that reason it should be removed. 18 I was here to ask you for your help 19 for a systematic behaviors of the NYPD 20 vis-à-vis the pedestrians and 2.1 bicyclists. 22 We have observed in the recent 2.3 months or recent years a lot of bias and discriminatory actions. These are some 24 25 examples, every time there's a crash

1 between a car and a pedestrian or 2 3 cyclist, the NYPD blames the victim. Indeed the victim is plastered down on 4 5 the ground and cannot speak and the driver do speak. They publish that to 6 7 the press, the press, you know, then 8 publish it. The family are distraught 9 and then everybody goes scurrying trying 10 to find cameras and prove that they were 11 wrong. And it happens all the time. 12 And indeed, pedestrian and 13 bicyclists have a large proportion of 14 people of color and woman, et cetera, and this is the further discrimination 15 from what we already know is 16 17 discrimination. 18 For example, very recently there was 19 an example where a bicyclist was killed 20 and people were there and said we want 2.1 to give our testimony and the NYPD 22 refused to take the testimony, refused. 2.3 This is just shocking. Okay. 24 And I can't speak about this lady 25 who went for months in jail, I mean, I

1 would be clearly upset. 2 3 So that's -- obviously, it's abusing their powers, abusing their, you know, 4 5 placard, their fake placard everywhere, parking in bike lanes, putting people at 6 risk in bike lanes. 7 8 So I was here to ask what you can do 9 for us and I'm open to anything. 10 don't understand totally everything you 11 do. You have new powers, it's terrific. 12 I want to understand what you can do for 13 this population that feels really 14 discriminated about, although it's 15 nothing compare to what other discrimination in the City. 16 17 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. 18 So anyone of your group or anyone 19 else has an encounter with a police 20 officer that they feel like was not as 2.1 it should have been, you should dial 311 22 or go online and file a complaint and 2.3 our investigators will investigate. 24 So thank you. And Ms. Jennings, you will round out 25

1 the speakers for the night. 2 3 MS. JENNINGS: Transparency is what 4 we call it? Transparency. It's 5 transparency, right? I had a birthday recently. 6 stalking, taunting, hunting of me. 7 Ι 8 had a birthday, and on my birthday, mobs 9 hunted me at 149th Street -- I'll make 10 it quick -- Third Avenue, two wonderful officers stood there and watched. 11 12 watched. They watched. I told the nice 13 young man back there you can speak to him later. I'm not well to do this. 14 Ι 15 was in the emergency room, my pressure went 200 over 300 pushing that way. And 16 17 that was from the behavior equivalent to 18 all these wonderful people. 19 So on my birthday, mobs came at me and the two officers watched. I stood 20 2.1 there with my wonderful letters to go to 22 the White House for ten years, the top 2.3 of the letter says in case anything 24 happens to me. 25 I called Transit. I called Hector

manner T malled mannet 10 Deline T
Torre. I called Transit 12 Police. I
called 911 that was the span of. Two
issued started at the 240. ID 1176911.
Booth Booth R310. I then went to
Transit at 2 Broadway. Around the
corner, there's a little complaint room
and a man, guy over here, the last one,
gray hair, glasses, 12 years ago when
this started, filled out a form and that
was the second time recently I was
there, and I asked if someone can come
from upstairs to speak me, no one came.
That was Booth 200, 4 o'clock-ish.
I left there went to 14th Street
because, Darche, and this nice man write
took everything down, and at 14th Street
I couldn't move, can't see straight or
whatever, Booth R221, 4:50ish. I stood
in front of the precinct with those
wonderful letters
CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Ms.
Jennings.
MS. JENNINGS: Transparency, right?
CHAIR DAVIE: Yes, ma'am.

1	
2	MS. JENNINGS: Really?
3	CHAIR DAVIE: Yes.
4	MS. JENNINGS: You have cameras, you
5	know what's going on. Transparency.
6	Maybe we can speak when we get a chance
7	CHAIR DAVIE, Mr. Darche. I don't know.
8	CHAIR DAVIE: Absolutely. Sure.
9	MS. JENNINGS: Transparency. I fear
10	for my life.
11	CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you so much.
12	So that's the end of the speaker
13	lists as we have it.
14	Was there anyone who felt like they
15	were on the speaker's list and did not
16	get a chance to speak?
17	Yes, sir.
18	MR. HARDING: I will try to be just
19	very brief.
20	MR. DAVIES: Two minutes, if you
21	would, sir.
22	MR. HARDING: I guess there might
23	have been some miscommunication there.
24	Anyway, I'm Michael Harding, I'm the
25	General Counsel for National Action

2.1

2.3

Network. National Action Network is a social justice organization here in New York City and across the nation lead by the Reverend Doctor Al Sharpton.

We have, as an organization, of course, been one of the lead organizations in so many of the police misconduct cases from Michael Griffin to Abner Louima to Amadou Diallo, Sean Bell and Eric Garner.

Our support for repealing 50-a is longstanding. We live in an age of transparency from Me Too to social media. The public needs to know bad actors that are in official position then within our communities. In fact, even as a side note, as attorneys the public has the right to know of misconduct.

And if you go to the court web site for attorneys, whether there's any public discipline would be noted there for the public's benefit and there's no reason why police should not be in that

1 2 same position. 3 At the end of the day, what everyone looks for is for better police community 4 5 relations and for every community to feel as though the police really are 6 7 there to protect and serve. And 50-h --8 50-a stands in the way of that. 9 The New York legislature has been 10 changed by the people of the State of 11 New York. The CCRB has been given extended powers by the people of the 12 13 City of New York and it is now time for 14 the State legislature to honor the trust 15 and commitment that the voters of this state has put into them to effectuate 16 17 the full repeal of 50-a. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. Thank you 20 so much. 2.1 Anyone else? 22 Do any of the Board members have any 2.3 comments at the end of this 24 conversation? Any of you, questions or 25 comments before we move to any other

1 agenda items? 2 3 Sure. MS. BOND: I just wanted to thank 4 5 you everyone that came out tonight to help educate the Board on 50-a. 6 7 personally am in support of repeal of 8 50-a for many of the reasons that were 9 stated tonight. And also add that I 10 think repeal is important to hold the 11 CCRB accountable. Which is an issue 12 that may of you raised this evening. 13 And also note that there are many 14 other states that have more permissive laws with respect to disclosure of 15 police misconduct records and those laws 16 17 do not in any way impinge on the ability 18 of those states to have fair and justice 19 criminal justice systems nor have I 20 heard of significant issues surrounding 2.1 police safety in those states. 22 So there are at least 12 other 2.3 states that have more permissive statues 24 with respect to police misconduct 25 including Alabama, Florida, our

1 neighboring State of Connecticut, and I 2 3 think that's important to take into account when we're talking about the 4 5 repeal of 50-a because this would not be an experiment New York State would be 6 7 embarking. 8 There is precedence in doing this 9 and doing this in a manner that is safe 10 and fair both for civilians but also for 11 police officers. 12 So, again, I thank everybody for 13 their time and for their testimony 14 tonight. CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you, Ms. Bond. 15 Any other members? Hearing no other 16 17 comment from Board members, we will not 18 take a vote on this tonight. We'll more discussion and then we will decide soon 19 20 whether or not there would be any formal 2.1 action on the part of the CCRB. 22 Is there any old business to come before the Board? 2.3 Any new business to come before the 24 25 Board?

2.1

2.3

Mr. Puma?

MR. PUMA: It's not exactly new business, but I just want to highlight that in our statics on page 12, we report on our request for body-worn camera footage and there have been some articles in the press about how our agency sometimes experience delays with our requests in the NYPD for body-worn camera footage.

And I just wanted to highlight about 56 and a half percent of our requests for providing body-worn camera footage are 30 days or older. They're 30 days old or older. And so that continues to be a problem.

I understand there may be some -some developments as far as more
cooperation in terms of getting that
footage and there is now new leadership
in NYPD, so I hope that doesn't delay
any progress that we're trying to make
on this front, but I just want to keep
that in focus as well.

2.1

2.3

CHAIR DAVIE: Thank you. And I appreciate you're bringing it up. I've been one to publicly say that I really hope that the NYPD would get this one right. I can say that we've made considerable process in developing a set of protocols by which the CCRB will get it's body-warn camera footage with more alacrity than we've been currently able to get it and I hope that Mr. Darche and his staff can conclude those negotiations with the NYPD around those protocols very soon.

So thank you for bringing it up.

Any other -- I'm going to --

MS. TORRES: I just have one

comment. To say thank you --

CHAIR DAVIE: So for the people who are watching live stream, were being thanked for providing the instantaneous translation is not the right word, but transcription capturing of the conversation, so it can actually read and understood.

1	
2	Thank you to Mr. Darche and his
3	staff for making it happen.
4	Any other comments from the Board?
5	Hearing none, is there a motion that
6	we adjourn to executive session.
7	MR. SIEGAL: Moved.
8	CHAIR DAVIE: Is there a second.
9	MS. IRISH: Aye.
10	CHAIR DAVIE: All those in favor of
11	adjourning for executive session, say
12	Aye.
13	(Chorus of "Ayes.")
14	CHAIR DAVIE: Oppose no.
15	Ayes have it, we are adjourned to
16	executive session.
17	Thank you all for coming out
18	tonight.
19	(Concluded at 8:36 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5	:ss
6	COUNTY OF BRONX)
7	
8	I, SHECHINAH JACKSON, a Notary Public
9	within and for the State of New York, do hereby
10	certify:
11	That the witness whose examination is
12	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that
13	such an examination is a true record of the
14	testimony given by such a witness.
15	I further certify that I am not related to
16	any of these parties to this action by blood or
17	marriage, and that I am not in any way
18	interested in the outcome of this matter.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
20	hand this 13th day of November, 2019.
21	
22	
23	
24	Shechial Jackson Shechinah Jackson
25	Shechinah Jackson
د ∠	

13member 17:6	98:11 104:7	67:10 72:2,13,23	accountability	additionally
	106:19 108:12,14	73:5 74:2	9:24 43:8 52:15	56:24
13th 121:20	114:12 115:8,17	75:21,22 76:7	66:15 78:3 83:21	
	116:6,8 117:5	77:12,18 78:16	84:15 (7)	additions 21:8
149th 111:9	(120)	83:6,16,18,20,20		
		87:3 88:7	accountable 35:6	address 30:11
14th 112:15,17	50b 31:7	92:21,23	58:12 72:8 82:24	51:10 52:18 57:25
		104:7,9,22 105:11	83:7,13 84:8	86:22 (5)
1st 96:23	50h 115:7	106:2 109:24	90:23 91:6,9 98:7	
		110:14 117:4	99:7 100:8	addressed 60:10
25th 100:14	5th 9:9	118:8,12 (70)	106:10,10 116:11	
			(16)	addressing 55:6
28th 100:14	71st 100:15	absolutely 53:16		
		63:10 113:8	achieved 56:18	adequate 65:17
29th 12:13	73rd 100:16			1
		absolving 48:22	acronym 17:14	adhere 60:5
30second 70:20	==========			
	==========	absurd 62:25	across 55:18 78:2	adjourn 2:11
450ish 112:19	=========	93:24,25	114:4	120:6
	======= 3:2	.,		
47page 81:12	4:3	abuse 18:3 90:21	act 19:3	adjourned
77 page 01.12	1.5	99:13 100:2 (4)	uct 13.3	120:15
50a 2:50 10:21,25	=========),.13 100.2 (1)	acting 23:17	120.13
11:12,15,19,24		abused 87:13	acting 23.17	adjourning
15:3,12 21:21		abused 07.13	action 41:16	120:11
22:4,6,8,14,24	=======================================	abuses 56:22	113:25 114:2	120.11
23:6,8 24:15,19,21		abuses 50.22	117:21 121:16 (5)	administrative
25:10 26:8,10,24	abide 81:22	abusing 89:2	117.21 121.10 (3)	28:22 79:12,17
27:2,13,16 28:4	ablue 01.22	110:3,4	actions 37:21	20.22 77.12,17
29:16,20,23 30:20	ability 116:17	110.5,1	55:13 99:8 108:24	administratively
34:9,24 35:12,13	ability 110.17	abusive 57:21	(4)	17:3
40:5,8,9	able 24:24 26:16	94:23	(4)	17.5
41:4,7,16,17,20,24	27:25 29:10 31:3	74.23	active 26:4 99:23	admitted 52:7
42:3,15 43:11	44:5 58:20	abysmal 49:7	active 20.4 77.23	admitted 32.7
44:22,25	62:19,22 65:12	abysinai +7.7	actors 114:16	adopting 21:11
46:10,18,21	66:4,8 74:9 75:7	academy 70:12	actors 114.10	adopting 21.11
49:10,21 50:8	86:8 95:24 96:2	71:21 86:9	acts 51:11	adoption 2:5
51:5 52:13,17	102:8 119:10 (19)	90:16,17 (5)	acts J1.11	20:23
53:16,19 54:24	102.0 117.10 (17)	70.10,17 (3)	actually 10:22	20.23
55:12 56:5,9,12,19	abner 114:10	access 19:21	23:2 25:7 26:8	adrienne 4:17
57:8,23 58:3,14	abilet 117.10	26:10,21 28:2	55:15 69:7 72:21	85:6,12
60:8,11,21	about 11:3,11,15	44:23 47:17,21,24	84:2,14 99:12	05.0,12
61:13,21 62:11,20	15:15,18,24,25	48:9,14 62:3 66:6	100:20 106:14	adult 86:8
63:15,25 64:12	19:2,12 20:4	(12)	119:24 (13)	auuit 00.0
66:9,13 71:25	22:14,24 24:4	(14)	117.47 (13)	advance 14:2
72:5 73:3,7 74:15	25:9 26:17,23	accessibility 8:8	add 77:16 116:9	51:6
75:19 77:5	29:11,20,22	accessionity 0.0	auu //.10 110.9	31.0
78:4,18 79:4,21	32:8,14 41:11,14	accessible 49:4	addition 11:17	advisory
80:8,18,24	42:5,17,24	accessible 47.4	36:9 65:2 66:14	12:6,7,11 20:3 (4)
81:9,14,21 87:3	43:14,18 46:19	according 62:21	(4)	12.0,7,11 20.3 (4)
88:7 92:25	47:24 54:20 56:20	80:23	(7)	advocacy 50:10
93:19,23 95:21	58:5,16,16,17	00.23	additional 16:21	53:12
96:19,25 97:9	59:18 60:13,17	account 117:4	38:7	33.14
70.17,43 77.7	37.10 00.13,17	account 11/.4	30.1	

advocate 14:20	99:18 118:9 (24)	allow 14:9,12	103:4 108:9 116:7	115:21 (8)
	99.10 110.9 (24)	*		113.21 (8)
67:5 74:11 98:22	1 2 2 1 1 22	30:4 42:11,15	121:15,17 (17)	4. 15.00
101:12 (5)	agenda 2:2 11:22	43:20,23 56:12		anything 15:23
	12:2 82:22 116:2	95:13 (9)	amadou 114:10	31:20 33:24 39:2
advocated 35:12	(5)			52:17 105:15
		allowed 27:24	amend 35:13	110:9 111:23 (8)
advocates 72:25	agents 64:19,21	43:3	63:9 88:10	
				anyway 95:14
affairs 12:22	ages 12:15 20:2	allows 81:23	american 37:15	113:24
16:18 94:15 96:7	g.s =======	6.226 (1.5 0 = 1.20	WIII 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
98:5,7 (6)	ago 10:24 27:11	almost 9:12	among 22:12	ap 54:13
70.5,7 (0)	72:24 80:7 85:22	41:11 89:10	uniong 22.12	up 5 1115
affect 104:9	96:3 97:5 112:9	41.11 07.10	amongst 59:8	apart 55:18 99:7
affect 104.9		-1 24.25	amongst 39.8	apart 33.16 99.7
66 4 1 70 00	(8)	along 24:25	4 77 0	1 . 20 10
affected 72:20	0.17.40.10	1 1 1 2 1 0 1	amounts 77:2	apologies 20:19
99:12,25	agree 8:17 49:10	already 14:3 19:4		
		38:19 59:11 60:7	amplify 35:2	apologize 32:18
afraid 68:8	agreed 8:12	61:9 63:13 67:21		
		109:16 (9)	analysis 56:3	app 67:11,14,21
after 45:20	ahead 105:17		88:16	69:5 (4)
79:11,23 80:7		also 7:4 12:20		
93:7 95:15 (6)	aid 61:2,7,12,21	13:7 18:11,23	andor 51:3	appalled 87:17
	63:17,22 64:4 (7)	19:13,19,24		
aftermath 34:19		23:8,14 26:14	andrew 4:15	applaud 71:15
46:25	akin 45:19	27:15 30:7 31:12	78:9,10,11,19	wpp.w.c.
10.25		35:16 36:25	81:25 (6)	applications
again 9:8 12:17	al 114:5	37:2,23 38:3	01.23 (0)	12:5,11,14
16:6 26:3	ai 114.3	39:21 42:14 44:18	announced 8:5	12.3,11,14
39:13,15 66:18	alabama 116:25	47:5 55:14,23	80:10	applies 23:8,14
90:6 91:18,22,25	alabama 110.23	58:8 61:13 63:5	00.10	1
1	ala anitra 110.10		an an en estar 90.16	75:19 76:9 (4)
95:6 99:17 117:12	alacrity 119:10	64:10,24 70:10	anonymity 80:16	1 10 16 20 2
(14)		71:21 75:13 90:15		apply 12:16 20:2
	alarm 65:7	95:9 99:6	anonymously	28:5 29:3,16 (5)
against 24:6,9		116:9,13 117:10	96:10	
25:13 29:9 41:15	albany 10:17,24	(39)		appoint 14:19
42:20,21 48:2,10	11:11 26:23		another 10:16	
52:21 62:2 64:21	27:5,10 36:12	altheus 4:24	25:6 27:14 31:11	appointed 17:8
73:14 75:23 80:9	74:11 80:9 (9)	98:18,19	38:18,19,20 55:16	76:18
99:3 (16)			59:17 67:19,20	
	albany's 74:4	although 85:14	71:6 (12)	appointee 6:20
age 85:16 114:13	-	110:14		7:18
	alj's 79:19		answer 77:16	
agencies 10:12		alvarez 4:5 12:23		appointees 17:8
26:9 45:12 55:4	allegations 14:15	16:10,11,17	answers 46:7	32:16
58:10,24 79:6 (7)	17:4,12,16 28:24	102:21 (6)	1017	
	42:18,20 43:5		antonio 79:24	appointment
agency 9:20 10:2	44:2 48:10 56:7	always 8:12 25:2	wiitoiiio / //.2	100:3
16:16,25 17:5	72:11,14 (13)	87:11	anybody 20:5	100.5
27:24 43:22	12.11,17 (13)	0/.11	96:22 98:14	annragiota 22.22
	allogo 62.15	om 6.20.7.15.10	70.44 70.14	appreciate 32:22 75:17 103:25
72:5,20 73:4,10	allege 62:15	am 6:20 7:15,18	amman 26.5	
74:16,17,21 76:3,8	-111 74:00	11:13 20:20 36:21	anyone 26:5	119:3 (4)
77:12 78:15 80:22	alleged 74:22	71:11 85:18 87:24	71:24 78:7 86:3	
83:5,24 84:7		90:15 94:23 100:8	110:18,18 113:14	appreciated 8:7

appreciation	96:19,20 99:18,19	83:23 95:14	avenue 111:10	90:12 (5)
75:12	100:18 101:16,21	102:10 (4)	avenue 111.10	70.12 (3)
73.12	102:8 103:24	102.10 (4)	aware 73:9	basis 36:4 43:14
annranriata	102.8 103.24	asl 67:14	await 13.9	61:22 62:15 65:5
appropriate 42:8,13 89:9		asi 07.14	aa 12.22	
42:8,13 89:9	109:8 114:16	141 51.25	awareness 13:22	(5)
• 4 1	115:6 116:13,22	assaulted 51:25	01.2	1 41 00 10
appropriately	118:15 119:20	11 07 15	away 91:3	bath 88:18
45:3	120:15 (104)	assembly 27:15		
	5 4.4.4	29:14 47:3 98:21	awesome	bear 63:18
appropriateness	areas 74:14	(4)	94:20,20	
69:5	77:22,23			became 34:18
		assessing 50:19	aye 21:13	
approve 20:25	argue 56:2		120:9,12	because 30:24
26:2		assistant 21:24		34:12 50:25 55:12
	argument 48:3		ayes 21:14,17	58:14 63:2,6
approved 10:13		association 47:5	120:13,15 (4)	64:22 70:15
42:19	arguments 88:4			71:4,12 73:6
		atrocities 107:4	b 1:9 94:19	74:18 75:19,25
apps 67:11,22	arise 45:16			76:25 81:5 84:4
69:20		attacked 104:16	baby 88:18	86:17,23 88:8
	ark 55:8			89:6 94:10,18
april 47:3		attackers 94:9	back 16:2	95:2 96:3 98:6,7
	arm 65:24		37:8,10 44:6	99:17 100:18
apu 55:17 92:20		attempt 45:14	95:15 107:12	104:21 106:5,15
upu 55.17 52.26	army 103:6	58:12	111:13 (7)	112:16 117:5 (35)
are 10:14 12:12	uring 103.0	20.12	111113 (7)	112.10 117.0 (55)
13:10,25 14:22	around 11:11	attended 92:15	backwards 41:9	become 34:15
15:21,23 16:15,24	40:13 55:20 56:13	attenaca 72.13	buchwards 11.9	45:2 56:3 86:8 (4)
19:4,25 21:8,10,18	57:4 65:8 74:11	attendees 44:16	bad 48:8 89:19	43.2 30.3 00.0 (4)
23:12	89:3 112:6 119:13	attenuces ++.10	114:15	bedsty 77:21
24:2,12,14,20	(10)	attention 69:7	114.13	Deusty 77.21
26:9,19 27:6 29:8	(10)	73:2	badge 18:12	before 10:23
1	arrest 99:6	13.2	bauge 10.12	11:21 12:4 19:25
30:12,18,18,21,24 31:2,8 33:23	arrest 99.0	a44 a mm ary 25,12	badly 63:19,19	22:7 32:20 46:2
1 '	1 102 0	attorney 25:12	badiy 63:19,19	
34:12 35:11 36:15	arrested 103:9	26:14	1.3. 07.7	55:24 75:19 76:2
39:5 41:10	106:13		bailey 27:7	77:6 80:5 81:10
43:3,21 44:2	. (1.16	attorney's 26:13	1 70 10	83:18 84:10 85:3
45:21 49:12	arrests 61:16	47.4.11	banned 59:10	89:12,20 98:24
50:17,23,25	1 47 20	attorneys 47:4,11	1. 1. 15.00	115:25 117:23,24
51:12,15,23	arsenal 47:20	61:23 114:18,22	barice 15:20	(22)
52:15,16,18		(5)		
54:5,22	articles 86:25		barred 78:22	began 93:6
55:13,24,25 56:25	118:8	audio 94:7		
57:4 58:4,11	_		barrier 36:7	begin 39:25
60:10 62:19	ask 6:15 15:16	august 5:3	57:23 71:6,12 (4)	
63:13,17 65:3	16:2 18:11 19:16	101:3,7 102:16		beginning 93:11
66:21,22 71:5	44:10 53:3 58:9	106:24 107:2 (6)	barriers 38:8	
72:11 78:2 79:6	60:24 82:21 102:5			begun 80:6
80:19 81:8	108:18 110:8 (13)	authority 18:4	based 76:22	
83:22,22 85:24		93:8	101:17,19	behavior 50:24
86:11 90:18,19,20	asked 112:12			52:5,22 111:17 (4)
91:14 92:5,9,20		available 47:18	basically 26:18	
94:25 95:11	asking 32:19		28:21 41:10 69:8	behaviors 108:19
	1	1	<u> </u>	1

behind 62:20	biggest 22:13	41:24 42:19 44:15	briefly 41:25	19:8 20:11,23
	94:24	48:21 49:20 52:25	briefly 41.23	117:22,24 118:4
67:20 90:23,25 (4)	94:24		h 22.5 42.24	· /
1 . 20.10	19 110 67	54:11,14,23 57:11	bring 23:5 43:24	(8)
being 20:19	bike 110:6,7	63:23 64:8 73:2	78:21 84:14 (4)	107.10
39:15 49:3 50:20		76:8,10 85:9		butt 107:13
58:20 65:25 66:11	bill 27:14,18	89:20 90:8 92:19	bringing	
71:3 72:8 89:13	28:3,4,18 29:12,14	115:22 116:6	119:3,15	buzzfeed 65:18
103:23 105:12	63:9 (8)	117:17,23,25		
119:20 (13)		120:4 (60)	brings 61:12	byerson 4:20
	bills 26:23 27:17			67:2 70:2,3,5
believe 24:22		board's 53:15	brisk 6:7	72:17 (6)
42:2,7 62:16	birth 30:11			
89:15 98:23 99:15		boards 27:19	broad 63:7	c 121:2,2
100:2 107:20 (9)	birthday	48:15 55:13		
	111:6,8,8,19 (4)		broaden	call 2:4 10:20
bell 36:22 39:18		bodies 54:16	99:14,16	18:10 35:16 57:10
41:2 46:4,5	bit 25:9 28:19	55:19 56:25	,	79:18 94:15 95:24
114:10 (6)	32:2 74:9 (4)		broadly 56:6	96:2,4 111:4 (11)
1110 (0)		body 29:18 54:9	63:5	7 50.2, 1 1 1 1 1 (1 1)
belong 89:7	bite 107:13	95:7	03.5	called 25:18
belong 07.7	DIC 107.13	75.1	broadway 112:6	29:25 30:8 65:4
benefit 114:24	black 70:10	bodycam 79:25	broadway 112.0	92:20 94:21
Delicit 114.24	77:21 99:9 101:11	bouycam 19.23	bronx 7:16 99:5	111:25,25 112:2,3
benefits 51:16	(4)	bodyguards	100:13 121:6 (4)	(10)
belieffts 31.10	(4)	94:22	100.13 121.0 (4)	(10)
b 20.14	hladad (0.10	94:22	h	11: 41.2
benjamin 29:14	blacked 69:12	1100	brooklyn 6:20	calling 41:3
1 41 4 5 4	100.2	bodywarn 119:9	85:15 100:16	60:19
berthet 5:4	blames 109:3	, ,	05.25	N 25 10
108:4,8,9 (4)	11 1 11 6070	bodyworn	brother 85:25	calls 35:10
1	blasio 41:6 95:2	118:6,10,14	1. 50.05	22 24 24 4 5
best 8:22 89:16			brought 72:25	came 32:21 81:16
101:17	blasio's 32:16	bond 3:5		111:19 112:13
		20:18,20 116:4	brown 101:11	116:5 (5)
better 10:8 36:10	block 99:2	117:15 (5)		
43:7 75:4 86:7			brutalize 40:10	camera 25:19
89:24 115:4 (7)	blog 97:16	booth		27:23 28:2 107:12
		112:5,5,14,19 (4)	brutalized 77:19	118:7,11,14 119:9
between 12:15	blood 121:16		103:10 104:17	(8)
35:3 109:2		born 85:15 107:2		
	bloomberg 94:25		budget 14:16,17	cameras
beyond 12:9		boroughs 92:11		29:18,18 107:8
87:7,23	bloomberg's		buenos 7:14	109:10 113:4 (5)
ĺ	94:22	both 10:16 39:4		
bias 108:23		77:18,25	build 98:25	campaign
	board 1:13,3	101:20,22 117:10	0.20	47:6,19
bicyclist 109:19	3:2,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	(7)	building 36:8	,2,
	6:4,15,16 8:17		100:4	can 12:20,24
bicyclists 108:21	10:4,10 12:9	bound 96:19,20	100.1	15:22 16:5,22
109:13	13:10 14:10,18,21	DUILIU 70.17,20	built 47:12	17:17 23:7
107.13	15:10 17:6,6	brad 33:2,13	Dunt 47.12	25:2,18
bia 8.2.20.10	20:15 31:18,23	Diau 33.2,13	hunden 62:11	*
big 8:2 29:19	1	hwiof 16.20 22.2	burden 62:11	26:2,5,10,20 27:22
88:14	32:4,10,11,16 34:7	brief 16:20 22:3	h	31:21 33:11 37:7
	36:16 39:11 40:2	113:19	business 2:9,10	49:9 52:5 56:5,17

72:9 75:6	104:3,12,21	celebration 86:16	chance 16:5	christine 5:4
77:12,19 84:12,13	105:6,16 111:23		113:6,16	108:9
88:15 89:17,24,24	(18)	celebrity 80:12	,	
93:21 94:18			change 27:4	circumstances
96:4,16,18,20	cases 14:14 34:14	cell 67:10,11,18	43:11,19 74:11 (4)	18:2 45:16
97:4,5,9,10,11	42:17 43:19	68:16 (4)		10.2 .0.10
101:4 102:12	55:21,24 56:2	00.10 (1)	changed 115:10	circumvent
110:8,12 111:13	57:2 58:16 61:24	center 53:5,12	changed 115.10	47:12
112:12 113:6	65:20 75:19 76:2	33.3,12	changes 9:10	17.12
119:6,12,24 (52)	97:20 98:4 99:5	certain 24:20	10:13 13:25 14:22	city 6:21 7:3,15
117.0,12,24 (32)	114:9 (17)	26:9 45:8	21:8 54:4 95:18	8:3,14 9:6,11,21
can't 24:23 90:23	114.7 (17)	20.7 43.0	(7)	10:8,12,17 11:7
94:9 96:25 109:24	casually 79:3	certainly 7:21	(1)	13:13 14:18 16:24
112:18 (6)	casually 19.3	49:14 87:3	charges 55:21,25	17:9 27:3 30:7
112.16 (0)	2040 202 ing 17.12	49.14 67.3	,	34:11 41:8 42:21
cancelled 86:20	categories 17:13 24:7	4 · C · - 4 ·	56:13,24 65:21 (5)	
cancelled 80:20	24:7	certifications	ahardana 05.4	44:4 49:19 50:19
1.1.4. 00.20		101:24	charlene 95:4	62:18 64:23 77:24
candidate 98:20	category		-l1-44: 4 1 1	78:2 82:18,18
50.14	18:3,4,15,18 26:7	certify 121:10,15	charlotte 4:11	83:11 86:17 87:22
cannot 52:14	28:15 30:20,22 (8)	15.10.10.0	61:2 64:9	89:5 95:3,9
62:4 72:14 93:19		cetera 17:19 43:2		99:11,15,18 100:9
107:10 109:5 (6)	caught 107:14	109:14	charter 13:13,25	110:16 114:4
			14:4	115:13 (43)
capacity 23:18	causes 51:19	chair 1:10 2:6 3:3		
		6:2,14 7:24 9:18	check 98:5	city's 53:18
capturing 119:23	causing 13:23	13:5,12 15:3 16:8	108:10	
		20:14,21		citywide 65:6
car 38:17,19	ccrb 2:8 7:4 11:2	21:4,7,15,17	cheng 4:13	
109:2	12:20 13:24 14:12	27:8,9 31:22	37:13,16,17 (4)	civil 2:50 10:20
	15:5 20:7 21:25	32:24 33:19,21		15:4 21:21
card 19:8,9 20:11	24:2,11,12,18	36:15 37:9,12	chicken 62:25	22:7,14,24 23:6,8
	26:14 27:24 31:3	39:9 40:2 41:21		24:14,19 25:9
care 20:22	35:21 39:25 40:7	49:22 50:2 53:2	chief 8:24	26:24,25 27:24
	41:19 42:15	57:13 60:23	97:18,21	31:7 34:9 57:17
careful 77:11	43:9,12 50:3	64:6,7 66:19		78:17 82:13 94:13
	54:10 55:2,18,24	69:25 72:3,19	chiefs 96:2	(21)
caregivers 66:3	56:3,8,15 58:10,15	73:22 74:13 75:16		
	59:6,14 60:18	77:14 78:6 81:25	children 49:12	civilian 1:13,3
carlina 34:3	63:23 64:17 66:14	84:25 88:23 89:14	96:21	6:4,14 9:10,15
	70:15 75:4,12	90:3,5 91:23 94:3		13:18,23 27:19
caroline 4:21	78:12,17	97:15,19,22	chills 96:13	34:7 37:18 49:20
33:5,12	79:2,6,16,21	98:2,9,13,15,17		55:19 74:22 85:19
,	80:18,20 85:9	100:25	chinese 37:14	86:10 90:16,17
carpet 107:16	89:18 92:19	102:15,18,25		(18)
	94:5,7,15,16	103:11,13,16	chisk 108:4	\/
carr 46:16	95:14,22 102:5,10	104:10,12,24		civilians 117:10
3.2.2	103:14,21	105:4,9,14,22	choke 79:11	
carrying 38:25	104:6,15 115:11	106:20,22 108:3		claim 79:15
Juliying 50.25	116:11 117:21	110:17 112:22,25	chorus 21:14	
case 4:15 31:2	110.11 117.21	110.17 112.22,23	120:13	clarifying 31:24
78:9,11,11,19	117.0 (07)	115:3,7,8,11	120.13	32:3
82:10 83:3 95:16	ccrb's 12:6 13:11	119:2,19	christamonte	32.3
96:17 103:18,19	14:9 54:17 (4)	120:8,10,14 (92)	66:21,23	class 12:5,8 35:19
70.17 103.10,17	17.7 37.17 (4)	120.0,10,14 (92)	00.21,23	Ciass 12.3,0 33.19

			1	
clear 9:23 59:16	101:4 102:7,11	communicate	112:7 (18)	58:10,23 (5)
81:13	104:3,22 107:12	69:22 74:16		
	112:12 117:22,24	105:23	complaints 41:14	confidential
clearing 48:16	(24)		43:4,25 44:2	80:17 81:6
8		communicated	47:25 55:8 59:7	
clearly 28:8	comes 29:20 55:9	105:19	64:21 72:10 77:6	confuse 48:4
60:10 110:2	96:22		(10)	
		communicating		connecticut
click 67:11 69:5	coming 6:5 7:11	73:5	complete 28:24	117:2
	13:7 16:7,12		42:2 48:14	
clicked 67:21	38:20,21 44:9	communication		connolly 83:2
	66:22 73:10	68:21,24	completing 19:5	
clients	84:5,6,10 87:11			consent 19:16
61:13,22,24 62:2	108:13 120:17	communications	complicate 88:5	25:3
63:17 64:4 (6)	(16)	78:14	_	
			complied 31:8	considerable
cloak 45:15	command 19:9	communities		119:7
		16:23 35:4 36:5	component 57:19	
close 33:6 46:22	commander	37:3 39:23 56:17	_	consideration
	96:25	58:7 64:4 99:9,24	composed 17:7	57:10
closed 46:15,23		100:11 101:25		
	comment 2:7,8	114:17 (13)	conceal 34:16	considered
closing 49:5	11:20 12:4 15:14		38:10	24:2,13 28:12
	19:11 53:14 64:12	community 2:7		
codes 46:3	75:18 117:17	7:6 9:5 35:24	concealed 45:14	considering
	119:18 (11)	36:7,10 37:22		35:15
cold 6:7 7:11		51:6,24 52:6	concerned 49:13	
16:13	comments 9:17	66:10 67:6 72:22	57:4 85:18	constantly 87:17
	15:6 16:3 20:16	74:2 85:21 87:6		
coleman 103:11	31:25 39:10 64:13	98:22 99:3,12	concerning 52:22	constitutional
	75:20 115:23,25	101:12,25		53:6,13
coles 4:7 44:11	120:4 (11)	102:7,11,22	concerns 15:14	
50:2,4 (4)		115:4,5 (26)	52:18 61:8	contact 19:10
	commissioned		102:3,12 (5)	65:22
collato 82:12,15	83:22	company 103:7		
83:19 84:19 (4)			concerted 35:7	contacted 94:6
	commissioner	compare 110:15		
colleagues 8:17	8:5,7,11,13,21,25	N 1 0 10	conclude 119:12	contain 24:4
61:9 63:15	14:5,8 17:10 35:7	compelled 9:18		4 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
72:4,15 (5)	52:9 79:10		concluded	contained 31:2
46:11.50.17	81:12,18 (14)	complainant	120:19	20.10
color 46:11 50:17		29:8 73:17	an du 57:0	contains 28:19
51:8 61:18 86:4,4	commitment 6:9	aomnlaireart-	conclusion 57:9	30:3 48:15
90:2 99:25 109:14	83:24 115:15	complainants 29:23 30:14 42:17	aandust	aontomplation
(9)	committed 50.12		conduct	contemplation 53:15
oombot 47.10	committed 50:12	73:5,11 (5)	23:10,15,15,19,21	33.13
combat 47:18	59:20,21 60:2 (4)	complaint 1:13,3	29:4,5 45:24 55:5 62:3 65:8	context 30:17
come 6:12	committee 10:24	6:4,14 15:25	74:17,19,22 77:25	context 50.17
30:16,21 33:10	37:2 39:22 46:3	19:11 24:6,9 29:9	89:8,12 (17)	continually 83:11
37:8,9,17,18 53:4	(4)	34:7 48:6,23	09.0,14 (17)	continually 65.11
54:23 60:25 71:18	(+)	49:20 73:6,13	confidence 13:24	continue 11:10
76:16 82:17 88:6	commonly 30:2	103:14 110:22	35:14 43:22	36:11 46:11 49:6
/0.10 02.17 00.0	Commonly 50.2	103.17 110.22	33.17 73.22	30.11 40.11 43.0

(4)	corrine 3:7 7:17	create 45:17	currently 43:17	78:6 81:25 84:25
			92:25 119:10	88:23 89:14
continued 22:18	cost 63:19	created 28:5,12	72.23 117.10	90:3,5 91:23 94:3
28:7,13	05.17	70:13	curriculum	97:15,19,22
20.7,13	could 23:4 25:5	70.13	70:16	98:2,9,13,15,17
continues 118:16	28:9 30:16 31:10	credibility 45:15	70.10	100:25
continues 116.10		61:25	00.24.25	
4 . 9	56:3 67:3 71:10	01:25	curtain 90:24,25	102:15,18,25
contributes	80:2 93:21,22 (11)	. 01.7.04.14	100.2	103:11,13,16
61:14	11.1.110.10	crime 81:7 94:14	cyclist 109:3	104:10,12,24
	couldn't 112:18			105:4,9,14,22
controlling 35:22		crimes 31:7	da 81:4 94:11	106:20,22 108:3
	council 6:21 7:3			110:17 112:22,25
conversation	12:6,7,12,25 14:18	criminal 46:25	daily 36:4 50:15	113:3,7,8,11
6:22 11:10 15:8	17:9 20:3 33:22	61:15 64:3 70:9	61:22 65:5 77:24	115:19 117:15
31:17 85:3 115:24	34:3 36:11 37:15	81:8 107:10	(5)	119:2,19
119:24 (7)	64:23 85:21 (15)	116:19 (7)		120:8,10,14 (84)
			dangerous 40:17	
conversations	council's 7:15	criminalized		davies 113:20
32:8 58:5		50:24	darche 1:11 3:4	
60:16,19 (4)	counsel 12:7		6:24,24 9:17	davis 1:10 4:23
	21:24 57:17	critic 94:24	13:3,4,5 16:8 37:5	101:3,6,9,10 (6)
convictions 99:6	113:25 (4)		74:14 85:2	(0)
convictions 55.0	113.23 (1)	critical 13:10	102:17,18,19	day 9:9 36:23
convinced 42:9	counsel's 2:9	66:13 86:19	112:16 113:7	39:20 81:10 93:2
Convinced 42.7	11:25 21:20	00.13 00.17	119:11 120:2 (19)	102:10 115:3
cooperated 34:14	11.23 21.20	criticism 94:24	117.11 120.2 (17)	121:20 (8)
cooperated 34.14	country 55:4	CHUCISIII 94.24	dash 29:18	121.20 (8)
aconomotion	Country 55.4	criticized 76:25	uasii 29.10	days 66:2 79:23
cooperation		criticizea 70.23	do arres 17.6	
118:20	county 44:18		dasny 47:6	87:3 118:15,15 (5)
1	121:6	crosscomplaint	1 47 15	1 22 15 41 6
coordinating	1 26 12	94:10	dasny's 47:15	de 32:15 41:6
36:3	couple 26:12		1 4 40 11	95:2
45.0 0	27:10,16 76:9	crossexamine	data 49:11	10.10
cop 67:20	87:2 (5)	62:5		deadline 12:12
68:9,10,12 (4)			database 59:18	
	course 27:22	crossing 38:12	65:18	deaf 67:5,6,7,17,
copanelists 56:23	82:6 108:4 114:7			19,20,24
	(4)	crucial 36:13	date 30:11	68:2,6,7,14
cops 38:23		53:16,21 72:6 (4)		69:6,21
67:10,17	court 25:6 34:14		dates 102:6	101:11,20,24,25
69:3,16,18 96:18	41:8 114:21 (4)	cry 96:10,13		102:7,11 (19)
101:16,16,18,19			davie 3:3 6:2,13	
(11)	courts 22:25	crying 87:21	7:24 16:8 19:25	deal 71:7,13
	24:12 27:20		20:14,21	·
I	63:6,8 88:12 (6)	culture 69:21	21:4,7,15,17	dealing 94:5
core 57:19		I		
core 57:19			1 27:8,9 31:22	
		cuomo 47:4	27:8,9 31:22 32:24 33:19.21	deals 40:20.22
core 57:19 corner 112:7	cover 18:13	cuomo 47:4	32:24 33:19,21	deals 40:20,22
corner 112:7	cover 18:13		32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12	·
corner 112:7 correct 33:5	cover 18:13 covered 23:22	cuomo 47:4 curb 38:17	32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12 39:9 40:2 41:21	deals 40:20,22 dealt 99:2
corner 112:7	cover 18:13	curb 38:17	32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12 39:9 40:2 41:21 49:22 50:3 53:2	dealt 99:2
corner 112:7 correct 33:5 48:21	cover 18:13 covered 23:22 24:14,21	curb 38:17 current 49:2	32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12 39:9 40:2 41:21 49:22 50:3 53:2 57:13 60:23 64:6	
corner 112:7 correct 33:5 48:21 correction 22:12	cover 18:13 covered 23:22	curb 38:17	32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12 39:9 40:2 41:21 49:22 50:3 53:2 57:13 60:23 64:6 66:19 69:25 72:3	dealt 99:2 death 34:20
corner 112:7 correct 33:5 48:21	cover 18:13 covered 23:22 24:14,21	curb 38:17 current 49:2	32:24 33:19,21 36:15 37:9,12 39:9 40:2 41:21 49:22 50:3 53:2 57:13 60:23 64:6	dealt 99:2

53:17	35:5,14 66:6 79:3	80:10,14 94:11	director 1:11 2:7	discriminated
	80:10,25 85:19	95:7 (4)	3:4 6:25 9:16	110:14
decades 8:13	92:8,18 97:18,21		11:23 12:21 13:3	
	107:8 (16)	detectives 96:24	16:17 37:14 73:22	discrimination
december 9:2	10,10 (10)		75:18 78:13 80:22	94:14 95:6
december 5.2	department's	determinations	(14)	109:15,17 110:16
decide 117:19	36:6	75:23 76:3,15,22		(5)
	30.0	(4)	directors 14:12	
decision 23:3	departmental	(4)	directors 14.12	discriminatory
48:19 79:19	74:24	determine 17:24	disability 18:23	57:21 108:24
81:12,19 (5)	74.24	75:8	disability 10.23	37.21 100.24
01.12,19 (3)	donautments	13.0	diaganament	discuss 80:16
decisions 42:25	departments 40:13 55:5	detract 48:4	disagreement 54:10	
	40:13 33:3	detract 48:4	34:10	103:18 108:12
43:2	1 01 12 10	1 0614	1	1
	deputy 81:12,18	devastate 96:14	disappointing	discussed 60:7
declined 79:10	95:24		92:12	89:13
		developing 119:7		
dedication 6:9	dermot 8:24		disciplinary	discussion 10:16
8:14		development	10:18 11:3 22:21	22:2 74:10 77:8
	described 59:7	50:11,14 70:17	25:13,16 28:14,23	117:19 (5)
deemed 29:5			30:17 51:16 52:8	
	deserve 51:23	developments	53:20 54:3,7	disingenuous
deeply 10:6 52:8	89:5	118:19	55:10 60:6	49:18
			80:3,17 (17)	
defend 41:8	designated 92:7	deviates 14:8		displayed 52:4
			discipline	
defendant 30:24	designation 48:7	dial 110:21	14:7,14 22:9	disposition 55:11
			40:14 41:16 42:6	
defense 25:12	designed 48:4	diallo 114:10	54:8 56:21 65:17	disproportionatel
61:20,23	84:7		66:7 75:23,24	y 61:16
62:12,14,22 93:12		diamond 103:6	79:18 114:23 (14)	
(7)	designee			dissatisfaction
	7:3,16,23 14:21	didn't 68:8,9,14	disciplined 52:3	75:14
definitely 38:4,6	20:20 (5)	97:2 104:8,25	62:7 66:5	
		105:15 107:5 (8)		disserves 77:5,7
delancey	designees 14:20	103.13 107.3 (0)	disclosable 29:2	disserves 77.3,7
38:13,14	17:7	different	disclosusie 25.2	distraught 109:8
30.13,11	17.7	69:13,13	disclose 25:21	distraught 107.0
delay 118:22	desire 49:11	73:20,21,22 74:25	disclose 25.21	district 26:13
4014 110.22	debite 17.11	76:16,17,18 92:11	disclosed 23:7	47:4,11 98:21 (4)
delays 118:9	desk 55:9 80:12	101:14 102:6,6,9	25:5	77.7,11 70.21 (4)
uciayo 110.7	ucsn 33.7 00.12	108:13 (15)	23.3	distrust 35:3
delegate 14:10	despicable 47:6	100.13 (13)	disclosing 52:21	uisii ust 33.3
ucicgait 14.10	despicable 47.0	differently 48:13	disclusing 32.21	disturbing 54:19
demand 41:19	despite 53:25	unicicity 40.13	disclosure 22:9	56:6
utilialiu 41.17	59:20,23	difficult 60:16	26:2 30:9,19	30.0
domographics	37.20,23	difficult 00.10	31:4,9 116:15 (7)	division 65:2
demographics 49:12	detailed 14.6	difficulties 23:24	31.4,7 110.13 (1)	uivision 03.2
47.14	detailed 14:6	unneutues 25:24	disalogues 56:12	doctor 114.5
dony 107.10	58:15	diligan4 100:22	disclosures 56:13	doctor 114:5
deny 107:10	1.4.1. 1 106 14	diligent 100:23	1	1
1	detained 106:14	1141 14.10	discourtesy	document 49:15
department 7:23	1.44	directly 14:19	18:15	1
11:9 16:10 34:25	detective	15:16 58:20		documents 45:13
11.7 10.10 54.25	detective	15.10 50.20		тосынский толго

47:22	duties 26:20	egg 62:25	empowered	environment
does 19:14 22:21	duty 80:12	eight 96:3,17	58:11	91:4
43:11 48:7 55:15 64:17 71:18 75:13	dwyer 3:11	97:4	empty 92:9	equally 57:4
77:12 98:3 105:2 (11)	7:19,19,21,22 (5)	either 22:5 30:4	enable 48:16	equity 44:11 50:5,9 61:3 64:11
doesn't 22:25	e 1:9,9 121:2,2 (4)	eldridge 38:13	enacted 25:10	(5)
73:15,19 89:5 118:22 (5)	each 84:20 102:9	elected 10:11 100:3,5,7 (4)	encompass 28:9	equivalent 111:17
doing 22:3 39:5	eagle 70:12 71:21	election 9:9,19	encounter 110:19	eric 34:19
70:4 101:16 117:8,9 (6)	ear 69:7	elements 47:12	end 65:9 86:5 88:16 113:12 115:3,23 (6)	46:17,23 59:3 96:8 114:11 (6)
domestic 69:11	earlier 15:22 27:9,21	else 78:7 87:19 97:7 110:19	endanger 31:10	erica 3:5 20:20
done 14:2 43:15 75:25 106:11 (4)	early 80:21	115:21 (5)	endeavors 8:23	ernest 4:18 85:7
double 97:13	east 7:7 37:22,23	email 103:21 104:6	endorse 63:24	ernesto 85:13
doubted 8:18	87:7 (4)	emailed 86:17	enforcement	erodes 64:2
down 88:6 109:4	echo 61:8	embarking 117:7	31:9 45:4,11,18 48:11 (5)	escalate 52:5
112:17	echoing 72:19	embarrassment	engage 23:20	especially 13:8 51:17 89:25 99:24
downgrading 54:8 59:14	economic 50:13	45:12	engaged 23:16	(4)
downstairs 93:6	ed 95:24	emerge 48:20	engagement 20:7	esq 1:11 3:4,7
dragging 65:24	educate 116:6	emerged 54:20 55:12	56:16	essential 58:8 65:9
dress 70:20	education 13:21 66:11	emergency	engaging 59:12	essex 38:13
dressed 71:10	effect 14:23 19:6	111:15	enough 21:10	et 17:18 43:2
driver 109:6	57:6	employ 71:21	ensure 36:4	109:14
drives 10:7	effective 42:3 52:15	employed 45:22	enter 65:4	ethnicity 18:21 51:2
duck	effectively 62:5	employee 45:19 80:18	entering 18:8	evaluate 22:17
88:20,21,21,22 (4) due 49:2 93:23	effects 78:17	employees 45:24 63:12	entertainment 103:6	28:6 evaluation
duly 121:12	effectuate 115:16		entire 73:2 81:11	28:10,13
during 11:19	effort 35:8	employer 45:20	entirely 28:8 88:8	even 10:8 38:15,17,25
25:14,15 27:21	efforts 9:4 13:13 52:15	employment 22:18 23:3 28:7 13 (4)		73:9,19 79:7
78:18 107:4 (6)	32:15	28:7,13 (4)	entity 26:19	80:2,6 87:20

	1			
89:18 98:24 104:8,25 114:18	121:11,13	exonerates 74:17	extremes 101:21	familia 49:11
(15)	examine 35:21 62:5	exonerating 80:5	eye 51:18	familiar 6:22 7:8 16:15 34:18 82:11
evening 6:2 7:2,10,13 16:13	example 38:11	expand 31:19	f 1:9 17:15 121:2	(5)
20:18 21:22 32:14 36:20 37:16 39:14	79:9 92:24 109:18,19 (5)	expanded 41:7	face 68:5 69:6	families 40:23 46:13 51:21 66:4
44:15 50:2 53:8 57:15 61:4 64:7	examples 26:12	expect 95:17	faces 6:23 7:8 84:11	(4)
67:4 70:3 82:5 85:8 103:3 106:25	108:25	expecting 107:12	facilitate 22:2	family 7:6 40:20,21 77:18
116:12 (24)	exception 26:15 29:7 84:9	expects 62:21	31:17	82:13 83:12,25 84:16 85:23 109:8
event 86:14	exceptions	experience 103:8 118:9	fact 6:7 13:14 40:12 55:7	(10)
events 15:11	30:3,16	experienced	59:9,23 74:21 114:17 (8)	far 85:17 118:19
ever 23:2 71:25	excessive 17:24 52:3 65:23	64:15	factbased	farlane 4:6 44:8
every 50:17 64:17 87:4,4	excited 6:21 20:4	experiences 65:11 76:16	76:14,15 77:10	fatal 64:21
108:25 115:5 (6)	excluding 73:11	experiment	facts 48:24 76:22	favor 13:16 21:11 120:10
everybody 6:18 76:20 91:5 98:16	excuse 37:7	117:6	fado 17:14,14 24:7	favorable 30:25
109:9 117:12 (6)	executive 1:11	explain 75:5,6 77:2 97:17	fail 52:18	favorite 108:5
everyday 40:21 50:24 69:23	2:7 3:4 6:25 9:16 11:23 13:3 14:12	101:17,19 (6)	failing 60:5	fbi 90:16
everyone 6:2	73:22 75:18 80:22 120:6,11,16 (14)	explanations 14:6	failure 54:3	fear 47:19 113:9
11:14 16:2,5,11 21:23 24:23 34:2 36:20 40:17 64:9	exempt 26:9 30:18	exposed 45:5	failures 54:20	february 52:10
70:4 90:9 91:19,22 115:3	exemption 26:8	express 102:2 105:13	fair 21:9 116:18 117:10	federal 30:19
116:5 (17)	31:5	expression 51:4	fairness 16:4	feel 9:18 36:5 37:25 38:4 65:12
everyone's 15:6	exercising 26:19	extended 115:12	faith 62:15 76:21	68:22 70:18 71:9 72:2 75:3 76:23
everything 41:11 110:10 112:17	exist 35:3 60:11 107:5	extensive 54:2	77:11	88:17 91:13 96:19 99:21,21 110:20
everywhere	existence 63:13	59:4	fake 110:5	115:6 (18)
110:5	81:21	extent 45:8 72:19	fall 26:15 28:15	feels 25:16,24 110:13
evidence 6:8 62:9	exists 62:17	extras 97:12	falls 17:21 30:20	fellow 6:15 61:7
exactly 28:8,17 118:3	exonerated 29:5 76:4 104:17 105:8	extreme 92:24	false 14:13,15 62:8 94:9 (4)	felt 113:14
examination	(4)	extremely 15:4	falsifying 62:8	few 8:25 9:17

		<u> </u>		
10:12,24 11:22 16:19 26:22 27:6 32:25 54:22 (10)	63:4 90:7 93:2,3,9 94:8 103:22 (9)	forum 89:7 forward 7:9 9:2	fundamental 52:11	42:5 58:14 62:23 67:3 68:10 70:21,24 71:2,8,8
fight 40:22 46:23	five 17:7,8 46:18 85:22 107:15 (5)	10:9 12:4 15:6 20:12 47:16 53:4 105:25 106:5 (10)	further 79:20 109:15 121:15	93:22 94:18 100:17 103:24 113:6,16
fighter 85:25	fix 63:9	fought 46:14	future 11:15 15:10,11 20:12	119:5,8,11 (24)
fighting 46:9 91:20	flawed 52:9	found 24:12	89:24 (5)	getting 42:4 46:7 73:13 91:2,3
	fleshing 28:16	87:10	gagged 79:7,21	118:20 (6)
file 48:14 49:8 72:10 110:22 (4)	flores 66:21	four 7:6 17:13 72:23 85:14 (4)	gang 96:23 106:16	girls 44:10 50:5,9,14 51:7
filed 29:9 43:4 47:6 76:2 77:6 103:13 (6)	florida 116:25 focus 64:13	frank 3:11 7:19,22	gantt 27:15	61:3 64:10,15 (8) give 12:24 16:19
files 44:24 45:7,18,20 47:22	118:25 focussed 61:10	fred 6:13 40:2	garner 46:17 59:3 114:11	19:8 25:8 82:7,19 95:22,23 96:12 107:25 109:21
(5)	foil 30:2,3,15	frederick 1:10 3:3	garner's 34:19 96:8	(11)
filled 112:10	31:5 43:15,19 60:10 63:14 (8)	free 57:21	gave 14:18,20	given 10:5 12:2 17:25 84:3 115:11
film 103:6	follow 17:14	freedom 24:16	80:14 97:10 (4)	121:14 (6)
final 12:3 26:7 55:10	24:24	29:25 42:10 44:25 (4)	geared 25:11	giving 71:15
finally 29:13	following 78:10 footage 79:25	friday 12:13	gender 18:22 44:10 50:5,9 51:3,3 61:3	glad 32:21 105:4 glasses 112:9
find 88:11,12 102:2,10 109:10	81:17 118:7,11,14,21	friend 67:7,9 82:8	64:10,15 (9)	go 20:7 23:25
(5)	119:9 (7)	front 12:24 20:8	genderbased 51:10,12	26:5 27:17 40:23 44:6 71:10 72:7
finding 34:21 76:5	force 17:15,17,21 23:11,17,20 52:4 59:8,19 65:23	82:16 112:20 118:24 (5)	general 2:9 11:24 21:19,24 113:25	75:10 86:8 94:17 98:24 100:10,20 105:17 106:23
findings 54:12	70:16 (11)	full 12:2 17:6 27:8,12 40:3,8	(5)	107:21,23 110:22 111:21 114:21
finish 32:20	forced 58:18 80:21,23	41:19 46:19 51:5 52:13,25 54:24	general's 26:14	(21)
fire 40:14 85:25	forfeiture 66:2	56:4,8,18 57:7,11,25 60:14	generally 59:24 77:9	goal 66:14
fireable 59:20	form 112:10	63:9,24 66:13 80:9 88:14	generations 7:6	god 36:21 39:18
fired 59:25 80:19	formal 117:20	106:6,18 115:17 (27)	germane 49:15	godmother 40:25
firefighters 22:11	former 80:21	fully 41:4	gestures 18:17	goes 24:10 30:13 58:17 73:25
firing 81:10	98:20	fun 86:21	get 11:21 24:9	87:19,22 97:7 109:9 (8)
first 8:4 25:10	forth 121:12	1 un 60.21	26:10 28:2 29:10	107.7 (0)

going 13:25	105:24	hand 25:18 38:25	13:22 19:9 23:11	heart 78:4 89:16
14:22,24 16:2	103.24	48:5 84:20 121:20	27:16 30:7 34:15	Heart 76.4 69.10
26:22 29:21 32:25	greater 13:22	(5)	35:25 38:15 40:18	hector 111:25
43:22 44:10 47:16	35:10 57:22	(3)	41:7,8 47:6,23	111.23
53:3 58:9 60:24	33.10 37.22	handed 95:16	48:6,13 51:9 52:7	heightened 35:22
68:10 71:11 82:7	grew 37:23		54:20 58:6 63:5	11019110111011
83:7 86:18 87:6	8	handle 57:3	65:15,15 72:20	heights 86:12
100:18 103:16	griffard 4:10		82:17 88:7	
105:5 113:5	60:25 61:4,6 (4)	hands 96:20	89:18,19 90:14,14	held 72:22 83:13
119:16 (24)			103:19 104:12,14	90:22 106:10 (4)
40.24.44.0	griffin 114:9	handwritten 96:8	107:8 110:19	
gone 40:24 41:8	1 17 10	7.460.20	114:19	hello 6:18 16:11
	ground 15:13	happen 7:4 60:20	115:9,11,16 (40)	33:8 34:2 67:25
good 6:2 7:2,13 20:18 21:22 32:14	109:5	74:20 75:2 91:21 95:13 120:3 (7)	hasn't 95:25	68:5 101:9 (7)
36:20 37:16 39:14	group 76:11	93.13 120.3 (1)	nasn t 95.25	help 13:25 41:19
44:15 50:2 53:8	110:18	happened 74:23	hate 86:3	100:21 108:18
57:15 61:4 62:15	110.10	75:5,11 97:8 (4)	12000 00.3	116:6 (5)
64:7 67:4 70:3	groups 2:7		haven't 19:4	
76:21 77:11 82:5	g-v-p-	happening 11:11		helpful 71:20
85:8 102:23 103:3	growing 86:13	52:16 90:24 91:7	having 23:23	•
106:25 (25)		(4)	33:18 47:24 59:25	helping 75:10
	grumbling 47:24		61:5 76:8 86:21	
gordan 4:19		happens 92:25	89:11 101:23	her 6:19 23:17
67:2,4	guards 95:8	109:11 111:24	105:25 (10)	25:4 34:22 89:3
4 7.05 22.10	112.22	1 7.0 74.0	h - 27.0	108:7 (6)
got 7:25 32:10 71:11 93:11 96:8	guess 113:22	happy 7:8 74:8	he 27:9 38:12,14,16	here 6:8 10:17
99:21 107:13 (7)	guidelines 74:24	harassed 51:25	68:15,15,18 69:8	11:5,7,14 12:23
77.21 107.13 (1)	guidennes 74.24	68:11 71:3 103:10	71:17 79:13	13:7 23:24 31:17
governed 17:5	guy 94:20 112:8	(4)	83:18,18	32:17,21,21,23
80.11.11.11	guj		94:16,17,18,21	33:3,23,25 34:12
government	guys 15:21,23	harassing 18:7	95:25 107:14 (18)	37:17,18 40:6
63:12 95:10	57:2,10 70:15			46:8 53:14 54:22
	71:15,24 82:19	hard 14:24 22:20	he's 8:6 71:17,17	61:5 64:10 66:22
governmental	83:22 84:3,12,22	76:13	95:10 (4)	67:3 71:17 78:9
26:9,18	107:5 (13)	, , , , , ,	1 01 10 22 5	82:8,21
47.0	1.55.0	harding 5:6	hear 21:19 32:6	84:5,6,10,11,11
governor 47:3	guys' 55:9	113:18,22,24 (4)	36:19 37:13 39:12	85:13 86:22 87:7
grab 68:9	gwen 46:16	harlem 107:3	85:6 87:5 92:22 94:15 98:17 102:8	91:24 92:6 93:9,10,11 95:17
gran 00.7	gwen 40.10	Harrent 107.3	105:10 (12)	99:10 101:10
graduate 85:18	hail 39:19 40:19	harm 40:11,16	103.10 (12)	102:5 103:4
86:9 90:15	11011 57.17 TU.17	66:15	heard 63:14 74:2	104:23
	hair 112:9		87:18 91:21 99:19	105:2,5,12,13
grager 5:3		harms 61:13,21	103:25 116:20 (7)	107:22 108:6,18
106:25 107:2	hale 36:23	64:3		110:8 112:8 114:3
			hearing 11:14,17	(60)
grand 13:8	half 9:12 72:23	harroll 71:16	15:6 32:18 33:16	1 1 101 0
112.0	90:13 118:13 (4)	1 1	44:6 117:16 120:5	hereby 121:9
gray 112:9	hall 86:17 95:4	harshest 65:25	(8)	hereinbefore
great 71:17	Hall 00.1/93.4	has 11:14 12:8	hearings 41:3	121:12
Sicat /1.1/		1143 11.17 12.0	nearings 71.3	121.12
				I

		T	T	
hereunto 121:19	holes 88:13	husband 85:12	identities 91:16	104:20 116:10 117:3 (15)
heritage 86:16	holman 4:22	i'd 15:16 39:25	identity 18:23	,
	101:8	77:9 90:7 (4)	51:3	imposed 14:7
herring 48:4	103:2,3,4,12,15,20	(.)		
	104:11,14,25	i'll 6:17 12:3 13:2	ignoring 69:9	imposing 65:16
hey 93:9	105:7,10,21,23	61:10 70:14	-8	
	106:21 (16)	72:4,15 82:5	illuminate 55:17	impossible 46:22
hi 33:12 94:4	100.21 (10)	111:9 (9)	33.17	10.22
103:3	home 67:18		imagine 73:13	impressed 12:8
100.0		i'm 6:13,13	85:13	impressed 12.0
hid 97:3	homes 66:17	7:2,3,7,8,22 15:5	00.13	improper
mu 77.3	Homes 00.17	16:2,13,17 21:24	immediate 54:4	18:5,6,17
hidden 45:10	honor 115:14	32:7,15,16,21	84:22 95:6	10.5,0,17
muuch 43.10	1101101 113.14	33:12 34:2 36:25	04.22 75.0	improve 9:5,14
hide 90:23 91:11	hope 41:18	37:3 39:17,21	immediately 94:7	35:13
muc 70.23 71.11	118:22 119:5,11	40:6 44:17,17,18	miniculately 54.7	33.13
hides 40:11,12	(4)	46:8 50:4	impact 11:19	improving 35:24
53:19	(*)	53:11,14 60:24	17:18 34:11 50:7	miproving 33.24
33.17	hopefully 74:10	61:6 64:10 66:24	58:6 (5)	impune 48:11
hiding 51:17	89:25	67:5 70:10 73:17	30.0 (3)	mipule 40.11
60:12 90:25	09.23	74:8 82:6,8,21	impacted 70:8	inappropriately
00.12 90.23	horrible 87:20	83:23 84:18 85:12	71:25 72:5 78:2	18:20
high 00.4	norrible 87.20			16.20
high 99:4	hansa 10.0	87:16,16,21	(4)	!manage 12.0
highlight 0.10	house 18:8	92:3,3,23 93:23	imposting 72.2	inaugural 12:8
highlight 9:19	111:22	94:8,10,11	impacting 73:3	incorporates
118:4,12	how 9:19 16:22	95:19,21,21 96:16	importa 10:12	incarcerates
highly 77.22	how 9:19 16:22 40:21 45:24 47:11	98:21 99:3	impacts 19:13 77:20	61:17
highly 77:23		101:10,10,17,19	11.20	incident 15.15.24
him 0.4 22.2 4	48:7,9 53:24,25	102:5,10 103:15,16	impediments	incident 15:15,24
him 9:4 33:3,4 38:15 83:18	55:21 61:13,14,21	/	impediments 75:21	17:21 89:12 (4)
	63:3,5 69:5,19,22	105:5,13	13:21	incidents 25:17
111:14 (6)	70:4,19,20,20,21,2	106:11,18,22	imporative 47.16	incidents 35:17
hig 0.0 0 22 22.17	1 71:8 77:10	110:9 111:14	imperative 47:16	62:23 64:18
his 8:8,8,22 23:17	78:24,25 79:2	113:24,24 119:16	impings 116.17	include 17:17
25:3 34:20 36:23	81:15 87:15,16	(78)	impinge 116:17	include 17:17
39:19 40:3 67:18	89:8 98:4 118:8	:I 0.6 10 21.20	:	18:5 22:21 29:17
68:15,16 73:19	(35)	i've 8:6,18 31:20	implementation 14:25 47:7	47:21 54:5 (6)
82:9,13 83:20	however 22.10	42:9 84:4,9	14.23 47.7	includes 47:0
84:15 89:2,2 95:8	however 23:19	85:13,16 86:25	implements I	includes 47:9
119:12 120:2 (22)	35:8 62:14 104:4	92:10 94:5 95:14	implemented	64:24
history 25:0	105:24 107:11 (6)	96:8 99:2,6,18,19	10:15	: ol 11:00
history 25:9	h o-vl 22 2	101:14 119:3 (19)	:	including 11:22
34:23 59:4,6,12,13	hoylman 33:3	•aa 10:10	import 86:24	51:21 53:21 59:21
80:20 89:11 (8)	1. 1. 1. 22.14	ice 18:10	•	61:24 63:12 65:19
1.11.50.10.67.04	hoylman's 33:14	.1 110 4	importance	66:10 116:25 (9)
hold 58:12 67:24	1 44.01	id 112:4	86:19	
69:6 79:11 82:24	huge 44:21	11 60.4.67.45		income 61:17
84:7 98:6 100:8	14.1.111.0	idea 60:4 67:15	important 9:19	10.00
116:10 (9)	hunted 111:9	68:15 102:24 (4)	11:7 15:5 18:25	increase 13:23
1 11 22 17	1 4 1117		32:17 43:21 56:20	75:11
holding 33:15	hunting 111:7	identifying	58:4 60:12 69:23	
34:8 83:6 99:7 (4)		30:8,10	70:18 78:23	increased 14:17

indeed 108:14	instances 65:21	19:22 41:7,9	15:17,20 80:19	61:6,13,23 62:11 6
109:4,12	mstances 03.21	53:19 63:7 77:5	81:2 94:16 104:2	3:2,3,8,9,16,22,25
107.4,12	instantaneous			
		(6)	(6)	64:9 65:9 66:8,13
independent	119:21			67:5,7,15,24 68:23
16:25 54:16		interpreted	investigators	69:2,2,10
	instead 58:18,20	63:6,20	110:23	70:4,6,12 71:9
indigent 61:19				72:5 73:23
	institutional	interpreter	invite 12:14	74:16,21,24 75:8
individual	65:16	67:14,25 68:3,4	60:18	76:9,11,19,23,24
15:15,24 38:14,24		(4)		78:4,11,21 79:2
(4)	integrity 48:12		involved 26:3	81:7 82:21,24 83:
()	108:15	interpreters	46:21 53:23 62:4	3,6,7,14,16,19,20,
inevitably 87:10	100.13	101:23	76:20 (5)	23 84:12,18
88:11 89:10	intelligence 05:7	101.23	70.20 (3)	85:12,17,25
88:11 89:10	intelligence 95:7	• .4		
• 6 10 17		interpreting	involvement	86:14,23 88:7
inform 19:17	intelligent 88:2	69:21	37:21	89:3,12 90:12,13
				92:17,19,24 93:14
information 11:3	interaction 75:14	interventions	involving 64:18	94:4 96:16 97:17
12:19,25 16:21		64:14		98:19 99:11,25
19:10 24:4,17,21	interactions		irish 3:7 7:17,18	101:9 103:4,9
25:20,24 26:16	71:14	interview 70:19	21:6 120:9 (5)	104:11,15,19,20
29:11,22,25				105:7,14,20,24
30:5,8,10,13 31:16	interagency	into 10:14 24:10	is 6:7,8,19	107:2,4,17
42:4,10,16,24	31:12	38:9,17 42:25	7:14,17,19,20,22	108:8,10
43:10,14,18,24		47:13 48:18 49:16	9:7,20 10:17,21,25	109:4,15,16,23
44:5 45:2,9 46:19	interest 13:18	65:4 69:6 84:23	11:4 12:13,23	111:3 114:2,12
53:22 56:20 58:16	16:4 49:15 59:16	115:16 117:3 (13)	13:17 14:24,25	115:4,13
60:13 72:7,13	(4)	113.10 117.3 (13)	15:4,8 16:16	116:10,11
79:20 81:3,24	(4)	intraagency	17:5,6,20	117:8,9,22 118:21
83:16,17 98:2	interested 11:13	31:13	18:3,15,18,24 19:6	119:22 120:5,8
104:5,19,22 106:2	20:5 49:9 92:14	31.13	20:5,19,23,25	121:11,13 (222)
, ,		-4 1 6.16	20.5,19,25,25	121.11,13 (222)
(47)	121:18 (5)	introduce 6:16	,	
• • •		32:12	22:8,14,14,16 23:4	
informative	interests 79:5		24:16,17,21	33:22
71:19		investigate 14:13	25:4,6,17,22,24	
		17:11,13,15,23	26:8 27:2,14	island 96:15
informed 67:8,9		18:14 58:11 61:24	28:3,18,23	
		64:18 81:5 82:20	29:7,21,21 30:5	issue 11:5 14:11
injured 87:12	50:10	83:8,10 110:23	32:13,14 33:2,5,22	15:9 36:13 48:5
		(14)	36:6,9,21	61:12 62:13 68:23
injustices 61:14	intergovernment		37:2,14,17 38:22	83:14 86:22
	al 12:22 16:18	investigating	39:2,6,17,22	116:11 (11)
inquiry 45:17		17:2 55:6 61:25	40:9,16,22 42:12	
	internal 94:15		43:21,23	issued 81:2 112:4
inside 100:10	96:6 98:5,7 (4)	investigation	44:9,16,24,25	
		13:20 80:6,25	45:9,19 47:15	issues 38:2 56:22
inspector 95:24	international	81:15 84:23	48:3 49:5	65:16 69:13 99:2
	67:13	105:20 (6)	50:3,9,11 51:5	116:20 (6)
inspires 58:23	07.10	100.20 (0)	52:8 53:11,16,25	110.20 (0)
1113pires 30.23	interpret	investigative	55:2,15,17	it ' 43:19
instance 30:23	67:23,23	76:14	57:16,19,22,24,25	1t 73.17
82:25	01.43,43	/0.14		item 74:6
04.43	intom===4-4:	investicator	58:22 59:15,23	item /4:0
	interpretation	investigator	60:14,15	

		1		
items 11:22 116:2	joined 32:9	103:20 104:4,7 107:7 108:16	klux 97:3	languages 67:13
	jon 9:16 13:3	109:23 113:18	knew 73:16	large 18:4 32:22
its 14:19 22:19	Jon 7.10 13.3	116:4 118:4,12,24	86:19	45:6 77:2 109:13
163 14.17 22.17	jonathan 1:11	119:17 (53)	00.17	(5)
itself 52:7 66:9	3:4 6:24	119.17 (33)	Irmory 7:10 25	
	5:4 0:24	26.25	know 7:10,25	1 110
81:22 92:18 (4)	. 17.01	justice 36:25	9:2,8,16 10:25	largest 11:8
	jones 15:21	39:21 46:23,25	15:11 16:15,24	61:19
j 3:11		70:9 84:15 94:13	19:2 20:5 31:15	
	jordan 91:24	107:10 114:3	37:20,25 38:7	last 7:25 9:7
jacket 38:16	92:3	116:18,19 (11)	41:14 51:23 52:14	13:14 15:8 18:18
			53:18 54:15 64:20	20:24 21:2 29:13
jackson 1:15	joseph 3:6 7:2	justification	66:4 67:10 68:14	41:3 64:22 67:7
4:16 33:22 34:2	•	19:15	69:11,16 70:25	72:21 74:2 83:4
36:16 121:8 (6)	josh 80:10		71:16,24	87:2 112:8 (16)
	J	keep 29:3 41:10	73:16,17,17,20	
jail 107:21,22,24	joshua 4:25	61:10 70:21 90:11	76:7,19 77:9	late 20:19
109:25 (4)	66:21 82:8 83:25	91:20 97:20	84:4,13 87:24	20.17
107.23 (4)	84:18 98:18 (6)	118:24 (8)	88:13,16,19	later 46:18 59:9
iomas 0.5 02.2	04.10 70.10 (0)	110.24 (0)	1 1	
james 8:5 83:2	• 4.1.4	1 4 60 4	89:8,20	80:3 111:14 (4)
	josmar 4:14	kept 68:4	90:12,15,18,18,21	
jamison 4:4	78:10		91:2,4,6,11,14,15	law 2:50 10:20,25
21:22,23		kerri 4:4 21:23	92:20 94:21 95:11	15:4 19:5,13
	judge	31:22 32:3 (4)	97:2 100:8	21:21 22:8,14,24
january 6:6	25:19,22,22,23		104:8,25 106:6	23:6,8 24:15,17,19
	26:2,5 62:12,20	kick 17:17	109:7,16 110:4	25:10 26:24,25
jennings 5:2	79:12,17 (10)		113:5,7 114:15,19	30:2,8,19 31:7,8
108:6 110:25	•	kids 70:17 71:4	(70)	34:9,12,19 36:12
111:3 112:23,24	july 79:9			40:4,9 42:10
113:2,4,9 (9)	J. J	kill 40:10 59:10	knowing 57:7	44:22 45:2,4,11,18
	juncture 50:17		59:16	47:8,13 48:11
jeopardize	Juneture 50117	killed 34:22	37.10	56:19 62:21
105:16	june 74:4	36:22 39:19	known 30:2 47:5	63:4,5,20,25
103.10	June / T. T	46:7,12 59:3	55:14 59:5 (4)	74:12,23
jeopardizes	jurisdiction	77:19 82:9,14	33.14 37.3 (4)	78:17,22,24
66:10	9		Im 07.2	′ ′
00:10	16:20 17:22	83:18,19 109:19	ku 97:3	79:4,12,17 81:22
	. 00.16	(12)	1 101 2 6 102 16	91:16 93:14 (55)
job 39:5 45:25	jury 82:16	1. 1.07.05.40.4	1 101:3,6 102:16	1 61770
61:22 70:21,22		kind 27:25 42:4	1 1 0	lawful 75:9
71:8,9 82:23	just 12:3 15:13	43:13 44:5 56:5	lack 36:6 52:11	
90:19,20 (10)	16:18 20:15 24:7	72:19 104:9 (7)	68:21 78:3 101:22	laws 116:15,16
	25:8 26:22,25		(5)	
jobs 100:4	27:21 33:14,17	kinds 91:3,14		lawsuit 96:9
	38:11,22 39:2,5		lady 109:24	
joe 84:18 86:17	41:24 42:14 43:7	king 4:13		lawyer 25:21
	56:25 57:13 63:16	37:13,17	laid 19:20	
john 3:8 4:6	67:3 68:12			lead 52:19 56:21
32:15,24 44:8,16	71:11,23 72:3	kisha 4:12	lanes 110:6,7	57:16 93:13,14
75:16 77:17	73:25 77:8,16	36:19,21 39:12,17		114:4,7 (7)
82:12,14 83:19	79:23 82:2,13	77:17 (6)	language	
84:19 (12)	83:4,19 84:3	, , , , , , (0)	18:19,19 19:21,22	leader 44:19
07.17 (12)	87:17 88:5,17	klan 97:4	67:12,22 69:20 (7)	icauci 77.17
ioin 60.19	90:6,14 99:19	Maii 91.4	01.12,22 09.20 (1)	leaders 76:19
join 60:18	70.0,14 77.17			icaucis /0.19
į		I		

leadership 8:8 37:3 39:23 73:21	let 15:11 72:3,15 98:23 107:7 (5)	113:14 (48)	long 23:3,9 26:19 65:6 74:9 75:10	ma'am 112:25
118:21 (5)	, ,	limit 16:3	87:16 (7)	mabre 6:19
	let's 31:23 44:6			
leading 99:5	74:6,6 (4)	limited 54:6 79:15	longstanding 114:13	made 9:23 24:17 29:17 35:7 42:25
leak 59:17 60:3	letter 73:18 111:23	limiting 45:3	look 8:12 9:2	59:5 64:21 119:6 (8)
leaked 80:20			10:9 20:11 55:23	
leaks 54:18 58:19	letters 73:9 84:20 111:21 112:21 (4)	limits 30:9	71:5 (6)	maintain 56:16
59:2	, ,	line 44:12	looked 97:2	major 9:7 36:7
learn 65:13	level 14:7 35:9 84:14	67:3,12,22 69:20 93:4 (6)	looking 7:9 15:5	majority 76:2
69:17,18,22 71:8		. ,	20:2 97:12 (4)	
75:22 (6)	liberties 57:17	linked 14:16		make 14:25
100mod 54.0	1:h out 62.21	1104 11.7 112.15	looks 88:20 115:4	15:25 19:11 23:3
learned 54:2 58:25 59:2,18	liberty 63:21	list 44:7 113:15	lopez 4:25 82:8	40:23 62:12 74:6 75:13 76:5,13
104:7,21 (6)	lie 94:12	listen 68:3	84:17,18 98:18	81:17 82:6 88:13
. , ,		93:9,21	101:2 (6)	91:5,8,20 93:21
least 94:18	lied 59:22 82:16	listoning 101.14	logt 51,22	96:13 99:20 105:15
116:22	83:4,10,11 (5)	listening 101:14	lost 51:22	105:15
leave 85:3 108:7	lies 91:10	lists 97:11 113:13	lot 22:19,23	111:9 118:23 (25)
leaves 23:20	lieutenants 96:5	litigation 22:23	28:20 31:15 54:15 70:11 90:9 100:7	makers 36:12
		25:8,14,15,17,25	102:20 108:23	
led 13:22	life 31:10 49:17	26:4 27:22 (8)	(10)	makes 46:21
left 94:17 112:15	86:8 113:10 (4)	little 20:22 25:9	lots 6:22 32:7	74:15 76:21 102:19 (4)
lett 94.1/112.13	lifelong 7:5 44:17	28:19 53:25 68:8	42:23	102.19 (4)
legal 19:15 57:22		74:9 112:7 (7)		making 43:2 62:8
61:2,7,7,11,15,20	lifethreatening		louima 114:10	120:3
63:17,22 64:3,4	86:6	live 77:25 114:13	1 041610	11 1 01 12
(12)	light 48:8	119:20	love 94:16,19 100:9	maldonado 81:13
legally 96:11,19		lived 7:7 85:13		man 38:12,22
,	like 6:15 12:19	87:16	loved 51:22	67:18,20 68:14
legislation 22:5	13:8 28:10,11			107:14 111:13
35:13 99:15,17 (4)	30:11 31:19	lives 50:18 77:21	low 54:7 61:17	112:8,16 (9)
legislative 41:5	33:9,24 34:4,6 35:16 37:9,20	89:25	lower 7:7	man's 83:12
58:2 74:4 82:22	38:3,7,11,21 39:25	local 92:13	37:22,23 75:13	111dii 9 03.12
89:23 (5)	46:13 58:10 62:24		87:7 (5)	manager 15:19
legislature 27:5	70:14 71:5,11 72:12 73:8,11	location 49:13	lunch 93:14	53:12
41:4 115:9,14 (4)	75:3 76:23 77:9	lock 47:14	1011CH 73.14	mandate 55:2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	84:17,19,21 86:11		lying 62:9 82:20	
		l	1 •	50.4
legitimate 49:6	88:20,21,21 90:7	locked 32:19	83:9 84:23 (4)	manger 50:4
legitimate 49:6	88:20,21,21 90:7 97:3,3 98:10 102:13,23 104:4	locked 32:19	83:9 84:23 (4) lynch 81:4	manger 50:4 manhattan 7:4

		1		1
108:11 (5)	102:17 116:12	measured 87:24	mentor 70:11	59:4,12 60:2,17
100.11 (5)	118:18 (8)	measured or.21	70.11	62:14,16,20,23,24
manner 60:6	110.10 (0)	measures 52:20	merely 56:19	65:19 114:9,20
87:13 89:9 117:9	maybe 72:12	incasures 32.20	111c1 cly 30.19	116:16,24 (36)
		54.10	74.05	110:10,24 (30)
(4)	82:10 99:4	media 54:18	message 74:25	. 1 02 10
	102:9,9 113:6 (6)	58:19 65:14		missed 93:18
many 7:25 10:25		114:15 (4)	messaged 80:4	
12:9 34:18 46:20	mayor 32:15			mistake 81:17
55:11,12 63:14	95:8	mediating 17:2	messaging 79:8	
69:12,12,13 75:20				mistreating 89:4
84:10 85:11 86:25	mayoral 7:18	meet 54:25	met 51:15	
92:6,10 98:4	17:7 20:20	83:24,25		misunderstandin
114:8 116:8,13			mic 33:10 101:4	g 68:25
(21)	mc 4:6 44:8	meeting 1:3		
		6:3,12 7:9 11:20	michael 3:10 4:9	mixed 89:18
map 96:18,20	mccray 95:4	20:24 21:2 34:8	5:6 7:14 57:14	
97:4,5 (4)		72:22 74:3 86:20	94:25 113:24	mobs 111:8,19
,.	mcfarlane	(11)	114:9 (8)	
mapping 97:10	44:9,13,14,16 (4)	(11)	111.5 (0)	model 8:15
mapping 77.10	77.7,13,14,10 (4)	meetings 13:10	michel 53:6	model 0.13
marbre 3:9	me 12:8 14:11	15:10 92:10	inicher 55.0	modification
marbre 3.9		13.10 92.10		
101.2	18:7 20:11 31:19		microphone 7:20	56:9
marcella 101:3	37:7 39:16 46:22	member	• 14 70 4	1.6 . 56 10
4.24	67:8,9 71:5,15	3:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	might 78:4	modifying 56:19
marcus 4:24	72:3 86:7,11 91:6	27:15 29:15 32:9	103:17 113:22	
98:18,19,20 (4)	93:10 94:15,23	36:25 39:21 70:10		molly 4:10 60:25
	95:5,12 96:10,23	84:20 85:20 92:14	miguel 79:23	61:6
marriage 121:17	97:3,8,12,12	93:4 106:12 (18)		
	98:8,23		mike 57:16	moments 16:19
marselette	104:3,16,17	members 3:2		
101:10	105:24 106:4,5	6:16 12:9 17:8	million 9:12	mongering 47:19
	107:7	20:15 31:18,24		
marsellette 4:23	111:7,9,19,24	32:4 34:6 36:16	mind 29:3 35:17	month 41:3
101:6	112:13 114:14	39:11 44:15 50:3		
	(42)	64:8 76:10 82:18	minute 32:6	months 10:13
material 25:24		83:5 85:9,23,23		106:14 108:23
62:17 81:14,15,19	mean 6:6 43:12	115:22 117:16,17	minutes 2:5 16:4	109:25 (4)
(5)	91:7 98:3	(23)	20:24 21:2,9,11,18	103.25 (1)
	105:10,18 109:25	(23)	49:25 57:14 82:3	more 10:19
materials 24:25	(7)	men	90:6,11 92:2	12:19,25 28:16,19
31:13		70:8,10,11,12	113:20 (14)	29:11 42:16 48:3
31.13	maaninaful	1 1 1	113.20 (14)	56:4,5 57:7 59:18
matter 10:19	meaningful 40:15 65:17	71:5,22 (6)	miscommunicatio	72:12 73:24
	40.13 03:17	montol:4 106.16		
13:16 53:17 80:17		mentality 106:16	n 113:23	87:2,20 95:22,23
87:15 121:18 (6)	means 17:20	15.0		99:11,23 107:15
44 0.25	18:16 19:6,17,19	mention 15:3	misconduct	116:14,23 117:18
matters 9:25	22:20 23:7 25:20	18:24 26:25 65:14	17:4,12 34:23	118:19 119:9 (26)
10:2,6,6 (4)	28:17 34:13 96:12	73:19 (5)	40:12 41:12,14	
	(11)		44:23 45:7 46:20	morning 93:3
maurice 13:9		mentioned 13:12	47:22 49:3,8	
	measure 9:14	19:25 22:7 24:8	51:15,17,18,21	most 19:7 42:3
may 23:23 28:15	12:10	27:8,9,21 (7)	52:21 53:23 54:12	55:2,3 63:18 71:4
48:20 75:10 78:18			55:7 56:21 58:13	77:22 78:23 90:18
		I		<u> </u>

93:24 104:15,19	(22)	113:10 121:19	105:24 106:4,6,17	60:24 66:20 93:13
(12)		(100)	107:18 (22)	(8)
	mug 80:12			
mother 34:20		myself 87:10	needed 19:23	nice 111:12
46:5,16	municipal 76:18	07.12	68:24	112:16
4: 20:25		na 97:13		102.5
motion 20:25 21:3,5 62:12	murder 46:5,16	nahal 4:8 53:5,11	needs 38:5,7 54:23 75:4 91:13	nicolyn 102:5
120:5 (5)	murdered 40:19	Hallal 4.0 33.3,11	97:24 99:14	niell 8:5
120.3 (3)	87:12	nail 46:14	114:15 (8)	inch 6.5
move 12:4	07112		11 (0)	night 6:6 7:12
105:25 106:5	murdering	name 6:19	negotiations	87:4 111:2 (4)
112:18 115:25 (5)	107:14	7:14,17,19,22	119:13	
, ,		16:16 18:12 19:9		no 7:21 19:18
moved 93:5	music 103:7	20:19 21:23 30:10	neighborhood	21:16,17 26:4
120:7		32:14 36:21 37:16	36:3 72:23 74:3	37:12 38:2
	must	39:17 44:16 50:3		49:5,15 54:7
movement 91:7	19:7,16,17,21	53:11 57:16 61:6	neighboring	57:22 60:4,4,8
6 10 7 17	35:21 41:17 62:15	64:9 67:4 70:4	117:2	68:22 81:8,14,17
ms 6:18 7:17	65:12 69:15 83:9	73:15,19 78:11		87:15 90:13 93:22
16:11 20:18 21:6,22 33:12,20	89:16,17 93:15 (13)	80:15 84:18 94:4 98:19 101:9 103:4	nephew 84:19	112:13 114:24 117:16 120:14
34:2 36:16,20	(13)	106:25 108:8 (34)	network 114:2,2	(25)
37:7,11,16 39:14	mutual 8:20 9:4	100.23 100.6 (34)	114.2,2	
50:2 53:8 61:4	mutuai 0.20).+	named 48:22	never 8:18	nobody 99:22
64:7 67:4 77:15	my 6:15,17,19	indiffed 10.22	10,000	nobouy >>.22
78:6 85:8	7:6,14,17,19,22	names 46:20	new 1:8,8 2:10	nonconforming
88:23,25 89:15	8:16 16:16 18:8,2	53:22 73:8	8:3,14,22	50:16,23 51:7
90:4,5 94:4	0,20,21,21,22,22,2	97:2,6,9 (6)	9:6,11,12,21,22	64:16 (4)
97:16,20,24	3 20:11,18,19		10:14,17,20 11:6,7	
98:3,10,14,16	21:23 32:14,18	nation 11:10	12:15 14:4 27:2	none 92:13 120:5
101:9 102:20	36:2,21	114:4	30:7 32:9,11,11	
103:3,11,12,15,20	37:16,19,20,21	4. 1.12.25	34:8 35:11,19	nonideological
104:11,14,25	39:17 40:20,21,25	national 113:25 114:2	40:4,11,14,16	77:10
105:7,10,21,23 106:21 108:6,8	41:22 44:16 46:7 50:3 53:11 56:22	114.2	41:2,6 42:21 44:4,8,19,20 46:2	nonprofit 70:6
110:25 111:3	57:15 61:6,10	nationally 55:20	47:2,5,8,11 49:19	nonprofit 70.0
112:22,24	63:14 64:9,13	nationary 33.20	51:8 52:20 53:18	nor 116:19
113:2,4,9 116:4	67:4,7,9	nco 98:25	57:17,20 58:7	110.17
117:15 119:17	70:4,16,16,17		63:18 67:6 77:23	normal 19:20
120:9 (64)	71:18 72:4,15	nearly 34:16	79:2 80:23 82:18	38:8
	73:18 78:11		83:11 84:2 89:5	
mta 94:17	82:6,7,8,17	necessarily 43:12	92:7 95:4 108:5	notary 121:8
	84:8,18		110:11 114:3	
much 16:12	85:12,16,20 86:7	necessary 35:15	115:9,11,13	note 19:24 47:14
31:14 33:15 41:21	87:6 89:16 90:12	38:23 47:20 51:5	117:6,24 118:3,21	48:6 114:18
43:16 49:22 53:2	94:4,9,13,16 95:15	60:15 63:10,16 (7)	121:4,9 (72)	116:13 (5)
58:23 66:19 74:5 77:13 90:3,4	96:17 97:12,16,20 98:4,19 101:9,17	need 26:3 33:10	news 8:2 9:7	noted 114:23
94:23 98:12	103:3,5,8 104:3,20	35:5,8 38:4,8 39:6	87:4,5 (4)	114.23
100:25 102:15	105:3,5,6 104.5,20	40:6 42:5 64:12	07.7,5 (7)	notes 93:22
106:20,21 108:2	107:4 108:5,8	75:3 91:11,21	next 10:12 12:5	110000 75.22
113:11 115:20	111:8,15,19,21	99:23 100:4,20,22	28:3 44:11 53:3	noteworthy
L	1	1	1	

59:11,13	79:12 80:5,8,14	33:14,23 36:2,2	95:9 114:16 (7)	only 11:9 28:5
07.11,10	81:11 85:17 88:11	100:6 (9)	/5.7 11 1.10 (//	35:2 52:19
nothing 38:15,24	95:5,7,10 103:9	100.0 ()	officials 10:11	56:12,17 57:25
48:3 68:12 110:15	108:16,19	officer 17:16	58:21 60:14	60:11 83:12 (9)
(5)	109:3,21	18:7,8,9,11,16	100:4,5,7 (6)	00.11 03.12 ())
	118:10,22	19:14,20	100.1,5,7 (0)	onus 51:20
notice 92:5,8	119:5,13 (49)	23:10,11,16,19	often 24:18 51:12	01143 31.20
100000 72.5,0	117.5,15 (17)	24:5,6,10 25:2	92:11	opal 4:19 67:2,5
november 1:13,4	nypd's 14:17	26:17 29:10,11	72.11	opar 1.19 07.2,3
6:3,6 9:8 12:13	35:11,21 54:6,10	30:17 34:22	oh	open 12:12 110:9
16:13 36:24,24	57:5 59:24	38:18,20 42:12	68:19,19,20,20	open 12.12 110.9
39:20 121:20 (11)	79:5,8,14,16 81:21	47:21 48:11,16	97:7 (5)	opening 93:12
-> ()	(12)	49:8 59:3,9,15) · · · · (e)	·France S
now 12:12 16:9	()	60:9 62:6,19	olan 80:11	operates 78:25
20:15 21:19 26:22	o 1:9	68:17		1
29:3 31:21,25		73:8,14,15,18 77:7	olan's 80:15	opinion 84:8
34:15 36:19 42:23	o' 8:5	78:22,24 79:11		90:12
44:7 47:23 60:11		80:5 81:10 82:14	old 2:9 44:17	
67:10 71:13 72:15	o'clockish 112:14	83:3,10,17 89:2	87:16 88:19	opinions 15:12
90:24 91:5		110:20 (51)	117:22 118:16 (6)	_
97:5,10 98:17	o'neill 8:22 35:7			opportunity
100:19 102:4	52:9 79:10 (4)	officer's 24:10	older 118:15,16	10:22 15:10 34:10
103:18 105:6		25:12,16 26:21		53:9 64:11 (5)
115:13 118:21	o'neill's 8:7,13	28:23 48:8,12	once 23:19 24:9	
(28)		49:14,16 62:13	28:21 39:14 44:25	oppose 21:15
	oath 62:9 96:6	76:4 79:25 81:6	59:5 70:23 77:22	120:14
nuance 28:19		84:23 (14)	84:6 (9)	
- 10.10	observed 108:22		1001001	opposed 41:23
number 18:12		officers 19:7	one 12:3 18:24	
54:25 55:24 65:3	obstruction	22:11,12 23:9	20:22 22:13 24:6	opposition 47:7
92:15 (5)	94:12	29:17,22 30:14	25:4 27:6 29:19	• 65.7
4-111 20.21	-k	34:14,25 35:18	32:15 35:25	oppressive 65:7
nutshell 28:21	obviously 23:21	36:3 38:3 40:15	38:14,22 55:2 71:12 72:24 74:14	99:13
nycgovccrbyouth	42:21 110:3	41:15 42:9,22 43:14 45:15,21	76:7,19 77:22	option 27:19
council 12:17,18	occurred 87:9	46:21 48:2,22	81:23 85:24 86:23	option 27.19
Council 12.17,10	occurred 87.9	49:3 51:15,24	91:17 92:16	order 2:4 25:6
nyclu 53:7	occurrence 77:24	53:23 58:12,17	93:9,22 94:22	62:18 65:13 106:5
ilyciu 55.7	occurrence 11.24	59:19,21,25 62:4	95:19 99:20	(5)
nyclu's 57:24	october 46:4	63:13 64:25 65:3	100:12 101:18	
11, C14 5 5 1.2T	Jetobel 10.7	66:5,15 75:24	108:4,14 112:8,13	organization
nyfd 86:2	off 106:13	80:14 82:20	114:7 119:4,5,17	37:19 50:11 51:9
		83:7,9,15 85:24	(39)	70:7 103:5,23
nypd 8:6 9:15	offduty 23:14	86:11 96:4 104:16		108:11 114:3,6 (9)
10:11 13:19 16:25		106:2,15	oneonone 8:9	
24:13 26:17 34:15	offenses 59:20	111:11,20 117:11		organizations
40:13 45:11,21		(52)	ones 51:22 95:16	114:8
46:5,16 47:14,21	offensive 18:18		104:18	
52:7,16,20		officers' 11:3		orientation 18:21
54:8,17,21	offer 12:3	91:15	ongoing 43:13	51:2
55:11,14 56:11				
59:5,13 60:4	office 11:25	official 14:13,16	online 12:16 87:5	originally 92:6
62:19 65:15 66:8	21:20 26:13,14	23:18 26:20 59:22	110:22	
1	1	1	1	1

originated 34:13	105:19 121:18	pantaleo 81:11 92:23	pattern 51:13 52:4	period 78:19
oron 66:20,22	outcomes 53:20	72.23	32.1	periods 86:25
01011 00.20,22	outcomes 55.20	pantaleo's 80:20	patterns 52:22	perious 60.23
other 9:7 10:11	outreach 2:8	81:3	patterns 32.22	perjury 59:22
11:22 30:15,21	11:18 12:21	01.3	pay 63:20 69:7	perjury 37.22
38:21 40:13 45:11	13:11,21 16:10,17	pantilaio 59:6	pay 03.20 07.7	permissive
55:19 68:7 70:23	(7)	pantilalo 37.0	peace 16:6	116:14,23
79:6 102:22	(1)	paper 18:25	peace 10.0	110.14,23
110:15 115:25	outside 19:2	paper 10.23	pedestrian	permitted 93:20
116:14,22	71:10	parker 27:14,16	108:10 109:2,12	perimeted 93.20
117:16,16 119:16	71.10	28:3,18 (4)	100.10 107.2,12	person 29:8
120:4 (21)	over 8:24 13:2	20.3,10 (1)	pedestrians	31:11,11 67:24
120.1 (21)	38:17,22 39:2,3	parkerbailey	108:20	68:2,6,7 69:8 86:3
others 7:9 22:12	46:17 51:11 54:17	28:4	100.20	87:25,25 88:2,3
	64:20 71:11 74:5		peds 108:10	89:4 93:13 94:20
otherwise 49:17	85:21 86:25 87:21	parking 110:6	Pous	(16)
65:4 96:6	111:16 112:8 (17)	pwining iron	penalties 54:7	(10)
		part 13:10 24:13	1.	person's 67:18
our 8:9,18 9:4,16	overall 13:21	37:2 39:22 40:21	penetrate 38:9	F
11:17,23,24		46:6 47:9,20		personal 30:6
12:6,21 13:3	overseeing 55:4	70:15 86:7 92:4	people 13:15	41:22 49:16 77:20
14:16 15:17	l and and g and	93:18 117:21 (13)	15:9 29:20 32:25	78:16 81:7 (6)
16:10,20 17:5,22	oversight 9:11,15		43:4,21,24 44:4	
20:8 21:19 24:3	13:18,23 20:6	participation	45:22 46:11 50:23	personally 8:18
27:8 34:11	54:16 55:3,19	6:10	61:17 66:12,16,17	38:2 40:2 42:2
35:4,4,5,22	79:6 (9)		69:6 72:10	72:18 116:7 (6)
36:4,12 39:5	, ,	particular	74:16,18	
51:24 52:6 57:19	overview 16:20	27:12,18 42:24	75:5,10,21 76:12	personnel
58:21	31:15	48:23 65:18 76:10	77:5 82:10	22:10,15,16
61:8,13,21,22,24		(6)	87:11,23 88:15	24:3,11 (5)
62:2 63:17 64:3	overwhelmingly		89:3,6 90:2,10	
72:8 73:4,10,23	9:22	particularly	94:19 97:11 99:21	perspective
86:21 91:21		43:5,25 55:20	100:18 101:15	61:11 78:21
102:12 109:21	owe 44:3	72:9 (4)	102:22 105:11	
110:23 114:12,17			106:11,17	perspectives
116:25	own 35:11 59:24	parties 121:16	107:15,18,18,20	76:17,25
118:5,6,8,10,13	60:5 68:15		109:14,20 110:6	
(57)	73:6,13 79:16	party 25:15	111:18 115:10,12	pertains 70:25
	98:10 103:5 (9)		119:19 (52)	
out 6:5,12 7:11		pass 102:4		pervasive 52:11
13:7 15:7 16:7,12	pacification		people's 103:25	
19:21 20:10 24:22	99:11	passed 13:14		petition 27:20
28:17 32:23 34:21		21:18 47:2 64:23	perceive	
54:23 62:22 68:16	pacify 100:5	(4)	101:18,20	phenomena
69:12 70:24 81:16	12 20 20 0		. 10 17	54:13
84:20 86:20	page 12:20 20:8	past 62:10	percent 13:15	1
88:8,18 100:20	118:5	4 01 4	95:19 99:4 118:13	phone 67:16,19
102:21 104:23	main 40:01:00	pat 81:4	(4)	68:2,4,5,16,19
110:25 112:10	pain 40:21,22	4:4 20:15		69:8,9 (9)
116:5 120:17 (30)	nointing 54.10	patient 39:15	performance	nhones 67.10.11
outcome 20:25	painting 54:19	notrol 65.5	22:17 28:6	phones 67:10,11
outcome 30:25		patrol 65:5		

phonetic 15:20 80:11 82:12 107:2	pocket 68:16	policing 8:3 9:25 11:5,16 57:22	premised 48:24	problems 54:5 55:11
(4)	point 10:16 15:7 36:17 62:22	58:6 64:24 (7)	prepared 33:16 108:12	procedure 59:11
photograph	69:2,2 74:5	policy 50:4 56:3		Proceedings
95:10	94:19,19 104:15	57:16 59:24 (4)	present 3:2 89:20	proceedings
	(10)		93:15	53:21 80:4
physical 50:12	(10)	poor 35:19	70.120	00.21 00
65:22	police 7:22 8:4	Post	presentation	process 6:10
	9:5,11 10:18 11:8	pope 4:11 61:2	2:8,9 11:24 16:9	10:19 28:22 49:2
pick 19:3 33:11	14:5,8	64:7,9 (4)	20:17 21:20 22:3	52:8,10 57:6
88:12	17:4,9,12,16	,	(7)	58:18,22 76:20
	18:6,7,9,11,16	population		119:7 (11)
picked 68:15	19:7,14,19 20:6	110:13	presented 21:12	,
promote some	22:11,21 23:16		31:20	processes 52:16
picture 67:15	28:22 30:17	posited 56:11	01.20	processes ==:10
present of the	34:13,16	posited co.11	presenting 15:18	production
piece 20:22	35:4,5,17,24 36:10	position 40:7	presenting 13.10	103:7,7
piece 20.22	37:5,21 38:3,17,18	41:23,23 43:9	press 58:19	103.7,7
pieces 8:2 28:20	39:24	56:15 57:24 99:10	78:22,24 80:2,15	profanity 18:17
pieces 0.2 20.20	40:4,9,10,11,13	114:16 115:2 (9)	109:7,7 118:8 (8)	profamity 10.17
pitch 70:21	41:6,11	114.10 113.2 ())	107.7,7 110.0 (0)	professional 89:9
pitch 70.21	42:6,9,12,21 44:23	possible 84:21	pressure 111:15	professional 69.9
pitt 1:7	45:7 46:12 48:2	87:18	pressure 111.13	professionalism
pitt 1.7	49:7	07.10	pretty 8:2 31:14	8:15
placard 110:5,5	51:15,20,22,24	power 14:10,19	74:5	0.13
piacaru 110.3,3	52:21 53:20,23	82:19 83:8 84:2	74.3	profile 99:5
place 10:14 63:4	55:5,6 63:13	89:2,3 90:22	prevent 52:20	profile 77.3
99:9	64:14,25	91:19 95:22,23	prevent 32.20	profound 77:20
<i>)).)</i>	65:8,10,11 66:5,8	107:23 (12)	prevented 34:21	protound 77.20
placed 80:11	68:17 69:15	107.23 (12)	prevented 54.21	program 20:4
placeu 60.11	71:2,3,13	powerful 55:3	preventing 25:11	53:12 70:7 99:2
places 65:12	73:14,15,18	90:10 107:23	preventing 25.11	
places 03.12	73.14,13,18	90.10 107.23	prevents 41:17	(4)
nlon 10,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	noveme 110.4 11	prevents 41.17	nnograma 00.25
plan 19:21	81:6 82:14,20,24	powers 110:4,11 115:12	nuinted 24.22	programs 98:25
-lanning 27,15	83:7,8,14 84:8	113:12	printed 24:22	110.22
planning 37:15	85:19,24	44 40.7	24.22	progress 118:23
mlostomed 100.4	86:6,9,10,11	practice 49:7 73:23	prior 34:22	
plastered 109:4	87:14,23	73:23		prohibited 31:4
1 02.16	90:16,17,19 91:15	117.0	priorities 35:25	11.0
plaza 92:16	92:7,13,16,16,17	precedence 117:8	42.0 0 12	prohibits 11:2
16.2 10.2	96:4 99:7 107:8	05.20	privacy 42:8,8,12	22:9 72:6
please 16:3 19:3	110:19 112:2	precinct 85:20	60:9 63:11 (5)	4. 22.10
20:7,10 21:12	114:8,25 115:4,6	94:8 96:18,23 100		promotion 22:18
32:17 47:14 91:18	116:16,21,24	:12,13,14,15,16,16	private 49:16	1 00 17
92:2 95:15 (10)	117:11 (122)	112:20 (11)	10.0	prompted 82:15
.1 1 < 11	1. 1. 1. 50 17 25	100 10	proactively 19:8	6.20
pleased 6:11	policed 50:17,25	precincts 100:13	60.0	pronouns 6:20
1 05 46 405 0	77:22,23 (4)	0 (0.10	problem 63:3	101.22
plus 85:16 105:8		prefer 69:18	69:10 118:17	proper 101:23
1 6 100 10	policewoman			
L.6 100.10	68:18	preference 54:6	problematic	proponents
pm 1:6 120:19	00.10	Protocolor of the	56:15	49:10

		T.	1	1
proportion 109:13	provider 61:19	punch 17:17	quote 46:15,24 65:24 80:15 81:4	(23)
proposal 27:14	provides 27:18	punish 79:10	(5)	realm 8:3
proposed 22:4	providing 43:17 118:14 119:21	punished 53:24	r 1:9,10 80:11 121:2 (4)	reason 49:6 55:16 88:7 108:17
26:23 27:7,12 29:12 (5)	proving 20:6	pure 48:25	r221 112:19	114:25 (5)
proposes 29:15	provisions 14:4 81:8	purpose 60:8,12 99:20	r310 112:5	reasonable 87:25 88:9
proposition 13:17	psychological	purposes 31:9	race 18:20 51:2	reasoning 48:18
prosecutes 61:16	50:13	pursuant 24:19	rachet 81:23	reasons 52:24 54:22 69:14 116:8
prosecuting 17:3	public 1:3 2:2,8 6:10 8:16 10:5	pushing 36:10 89:3 111:16	radical 97:16	(4)
prosecution	11:4,19 13:18,21,24 14:20	put 10:14 28:20	raised 9:22 61:8 65:6 116:12 (4)	recall 72:21
92:17,19	15:14,18 29:2 32:6 34:17 35:14	48:7 52:5 100:6 107:8,20 115:16	rally 95:3	receive 11:18 12:14
protect 34:13 42:7 91:15 96:21	38:10 41:13 42:5 43:14,18	(8)	rather 15:17 47:7	received 30:25
115:7 (5)	45:6,10,21,23 47:16,21,24	putting 110:6	48:25	82:13 103:21 104:5 (4)
protected 23:13 106:8	48:9,13,17 49:4,8 50:7,20 51:18	qadira 4:7 44:11 50:4	rational 48:15	receiving 50:6
protecting 45:4 57:20 60:9	52:14,23 53:17,25 54:17 56:13	quacks 88:21	rawls 13:9 reach 20:10	86:5
protection 42:11	58:5,9,21,23,24 59:6,16 60:3,13,13,16,20	quart 29:15	102:21	recent 35:17 100:15 103:8 108:22,23 (5)
63:11	72:8 76:24 77:8 81:20 83:6 85:10	queens 44:18	read 86:25 87:5 96:9 119:24 (4)	recently 56:11
protections 30:12	89:4,7 91:13 92:15 93:4,15	question 9:14 13:12 71:23 77:17	ready 21:10	89:22 103:20 104:5 109:18
protects 40:10 96:7	103:18 106:11 107:19	81:14 96:5 (6)	44:12 67:23	111:6 112:11 (7)
protocol 19:20	114:15,19,23 121:8 (75)	questioned 45:16	real 48:5 100:17	recommend 14:14 75:24
protocols 119:8,14	public's 114:24	questions 20:10,16 22:13 29:19 31:19,24	realize 86:10	recommendation 14:9
prove 109:10	publicly 119:4	32:3,20 36:15 39:10 115:24 (11)	really 6:11 18:25	recommendation
provide 14:6 19:22 25:2	publish 109:6,8 puerto 86:15	quick 31:14	20:4 22:19 25:11 32:22 43:21 55:14,16 60:8	s 59:14 75:22 76:14
43:13,23 (5)	puma 3:6 7:2,3	quickly 107:7	63:8 74:15 84:7 87:24 88:6 89:15	recommended 54:9 79:13
provided 25:22 31:16	72:18 84:9 86:17 118:2,3 (8)	quite 49:18 69:22	92:22 99:22	reconsideration
	,- (-)		113:2 115:6 119:4	57:5
	1	1	1	

	1	1	T	T
record	103:24 104:6	remedial 52:14	reports 45:7	116:15,24 (5)
22:15,16,16,25			54:14 80:23	
23:5 24:11	registered 95:19	remember 91:25		respective 16:22
25:13,17,21 48:24			represent 37:19	•
49:3 62:14 71:12	regularly 50:25	remind 49:24	70:5	responding
94:9 104:3 121:13	79:3	82:2 90:6		79:7,22
(16)	1,7.5	02.2 70.0	representation	,
(10)	regulations 38:6	reminding 57:13	103:5	response 21:16
recording 47:10	regulations 50.0	Teninding 57.15	103.3	65:25 79:14
recording 47.10	nointamnetad	mamaria 05:5 12	nonnogontativo	
20.16	reinterpreted	remove 95:5,12	representative	100:17 (4)
recordings 29:16	73:7	1 100 17	33:4	17.0
1 11 4		removed 108:17		responsible 17:2
records 11:4	reiterate 72:3		representing	99:3
22:10,10,22 23:12		repeal 22:5 26:24	34:3 101:11 108:9	
24:2,3,3,11,12,13,	rejected 24:18	27:8,13 29:21		responsiveness
18 25:4,5		40:3,8 41:4,20	reputation 48:8	8:9
26:6,11,21 27:20	related 15:15	42:2,15 43:11	89:19 108:16	
28:2,5,14,23,25	121:15	46:10,18 49:10,21		rest 10:10
30:18,18,23		51:5 52:13,25	request 24:17	
31:2,3,8,12 34:16	relation 27:11	53:15 54:24	43:16 118:6	restricts 44:22
44:23 45:5 58:3	36:11	56:4,9,18 57:7,11		
62:4,24 81:7		58:2 60:14,21	requested 80:16	result 9:20 76:3
116:16 (38)	relations 8:10 9:5	63:9,24 64:12	93:17	93:24,25 (4)
	35:24 115:5 (4)	66:13 80:9 91:19		,== (),
red 48:3		95:21 104:9	requests	results 89:19
	relationship 8:19	106:18 115:17	118:10,13	
redacted 30:5	Leading 0.1)	116:7,10 117:5	110.10,13	retired 23:9,12
45:9 104:20	release 25:3 26:6	(42)	require 14:5 54:3	95:25
73.7 107.20	29:22	(74)	1 Equit 14.3 34.3	75.45
referenced 41:22	79:14,18,19,22	repealed 29:24	requirements	revealed 65:19
1 cici ciiccu 41.22	81:5 97:9 (9)	41:17 44:24,25	64:23	106:3
refers 18:20	01.3 71.3 (3)	90:14 (5)	UT.23	100.3
10.20	released 79:25	70.14 (<i>J</i>)	magning 25.7	moveds 54.2
moforms 12.12		managlina 50.17	requires 25:7 59:24	reveals 54:2
reform 13:13	81:3,11 93:19 (4)	repealing 52:17	39.24	
22:5 29:21	00.12	114:12	40.14	reverend 114:5
35:9,16 36:13	releasing 80:12		residence 49:14	
37:6 39:24 47:12		repeated 51:17		review 1:13,3
88:9 97:17 (11)	relevant 25:17,25		resident 7:5	6:4,14 25:19,23
	30:15 62:16 (4)	repeatedly 52:2	44:18,20 92:4 (4)	27:19,23 28:2
reformed 29:24				34:7 49:20 54:14
56:12	religious	repetitive 51:13	resign 80:21,24	(12)
	18:22,22			
reforms 47:2		report 2:6,7	resigning 8:6	revisions 21:9
	religiously 84:5	35:12 51:14 87:4		
refusal 81:22		94:14 118:6 (7)	resolved 55:22	rewind 96:17
	rely 58:18		103:19 104:13,14	
refused		reported 81:20	105:17,18 (6)	richards 79:24
109:22,22	remain 28:16	1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
		reporters 93:21	resources 47:18	rico 86:16
regarding 48:21	remainder 82:7		101:22	
54:11 76:6		reporting 54:18		right 6:17 7:24
	remarks 33:17	64:22	respect 8:20	12:23 19:2,18
regards 54:21	61:10 82:6	01.22	107:24,25	31:25 32:5 36:18
regards 34.21	01.10 02.0		101.47,43	31.43 34.3 30.10

38:8 39:12 41:13	rules 15:13	says 96:22	88:4,4,25 112:18	seriously 76:12
42:23 57:20 67:24	38:6,9 60:6 (4)	111:23	(18)	84:3,13
68:23 72:17 89:17	36.0,9 00.0 (4)	111.23	(10)	04.3,13
1	70.7	1 100.10	44.21	
96:11 97:22 101:6	run 70:7	scared 100:19	seeing 44:21	serrice 4:22
103:18 105:9,20	1 20 17	1 1 70 10 10	1 10 7 05 10	101:8 103:2,4 (4)
106:16 107:22	rush 38:17	school 50:19,19	seek 10:7 25:18	4.5.22
111:5 112:24		64:19,24,25	26:5 27:22,25	servants 45:22
114:19 119:6,22	rushing 38:21	69:3,18 70:13 (8)	36:13 (6)	10 7 10 0
(30)	0.06540		2.05.01	serve 10:7 43:8
	safe 36:5 65:12	schoolbase 65:20	seeks 25:21	107:19 115:7 (4)
rights 2:50 10:20	71:9 89:25 91:13			
15:4 21:21	117:9 (6)	schools 64:16,20	seem 28:14 88:5	served 78:13
22:8,14,24 23:6,8		65:4,5,9 66:12,17		
24:15,19 25:9	safety 31:10 51:6	(7)	seems 89:21	serves 60:8,11
26:24,25 31:7	52:6 60:9 64:19		108:14	79:5
34:9 53:6,13	65:2 66:10,11,16	scope 23:6		
70:25 78:17 94:13	108:10 116:21		seen 99:19	service 8:16
(21)	(11)	screen 24:24		
			senate 10:23 41:3	services 19:23
rikers' 96:14	said 11:13 22:25	scrutiny 35:2	46:3	50:20 69:21
	44:6 56:24 67:25	45:10		
risk 52:6 110:7	68:12,19 72:5,20		senator 27:7,16	session 41:5 74:4
	74:6 81:4 86:21	scurrying 109:9	29:14 33:2,13 (5)	120:6,11,16 (5)
rivadeneyra 3:10	90:10 93:8 94:18			
7:13,15 21:3 (4)	95:20 109:20 (17)	sealed 30:24	send 98:5	sessions 47:10
	, , ,			
rivera 34:4	same 27:25 31:6	sean 36:22 39:18	sending 97:20	set 9:10 15:13
	39:6 40:24 56:22	46:5 114:10 (4)		26:16 102:23
rivera's 33:23	101:18,24 115:2		sense 88:9 102:20	119:7 121:12,19
	(8)	search 18:6	105:18	(7)
robust 56:4		19:16		
	save 45:11		sensitive 30:6	sets 55:18
role 35:22		seats 92:6,8	45:8	
	saw 15:21	,		settlement 13:9
roles 46:19	38:12,16 107:4	second 18:3	sent 93:10 96:10	
100:23	108:6 (5)	21:4,6 68:12		seven 38:23
	(-)	112:11 120:8 (6)	september 79:24	
room 32:19	say 10:4 11:15			seventh 85:20
88:14 91:20	16:5 19:18 21:13	secrecy 40:4,9	sergeant	
111:15 112:7 (5)	30:23 33:7,17,24	51:19 58:4 65:10	82:16,25	several 100:12
	40:6 41:25 42:14	66:9 (6)		105:11 106:14
rooted 8:19	70:14 73:17 78:19		sergeants 96:5	
100000	86:14 87:15 90:7	secret 41:11	104:18 105:8,11	sex 31:6
rosemarie 81:13	96:25 98:4,23	Secret 11.11	104.16 103.8,11	SCA SIIO
1 USCIII at IC 01.13	100:20 103:22	section 10:21	100.3 (3)	sexual 18:21
rosen 15:19	100.20 103.22	11:20 15:3 57:23	sergeants' 106:15	51:2,14
TUSCH 13.17	120:11 (28)	63:25 81:9 (6)	sergeants 100.13	J1.2,17
round 110:25	120.11 (20)	03.23 01.7 (0)	series 83:6	sexually 18:7
100110 110.23	saying 68:4	see 7:8 8:15	SCIICS 03.0	51:25
routine 43:13	74:19,21,25 88:19	12:20 20:9 24:23	serious 65:19	31.43
10ume 43.13	95:21,22 104:4,15	27:17 31:23 33:3	68:23 69:10 86:23	shall 78:20
rule's 34:11	1 '	38:20 45:23 56:5		SHAII /0.40
1 ule 8 34.11	(9)	61:21 78:23,24	(4)	share 16:21 34:4
		01.21 /0.23,24		Share 10.21 34:4

37:20 42:16	shouldn't 60:15	single 87:4	88:14,16,25 89:15	82:23 84:12 87:19
43:3,9 44:5 72:12			90:3 91:11,18,24	92:21 102:20
96:11 102:12 (10)	show 68:17 73:25	sir 113:17,21	92:12,20 93:10,17	106:9 (12)
)	92:12	Jan 113.17,21	95:14,16 96:16	100.5 (12)
sharing 11:2 72:6	72.12	sisitzky 4:9 53:7	97:9 98:12 101:20	sometimes 88:17
Sharing 11.2 /2.0	showed 9:13	57:15,16 (4)	102:5,8,12	118:9
sharpton 114:5	67:17 92:13 93:2	37.13,10 (4)	102:5,6,12	110.7
sharpton 114.3	95:20 (5)	sister 36:22	103.8,13,10	son 34:22 46:8
shawn 40:18	93.20 (3)	39:18	104.20	SUII 34.22 40.0
Silawii 40.10	shown 65:15	39.10	105.10,17,25	soon 117:19
she 6:19 34:5	SHOWII 03.13	site 97:25 114:21	108:8	119:14
SHE 0.19 34.3	shrouds 58:4	Site 97.23 114.21	110:3,8,18,24	117.14
ab ala 100.5	Sirouus 38:4	aid-radion (2.25		22.12.27.4
she's 108:5	1 1 4.12	situation 62:25	111:19 113:11,12	sorry 32:13 37:4
1 0.24	shuk 4:13	106:7	114:8 115:20	66:24 106:22 (4)
shea 8:24	37:13,17	•, ,•	116:22 117:12	1 (2.24
		situations	118:16,22	sounds 62:24
shechinah 1:15	side 7:7 37:22,24	19:7,14 86:6	119:15,19,24	74:18 105:16
121:8	38:21 70:23		(117)	
	87:7,11 114:18 (8)	six 99:4 107:15		space 6:23 50:21
shield 34:24			social 50:13	
56:20 62:19 73:8	sides 39:4	size 14:18	114:3,14	span 112:3
(4)				
	siegal 3:8 75:17	sliver 56:25	society	spanish 107:3
shielded 55:15	77:14 120:7 (4)		61:2,7,12,21 63:23	
		slowly 93:4	(5)	speak 10:3
shielding	siegel 32:13,15			33:2,6,10 41:24
45:18,19 49:7		smith 73:14,18	solely 28:6,12	44:12 66:24 72:16
	signal 68:7			77:19 101:5
shocked 67:8,8,9		smith's 73:15	some 7:8 15:13	105:11 109:5,6,24
~				
87:17 (4)	signature 121:24		16:15,21 18:5	111:13 112:13
87:17 (4)	signature 121:24	smooth 9:3 15:2	16:15,21 18:5 19:10 22:4 23:23	
87:17 (4) shocking 109:23	signature 121:24 signed 47:3	smooth 9:3 15:2		111:13 112:13
` '		smooth 9:3 15:2 snatched 106:12	19:10 22:4 23:23	111:13 112:13
` '			19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18)
shocking 109:23	signed 47:3		19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18)
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10	signed 47:3 significant 9:10	snatched 106:12	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4)	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4)	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11
<pre>shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11</pre>	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35)	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8)
<pre>shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19</pre>	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16
<pre>shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19</pre>	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4)	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7)
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15 56:8,12 66:4,6	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12 since 23:11 32:10 35:25 40:18 41:22	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4 41:22 43:16 53:3 54:22 56:5 60:11	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10 69:12 71:16 75:6 83:18 91:9 97:7	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19 82:25
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15 56:8,12 66:4,6 70:24 81:5,19,20	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12 since 23:11 32:10 35:25 40:18 41:22 82:15 94:5 105:5	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4 41:22 43:16 53:3 54:22 56:5 60:11 66:20 71:15,23	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10 69:12 71:16 75:6	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19 82:25 specification
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15 56:8,12 66:4,6 70:24 81:5,19,20 91:16 99:16	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12 since 23:11 32:10 35:25 40:18 41:22	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4 41:22 43:16 53:3 54:22 56:5 60:11 66:20 71:15,23 72:2 73:20,25	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10 69:12 71:16 75:6 83:18 91:9 97:7 112:12 (11)	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19 82:25
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15 56:8,12 66:4,6 70:24 81:5,19,20 91:16 99:16 102:22 106:8,9,12	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12 since 23:11 32:10 35:25 40:18 41:22 82:15 94:5 105:5 (8)	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4 41:22 43:16 53:3 54:22 56:5 60:11 66:20 71:15,23 72:2 73:20,25 74:4,8 79:13	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10 69:12 71:16 75:6 83:18 91:9 97:7 112:12 (11) something 10:21	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19 82:25 specification 55:21,25
shocking 109:23 short 52:17 61:10 82:6 shortly 9:18 shot 79:23 80:13 82:11 shots 36:23 39:19 40:19,20 (4) should 24:24 41:13 42:7,14 45:5,9,14,15 56:8,12 66:4,6 70:24 81:5,19,20 91:16 99:16	signed 47:3 significant 9:10 11:5 54:4 116:20 (4) silenced 105:13 similar 45:13 simple 45:10 simply 50:20 94:12 since 23:11 32:10 35:25 40:18 41:22 82:15 94:5 105:5	snatched 106:12 so 6:11 15:23 16:4,12,15,24 17:11,20 18:16,19 19:3,6 20:4 22:2,13,16 23:9,23 24:2,3,23 25:2,4 26:4,8,10,12,15,18 27:3,20 29:8,19 30:12,15,19,23 31:14,18,21,22,23 32:21 33:4,10 37:19 38:14 39:4 41:22 43:16 53:3 54:22 56:5 60:11 66:20 71:15,23 72:2 73:20,25	19:10 22:4 23:23 30:15 47:23 48:20 54:12 63:17 67:10 69:15,19 73:2,3 82:10 84:4,14 90:20 92:16,18 99:8,13,25 100:3 104:5 107:19 108:24 113:23 118:7,18,19 (35) somebody 93:7 99:21 100:21 someone 25:7 27:22 52:2 59:10 69:12 71:16 75:6 83:18 91:9 97:7 112:12 (11)	111:13 112:13 113:6,16 (18) speaker 113:12 speaker's 113:15 speakers 4:2 49:24 53:4 60:25 66:20 82:2 85:11 111:2 (8) specific 58:16 62:15,22 69:20 82:23 102:7,11 (7) specifically 10:19 82:25 specification

	T	I	1	T
specs 56:25	(27)	stops 78:25 96:12	subway 35:23	susanna 5:5 94:4 97:13
speculation 48:25	stated 46:6,17 48:13 116:9 (4)	straight 112:18	successive 14:11	suza 97:13
spoken 61:9	statement 93:12	strategy 47:9,15	such 30:10 45:17,19 48:18	suzannah 91:25
77:18 90:9 spokesman 78:14	statements 14:13,16 59:22	stream 119:20 street 1:7 13:9	49:11 53:22 60:2 63:15 65:22 71:3 86:23 121:13,14	sworn 121:12
•	62:8 (4)	38:12 70:24	(13)	system 35:23
spring 14:23	states	106:13 111:9 112:15,17 (8)	suffered 52:10	51:16 61:15 64:3 70:9 (5)
ss 121:5	116:14,18,21,23 (4)	strive 70:6	sufficient 23:5	systematic
staff 10:4,10 13:20 14:24 64:8	statics 118:5	strongly 98:23	63:11	108:19
76:11 119:12		100:2	suggest 49:17 103:17	systems 116:19
120:3 (8)	stating 40:3 52:9	struggle 101:15		t 121:2,2
stahlybutts 3:9 6:18 77:15 78:7	stationed 64:19	struggles 102:2	suit 82:13	tab 20:9
(4)	statue 22:8 23:22 27:2,3,12 45:3	student 65:24	summer 67:7	take 8:24 16:19
stake 44:21	57:25 (7)	students	super 6:21	20:22 40:7 74:7 76:12 84:2,13
stakeholders 49:9	statues 116:23	65:6,10,22 66:3 (4)	supervisors 96:24	95:15 96:17 97:11 107:9 109:22
stalking 111:7	status 18:23 105:6,7	stuff 87:22 88:5	support 9:13	117:3,18 (15)
stand 15:22 33:7	statute 27:24	subdued 107:15	10:5 36:12 40:3,7 49:21 52:25 54:23	taken 41:16 74:8 99:8
44:11 74:7 (4)	step 47:15	subject 108:13	56:8 60:20 80:8 82:9,22 106:18	taking 14:22 19:5
stands 17:15 115:8	stepping 90:13	submit 34:10	114:12 116:7 (16)	35:25
start 6:17 41:5	steps 55:13 95:3	subpoena 14:10	suppose 86:15	talk 15:16 29:20 71:18 72:4 78:16
84:22 97:10 100:4 (5)	still 16:13 23:12	62:13 81:2	sure 14:25 32:7 33:19 37:12 40:23	98:8 (6)
started 32:10	29:24 30:4 56:19 59:19 93:6 108:6	subpoenas 14:11	93:23 98:9 102:18 104:24 105:15	talking 47:23
68:16 78:12 98:24	(8)	substantiate	113:8 116:3 (12)	
112:4,10 (6)	stomach 82:12	14:15	surrounding	tap 67:13
state 10:23 11:6,9 27:2,4 30:19	stood 68:13	substantiated 28:25 42:17,18,20	116:20	tardes 7:14
33:13 34:8 35:9 40:14 41:3 44:20	111:11,20 112:19 (4)	43:6 44:3 48:2 65:20 72:11,14	surveillance 79:15	tardiness 32:18
46:3 47:2,11,18 49:5 61:20 95:4	stop 18:6	(10)	survivor's 95:3	targeted 35:19
99:16 115:10,14,16	stopped 71:2	substantiating 54:11	survivors	taser 17:18
117:2,6 121:4,9	75:7,9 84:5 (4)		51:14,20	taunting 111:7

taxpaying 89:6	than 15:17 47:7	75:12,13 88:12	they've 21:12	thousands 64:14
	48:25 57:23 59:18	90:19 91:10 96:25	62:7 75:25	
teach 47:10	74:25 80:7 107:15	97:6,9 102:2		threatening 18:9
	119:10 (9)	110:4,4,5	thick 38:16	, ,
teaching 70:19		117:13,13 (36)	022202	three 8:13 17:9
teaching 70.12	thank 6:5 7:11,24	117.13,13 (50)	thing 18:24 31:6	0.15 17.5
technical 23:24	13:5,6,8 16:6,8,11	theirs 66:12	40:24 76:7,19	through 25:6
teeninear 25.24	20:14,21 21:7	then's 00.12	78:23 87:19 89:17	27:17 40:24,25
technology 69:4	31:22 32:5,24	them 15:7 25:13	90:10 101:24	43:15 55:7,9,10
technology 07.4	33:15,17,20,21	67:20 70:19 71:20	108:14 (11)	56:4 59:17 85:17
tell 77:12 83:5	34:6 36:14,18	72:13 83:16 91:17	100.14 (11)	87:6 88:13,15,18
	39:8,9,15	92:17,21 96:6,7	things 12.2 10.5	
86:18 92:23 (4)	1 ' '		things 13:2 18:5	99:15 106:23,23
4.11. 06.16	41:18,20,21 44:14	98:6,6 100:8	30:11,21 73:8,11	(18)
telling 96:16	49:22,23 50:6	107:13,21,21,23	87:5,13 88:3	
	53:2,8 57:9,12	115:16 (20)	91:3,15 94:25 (12)	throughout
ten 111:22	60:22,23 61:5	41 1 44	41.1 0.460.20	10:12 58:7 100:9
	64:5,6,11 66:18,19	themselves 6:16	think 8:16 9:20	
tend 45:17	69:24,25 70:14	45:24 89:8 107:9	10:3 11:6 12:9	thrown 88:8
	74:13 77:14,15	(4)	13:14 15:21 23:23	
tensions 35:3	78:6,8 81:25		38:15 39:2,6	thus 47:15
	84:17,24,25 85:5	there's 17:20	42:14 43:7,21	
terms 43:17 60:8	88:23 89:14	18:25 22:23 24:5	44:3 71:6 72:7	time 23:18 39:6
95:18 118:20 (4)	90:3,4,5,8,8	27:3,6 38:4,19	73:23 75:6	41:18 72:21,24
	91:23,23 94:2,3	42:23 54:19	77:4,7,17,24 87:18	73:21 82:7 84:24
terrence 4:20	97:15,19	67:12,14,15 68:22	92:24 99:10,23	87:2,21 95:17
67:2 70:2,5 (4)	98:12,13,15	81:14 94:7 108:25	102:19 106:17	101:19 102:10
	100:24,25	112:7 114:22,24	108:6 116:10	104:2 107:19
terrible 46:6 87:8	102:14,15,25	(20)	117:3 (32)	108:25 109:11
	103:23 106:20,21			112:11 115:13
terrific 110:11	108:2,3 110:17,24	therefore 24:14	thinking 68:10	117:13 (20)
	112:22 113:11	75:9		, ,
terrifying 86:5	115:18,19,19		third 18:15	timely 40:15
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	116:4 117:12,15	these 10:13 14:22	111:10	
testament 13:17	119:2,15,18	35:10 38:9 43:18		times 102:2,6
	120:2,17 (98)	45:13,24 52:24	thoroughly 61:23	
testified 27:10	120.2,17 (50)	54:5 58:25 60:19	thoroughly 01.23	timothy 71:16
41:2 56:23 57:6	thanked 119:21	65:10 84:20	those 12:14 18:5	(Infothy 71.10
80:8 (5)	thankeu 117.21	92:10,20 93:16	21:11 28:25 31:2	today 22:3 34:12
00.0 (3)	thanking 39:25	98:14 99:13,25	43:2,25 44:2	38:3,11 46:9
testify 10:23 53:9	thanking 37.23	100:3,7,18 106:15	55:22 60:10 62:23	49:21 50:7 52:19
tesury 10.23 33.9	thanks 20:13	107:18,18 108:24	72:9,13 86:11	53:10,14,17 82:21
testifying 25:14	91:22 98:16	111:18 121:16	89:25 96:18	96:3,12 (14)
46:8 52:19	71.44 70.10	(28)	107:20 112:20	70.3,12 (14)
70.0 J2.17	thowing 16.14	(20)	l .	together 66:22
testimony 11:18	thawing 16:14	thoy'll 52.4 60.17	116:16,18,21	together 66:22
	their 0.22 14.25	they'll 53:4 69:17	119:12,13 120:10	89:21,23 102:8,12
15:18 34:4,10	their 9:23 14:25	107:25	(24)	(5)
46:2 49:23 50:6	15:11 16:3,6	th order 15.05	though 26.5	told 04.0 111.12
109:21,22 117:13	18:12 19:9,9	they're 15:25	though 26:5	told 94:8 111:12
121:14 (11)	26:20 50:18,18,25	24:18 31:3 58:8	38:18 70:18 80:2	40mink4 (.10
4. 4. 60. 17	55:10 58:13 63:21	69:16 90:25	89:18 115:6 (6)	tonight 6:12
text 68:17	64:16 65:8	91:2,2,10 98:10	41 1.4. 02.0	11:14 12:3 13:7
4. 4	66:3,17 69:7	104:19 118:15	thought 93:8	15:17 32:11,23
texting 68:18	70:25 73:6	(12)		34:5 61:5 63:15

85:4 86:16,19,22	47:10 68:22	trujillo 4:14	under 17:14,22	unless 43:15,19
90:9 102:13 104:8	69:4,15,19 (6)	78:10 82:4,5 (4)	26:15 29:12 30:20	
105:2 116:5,9		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	31:4,7 41:6	unnecessarily
117:14,18 120:18	transcribed 1:14	truly 46:22	62:9,11 77:4	35:18 44:22 51:19
(23)		01 01 J	93:19 95:2 96:6	
(20)	transcript	trust 58:23 64:2	(14)	unnecessary
tonight's 11:20	93:17,22	115:14	(1.)	17:25 64:2 65:23
************************************	33.17,22		undercover	17.20 01.2 00.20
too 56:23 74:9	transcription	try 38:10 40:22	82:11	unquote 81:7
91:7 114:14 (4)	119:23	68:7 88:8,10	02.11	anquote or
		90:11 113:18 (7)	undermines	unreported 65:3
took 86:7 104:2	transgender		108:15	
112:17	50:16,22 51:7	trying 41:10	100.15	unsubstantiated
112.17	96:21 (4)	91:5,8,10	underneath	29:4 48:10
tool 34:15	70.21 (1)	101:17,19 103:24	28:15 30:22	29.1 10.10
1001 3 1113	transit 111:25	109:9 118:23 (9)	20.13 30.22	until 78:15 85:22
tools 47:17	112:2,6	107.7 110.23 (7)	understand 39:4	93:14
T/.1/	112.2,0	tuesday 9:8	46:12 48:17 51:11	/3.11
tooth 46:14	transition 9:3	iucsuay 7.0	71:20 75:11	up 9:13 12:23
100111 +0.14	transition 7.5	turn 13:2 26:22	101:15 110:10,12	18:13 19:3 29:20
top 54:25 97:16	translation	32:22 58:15,20	118:18 (10)	30:16 33:7,11
111:22	119:22	67:25 68:5,19 (8)	110.10 (10)	37:23 44:9 60:25
111.22	117.22	07.23 08.3,19 (8)	understanding	66:22 67:17,22
torre 112:2	transparency	two 8:2 16:3 41:2	22:20 82:17	68:15 86:12,13
torre 112.2	9:24 10:18 11:16	49:25 53:3 54:25	22.20 62.17	92:12,13
torres 4:17,18	35:10 36:6 38:5	57:14 60:24 66:20	understood	93:2,7,10,13,14
85:6,7,8,12	43:8 46:14 52:12	67:17 80:7 82:3	76:24 119:25	95:20 97:12 101:4
88:24,25 89:15	78:3 83:20	90:6,11 91:25	70.24 119.23	102:23 119:3,15
90:4,5,7 119:17		101:21,21	undo 51:20	(30)
1 ' '	106:6,17 111:3,4,5 112:24 113:5,9	111:10,20 112:3	undo 31.20	(30)
(13)	,	1	unfainly 25.10	unget 110.2
40401:4 17.05	114:14 (20)	113:20 (21)	unfairly 35:18	upset 110:2
totality 17:25	4	4 20.12 (0.10		112.12
4-4-11 100.15	transparent 35:6	type 30:13 69:19	unfortunately	upstairs 112:13
totally 108:15	106:4	89:11 102:9 (4)	90:21	40 10 50 04
110:10	4156.6	4 45 4 50 2	6. 1.1.20.6	urge 49:19 52:24
1 60.0	trends 56:6	types 45:4 58:3	unfounded 29:6	63:23
touch 68:9		1	47:25 48:7	10.5.67.10.10
4 1 74 15	trial 82:16 92:22	ultimately 48:20	• 1 4•0• 1	us 10:5,6,7 12:13
tough 74:15	93:5,18,20,23 (6)	55:22	unidentified	15:11 21:12 33:18
90:20		11 76 5 77 0	105:8	34:18 43:20,23
1 22 17	trial's 81:18	unable 76:5 77:2	.0 1 24 27	60:18 61:5 72:6
towards 22:17	4 . 1 . 01 . 12		uniformed 64:25	75:20 77:6 86:18
25:11 28:7	trials 81:13	unacceptable		88:5 93:5 100:21
	92:16,20 93:16 (4)	107:17	union 57:18	110:9 (20)
towers 85:14				C 10 15 10
	tried 95:5,11	unapologetically	unique 61:11	use 6:19 17:18
tracing 55:8		79:4	• 11 10	52:3 57:5 69:4,19
	troy 5:5 91:25		unit 11:18	70:15 97:8 107:23
tracked 54:13	94:4,5 97:13,13,14	unbelievable		(9)
	,16,20,24	107:5	united 37:5 39:23	
tragedies 87:8	98:3,10,14,16 (14)			used 17:21 22:17
		uncle 82:9	unlawfully 103:9	23:2,4 28:6,12
training 39:7	true 51:6 121:13		106:13	79:11 80:3 (8)

	1	T		
useful 16:22	violating 80:24	33:6,7,7,9 41:24	31:25 32:5 36:19	what 11:14 17:20
450141 15122	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	45:23 57:9 66:24	37:13 39:12 78:9	19:6,24 22:14,20
using 17:16	violation 94:13	68:8,9 69:3 71:24	85:6 91:24 98:17	28:9,17 42:25
18:16 19:20 25:12	Violation 74.13	78:16 82:2 86:14	117:18 (12)	43:16,20,20 46:13
34:24 59:10 97:5	violence	95:22,23,25 96:9	117.10 (12)	53:25 55:14,18
				*
(7)	51:10,12,22 65:11	97:17 98:14	we're 6:11 16:25	58:17,25 66:7
N 00 10	69:11 (5)	101:4,12 102:4	19:4 20:3 22:3	68:14,18 69:2
usually 89:10		103:17,22	26:16 32:25 44:10	71:17 72:2,19
	violent 65:7	105:12,14 107:19	53:3 58:8,18	75:5,11,12,25
vacation 66:2		109:20 110:12	70:19 74:9,19	76:23 77:3 82:21
	visible 100:22	118:4,24 (38)	76:17 77:2 91:5,8	83:21,23 86:14
vain 45:13			105:4 117:4	88:6 89:21,22
	visit 12:20	wanted 18:24	118:23 (21)	90:24 91:10
valerie 41:2 46:4		19:24 33:14,17		92:23,25 95:17
	visàvis 108:20	92:22 116:4	we've 14:2 40:24	96:11,16 97:2,17
valid 9:13		118:12 (7)	57:6 72:5 105:19	98:3 99:18,19
	vitally 58:4 60:12		119:6,10 (7)	100:23 101:20
value 83:8		wants 83:5		106:10,23 107:11
	vocal 44:8,19		weapon 17:18	109:16
vanessa 15:19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	warrant 18:9	Went of the	110:8,12,15 111:3
variossa 10.13	voice 7:20 105:13	, will will 1019	web 12:20 97:25	115:3 (61)
vast 75:25	7.20 103.13	washington	114:21	113.5 (01)
Vast 13.23	voices 9:23 91:21	86:12 91:8,9	117,21	what's 11:11
verbally 94:23	103:25	00.12 71.0,7	wedding 36:23	24:23 25:18 29:25
verbally 94.23	103.23	wasn't 68:23	39:20	30:7 91:7 100:18
******* 11.12 16.12	volunteer 69:17	73:9	39.20	
very 11:13 16:12 32:22 33:15 41:21	volunteer 69:17	73.9		113:5 (8)
	.4. 0.12 01.10	4.1. 97.2	week 7:25 13:14	112.10
49:22 53:2 54:19	vote 9:13 21:10	watch 87:3	1 0 05 10 04	whatever 112:19
65:11 66:19 71:19	117:18		weeks 8:25 10:24	
74:25 76:12,13		watched	27:10 80:7 (4)	when 8:12 10:4
87:24,25,25 88:2	voted 13:15,16	111:11,12,12,20		14:6 24:16 25:10
90:4,10 92:11	82:19 89:22 95:18	(4)	wekselbaum 4:21	29:20 34:20 35:15
100:25 102:15,23	(5)		33:5,12,13,20 (5)	38:24 40:16 43:25
106:20 107:7		watching 119:20		45:16 62:6
108:2 109:18	voters 10:14		welcome 6:3 13:6	70:18,25 73:25
113:19 119:14	89:22 95:20	water 88:19	20:21 33:8,19 (5)	74:17,21 78:24
(30)	115:15 (4)			79:4 80:18 82:11
		way 25:4,6 34:24	well 6:17 8:22	86:12 87:18 97:8
victim 46:5,17	vulnerable 63:18	40:15 42:3 45:19	10:3,10 29:21	107:9,13 112:9
94:6 109:3,4 (5)		50:18 57:25 63:8	54:14 56:11 66:11	113:6 117:4 (30)
	walk 88:15	68:19 73:7	76:11 77:8 78:18	
victims 31:6	107:16	75:4,10 81:23	83:21 85:10 90:17	where 11:8 14:14
		88:10,11,12 89:10	92:4 98:25 99:17	19:14 35:17 43:5
video 79:15	walker 4:12	100:17 103:22	100:15,22 111:14	70:10 71:9 77:25
81:17	36:19,20,21	104:11 111:16	118:25 (21)	82:10,14 83:3,15
01.17	37:7,11	115:8 116:17	110.23 (21)	91:4,5 94:8
view 34:17	39:13,14,17 (9)	121:17 (25)	wellread 88:2	104:15 109:19
VICW JT.1/	37.13,14,17 (7)	121.11 (23)	Weilleau 00.2	(17)
views 37:20	walks 47:14	ways 10:7 24:20	went 42:25 48:18	(17)
views 57.20				whomosf 121.10
-1-1-4- 00:10	88:20	73:4 77:20 101:21	59:10 93:7 94:17	whereof 121:19
violate 80:18		(5)	106:23 109:25	1 24 4 5
	,	133 45 0 50 50	· ·	· ·
violates 79:4	16:19 32:12	we'll 15:8 20:22	(10)	41:15 48:21 52:14
violates 79:4	want 6:5 15:7,24 16:19 32:12	we'll 15:8 20:22	111:16 112:5,15 (10)	whether 24:4,5 41:15 48:21 52:14

62:7 66:4 114:22	why 24:16 26:16	109:14 (11)	56:2,19 60:3	yes 30:20 37:7,11
117:20 (9)	36:9 40:25 42:24	10,711 (11)	69:17 72:7,12	75:7 95:18,20
117.20 (2)	45:23 46:8,9	women 50:22	77:16 84:17,19,21	103:12,15 105:21
which 10:22 23:6	54:22 56:10 60:14	,, ollien 20.22	100:9 104:21	112:25 113:3,17
28:3 29:15 30:9	63:22 75:8 96:2	won't 31:25 98:8	105:2 110:2	(12)
39:22 48:14 53:16	101:15 114:25	Wolf t 31.23 70.0	113:21 114:23	(12)
55:17,25 60:14	(16)	wonderful	117:5,6,20 119:5	vojaira 4:5
61:12 70:6,12	(10)	111:10,18,21	(61)	12:22,24 16:10,16
72:10 73:6 82:24	wide 47:19 55:23	112:21 (4)	(01)	20:14 24:7 (7)
92:6 104:7,18	Wide 17:19 33:23	112.21 (1)	wouldn't 29:16	20.1121.7(7)
105:2,24 108:10	wife 49:11 95:8	word 119:22	Wouldn't 25.10	yojaira's 20:16
116:11 119:8 (25)	WHC 19111 9810	Word 113.22	write 112:16	y ojana s 20.10
110.11 117.0 (25)	will 8:24 9:17	words 11:22	W1100 112.10	york 1:8,8 8:3,14
while 8:11 23:10	11:18 12:24	22:19 62:18	written 24:25	9:6,11,21
25:13 45:25 50:19	14:4,9 16:9 21:19	22.17 02.10	25:3 63:4,19	10:14,17,20 11:6,7
64:17 75:20 76:16	25:23 32:7 35:2	work 10:2	78:19 96:14 97:23	27:3 30:7 34:8
79:5 93:7 (10)	36:11 41:19 42:3	13:11,20 14:2	(7)	40:14 41:2,6
77.5 75.7 (10)	44:11 45:2 47:20	50:15 55:7,17		42:21 44:4,8,19,21
white 111:22	48:16 49:24	57:19,23 65:14	wrong 58:17	46:2 47:2,5,11
WIIIC 111.22	52:18,19 75:16	70:6,16 71:4,11	79:17 106:9	49:19 51:8 53:18
who 12:23 13:15	85:2 88:11,12	76:13 77:11 89:23	109:11 (4)	57:17 58:7 67:6
29:9 33:22	90:11 96:10,12,13	94:17 (18)	107.11 (4)	77:23 79:2 82:19
34:14,22 36:22	102:21 107:16	74.17 (10)	wrongdoing	83:11 89:5 92:7
37:2,14 39:18	110:23,25 113:18	worked 37:22	76:4,6	95:4 114:4
40:10 43:4,24	117:17,19 119:8	51:9	70.4,0	115:9,11,13 117:6
46:7 50:17	(37)	31.7	wrote 34:5	121:4,9 (47)
51:14,21,24	(37)	working 7:20 9:3	WIOLE 34.3	121.4,7 (47)
1 ' '			_	
1 59.4 9 19 61.9	willing 69.16	1 10.9 14.74 70.17	wwwnvcgovccrh	\perp vork's $\Delta()\cdot\Delta$
59:3,9,19 61:9	willing 69:16	10:9 14:24 20:12	wwwnycgovccrb	york's 40:4
65:10 66:15 67:7		10:9 14:24 20:12 35:19 78:12 (7)	wwwnycgovccrb 20:8	
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24	willing 69:16 winski 95:24	35:19 78:12 (7)	20:8	york's 40:4 yorker's 57:20
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13	winski 95:24			yorker's 57:20
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11		35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21	20:8 x 1:2,6	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2	35:19 78:12 (7)	20:8	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10	winski 95:24	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8)
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9)	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12)	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4)
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56)	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56)	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4)	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5)	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8)	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5)
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4)	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8)	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7 wholeheartedly	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6 121:11,14,19 (6)	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24 34:6 35:16	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9 85:14,21,22	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young 50:15,22,23
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6 121:11,14,19 (6) woman 33:23	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24 34:6 35:16 37:9,19 42:11,15	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9 85:14,21,22 96:3,17 97:4	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young 50:15,22,23 66:12,16,16
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7 wholeheartedly 63:24	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6 121:11,14,19 (6) woman 33:23 34:3 50:14,15	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24 34:6 35:16 37:9,19 42:11,15 43:7,12,19,20,23	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9 85:14,21,22 96:3,17 97:4 107:4 108:23	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young 50:15,22,23 66:12,16,16 70:7,11,12 71:3,22
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7 wholeheartedly	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6 121:11,14,19 (6) woman 33:23 34:3 50:14,15 67:19 70:8 71:5	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24 34:6 35:16 37:9,19 42:11,15 43:7,12,19,20,23 45:23 48:9,24	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9 85:14,21,22 96:3,17 97:4	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young 50:15,22,23 66:12,16,16
65:10 66:15 67:7 72:10 75:24 76:12,12,13 77:6,18,21 79:11 80:14,19 81:3 82:8,14,15 83:10 85:24,25 89:2 93:8 95:11 96:22 100:8 101:15,23 104:16 106:3 107:16 109:25 113:14 119:19 (56) who's 12:7 23:16 45:15 90:9 (4) whole 88:14 106:7 wholeheartedly 63:24	winski 95:24 wish 8:21 33:2 withholding 30:4 within 23:5 44:2 74:23 83:4,21 91:18 99:9,10,24 100:23 114:17 121:9 (12) without 18:8 52:13 60:3 62:3 97:5 (5) witness 32:19 62:2,6 121:11,14,19 (6) woman 33:23 34:3 50:14,15	35:19 78:12 (7) works 36:2 76:21 worries 37:12 worse 94:25 worst 59:8 87:18 wouk 91:24 92:3,3 would 6:13,15 8:17 13:8 17:23 18:14 23:21 26:12,15 27:4 28:4,9,11,14,16,25 29:3,10,15,17 30:4 31:19 33:9,24 34:6 35:16 37:9,19 42:11,15 43:7,12,19,20,23	20:8 x 1:2,6 y 5:2 y'all 70:4 99:16,18,19 100:6,10,19,22,23 (9) yac 20:9 year 12:13 19:4,5 64:17,22 83:4 95:15,15 (8) years 40:18 44:17 46:18 51:11 72:24 76:9 85:14,21,22 96:3,17 97:4 107:4 108:23	yorker's 57:20 yorkers 9:12,22 12:15 35:20 40:11,16 63:18 108:5 (8) you'd 12:19 you'll 20:9 27:17 33:10 107:24 (4) you're 6:8 71:2 105:4 107:22 119:3 (5) you've 63:14 young 50:15,22,23 66:12,16,16 70:7,11,12 71:3,22

your 6:8,9 15:19 16:22 24:25 41:18	2011 82:10		
54:25 55:7,9 57:10 73:13 77:16	2012 94:6,7		
82:23 84:24 91:18 96:20 97:23,24	2013 94:6		
99:10 103:18 104:12	2014 34:20		
105:6,16,20	2017 59:2 79:9,24		
107:23 108:18 110:18 (27)	80:21 (4)		
yourself 32:12	2018 35:11 59:17		
youth	2019 1:13 46:4 121:20		
12:6,6,11,25			
20:2,3,6 50:10,16 51:8 64:16 71:19	2020 14:23		
(12)	5000 64:19		
zamani 4:8 53:5,8,11 (4)	132019 1:4		
206 65:20	1176911 112:4		
240 112:4			
300 59:19 95:12 111:16			
311 19:10 110:21			
715 93:3,10			
836 120:19			
911 112:3			
1000 93:5			
1024 20:2			
1940 107:3			
1997 78:12			
2000 36:24			
2006 36:24 39:20 78:15			
2009 78:15			