	<u> </u>	1
1		
2	X	
3	CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD	
4	PUBLIC MEETING	
5	October 12, 2016	
6	6:36 p.m. x	
7	100 Church Street	
8	New York, New York	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
10		
11	B E F O R E:	
12	MAYA D. WILEY, ESQ., Chairperson	
13	MINA Q. MALIK, ESQ., Executive Director	
14		
15	PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA:	
16	1. Call to Order	
17	2. Adoption of Minutes	
18	3. Report from the Chair	
19	4. Report from the Executive Director	
20	* Monthly Report	
21	* Presentation on Sexual Misconduct Complaints	
22	against NYPD	
23	5. Public Comment	
24	6. Old Business	
25	7. New Business	

		2
1		
2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	
3	MAYA D. WILEY, ESQ., Chairperson	
4		
5	MARBE STAHLY-BUTTS	
6	FREDERICK DAVIE	
7	DEBORAH N. ARCHER, ESQ.	
8	LINDSAY EASON	
9	SALVATORE F. CARCATERRA	
10	RAMON PEGUERO, ESQ.	
11	FRANK J. DWYER	
12	ANGELA FERNANDEZ JOSEPH A. PUMA	
13	YOUNGIK YOON, ESQ.	
14	=======================================	
15		
16	MINA Q. MALIK, ESQ., Executive Director	
17	SPEAKERS:	
18	Mr. O'Grady	
19	Chris Dunn	
20	Jose LaSalle	
21	Andrea Ritchie	
22		
23	Reported By:	
24	Nicole Ellis	
25		

		3
1	Proceedings	
2	CHAIR WILEY: It is 6:36, and I call	
3	this October meeting of the Civilian	
4	Complaint Review Board to order. Thank	
5	you all for coming.	
6	I wanted to acknowledge that it is	
7	Yom Kippur, and I'm both appreciative of	
8	the fact that we have a full complement of	
9	the Board here and well, when I do my	
10	report I'll actually introduce to the	
11	public our new board members who we're	
12	very excited to have.	
13	But I will start with the adoption of	
14	the minutes.	
15	Do I have a motion?	
16	MR. DWYER: So moved.	
17	CHAIR WILEY: Do I have a second?	
18	MR. CARCATERRA: Second.	
19	CHAIR WILEY: All those in favor.	
20	(Chorus of ayes.)	
21	CHAIR WILEY: All those opposed? Any	
22	abstentions?	
23	(No response.)	
24	CHAIR WILEY: The motion carries,	
25	thank you.	

Proceedings

2.4

And we will open with my report as the Chair.

So once again it is my great pleasure and privilege to introduce three new board members to the public, who we're extremely excited about.

I will start with Angela Fernandez.

Angela is an attorney and the executive director of the Northern Manhattan

Coalition For Immigrant Rights. And she is a graduate of Columbia Law School, which I think speaks well of her character -- I say that from a completely unbiased position -- she has been a significant leader in the area of human rights and immigrants' rights.

And I won't go through her entire
bio, but I will note that she was at the
center of human rights legal aid in Cairo,
Egypt; she's founded and managed
elementary schools in the South Bronx and
Washington, D.C.; she has taught women's
studies to detainees in Rikers Island;
she has chaired the Dominican Day

Proceedings

2.4

Parade; she's work in government; she's worked in relationship with the not-for-profit community-based sector.

We're extremely honored to have you as a board member. She's a mayoral appointee.

I would also like to introduce Fred Davie. He's the executive -- he's actually the vice president and secretary to the Board of Trustees for Union Theological Seminary. He's also been the interim ED for the Arcus Foundation, as well as the senior director of social justice and LGBT programs.

He's also been appointed by President Obama to serve on the White House Council on faith-based and neighborhood partnerships. I could go on and on, I'll stop there, and there's much on all of our board members on the web, but again thank you, Mr. Davie, for joining us. He's also a mayoral appointee.

And last, but far from least, we have Marbe Stahly-Butts, who's the deputy director for Racial Justice at the Center

Proceedings

2.4

for Popular Democracy. She has been a Soros Justice Fellow, which is a program of the Open Society Foundation, working on police reform. She's a Yale Law School graduate, and we'll not hold that against her because we do not discriminate here. She also has a Masters in African Studies from Oxford, she's worked in Zimbabwe, she's taught in South Africa, she's a criminal justice expert and has been doing that in many capacities. So thank you, as well. And is the City Council appointee for Brooklyn, which does stand her in good stead.

So thank you all for joining again.

This is actually the full board of the

CCRB, we're short only two slots, but this

is every single currently appointed board

member. So thank you for coming.

I'm just going to make two other quick points that I think are important. We have been -- we have heard both from the public in these meetings, the importance of having dialogue around

Proceedings

police reform and around what is happening in communities. I have been saying that this is something we're looking at and talking about as a board and a staff. I'd like to acknowledge the Staff that's here, because many of our staff members are here in the audience, and doing a wonderful job talking to us about these. It is my great hope that we'll have the next board meeting actually in a borough, probably Brooklyn, but we're going to start by looking at boroughs in which we have a high number of CCRB complaints so we can actually have a public discussion in places where we're seeing more activity.

We'll be in touch with you more about that. But again, we'll strive to do that for the November meeting. So please keep your eyes open for the notice about location for that. If we do not get it done in November, we will get it done for December. But it's my great hope that we can get that done for November, and there will be more to come.

2.4

Proceedings

And lastly, another issue that's come up in board meetings and is an important issue is the Taser report that the Staff the Policy Unit has been working actively on. We will be releasing that report by the end of next week, and so please keep your eyes open for that. It's really very close to final, and I'm very excited that it will be forthcoming. So that will be by the end of next week.

And I will stop there and turn it over to -- I'll open to the Board for any questions or comments on my report before I turn it to the Executive Director.

(No response.)

CHAIR WILEY: Hearing none, Ms. Malik.

EXEC. DIR. MALIK: Good evening. My name is Mina Malik, and I'm Executive Director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board.

Our next meeting will take place on November 9th, and tonight I would like to discuss matters pertaining to the

Proceedings

2.4

operations of our agency, and provide you with highlights from our Monthly
Statistical Report. For a full review of the agency's statistical report, I would ask you to look at our website.

I'd like to direct your attention to one of the three screens that are in the room. Figure 1, in September 2016 the CCRB initiated 323 new complaints, which reflects a decrease from 388 in August.

With regard to complaints received by type of allegation, comparing September 2015 to September 2016, complaints with a discourtesy allegation have decreased by 21 percent, complaints with an offensive language allegation decreased by 43 percent, complaints with an abuse of authority allegation have decreased 21 percent, and complaints with a force allegation have decreased 29 percent.

In Figure 2, our open docket analysis shows in December the CCRB's total open dockets was 1,079 cases. By the end of September, 591 of these cases were in the

Proceedings

investigations division, representing 55 percent of the total, down from 619 in August 2016.

Of the total docket, 363 cases were pending board and/or executive staff review, representing 34 percent, up from 319 cases pending review in August.

The mediation program handled 109

cases, representing 10 percent of the open docket, down from 124 cases in August.

There are 16 cases on DA hold. The September 2016 docket includes 12 reopened cases, 10 are in active investigations and 1 is currently pending board review.

The CCRB continues to close its cases more efficiently. Of the cases that remain in the CCRB active docket,
95 percent have been open for four months or less and 99 percent have been open for seven months or less. These docket numbers continue to represent the best docket numbers in the agency's 23-year history.

Our investigators closed 1,196 full

Proceedings

2.4

investigations from January through
September of 2016. Year-to-date the
average days to close a full investigation
has decreased 55 percent from 2014 before
the institution of reforms. In September
2016, the CCRB fully investigated
43 percent of the cases it closed and
resolved 59 percent of the cases it
closed. The truncation rate was
40 percent in September.

A few other key statistics for the month of September. If you look at Figure 3 on the screen. The September case substantiation rate was 26 percent. With regard to fully investigated allegations in September, the Board substantiated 5 percent of force allegations, 24 percent of abuse of authority allegations, 12 percent of discourtesy allegations, and 22 percent of offensive language allegations.

Investigations with video evidence substantiated allegations in 31 percent of cases compared to 24 percent of

Proceedings

2.4

substantiated cases in which video was not available. The discipline rate for non-APU cases was 98 percent in September for cases in which police misconduct was substantiated by the Board and sent to the Police Department Advocate's Office with penalty recommendations. The Department's decline to prosecute rate for non-APU cases in September was zero.

In September, the police commissioner finalized penalty decisions against 6 officers in APU cases; 5 of them were guilty verdicts, one by the Administrative Prosecution Unit, and 1 case was a not guilty after trial. The Administrative Prosecution Unit has conducted trials against 99 officers year-to-date and trials against 9 officers in September.

In the month of September, the Agency released its semiannual report, as well as its new website along with a Data

Transparency Initiative, or DTI. The DTI enables visitors to view and interact with CCRB data in ways that are more engaging

Proceedings

and machine readable, and has received overwhelming positive feedback.

The DTI represents an unprecedented level of transparency in the agency's 23-year history, and has really been a response to the public's request for more transparency. It's my hope that our new interactive website along with the rich body of data that it holds, will help better serve New Yorkers.

Additionally in the month of September, the Agency opened a Twitter account at #CCRB_NYC, in order to inform and engage with New Yorkers about events and happenings at the CCRB.

And finally, the CCRB was featured in the monthly NYCHA journal, which will allow us to reach residents living in over 300 NYCHA resident associations.

So there's been a lot of activity in the Agency and outside the Agency this month. And I welcome the new board members, Ms. Stahly-Butts, Mr. Davie, Ms. Fernandez, thank you so much for

Proceedings

joining us. There have been a lot of positive changes over the last year and a half to two years, and we hope with your support we continue all of those positive changes.

CHAIR WILEY: I will open up to the Board members for any questions or comments on the Executive Director's report.

MS. ARCHER: A few questions.

The first was a few meetings ago,

Jonathan Darche talked about delays in

serving charges on police officers where

charges were recommended by the Board. Is

there any update on whether or not that

time delay has shrunk or whether or not

the Police Department has responded

further about that?

And second, you mentioned that CCRB was featured in the NYCHA journal. Can you explain what that means to be featured in the journal?

EXEC. DIR. MALIK: So with respect to the decrease in the service of charges on

Proceedings

2.4

police officers, the Police Department has worked towards better time frames in terms of service of charges to the officers.

And I'd be happy to provide you with an update as to those numbers in the next board meeting, but they have been working towards decreasing the amount of time it takes to serve the charges.

And with respect to the NYCHA
journal, I did a question and answer where
I provided answers to certain questions
that were provided by the NYCHA journal
just regarding our operations and how to
file a complaint, what the services are
that we offer here at the CCRB.

MS. ARCHER: Thank you.

CHAIR WILEY: Also with regard to serving charges, just as a reminder both to the Board and the public, that despite delays we have not had a statute of limitations issue in terms of the complaints. I think it's important to note that that has not been a problem.

Any other questions or comments for

Proceedings

the Executive Director?

2.4

(No response.)

CHAIR WILEY: Okay. Hearing none, I will ask the Executive Director, do you want to introduce the next speaker?

EXEC. DIR. MALIK: Absolutely. So we have a speaker tonight, civil rights attorney, Andrea Ritchie. And she's a very noted civil rights advocate, I'll let her tell you all about herself and give a little background from -- about her.

But she was invited here tonight because she wants to talk about sexual misconduct complaints against members of service in the NYPD. And this issue has been a very important issue for the public, and I'm happy that she's here to address it tonight. And I think that from her presentation that she's going to give in approximately ten minutes, there will be a lot of positive feedback, there will be a lot of discussion, and a lot of questions raised with respect to what she has to offer.

Proceedings

So if you could tell us a little about yourself, Ms. Ritchie, and give us your presentation.

MS. RITCHIE: Thank you so much.

Good evening, everyone. My name is
Andrea Ritchie, I'm a Soros Justice Fellow
also along with Ms. Stahly-Butts. I'm an
attorney, and while we're on the topic, a
proud graduate of Howard University School
of Law, and a researcher who has spent the
past 25 years working on issues of
profiling and policing of women, girls,
and LGBT/Q people of color.

So I do want to thank Director Malik and Deputy Director Charles for affording me the opportunity to speak before you this evening on the question of sexual misconduct by law enforcement officers.

Historically and currently, it's my understanding that the CCRB rarely investigates complaints involving police sexual harassment and assault on a widespread basis, and instead refers such cases to the Internal Affairs Department

Proceedings

2.4

in the vast majority of cases. So I'm here tonight to make a case for the CCRB to vigorously and systematically exercise its jurisdiction over complaints of police sexual misconduct.

So let me start by being clear about what I'm talking about when I refer to police sexual misconduct and police sexual violence. According to a 2011 report by the International Association of Chiefs of Police, police sexual misconduct spans a range of behaviors, including sexual harassment toward members of public, taking unwarranted photos or videos.

So to give an example of this, for instance, NYPD Officer Carlos Becker admitted at one point to taking videos of a woman named Erica Noonan's lower body while she used a restroom in the precinct because she had a hot body, that's the kind of unwarranted video and photo I'm talking about here.

Sexual humiliation or degradation during frisks and searches. Young women

Proceedings

2.4

in New York City often refer to Stop and Frisk as stop and grope, that's how frequently this takes place. Unwarranted traffic stops or street stops or callbacks or searches or strip searches. Sexual assault, forcible rape.

Extortion of sex in exchange for leniency. So there have been a number of cases, for instance, in which NYPD officers were found to have asked women for sexual favors in exchange for tearing up a summons or traffic ticket or offered to not take someone into custody for drug or prostitution offense, for instance, or disorderly conduct in exchange for sexual acts.

Off-duty sexual violence facilitated by the badge. So often officers come into contact with women while on duty. A young woman I worked with, for instance, said the officer grabbed her cell phone, texted himself from it, and then proceeded to send her unwanted and increasingly sexual -- increasingly violently sexual

Proceedings

2.4

text messages, presumably while he was off-duty. Other cases that have come to light, officers have met women on-duty and pursued them, followed them home, and engaged in sexual misconduct while off-duty.

And finally the IACP includes consensual on-duty sexual activity. I think while similarly raising the question about whether it could ever be consensual while someone wearing a gun with the power to arrest you is engaging in sexual conduct with you.

But researchers across the country agree that while the issue of police sexual misconduct is often invisible in public discourse around racial profiling and police violence, it's both highly prevalent and systemic. It, in fact, represents the second most frequently reported form of police misconduct after excessive force, but it's certainly not the second most frequently talked about.

So the question is, what do we know

Proceedings

2.4

about who is targeted? So national research funded by the National Institutes of Justice, as well as research conducted by community organizations across the country, including many here in New York City, reveals that the targets are primarily women, although some men are also targeted. I once met a client who told me about being forced to give a detective a blow job at gun point.

Mostly we're talking about police officers targeting black, Latina, Asian, and indigenous women, young women, LGBT/Q youth, lesbian, bi-sexual transgender women, people who are or believed to be using controlled substances, people who are or believed to be involved in the sex trades, people with criminal records, ironically and unfortunately, survivors of domestic and sexual violence, and female officers.

And with the exception of the last category, female officers, it's generally understood that officers will target and

Proceedings

single out women who are not likely to be believed if they come forward.

In terms of where it takes place.

Research indicates that it takes place on the streets, whether it's in the context of traffic stops, where the process is so prevalent that researchers have coined the term "driving while female," to describe the pattern of police sexual harassment and assault of female motorists, and during the streets during Stop and Frisk.

So, many of you who have been present during the City Council hearing on Stop and Frisk in 2013, may have heard many young women come forward and talk about their experiences of sexual harassment during the stops and frisks.

It takes place in police cruisers
often on the way to the precinct or lockup.
In homes where police officers are
responding to calls for assistance or
conducting welfare checks. In police
detention facilities and in precincts in
Central Booking. Sadly, in the context of

Proceedings

2.4

youth engagement programs. One national study found that over a third of allegations of police sexual misconduct took place in the context of police explorer programs.

And particularly in the context of prostitution/lewd conduct enforcement.

And this came to light on a national stage in the context of the US Department of Justice investigation of the Baltimore Police Department, and it's something that's been documented time and time again across the country. And often when people in the sex trades do come forward to complain, as they did in Baltimore and have in New York City, their complaints go uninvestigated by police departments as the DOJ highlighted in Baltimore.

In Eugene Oregon, where two police officers were found to have sexually abused dozens of women over a period of years, notations on investigative files dismissed their allegations as the grumblings of junkies and prostitutes. I

Proceedings

2.4

say that because that's unfortunately a very common perception and response.

The current status here in New York
City is that studies by researchers at
CUNY Graduate Center have found that 2 in
5 young women, 2 in 5 young women, report
sexual harassment by NYPD officers; 1 in 5
LGBT/Q youth report sexual attention from
NYPD officers, making them more than twice
as likely to report sexual misconduct than
their heterosexual peers; 12 percent of
LGBT/Q youth reported being touched
inappropriately during searches by NYPD
officers; and 10 percent of people in just
one Bronx neighborhood had heard police
say something of a sexual nature about
them or someone in their neighborhood.

And earlier this year, the Legal Aid Society identified over 50 cases of police sexual misconduct by NYPD officers over a ten-year period just that they had become aware of. And their cases include allegations of rape, including of survivors of sexual violence and domestic

Proceedings

2.4

violence; fondling during frisks with extortion of sex. In one case an officer extorted sex from a woman following a drug raid threatening to turn her children over to ACS if she didn't comply, and forced her to perform oral sex on him in the precinct bathroom. Sexting with minors and sexual harassment of fellow officers.

Yet currently in New York City,
there's no official data collection on the
prevalence of police sexual misconduct.
There's no NYPD policy explicitly
prohibiting sexual harassment, assault,
and misconduct. There's no specific
protocol governing how complaints of
sexual misconduct will be investigated or
received or processed. And there are no
records of officers who have been
dismissed or decertified for sexual
misconduct.

Some of you may have read earlier this year the Associated Press did an investigation nationwide finding over 1,000 officers who had been decertified

Proceedings

for engaging in police sexual misconduct over a six-year period. And the author said this number is unquestionably an undercount. And one of the reasons they said that was because they couldn't get any data from places like New York City, which has the largest law enforcement agency in the nation.

There's also currently no plan in place for the NYPD to come in to compliance with the mandates of the Prison Rape Elimination Act for police lockups, which require, among other things, that survivors of sexual violence in police lockups, including at the hands of police, have multiple ways to privately report abuse; verbally, in writing anonymously, and from third-parties, and that they must have a method to report abuse to an entity outside of the Agency, and that there must be audits conducted every three years by an external auditor, so this is where you come in CCRB.

Investigations. As I said earlier,

Proceedings

2.4

sexual misconduct are largely conducted by the NYPD Internal Affairs Department. This gives rise to a number of issues, including intimidation. Survivors report intimidation by investigating officers banging on their doors at 3:00 a.m., coming to their places of work, taking aggressive tones, treating them as if they're suspects, reminding them that they may have engaged in criminal conduct at the time the sexual conduct took place, and at best people have reported the lack of training by investigating officers on how to investigate police sexual assault.

Many fear retaliation by the police.

Former Police Chief, Penny Harrington, in

Portland, has said -- she was also the

founder of The National Center of Women

in Policing, said "the women are terrified,

who are they going call? It's the police

who are abusing them."

Another police chief in Sarasota,

Florida said, "it's so underreported and
people are scared that if they call to

Proceedings

2.4

complain about a police officer, they think every other police officer is going to be out there to get them."

One victim said, "I didn't think anyone would believe me. I feel that all the police would work together."

There's also leaks. In a recent case where the IAB was investigating an allegation of sexual violence, an internal leak in the Department foiled the sting that was planned to catch the officer in the act.

Criminal convictions are rare. So for instance, the officer who filmed the woman's body while she was using the restroom that I mentioned earlier, the criminal charges against that officer were dismissed by a Bronx judge who said, "while the officers conduct was insulting, demeaning, and disrespectful, it didn't rise to the level of a crime." And it's unclear whether the Department ever conducted an investigation or disciplined the officer. And if officers are

Proceedings

2.4

convicted, it's often on lesser charges of official misconduct, as was the case, for instance, in a case in 2012 in which Officer Kenneth Moreno was not convicted of rape despite being caught on tape admitting to returning three times to the home of a woman he had supposedly helped back into her apartment, because she was intoxicated, in order to have sex with her. And the criminal charges failed in that case, at least, except for a misdemeanor offense, official misconduct.

So you're all, of course, very familiar with your own mandate. And I just would submit that it indisputably extends to cases of police sexual misconduct. They implicate pretextual stops. They implicate excessive force. They're unquestioningly an abuse of authority. And they often involve slurs relating to gender, sexual orientation, and race, among others.

So I submit to you the CCRB is not only empowered to investigate cases of

Proceedings

sexual misconduct, it's the best agency for the job. The Commission on Police Corruption in New York City has documented cases of sexual extortion, but it's not in their power to investigate or recommend discipline in individual cases as you are.

What's needed for the CCRB to exercise this jurisdiction effectively is a public awareness campaign. Every single time I've spoken about this issue publicly, someone has inevitably come forward to me and told me a story of police sexual violence they've never told anyone before. When I asked them, Why? They said, Who was I going to tell? If I didn't want to tell the police, who was going to listen to me?

So there needs to be a public awareness campaign that makes it clear that the City takes this issue seriously, and the Civilian Complaint Review Board is a place where people can safely come to make a complaint. In order to make that true, there needs to be training of the

Proceedings

2.4

investigators here and resources for survivors that deal with the specific trauma of being sexually assaulted by someone who's out there to protect you, including from sexual assault.

There needs to be the possibility of filing anonymous complaints. This is a particular kind of police misconduct that's susceptible to being investigated without the victim necessarily being involved; through stings, through show-ups, through tracking, for instance. Every time an officer has come into contact with a woman, what those arrests have looked like, how those cases have been resolved. That's how Oklahoma City Police Officer Daniel Holtzclaw was caught engaging in sexual misconduct.

And also the CCRB must track and report data, and use its policy power to make recommendations that will reduce the prevalence of police sexual misconduct.

The NYPD needs to follow the IACP mandate for Zero Tolerance Policy. It needs to

Proceedings

2.4

come into compliance with the President's
Task Force recommendations on 21st century
policing, which requires departments to
come up with policies. And this was a
recommendation of the New York City
Council, the Young Women's Initiative,
which Madame Chair was intimately involved
in, and it's certainly something that the
young women organizations across the City
have been asking for for some time.

And also the DOJ recently put out a guidance on reducing gender bias in law enforcement response to sexual assault, which also recommended that departments, in doing so, come up with a policy to address sexual assault by law enforcement officers.

So to conclude, as one of the largest and longest standing civilian oversight agencies in the country, the CCRB is particularly well placed to show leadership in tackling this issue head on, and paving the way for oversight bodies across the country to follow your lead in

Proceedings

2.4

addressing this issue in this unique and very devastating form of police violence.

I look forward to answering any questions or comments you might have, and look forward to the Board taking action to exercise its jurisdiction to investigate and address police sexual misconduct in New York City. Thank you.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

I want to actually start with a question for the Staff. And let me preface the question by saying obviously in any sector of society, any allegation of this type of misconduct is absolutely unacceptable, whether it's the Police Department, any other government agencies, private sector, it's obviously a conversation we're having across the country in our presidential election cycle, it's unacceptable.

The question I actually have for the Staff, which the Staff may not be able to answer, and Ms. Charles I'll direct it to you but recognize you may have to answer

Proceedings

2.4

it separately. Do we have statistics about how many allegations we are receiving that include sexual misconduct? And if we do, the statistics of how many we are actually processing versus referring?

DR. CHARLES: So we actually don't receive any cases that have do with sexual misconduct. We don't have any data to answer that question. The best we can do at this point in time is talk about our numbers with respect to general force cases, which includes a variety of physical and other aspects.

We also have data regarding serious injuries, but again, that covers a wide swath as well. So nothing with respect to specific sexual misconduct.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

MS. ARCHER: Question for either Dr. Charles or Ms. Ritchie. In your research or in your general research, do you know if there are other civilian oversight agencies that handle this type

Proceedings

of allegation?

2.4

MS. RITCHIE: I'm not aware of any, and I think the reason is similar to the reason why you don't receive them, is because people either they get immediately referred to the Police Department or people think there's only one place to report, which is why the first thing I named was the importance of a public awareness campaign. Because people think the only place to take that is sexual misconduct, 'cause if they went even to your beautiful new website, they wouldn't see police sexual misconduct listed as something you receive complaints on.

DR. CHARLES: So the Policy Unit has actually been tracking other civilian oversight agencies across the country and what their jurisdictions are, other aspects of them. We know for a fact that there's not one that deals with sexual misconduct.

CHAIR WILEY: Other board members have questions or comments?

Proceedings

MR. PUMA: I have a question.

You also mentioned kind of a deficit, in terms of the PD side of this story, in terms of policies and track -- and data tracking, et cetera.

I know from your bio that there is an LGBT/Q Advisory Council, of which you're a member, and I know many other members of that body. Just curious, what's the status of -- I'm assuming that advisory body would be interested in making recommendations to the PD about this, and I wanted to understand any sense of whether that's gaining any traction?

MS. RITCHIE: So as you can imagine
I've raised this issue at every meeting
I've had with the police commissioner,
Commissioner Kelly and Commissioner
Bratton. At one point when we were
negotiating patrol guide changes around
interactions with LGBT/Q people,
Commissioner Kelly's then Counsel,
Catherine Lemire, was appalled to discover
there was no policy, and to please follow

Proceedings

up with me. But then left shortly
thereafter, and I haven't been able to get
a response from the Department since. And
so we'll be following up soon and then the
recommendation of the Young Women's
Initiative that the Department move on
this, and I think the President's Task
Force has made this clear, this is not an
option.

And so I would say the CCRB has been the first of many on many fronts, and I encourage you to be a first on this one as well. Thank you.

CHAIR WILEY: Just in closing, I'll make one other reference.

I also hope there's conversation with the Commission on gender equity for the City, because obviously these issues also fall under its jurisdiction and those issues it takes up in terms of things that can happen from the Mayor's Office standpoint on issues of gender equity.

Obviously we certainly can and will raise the question about what policies

Proceedings

2.4

exist within NYPD, sometimes we do find there are policies in process or discussion that are not always public, but it's certainly a question that we will ask, as well, to understand the status of the internal policy discussion within the NYPD. But thank you for your time.

EXEC. DIR. MALIK: I'd also like to add, if I may, that I want to thank you, Ms. Ritchie, for being here today. I know that this topic was an extension of the work that we did for -- in reaching out to the LGBT/Q community, with last November's luncheon forum, as well as this past June's LGBT/Q symposium. And I appreciate you being part of the conversation.

Historically I recognize that this agency has not been so -- in so much outreach to the LGBT/Q community, and I thank you for being here tonight and for presenting and for continuing the conversation, including when we were at the White House a few weeks ago during the LGBT/Q Criminal Justice Briefing.

1 Proceedings 2 CHAIR WILEY: Ms. Malik, do you have 3 anything else in your report? EXEC. DIR. MALIK: No. 4 CHAIR WILEY: With that, we will now 5 6 turn to the phase of the meeting where we 7 have public comment. The first person on my list is 8 9 Mr. O'Grady. I'd like to remind the public that we have a five minute limit so 10 11 that we make sure there's time for 12 everyone. 13 Mr. O'Grady. MR. O'GRADY: I thought there was 14 15 someone ahead of me. CHAIR WILEY: There is not. You're 16 17 the first on the list for speaking. MR. O'GRADY: There are some typos. 18 19 Page 19 in the minutes, Line 8, "John Wilkes Booth. Booth shouted -- " shot it, 20 21 S-H-O-T, shot is one word, I-T, is the second word. "Booth shot it out with 22 23 Grant's authority." This information, 24 mind you, is provided by Grant's tomb. And Line 9, "Mary Surrat --" 25

Proceedings

2.4

A-S-K-E-D, "-- asked to go into conference with the Roman Catholic priests." This information is provided by Grant's tomb.

Line 13, "and Donis Morfesis."

Morfesis is spelled, M-O-R-F-E-S-I-S,

M-O-R-F-E-S-I-S. The District Attorney in

Harlem is saying that's a Greek name.

Okay, thank you. What I wanted to say for tonight, William Jefferson "Bill" Clinton name may not be on the ballot, but Bill Clinton calling the shots. This meeting Bill Clinton had with Loretta Lynch, Attorney General, airport runway tarmac. Park Airline is also known as influence peddling, I got the job for you.

It was reported the United States

Congress granted Haiti Earth Tremor

Relief, \$1 billion, with a B. But billion

was awarded to Clinton Foundation for

custodial -- custody. United States

Congressional earth tremor money, Haiti

wound up in possession of one Tony Rodham,

full blood brother, construction company,

Hillary. Tony Rodham, who built six

Proceedings

2.4

residential units on Haiti. It was reported what happened to remaining \$995 million was unknown early October 2016.

Nepotism. Although before my time, a certain well-known English professor decided that certain well-known New York Federal Senator, United States Congress, but constantly refer to -- acknowledging, pointing out, name dropping his brother president to get elected New York Senator, United States Congress. The English professor indicated the newly relocated New York Senator.

Juanita Broaddrick testified Hillary
Rodham Clinton restrained her arms -retrained her arms while her husband, Bill
Clinton, stroke Juanita Broaddrick rectal
area with Bill Clinton penis. Kathleen
Willey has similar testimony. Monica
Lewinsky -- Bill Clinton insert his penis
in Juanita Broaddrick rectal area.
Hillary Clinton restrained Juanita
Broaddrick.

1	Proceedings	
2	CHAIR WILEY: I just want to give you	
3	the one-minute warning.	
4	MR. O'GRADY: Juanita Broaddrick	
5	testified. Juanita Broaddrick testified.	
6	HPD indicated they wanted all	
7	information, everything I have on the	
8	developers, \$100 million switch-off money	
9	Riverton Square residential establishment,	
10	135th Street Fifth Avenue, Manhattan.	
11	Riverton Square Riverton Square	
12	residential establishment computer	
13	building fund. No one apprehended or	
14	detained, information was provided by	
15	Assemblyman Keith L.T. Wright, resident of	
16	Riverton residential establishment,	
17	parking space, 200 automobiles.	
18	CHAIR WILEY: We're at time,	
19	Mr. O'Grady.	
20	MR. O'GRADY: That's been five	
21	minutes?	
22	CHAIR WILEY: Yes, it has. Thank you	
23	so much for your time.	
24	Next we have Chris Dunn.	
25	MR. DUNN: Good evening, everyone. I	

Proceedings

want to say a particular welcome to the new board members. I feel like it's a new day.

For those who don't know me, I'm

Chris Dunn from the New York Civil

Liberties Union. For better or for worse,

you'll see me here month in and month out.

And I -- I guess I have become, what I

characterize, as the institutional memory

of the organization. I've been here

unfortunately longer than all of you, and

I suspect longer than anyone else in the

room. So if you have any questions about

how to run the place, just let me know.

One thing that is important to me, and Maya, when she started she addressed this, and I'm going to ask each of the three new board members to do so. I hear about your backgrounds, they all sound very impressive. What we didn't get a chance to hear from you is any discussion about your interest in policing and what you bring to the CCRB, and I think -- I know the Staff would be interested, I'd be

Proceedings

interested in hearing briefly what your interest is policing is and the perspective on policing you're bringing to the CCRB.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that opportunity.

My name is Angela Fernandez and running an immigrants rights organization that resides in a neighborhood that was the center for the War on Drugs, and had a particular impact on primarily immigrants with Green Cards, who then found themselves after the 1996 immigration reforms, under mandatory deportation based on convictions and based on particular pleas.

And so I approach it through that lens, other lenses as well, but that one primarily. And so that is where my interest in policing and how it impacts immigrants in the City.

MR. DAVIE: I'm Fred Davie.

So my interest in this goes back a long way, partly because I'm an old man.

Proceedings

2.4

But it started in my childhood, growing up as a kid in North Carolina, in the South, coming to understand what it meant for the police and the community not to have very good and very positive relations, and coming to understand then what issues of accountability -- what the issue of accountability meant and how important it was when it comes to public agencies and particularly the Police Department.

But it has morphed into my professional life. At the Ford Foundation, I funded a national program as a replication of a program that got started in Boston, where efforts were made to get the police, the community, clergy, houses of worship, to work together to deal with issues of crime and also issues of -- allegations of police misconduct.

I did a similar project with re-entry work, a national program, again, at Public Private Ventures. More recently, I've chaired the Public Safety Committee for the Mayor's Clergy Advisory Council. And

Proceedings

2.4

just on my own, as an executive at Union Theological Seminary, hosted a number of public conversations about the relationship between police and community that actually included a number of the precincts from the area, as well as community leaders.

So the interest is long, is deep, and I hope that some of that will have some positive bearing on the deliberations of this body.

MS. STAHLY-BUTTS: Hi. I'm Marbe
Stahly-Butts. I think similarly I come
from a background of a community, like
Philadelphia and California, who were
overcriminalized throughout my childhood.
And, to come to it in many ways with family
members, friends, and loved ones, who have
been incarcerated and also been part of
interactions with police throughout that
history.

I work as, since my professional, kind of, legal life started working with a lot of community groups across the

Proceedings

country, including CPR here in New York
City, Black Lives Matter across the
nation, to do police and criminal justice
reform generally. And to speak about it and
really advocate for and provide resources for
folks who are trying to think of community-based
solutions and perspectives around how do we
actually address issues of safety.

MR. DUNN: Okay, that's great. Thank you very much.

Maya, I hope you're not counting that against my time.

CHAIR WILEY: I'm not.

MR. DUNN: Thank you.

So that's terrific, and often times

I'm put in the position of standing up

here and complaining about things, I'm not

going to complain about anything at all. I'm

thrilled you're all here this evening.

Maya mentioned public comments about wanting to have more conversation on policing, that was her polite way of addressing my complaining about the lack of discussion in board meetings about

Proceedings

2.4

policing. And I look forward in the future, particularly with the new members on the Board, to hearing a lot of discussion about policing that's happening in our city and the important issues that it raises, something that's just been entirely absent from the Board public meetings, as far as I'm concerned, for a long period of time.

Maya, you mentioned the issue about going out into the boroughs. And this is a block that the Agency has been around several times. I would just urge you not to confuse physically having a business meeting in a borough with community engagement and creating an environment in which people can come and talk about policing, those are two very different things. And I'm all for the CCRB being in the community and being available to the community, but I think often times it is too easy to think that having your monthly business meeting is the way to do that. And I think there's a very poor track

Proceedings

record on that.

2.4

Finally, in terms of the new day. I will mention, of course, we all know there's a new police commissioner.

Commissioner O'Neill spoke yesterday about police community relations and the importance of that, and I'm hoping to hear from the Board shortly about how this new board is going to engage with the new police commissioner.

And I look forward to you doing new and important things. And I look forward to you being engaged with the Police Department. And perhaps most importantly, I look forward to the CCRB becoming a part of the public conversation in the City about policing, as it should be. Thank you.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

And I just want to both say that we, as a board and staff, appreciated the fact that you were raising the issue of having much more public dialogue. I think there's absolute agreement that the

Proceedings

2.4

idea -- and we'll make mistakes and we'll need to hear feedback on how we can continue to improve. But the idea of the borough-based meetings are not to have the typical business meeting, in order to have much more of a dialogue.

So that's exactly what we're going to try to do. I suspect we'll have many ways to improve it as we experiment with it.

One of the things that we've done is created a new position, that's not yet been filled, but hopefully will be filled soon, which is a senior-level position that, in part, will help support the strategy conversation, both within the Staff and board, as well as with external stakeholders, really utilizing our excellent public outreach unit to have more strategic conversations with stakeholders about how we do that effectively.

So we'll have more staff to help do that. It's one of the things that we've set in place, and hopefully we'll have a

Proceedings

public announcement about that position soon. But it's very much because we took to heart the point that you raised, and others on staff and on the Board also, had raised themselves. So it wasn't just you, but it was actually important, and we appreciated that you raised it.

Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)

CHAIR WILEY: Okay. Thank you.

So then we have last, but far from least, we have Mr. LaSalle, Jose LaSalle.

MR. LASALLE: Hi. Once again, my name is Jose LaSalle.

I'm the founder of Copwatch Patrol
Unit, which is a group of brothers and
sisters, over 100 brothers and sisters,
that go out into the community and
document police and civilian activity on a
daily basis. We have a weekly schedule,
that I've created, and people out there
every single day documenting police.

So our goal is basically to get as much videos as we can to show people that

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

does not come into these communities what we see through the eyes of people that do live in these communities and people that do come into the communities.

One of the issues that we have is that a lot of people don't believe too much on the CCRB, because a lot of serious complaints are referred back to the IAB. I think, and Andrea spoke something about that too, especially dealing with domestic violence and other issues. Dealing with the LGBT/Q community, which we assign people to the 6th Precinct. Three times out of week they patrol the 6th Precinct. We try to accumulate as much evidence as we can before we throw it out there into our social media, which we have a big social media, which is also being followed by the NYPD and by chiefs and all different police agencies.

A lot of these cases that are being sent back to the IAB, we -- a lot of people knows and understand that it's not going to be looked at in the way it should

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So a lot of these cases become cases that probably laying on the desk for years and sometimes they're never even talked So I don't know how the CCRB about again. could extend their way of looking at these cases to the point where they don't have to send it to IAB and they could deal with it themselves, at least some recommendation that will open the windows and door to people and say there is a problem here, and maybe it needs to be So I'm hoping that CCRB could fixed. expand and they don't have to send any of these cases that come to them to the IAB.

Another thing we're having issues with is marches and protests. The Black Lives Matter marches and protests is taking place all over the world, but in New York City one takes place every single Monday, which is called NYC Shut It Down. And basically a lot of protests surface every single day within New York City.

Now we have been documenting SRG, which is a new Strategic Response Group,

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

they have been using their bikes as battering rams toward people that have been peacefully protesting. We have documented videos of that, which we will also be filing complaints and sending these videos to the CCRB and filing complaints as a third-party as witnessing this. And also batons. These batons are being used as a tool to push people back. Many officers are very aggressive to the point they are actually mocking people like they're going to hit them with the baton. This is something that is going to lead later on to police officers assaulting people, like in many other states. And this is something we want to address before it gets to that point.

And we will send to the CCRB 'cause we want to send it to the different channels so there will be no excuse that it never reached us. So when it happens that something does happen terrible, somebody does get killed, assaulted or left badly injured, CCRB, IAB, NYPD Inspector General

Proceedings

cannot say, We never received none of that. So we're trying to cover all those tracks.

So we -- I want to let the CCRB members know that we will be filing complaints on behalf of the Copwatch Patrol Unit and our families against officers that are committing these offenses that we feel are unnecessary, especially in peaceful protests. So starting within next week, you will be getting a whole load of complaints from the Copwatch Patrol Unit.

And we hope that you look into it, and hope that a lot of these complaints don't get sent back to the IAB 'cause we know for a fact that the IAB would lean 90 percent more toward making sure that the NYPD name is not tainted towards the civilians, and that's been proven through history.

One last thing, dealing also with the community, coordinating officers, which is the new NCO program that's been assigned

Proceedings

in the community. We talking about, say for the 46th Precinct there's four sectors --

CHAIR WILEY: Mr. LaSalle, you're at time, but I'll let you finish your point.

MR. LASALLE: So the 46th Precinct, say they have four sectors. We have two NCO officers assigned to one sector, which approximately has close to 30,000 to 40,000 people living in there. It's impossible for officers to get to know these people, and many of these officers, NCO officers, are out there also illegally stopping and harassing people.

So people do not feel safe with their systems out there, and then the new program that O'Neill was talking about pushing out there to bring the community and public and together. The problem with that is that we out there in the field every single day, so we see basically what the CCRB don't see, we see what Chief O'Neill don't see, we see what a lot of people here don't see.

Proceedings

So we want to make sure that people see this, that's why we also creating now our website called Copwatch Patrol Unit, which is copwatchpatrol.org, which we're going to start putting up there what we feel the CCRB is not doing, and what we feel they are doing. We going to put as much evidence as we have. We also going to deal with the NYPD Inspector General, what they've been doing, not doing. And also the IAB. We are going make sure we expose all these agency because we want to make sure they do the job they've been assigned to do.

And finishing with -- we have

three -- so I'm going to give you three

teams we have. The EPM, which is the unit

that is called Expose Police on

Misconduct. We have the SIU, which is the

Special Investigating Unit. And we also

have the PSIU, which is the Police

Shooting Investigation Unit. When a

shooting takes place, we have a team

that's going to go out there at the same

Proceedings

time, which we've been doing, investigating behind the police what happened in that shooting, so we could draw out some facts. And we've been successful in many of that.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

I want to make a couple comments.

One which is, obviously the CCRB is here to receive complaints. So we are always -- that's what we're here for and that's what we will do and we will be looking for those complaints from anyone.

In addition, just to reiterate to the public, that the public does have a right to videotape, and that that is one of the categories that we sometimes get complaints about. But also to say that we also want to make sure the public recognizes this does not come without limits. In other words, there are legitimate circumstances in which police officers can ask people to back up, to stand in a different location. So we also

Proceedings

ask that people be cognisant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We have an outreach unit, and I would welcome people to contact them because it's one of the things they help support the education of community members on, it's both what they're rights are, what kinds of complaints we receive. There's also some guidance about where there are legitimate limits from a policing standpoint with when the police can give instruction about -- at least about where people stand and whether or not they're interfering with a legitimate police activity. So the public education work that the outreach unit does is important and we welcome and invite more connection with that.

I will also just want to ask a fact question for the Staff, and Dr. Charles if you -- again, if you can't answer tonight, you can answer and we'll make it -- it'll be publicly available, of course. Can you tell us how many complaints the CCRB receives that it does not investigate and

Proceedings

refers out to IAB? Since that's how I understand, at least, the concern by Mr. LaSalle.

DR. CHARLES: I can't say offhand, but we do have that data and I can definitely provide that for the next meeting.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

Any other questions or comments from the Board?

MR. DUNN: Going back to Andrea's presentation, I'm curious about something.

I find it really hard to believe you're not getting complaints about sexual misconduct. I mean, it's within your jurisdiction. It would clearly be force or abuse of authority, and it is a widely known problem, I don't know how prevalent, but there's no question it's significant.

I'm quite surprised. I mean, maybe there's some sort of protocol, people think you should go to IAB. But I just really cannot imagine you're not getting complainants coming in, who when they're

Proceedings

interviewed aren't describing something that's got a sexual abuse component to it.

CHAIR WILEY: Dr. Charles, do you want to respond to that?

DR. CHARLES: So two things. First, and I think Andrea can say something about this as well. There's been a lot of research about the fact that sexual misconduct victims are often underreporting such crimes. So for that reason we really don't see a lot come across our table.

Secondly, we can do word searches looking at narratives that come across our table for a particular word; sexual, assault, grope, sexual assault. If we look at those four words I can say from 2011 to 2015 we've had about 96 complaints. But obviously that's a very low number, so that's why we say we really don't understand the scope of the problem because we don't really deal with those cases.

MR. DUNN: Are you, as a matter of

Proceedings

2.4

practice or policy, when you identify cases as such, sending it to IAB and not investigating?

DR. CHARLES: I think Thomas Kim can speak to that from investigations.

MR. KIM: There's complexity that I won't go into, but when a statement is made, sexual comment, such as what you brought up in terms of stop, then we investigate that as a stop. So that's why this is little bit different than what we are -- the layers we have to get to, in terms of how many cases, may involve sexual comments, such as. Then we may investigate under the D or O under F-A-D-O.

However, in terms of actual -- we don't conduct criminal investigations.

And I think that's something that the Board would have to decide and it's a bigger discussion. Henceforth, even though corruption falls under abuse of authority, we're not involved in corruption investigation. So that ultimate decision is something that the

Proceedings

Board has to decide, and then as a staff and as an agency we can justify it at that point.

CHAIR WILEY: But part of what I hear you saying, Mr. Kim, and I'm going to restate this in my own words and see if this is accurate.

There is no protocol within the Agency that automatically sends a complaint to IAB and does not investigate if it has some sexual conduct alleged in it, that is not something we're just necessarily by definition refusing to investigate?

MR. KIM: Correct.

Such as -- this goes into the same type of situation such as racial profiling, we investigate the allegation of stop or abuse of authority or related to discourtesy and so on. However, if somebody says, I was stopped and I was raped, that would be spun off to IAB, the rape portion of it.

CHAIR WILEY: Thank you.

Proceedings

2.4

Okay. I appreciate the questions and the Staff responding. So this concludes our --

MS. RITCHIE: Just a starting point could be then flagging one, what those complaints are that you have identified that might have a sexual component to them, and accumulating whatever data there is about that. And then starting to explicitly track those cases as they come in, could be a starting point.

CHAIR WILEY: All right.

MR. O'GRADY: A Harlem woman reported that she and her husband, they work for a military contractor. Her husband used to go to work every morning, a cop used to pull them over and take two dollars from his lunch money. The cop would explain to the husband that if he give him a ticket it would be a much larger sum of money, so he had to give him two dollars out of his lunch money. Harlem woman said this happened to her husband when they were constructing a military — they worked for

		65		
1	Proceedings			
2	a military contractor.			
3	CHAIR WILEY: Thank you, Mr. O'Grady.			
4	That concludes the public comment section			
5	of the meeting.			
6	We are at the old business section of			
7	the meeting. Do I have any old business?			
8	(No response.)			
9	CHAIR WILEY: Hearing none. Is there			
10	any new business?			
11	(No response.)			
12	CHAIR WILEY: Okay. Hearing none,			
13	then I will conclude the public portion			
14	of our meeting.			
15	I will ask for a motion to go into			
16	Executive Session of the Board. Do I have			
17	a motion?			
18	MS. ARCHER: So moved.			
19	MR. PEGUERO: Second.			
20	CHAIR WILEY: All those in favor.			
21	(Chorus of ayes.)			
22	CHAIR WILEY: All those opposed? Any			
23	abstentions?			
24	(No response.)			
25	CHAIR WILEY: All right. Thank you			

		66
1	Proceedings	
2	very much to the public for your time and	
3	attention, and we look forward to seeing	
4	you in the future meetings.	
5	(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	67	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
4) ss.:	
5	COUNTY OF QUEENS)	
6		
7	I, NICOLE ELLIS, a Notary Public for and	
8	within the State of New York, do hereby certify:	
9	I reported the proceedings in the	
10	within-entitled matter, and that the foregoing	
11	transcript is a true record of such proceedings.	
12	I further certify that I am not related to	
13	any of the parties to this action by blood or by	
14	marriage and that I am in no way interested in the	
15	outcome of this matter.	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
17	hand this 19th day of October 2016.	
18		
19		
20	NICOLE ELLIS	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

A-S-K-E-D (1) 40:2 a.m (1) 27:7 able (2) 33:23 37:3 absent (1) 48:8 absolute (1) 49:25 absolutely (2) 16:7 33:15 abstentions (2) 3:22 65:23 abuse (9) 9:18 11:19 26:18,20 29:20 60:18 61:3 62:22 63:20 abused (1) 23:22 abusing (1) 27:22 account (1) 13:14 accountability (2) 45:8,9 accumulate (1) 52:16 accumulating (1) 64:9 accurate (1) 63:8 **acknowledge (2)** 3:6 7:6 acknowledging (1) 41:10 **ACS (1)** 25:6 act (2) 26:13 28:13 action (2) 33:6 67:13 active (2) 10:14,18 actively (1) 8:5 activity (5) 7:16 13:21 20:9 51:20 59:15 acts (1) 19:17 actual (1) 62:17 add (1) 38:10 addition (1) 58:15 **Additionally (1)** 13:12 address (5) 16:19 32:16 33:8 47:9 54:17 addressed (1) 43:17 addressing (2) 33:2 47:24 **Administrative (2)** 12:14,16 admitted (1) 18:18 admitting (1) 29:7 adoption (2) 1:17 3:13 advisory (3) 36:8,11 45:25 advocate (2) 16:10 47:6 Advocate's (1) 12:7 Affairs (2) 17:25 27:3 affording (1) 17:16 **Africa (1)** 6:10 African (1) 6:8 agencies (6) 32:21 33:17 34:25 35:19 45:10 52:21 agency (13) 9:2 12:20 13:13,22,22 26:9,21 30:2 38:19 48:13 57:13 63:3.10 agency's (3) 9:5 10:23 13:5 **AGENDA (1)** 1:15 aggressive (2) 27:9 54:11 ago (2) 14:12 38:24 agree (1) 20:16

agreement (1) 49:25

```
ahead (1) 39:15
aid (2) 4:20 24:19
Airline (1) 40:15
airport (1) 40:14
allegation (9) 9:13,15,17,19,21
  28:10 33:14 35:2 63:19
allegations (11) 11:17,19,20,21,22
  11:24 23:4,24 24:24 34:3 45:20
alleged (1) 63:12
allow (1) 13:19
amount (1) 15:8
analysis (1) 9:22
and/or (1) 10:6
Andrea (5) 2:21 16:9 17:7 52:10
 61:7
Andrea's (1) 60:12
Angela (4) 2:12 4:8,9 44:8
announcement (1) 51:2
anonymous (1) 31:8
anonymously (1) 26:18
answer (6) 15:11 33:24,25 34:11
  59:21,22
answering (1) 33:4
answers (1) 15:12
apartment (1) 29:9
appalled (1) 36:24
appointed (2) 5:15 6:19
appointee (3) 5:6,22 6:13
appreciate (2) 38:16 64:2
appreciated (2) 49:22 51:8
appreciative (1) 3:7
apprehended (1) 42:13
approach (1) 44:18
approximately (2) 16:21 56:10
APU (1) 12:13
ARCHER (5) 2:7 14:11 15:17 34:21
  65:18
Arcus (1) 5:12
area (4) 4:16 41:20,23 46:7
arms (2) 41:17,18
arrest (1) 20:13
arrests (1) 31:15
Asian (1) 21:13
asked (3) 19:11 30:15 40:2
asking (1) 32:11
aspects (2) 34:15 35:21
assault (10) 17:23 19:7 22:11
  25:14 27:15 31:6 32:14,17 61:17
  61:17
assaulted (2) 31:4 54:24
assaulting (1) 54:15
Assemblyman (1) 42:15
assign (1) 52:13
assigned (3) 55:25 56:9 57:15
assistance (1) 22:22
Associated (1) 25:23
Association (1) 18:11
```

associations (1) 13:20 assuming (1) 36:11 attention (3) 9:7 24:9 66:3 attorney (5) 4:9 16:9 17:9 40:7,14 **audience (1)** 7:8 auditor (1) 26:23 audits (1) 26:22 August (4) 9:11 10:4,8,11 author (1) 26:3 authority (7) 9:19 11:20 29:21 39:23 60:18 62:23 63:20 automatically (1) 63:10 automobiles (1) 42:17 available (3) 12:3 48:21 59:23 Avenue (1) 42:10 average (1) 11:4 awarded (1) 40:20 aware (2) 24:23 35:3 awareness (3) 30:10,20 35:11 ayes (2) 3:20 65:21

В **B (2)** 1:11 40:19 back (8) 29:9 44:24 52:9,23 54:10 55:17 58:24 60:12 background (2) 16:12 46:15 **backgrounds (1)** 43:20 **badge (1)** 19:19 badly (1) 54:24 ballot (1) 40:11 **Baltimore (3)** 23:11,16,19 banging (1) 27:7 based (2) 44:15,16 basically (3) 51:24 53:22 56:22 basis (2) 17:24 51:21 bathroom (1) 25:8 **baton (1)** 54:13 batons (2) 54:9,9 **battering (1)** 54:3 bearing (1) 46:11 beautiful (1) 35:14 **Becker (1)** 18:17 becoming (1) 49:16 behalf (1) 55:7 **behaviors (1)** 18:13 believe (3) 28:6 52:7 60:14 **believed (3)** 21:16,18 22:3 best (4) 10:22 27:13 30:2 34:11 better (3) 13:11 15:3 43:7 bi-sexual (1) 21:15 bias (1) 32:13 big (1) 52:18 bigger (1) 62:21 bikes (1) 54:2 **Bill (6)** 40:10,12,13 41:18,20,22 billion (2) 40:19,19 bio (2) 4:19 36:7

bit (1) 62:12 black (3) 21:13 47:3 53:17 block (1) 48:13 **blood (2)** 40:24 67:13 blow (1) 21:11 board (42) 1:2 2:2 3:4,9,11 4:5 5:6 5:10,20 6:17,19 7:5,10 8:3,13,22 10:6,15 11:17 12:6 13:23 14:8,15 15:7,20 30:22 33:6 35:24 43:3,19 47:25 48:4,8 49:9,10,22 50:17 51:5 60:11 62:20 63:2 65:16 bodies (1) 32:24 body (7) 13:10 18:19,21 28:16 36:10,12 46:12 Booking (1) 22:25 Booth (3) 39:20,20,22 borough (2) 7:11 48:16 borough-based (1) 50:5 boroughs (2) 7:13 48:12 **Boston (1)** 45:16 Bratton (1) 36:20 **Briefing (1)** 38:25 briefly (1) 44:2 bring (2) 43:24 56:19 bringing (1) 44:4 Broaddrick (6) 41:16,19,23,25 42:4,5 Bronx (3) 4:22 24:16 28:19 **Brooklyn (2)** 6:14 7:12 brother (2) 40:24 41:11 brothers (2) 51:17,18 brought (1) 62:10 **building (1)** 42:13 built (1) 40:25 business (8) 1:24,25 48:15,24 50:6 65:6,7,10

C

C (2) 67:1,1 Cairo (1) 4:20 California (1) 46:16 call (4) 1:16 3:2 27:21,25 callbacks (1) 19:5 called (3) 53:21 57:4,19 calling (1) 40:12 calls (1) 22:22 campaign (3) 30:10,20 35:11 capacities (1) 6:12 **CARCATERRA (2)** 2:9 3:18 **Cards (1)** 44:13 Carlos (1) 18:17 Carolina (1) 45:3 carries (1) 3:24 case (8) 11:15 12:15 18:3 25:3 28:8 29:3,4,12 cases (40) 9:24,25 10:5,8,10,11,12 10:14,16,17 11:8,9,25 12:2,4,5,9

12:13 17:25 18:2 19:10 20:3 24:20,23 29:17,25 30:5,7 31:16 34:9,14 52:22 53:2,2,7,15 61:24 62:3,14 64:11 catch (1) 28:12 categories (1) 58:18 category (1) 21:24 Catherine (1) 36:24 Catholic (1) 40:3 caught (2) 29:6 31:18 cause (3) 35:13 54:19 55:17 CCRB (34) 6:18 7:14 9:10 10:16,18 11:7 12:25 13:16,17 14:20 15:16 17:21 18:3 26:24 29:24 30:8 31:20 32:21 37:11 43:24 44:5 48:20 49:16 52:8 53:5,13 54:7,19 54:25 55:5 56:23 57:7 58:10 59:24 CCRB's (1) 9:23 CCRB_NYC (1) 13:14 cell (1) 19:22 center (5) 4:20 5:25 24:6 27:19 44:11 Central (1) 22:25 century (1) 32:3 certain (3) 15:12 41:7,8 certainly (4) 20:23 32:9 37:24 38:5 certify (2) 67:8,12 cetera (1) 36:6 Chair (38) 1:18 3:2,17,19,21,24 4:3 8:17 14:7 15:18 16:4 32:8 33:10 34:20 35:24 37:15 39:2,5,16 42:2 42:18,22 47:14 49:20 51:11 56:5 58:8 60:9 61:4 63:5,25 64:13 65:3,9,12,20,22,25 chaired (2) 4:25 45:24 **Chairperson (2)** 1:12 2:3 chance (1) 43:22 changes (3) 14:3,6 36:21 channels (1) 54:21 character (1) 4:14 characterize (1) 43:10 charges (9) 14:14,15,25 15:4,9,19 28:18 29:2,11 Charles (10) 17:16 33:24 34:8,22 35:17 59:20 60:5 61:4,6 62:5 checks (1) 22:23 chief (3) 27:17,23 56:23 chiefs (2) 18:11 52:20 childhood (2) 45:2 46:17 children (1) 25:5 Chorus (2) 3:20 65:21 Chris (3) 2:19 42:24 43:6 Church (1) 1:6 circumstances (1) 58:23 city (21) 6:13 19:2 21:7 22:14 23:17 24:5 25:10 26:7 30:4,21

31:17 32:6,10 33:9 37:19 44:22 47:3 48:6 49:17 53:20,23 civil (3) 16:8,10 43:6 civilian (8) 1:2 3:3 8:21 30:22 32:20 34:24 35:18 51:20 civilians (1) 55:21 clear (3) 18:7 30:20 37:9 clearly (1) 60:17 clergy (2) 45:17,25 client (1) 21:9 **Clinton (9)** 40:11,12,13,20 41:17 41:19,20,22,24 close (4) 8:9 10:16 11:4 56:10 closed (3) 10:25 11:8,10 closing (1) 37:15 Coalition (1) 4:11 cognisant (1) 59:2 coined (1) 22:8 collection (1) 25:11 color (1) 17:14 Columbia (1) 4:12 come (25) 7:25 8:2 19:19 20:3 22:3 22:16 23:15 26:11,24 30:12,23 31:14 32:2,5,16 46:14,18 48:18 52:2,5 53:15 58:21 61:12,15 64:11 comes (1) 45:10 coming (6) 3:5 6:20 27:8 45:4,7 60:25 comment (4) 1:23 39:7 62:9 65:4 comments (10) 8:14 14:9 15:25 33:5 35:25 47:21 51:9 58:9 60:10 62:15 Commission (2) 30:3 37:18 commissioner (8) 12:11 36:18,19 36:19,23 49:5,6,11 Committee (1) 45:24 committing (1) 55:9 common (1) 24:3 communities (4) 7:3 52:2,4,5 community (19) 21:5 38:14,20 45:5,17 46:5,8,15,25 48:16,21,22 49:7 51:19 52:13 55:24 56:2,19 59:6 community-based (2) 5:4 47:7 company (1) 40:24 compared (1) 11:25 comparing (1) 9:13 complain (3) 23:16 28:2 47:19 complainants (1) 60:25 complaining (2) 47:18,24 complaint (7) 1:2 3:4 8:21 15:15 30:22,24 63:11 complaints (30) 1:21 7:14 9:10,12 9:14,16,18,20 15:22 16:15 17:22 18:5 23:17 25:16 31:8 35:16 52:9 54:6,7 55:7,13,16 58:11,14,19

59:8,24 60:15 61:20 64:7 complement (1) 3:8 completely (1) 4:14 complexity (1) 62:7 compliance (2) 26:12 32:2 comply (1) 25:6 component (2) 61:3 64:8 computer (1) 42:12 concern (1) 60:3 **concerned (1)** 48:9 conclude (2) 32:19 65:13 concludes (2) 64:3 65:4 conduct (8) 19:16 20:14 23:8 27:11,12 28:20 62:18 63:12 conducted (5) 12:17 21:4 26:22 27:2 28:24 conducting (1) 22:23 **conference (1)** 40:2 confuse (1) 48:15 Congress (3) 40:18 41:9,13 Congressional (1) 40:22 connection (1) 59:17 consensual (2) 20:9,11 constantly (1) 41:10 constructing (1) 64:25 construction (1) 40:24 contact (3) 19:20 31:15 59:4 context (5) 22:6,25 23:5,7,10 continue (3) 10:22 14:5 50:4 continues (1) 10:16 continuing (1) 38:22 contractor (2) 64:16 65:2 controlled (1) 21:17 conversation (7) 33:19 37:17 38:17,23 47:22 49:17 50:16 conversations (2) 46:4 50:20 convicted (2) 29:2,5 convictions (2) 28:14 44:16 coordinating (1) 55:24 cop (2) 64:17,19 Copwatch (4) 51:16 55:7,14 57:4 copwatchpatrol.org (1) 57:5 Correct (1) 63:16 corruption (3) 30:4 62:22,24 Council (6) 5:16 6:13 22:14 32:7 36:8 45:25 Counsel (1) 36:23 counting (1) 47:12 country (8) 20:15 21:6 23:14 32:21 32:25 33:20 35:19 47:2 **COUNTY (1)** 67:5 couple (1) 58:9 course (3) 29:14 49:4 59:23 cover (1) 55:3 covers (1) 34:17 **CPR (1)** 47:2 created (2) 50:12 51:22

creating (2) 48:17 57:3 crime (2) 28:22 45:19 crimes (1) 61:11 criminal (9) 6:11 21:19 27:11 28:14,18 29:11 38:25 47:4 62:18 cruisers (1) 22:19 CUNY (1) 24:6 curious (2) 36:10 60:13 current (1) 24:4 currently (5) 6:19 10:15 17:20 25:10 26:10 custodial (1) 40:21 custody (2) 19:14 40:21 cycle (1) 33:21

D

D (3) 1:12 2:3 62:16 **D.C (1)** 4:23 **DA (1)** 10:12 daily (1) 51:21 Daniel (1) 31:18 Darche (1) 14:13 data (11) 12:22,25 13:10 25:11 26:7 31:21 34:10,16 36:5 60:6 Davie (6) 2:6 5:8,21 13:24 44:23,23 day (7) 4:25 43:4 49:3 51:23 53:23 56:22 67:17 davs (1) 11:4 deal (5) 31:3 45:19 53:8 57:10 61:23 dealing (3) 52:11,12 55:23 deals (1) 35:22 **DEBORAH (1)** 2:7 December (2) 7:23 9:23 decertified (2) 25:20,25 decide (2) 62:20 63:2 decided (1) 41:8 decision (1) 62:25 decisions (1) 12:12 decline (1) 12:9 decrease (2) 9:11 14:25 decreased (5) 9:15,17,19,21 11:5 decreasing (1) 15:8 deep (1) 46:9 deficit (1) 36:3 **definitely (1)** 60:7 definition (1) 63:14 degradation (1) 18:24 delay (1) 14:17 delays (2) 14:13 15:21 deliberations (1) 46:11 demeaning (1) 28:21 Democracy (1) 6:2 **Department (15)** 12:7 14:18 15:2 17:25 23:10,12 27:3 28:11,23 33:17 35:7 37:4,7 45:11 49:15

Department's (1) 12:8 departments (3) 23:18 32:4,15 **deportation (1)** 44:15 deputy (2) 5:24 17:16 describe (1) 22:9 describing (1) 61:2 desk (1) 53:3 despite (2) 15:20 29:6 detained (1) 42:14 detainees (1) 4:24 detective (1) 21:11 detention (1) 22:24 devastating (1) 33:3 developers (1) 42:8 dialogue (3) 6:25 49:24 50:7 different (5) 48:19 52:21 54:20 58:25 62:12 DIR (5) 8:19 14:24 16:7 38:9 39:4 direct (2) 9:7 33:24 director (12) 1:13,19 2:16 4:10 5:13,25 8:15,21 16:2,5 17:15,16 Director's (1) 14:9 discipline (2) 12:3 30:7 disciplined (1) 28:24 discourse (1) 20:18 discourtesy (3) 9:15 11:21 63:21 discover (1) 36:24 discriminate (1) 6:7 discuss (1) 8:25 discussion (8) 7:15 16:23 38:4,7 43:22 47:25 48:5 62:21 dismissed (3) 23:24 25:20 28:19 disorderly (1) 19:16 disrespectful (1) 28:21 **District (1)** 40:7 division (1) 10:2 docket (7) 9:22 10:5,11,13,18,21 10:23 dockets (1) 9:24 document (1) 51:20 documented (3) 23:13 30:4 54:4 documenting (2) 51:23 53:24 doing (9) 6:11 7:8 32:16 49:12 57:7,8,11,11 58:2 **DOJ (2)** 23:19 32:12 dollars (2) 64:18,22 domestic (3) 21:21 24:25 52:11 **Dominican (1)** 4:25 **Donis (1)** 40:5 door (1) 53:11 doors (1) 27:7 dozens (1) 23:22 **Dr (8)** 34:8,22 35:17 59:20 60:5 61:4,6 62:5 draw (1) 58:5 driving (1) 22:9 dropping (1) 41:11

drug (2) 19:14 25:4 Drugs (1) 44:11 **DTI (3)** 12:23,23 13:4 Dunn (8) 2:19 42:24,25 43:6 47:10 47:15 60:12 61:25 **duty (1)** 19:20 **DWYER (2)** 2:11 3:16

E (4) 1:11,11 67:1,1 earlier (4) 24:19 25:22 26:25 28:17 early (1) 41:4 earth (2) 40:18,22 **EASON (1)** 2:8 easy (1) 48:23 ED (1) 5:12 education (2) 59:6,15 effectively (2) 30:9 50:22 efficiently (1) 10:17 efforts (1) 45:16 Egypt (1) 4:21 either (2) 34:21 35:6 elected (1) 41:12 election (1) 33:20 **elementary (1)** 4:22 **Elimination (1)** 26:13 Ellis (3) 2:24 67:7,20 empowered (1) 29:25 enables (1) 12:24 **encourage (1)** 37:13 enforcement (5) 17:19 23:8 26:8 32:14.17 engage (2) 13:15 49:10 engaged (3) 20:6 27:11 49:14 engagement (2) 23:2 48:17 engaging (4) 12:25 20:13 26:2 31:19 **English (2)** 41:7,13 entire (1) 4:18 entirely (1) 48:8 entity (1) 26:20 **environment (1)** 48:17 EPM (1) 57:18 equity (2) 37:18,23 Erica (1) 18:19 especially (2) 52:11 55:11 **ESQ (7)** 1:12,13 2:3,7,10,13,16 establishment (3) 42:9,12,16 et (1) 36:6 Eugene (1) 23:20 evening (5) 8:19 17:6,18 42:25 47:20 events (1) 13:15 evidence (3) 11:23 52:16 57:9 exactly (1) 50:8 example (1) 18:16 excellent (1) 50:19

exception (1) 21:23 excessive (2) 20:23 29:19 exchange (3) 19:8,12,16 excited (3) 3:12 4:7 8:9 excuse (1) 54:21 **EXEC (5)** 8:19 14:24 16:7 38:9 39:4 **executive (13)** 1:13,19 2:16 4:9 5:8 8:15,20 10:6 14:9 16:2,5 46:2 65:16 exercise (3) 18:4 30:9 33:7 exist (1) 38:2 expand (1) 53:14 experiences (1) 22:17 experiment (1) 50:10 expert (1) 6:11 explain (2) 14:22 64:19 explicitly (2) 25:13 64:11 explorer (1) 23:6 **expose (2)** 57:13,19 extend (1) 53:6 extends (1) 29:17 **extension (1)** 38:12 external (2) 26:23 50:17 extorted (1) 25:4 extortion (3) 19:8 25:3 30:5 **extremely (2)** 4:6 5:5 eyes (3) 7:20 8:8 52:3

F

F (3) 1:11 2:9 67:1 F-A-D-O (1) 62:16 facilitated (1) 19:18 facilities (1) 22:24 fact (7) 3:8 20:20 35:21 49:22 55:18 59:19 61:9 facts (1) 58:5 failed (1) 29:11 faith-based (1) 5:17 fall (1) 37:20 falls (1) 62:22 familiar (1) 29:15 families (1) 55:8 family (1) 46:18 far (3) 5:23 48:9 51:12 favor (2) 3:19 65:20 favors (1) 19:12 fear (1) 27:16 featured (3) 13:17 14:21,22 Federal (1) 41:9 feedback (3) 13:3 16:22 50:3 feel (6) 28:6 43:3 55:10 56:16 57:7 57:8 fellow (3) 6:3 17:7 25:9 female (4) 21:21,24 22:9,11 Fernandez (5) 2:12 4:8 13:25 44:6 44:8

field (1) 56:21 Fifth (1) 42:10 Figure (3) 9:9,22 11:14 file (1) 15:15 files (1) 23:23 filing (4) 31:8 54:6,7 55:6 filled (2) 50:13,13 filmed (1) 28:15 final (1) 8:9 finalized (1) 12:12 finally (3) 13:17 20:8 49:3 find (2) 38:2 60:14 finding (1) 25:24 finish (1) 56:6 finishing (1) 57:16 first (7) 14:12 35:9 37:12,13 39:8 39:17 61:6 five (2) 39:10 42:20 fixed (1) 53:13 flagging (1) 64:6 Florida (1) 27:24 foiled (1) 28:11 folks (1) 47:7 follow (3) 31:24 32:25 36:25 followed (2) 20:5 52:19 following (2) 25:4 37:5 fondling (1) 25:2 force (8) 9:20 11:18 20:23 29:19 32:3 34:13 37:9 60:17 forced (2) 21:10 25:6 forcible (1) 19:7 Ford (1) 45:13 foregoing (1) 67:10 form (2) 20:22 33:3 Former (1) 27:17 forthcoming (1) 8:10 forum (1) 38:15 forward (11) 22:3,16 23:15 30:13 33:4,6 48:2 49:12,13,16 66:3 found (5) 19:11 23:3,21 24:6 44:13 Foundation (4) 5:12 6:4 40:20 45:14 founded (1) 4:21 founder (2) 27:19 51:16 four (4) 10:19 56:3,8 61:18 frames (1) 15:3 FRANK (1) 2:11 Fred (2) 5:7 44:23 FREDERICK (1) 2:6 frequently (3) 19:4 20:21,24 friends (1) 46:19 Frisk (3) 19:3 22:12,15 frisks (3) 18:25 22:18 25:2 fronts (1) 37:12 full (6) 3:8 6:17 9:4 10:25 11:4 40:24 fully (2) 11:7,16

fund (1) 42:13 funded (2) 21:3 45:14 further (2) 14:19 67:12 future (2) 48:3 66:4 G gaining (1) 36:15 gender (4) 29:22 32:13 37:18,23 general (5) 34:13,23 40:14 54:25 57:10 generally (2) 21:24 47:5 getting (3) 55:13 60:15,24 girls (1) 17:13 give (10) 16:11,20 17:3 18:16 21:10 42:2 57:17 59:11 64:20,22 gives (1) 27:4 go (10) 4:18 5:18 23:17 40:2 51:19 57:25 60:23 62:8 64:17 65:15 goal (1) 51:24 goes (2) 44:24 63:17

going (23) 6:21 7:12 16:20 27:21 28:3 30:16,18 43:18 47:19 48:12 49:10 50:8 52:25 54:12,14 57:6,8 57:9,12,17,25 60:12 63:6 good (5) 6:14 8:19 17:6 42:25 45:6

governing (1) 25:16 government (2) 5:2 33:17

grabbed (1) 19:22

graduate (4) 4:12 6:6 17:10 24:6 Grant's (3) 39:23,24 40:4

granted (1) 40:18

great (4) 4:4 7:9,23 47:10

Greek (1) 40:8 Green (1) 44:13

grope (2) 19:3 61:17 group (2) 51:17 53:25

groups (1) 46:25

growing (1) 45:2 grumblings (1) 23:25

guess (1) 43:9 quidance (2) 32:13 59:9

guide (1) 36:21 guilty (2) 12:14,16

gun (2) 20:12 21:11

Haiti (3) 40:18,22 41:2 half (1) 14:4 hand (1) 67:17 handle (1) 34:25 handled (1) 10:9 hands (1) 26:16 happen (2) 37:22 54:23 happened (3) 41:3 58:4 64:24 happening (2) 7:2 48:5 happenings (1) 13:16 happens (1) 54:22

happy (2) 15:5 16:18 harassing (1) 56:15 harassment (7) 17:23 18:14 22:10 22:17 24:8 25:9,14 hard (1) 60:14 Harlem (3) 40:8 64:14,23 **Harrington (1)** 27:17 head (1) 32:23 hear (5) 43:19,22 49:8 50:3 63:5 heard (3) 6:23 22:15 24:16 hearing (7) 8:17 16:4 22:14 44:2 48:4 65:9.12 heart (1) 51:4 help (4) 13:10 50:15,23 59:5 helped (1) 29:8 Henceforth (1) 62:21 hereunto (1) 67:16 heterosexual (1) 24:12 Hi (2) 46:13 51:14 high (1) 7:14 highlighted (1) 23:19 highlights (1) 9:3 highly (1) 20:19 Hillary (3) 40:25 41:16,24 Historically (2) 17:20 38:18 history (4) 10:24 13:6 46:22 55:22 hit (1) 54:13 hold (2) 6:6 10:12 holds (1) 13:10 Holtzclaw (1) 31:18 home (2) 20:5 29:8 homes (1) 22:21 honored (1) 5:5 hope (9) 7:10,23 13:8 14:4 37:17 46:10 47:12 55:15,16 hopefully (2) 50:13,25 hoping (2) 49:8 53:13 hosted (1) 46:3 hot (1) 18:21 House (2) 5:16 38:24 houses (1) 45:18 Howard (1) 17:10 **HPD (1)** 42:6 human (2) 4:16,20 humiliation (1) 18:24 husband (5) 41:18 64:15,16,20,24

I-T (1) 39:21 IAB (14) 28:9 52:9,23 53:8,15 54:25 55:17,18 57:12 60:2,23 62:3 63:11,23 IACP (2) 20:8 31:24 idea (2) 50:2,4 identified (2) 24:20 64:7 identify (1) 62:2 illegally (1) 56:14

imagine (2) 36:16 60:24 immediately (1) 35:6 **Immigrant (1)** 4:11 immigrants (3) 44:9,12,22 immigrants' (1) 4:17 immigration (1) 44:14 **impact (1)** 44:12 impacts (1) 44:21 implicate (2) 29:18,19 importance (3) 6:25 35:10 49:8 important (10) 6:22 8:3 15:23 16:17 43:16 45:9 48:6 49:13 51:7 59:16 **importantly (1)** 49:15 **impossible (1)** 56:12 impressive (1) 43:21 improve (2) 50:4,10 inappropriately (1) 24:14 incarcerated (1) 46:20 include (2) 24:23 34:4 included (1) 46:6 includes (3) 10:13 20:8 34:14 including (8) 18:13 21:6 24:24 26:16 27:5 31:6 38:23 47:2 increasingly (2) 19:24,25 indicated (2) 41:14 42:6 indicates (1) 22:5 indigenous (1) 21:14 indisputably (1) 29:16 individual (1) 30:7 inevitably (1) 30:12 influence (1) 40:16 inform (1) 13:14 information (4) 39:23 40:4 42:7,14 initiated (1) 9:10 Initiative (3) 12:23 32:7 37:7 injured (1) 54:25 injuries (1) 34:17 insert (1) 41:22 Inspector (2) 54:25 57:10 instance (7) 18:17 19:10,15,21 28:15 29:4 31:13 **Institutes (1)** 21:3 **institution (1)** 11:6 institutional (1) 43:10 **instruction (1)** 59:12 insulting (1) 28:20 interact (1) 12:24 interactions (2) 36:22 46:21 interactive (1) 13:9 interest (5) 43:23 44:3,21,24 46:9 interested (4) 36:12 43:25 44:2 67:14 interfering (1) 59:14

interim (1) 5:12

International (1) 18:11

internal (4) 17:25 27:3 28:10 38:7

interviewed (1) 61:2 intimately (1) 32:8 intimidation (2) 27:5,6 intoxicated (1) 29:10 introduce (4) 3:10 4:5 5:7 16:6 investigate (10) 27:15 29:25 30:6 33:7 59:25 62:11,16 63:11,15,19 investigated (4) 11:7,16 25:17 31:10 investigates (1) 17:22 investigating (6) 27:6,14 28:9 57:21 58:3 62:4 investigation (6) 11:4 23:11 25:24 28:24 57:23 62:24 investigations (7) 10:2,14 11:2,23 26:25 62:6,18 investigative (1) 23:23 investigators (2) 10:25 31:2 invisible (1) 20:17 invite (1) 59:17 invited (1) 16:13 involve (2) 29:21 62:14 involved (4) 21:18 31:12 32:8 62:23 involving (1) 17:22 ironically (1) 21:20 Island (1) 4:24 issue (14) 8:2,4 15:22 16:16,17 20:16 30:11.21 32:23 33:2 36:17 45:8 48:11 49:23 issues (13) 17:12 27:4 37:19,21,23 45:7,19,19 47:9 48:6 52:6,12 53:16 it'll (1) 59:22

J (1) 2:11 January (1) 11:2 **Jefferson (1)** 40:10 job (5) 7:8 21:11 30:3 40:16 57:14 John (1) 39:19 joining (3) 5:21 6:16 14:2 Jonathan (1) 14:13 Jose (3) 2:20 51:13,15 JOSEPH (1) 2:12 journal (5) 13:18 14:21,23 15:11 15:13 Juanita (6) 41:16,19,23,24 42:4,5 judge (1) 28:19 June's (1) 38:16 junkies (1) 23:25 jurisdiction (5) 18:5 30:9 33:7 37:20 60:17 jurisdictions (1) 35:20 justice (9) 5:14,25 6:3,11 17:7 21:4 23:11 38:25 47:4 justify (1) 63:3

Kathleen (1) 41:20 keep (2) 7:19 8:7 Keith (1) 42:15 **Kelly (1)** 36:19 Kelly's (1) 36:23 Kenneth (1) 29:5 key (1) 11:12 kid (1) 45:3 killed (1) 54:24 **Kim (4)** 62:5,7 63:6,16 kind (4) 18:22 31:9 36:3 46:24 kinds (1) 59:8 Kippur (1) 3:7 know (15) 20:25 34:24 35:21 36:7 36:9 38:11 43:5,15,25 49:4 53:5 55:6,18 56:12 60:19 known (2) 40:15 60:19 knows (1) 52:24

L.T (1) 42:15 lack (2) 27:13 47:24 language (2) 9:17 11:22 largely (1) 27:2 larger (1) 64:21 largest (2) 26:8 32:19 LaSalle (8) 2:20 51:13,13,14,15 56:5,7 60:4 lastly (1) 8:2 **Latina (1)** 21:13 law (7) 4:12 6:5 17:11,19 26:8 32:13,17 layers (1) 62:13

laying (1) 53:3 lead (2) 32:25 54:14 leader (1) 4:16 leaders (1) 46:8 leadership (1) 32:23 leak (1) 28:11 leaks (1) 28:8 lean (1) 55:18 left (2) 37:2 54:24 legal (3) 4:20 24:19 46:24 legitimate (3) 58:23 59:10,14 **Lemire (1)** 36:24 leniency (1) 19:9 lens (1) 44:19 **lenses (1)** 44:19 lesbian (1) 21:15 lesser (1) 29:2 level (2) 13:5 28:22 **Lewinsky (1)** 41:22 **LGBT (1)** 5:14 **LGBT/Q (11)** 17:14 21:14 24:9,13

36:8,22 38:14,16,20,25 52:13

Liberties (1) 43:7

life (2) 45:13 46:24 **light (2)** 20:4 23:9 limit (1) 39:10 **limitations (1)** 15:22 limits (2) 58:22 59:10 **LINDSAY (1)** 2:8 **Line (3)** 39:19,25 40:5 list (2) 39:8,17 listed (1) 35:15 listen (1) 30:18 little (3) 16:12 17:2 62:12 live (1) 52:4 Lives (2) 47:3 53:18 living (2) 13:19 56:11 load (1) 55:13 location (2) 7:21 58:25 lockup (1) 22:20 lockups (2) 26:13,16 long (3) 44:25 46:9 48:10 longer (2) 43:12,13 longest (1) 32:20 look (11) 9:6 11:13 33:4,6 48:2 49:12,13,16 55:15 61:18 66:3 looked (2) 31:16 52:25 looking (5) 7:4,13 53:6 58:14 61:15 **Loretta (1)** 40:13 lot (17) 13:21 14:2 16:22,23,23 46:25 48:4 52:7.8.22.23 53:2.22 55:16 56:24 61:8.12 loved (1) 46:19 low (1) 61:21 lower (1) 18:19 lunch (2) 64:19,23 luncheon (1) 38:15 Lynch (1) 40:14

М M-O-R-F-E-S-I-S (2) 40:6,7 machine (1) 13:2 Madame (1) 32:8 **majority (1)** 18:2 making (3) 24:10 36:12 55:19 Malik (11) 1:13 2:16 8:18,19,20 14:24 16:7 17:15 38:9 39:2,4 man (1) 44:25 managed (1) 4:21 mandate (2) 29:15 31:24 mandates (1) 26:12 mandatory (1) 44:15 Manhattan (2) 4:10 42:10 Marbe (3) 2:5 5:24 46:13 marches (2) 53:17,18 marriage (1) 67:14 Mary (1) 39:25 Masters (1) 6:8 matter (5) 47:3 53:18 61:25 67:10

67:15 matters (1) 8:25 Maya (6) 1:12 2:3 43:17 47:12,21 Mayor's (2) 37:22 45:25 mayoral (2) 5:6,22 mean (2) 60:16,21 means (1) 14:22 meant (2) 45:4,9 media (2) 52:18,19 mediation (1) 10:9 meeting (17) 1:3,15 3:3 7:11,19 8:23 15:7 36:17 39:6 40:13 48:16 48:24 50:6 60:8 65:5,7,14 meetings (7) 6:24 8:3 14:12 47:25 48:9 50:5 66:4 member (3) 5:6 6:20 36:9 members (17) 2:2 3:11 4:6 5:20 7:7 13:24 14:8 16:15 18:14 35:24 36:9 43:3,19 46:19 48:3 55:6 memory (1) 43:10 men (1) 21:8 mention (1) 49:4 mentioned (5) 14:20 28:17 36:3 47:21 48:11 messages (1) 20:2 met (2) 20:4 21:9 method (1) 26:20 military (3) 64:16,25 65:2 million (2) 41:4 42:8 Mina (3) 1:13 2:16 8:20 mind (1) 39:24 minors (1) 25:8 minute (1) 39:10 minutes (5) 1:17 3:14 16:21 39:19 42:21 misconduct (38) 1:21 12:5 16:15 17:19 18:6,9,12 20:6,17,22 23:4 24:11,21 25:12,15,17,21 26:2 27:2 29:3,13,18 30:2 31:9,19,23 33:8,15 34:4,10,19 35:13,15,23 45:20 57:20 60:16 61:10 misdemeanor (1) 29:13 mistakes (1) 50:2 mocking (1) 54:12 Monday (1) 53:21 money (5) 40:22 42:8 64:19,21,23 Monica (1) 41:21 month (6) 11:13 12:20 13:12,23 43:8,8 monthly (4) 1:20 9:3 13:18 48:23 months (2) 10:19,21 Moreno (1) 29:5 Morfesis (2) 40:5,6 morning (1) 64:17 morphed (1) 45:12

motion (4) 3:15,24 65:15,17 motorists (1) 22:11 move (1) 37:7 moved (2) 3:16 65:18 multiple (1) 26:17

N

N (1) 2:7 name (8) 8:20 17:6 40:8,11 41:11 44:8 51:15 55:20 named (2) 18:19 35:10 narratives (1) 61:15 nation (2) 26:9 47:4 national (7) 21:2,3 23:2,9 27:19 45:14,22 nationwide (1) 25:24 nature (1) 24:17 NCO (3) 55:25 56:9,14 necessarily (2) 31:11 63:14 need (1) 50:3 needed (1) 30:8 needs (6) 30:19,25 31:7,24,25 53:12 negotiating (1) 36:21 neighborhood (4) 5:17 24:16,18 44:10 Nepotism (1) 41:6 never (4) 30:14 53:4 54:22 55:2 **new (44)** 1:7.7.25 3:11 4:5 9:10 12:22 13:8,11,15,23 19:2 21:6 23:17 24:4 25:10 26:7 30:4 32:6 33:9 35:14 41:8,12,15 43:3,3,6 43:19 47:2 48:3 49:3.5.9.10.12 50:12 53:20,23,25 55:25 56:17 65:10 67:3,8 newly (1) 41:14 Nicole (3) 2:24 67:7,20 non-APU (2) 12:4,9 Noonan's (1) 18:19 North (1) 45:3 Northern (1) 4:10 not-for-profit (1) 5:4 Notary (1) 67:7 notations (1) 23:23 note (2) 4:19 15:23 **noted (2)** 16:10 66:5 notice (1) 7:20 November (4) 7:19,22,24 8:24 November's (1) 38:14 number (7) 7:14 19:9 26:4 27:4 46:3,6 61:21 numbers (4) 10:22,23 15:6 34:13 NYC (1) 53:21 **NYCHA (5)** 13:18,20 14:21 15:10 NYPD (18) 1:22 16:16 18:17 19:10 24:8,10,14,21 25:13 26:11 27:3

31:24 38:2,8 52:20 54:25 55:20 57:10

0

O (2) 1:11 62:16 O'Grady (10) 2:18 39:9,13,14,18 42:4,19,20 64:14 65:3 O'Neill (3) 49:6 56:18,24 Obama (1) 5:16 obviously (6) 33:13,18 37:19,24 58:10 61:20 October (4) 1:4 3:3 41:4 67:17 off-duty (3) 19:18 20:3,7 offense (2) 19:15 29:13 offenses (1) 55:10 offensive (2) 9:16 11:22 offer (2) 15:16 16:25 offered (1) 19:13 offhand (1) 60:5 Office (2) 12:7 37:22 officer (12) 18:17 19:22 25:3 28:2 28:3,12,15,18,25 29:5 31:14,18 officers (37) 12:13,18,19 14:14 15:2,4 17:19 19:11,19 20:4 21:13 21:22,24,25 22:21 23:21 24:8,10 24:15,21 25:9,19,25 27:6,14 28:20,25 32:18 54:10,15 55:9,24 56:9,12,13,14 58:24 official (3) 25:11 29:3,13 Okay (6) 16:4 40:9 47:10 51:11 64:2 65:12 **Oklahoma (1)** 31:17 old (4) 1:24 44:25 65:6,7 on-duty (2) 20:4,9 once (3) 4:4 21:9 51:14 one-minute (1) 42:3 ones (1) 46:19 open (12) 4:2 6:4 7:20 8:8,13 9:22 9:23 10:10,19,20 14:7 53:10 opened (1) 13:13 operations (2) 9:2 15:14 opportunity (2) 17:17 44:7 opposed (2) 3:21 65:22 option (1) 37:10 oral (1) 25:7 order (6) 1:16 3:4 13:14 29:10 30:24 50:6 Oregon (1) 23:20 organization (2) 43:11 44:9 organizations (2) 21:5 32:10 orientation (1) 29:22 outcome (1) 67:15 outreach (4) 38:20 50:19 59:3,16 outside (2) 13:22 26:21 overcriminalized (1) 46:17 oversight (4) 32:20,24 34:25 35:19 overwhelming (1) 13:3

Oxford (1) 6:9			
Р			
p.m (2) 1:5 66:5			
Page (1) 39:19			
Parade (1) 5:2 Park (1) 40:15			
parking (1) 42:17			
part (5) 38:17 46:20	49:16 50:15		
63:5			
particular (5) 31:9 4 61:16	3:2 44:12,16		
particularly (4) 23:7	32:22 45:11		
parties (1) 67:13			
partly (1) 44:25			
partnerships (1) 5:1			
patrol (6) 36:21 51:1	6 52:15 55:8		
55:14 57:4 pattern (1) 22:10			
paving (1) 32:24			
PD (2) 36:4,13			
peaceful (1) 55:11			
peacefully (1) 54:4			
peddling (1) 40:16			
peers (1) 24:12 PEGUERO (2) 2:10	65:10		
penalty (2) 12:8,12	05.19		
pending (3) 10:6,8,1	15		
penis (2) 41:20,22			
Penny (1) 27:17			
people (37) 17:14 2			
23:14 24:15 27:13 35:8,11 36:22 48:1			
52:3,4,7,14,24 53:			
54:15 56:11,13,15			
58:24 59:2,4,13 60			
percent (24) 9:16,18			
10:10,19,20 11:5,8			
11:20,21,24,25 12 55:19	.4 24.12,15		
perception (1) 24:3			
perform (1) 25:7			
period (4) 23:22 24:	22 26:3 48:10		
person (1) 39:8			
perspective (1) 44:4			
perspectives (1) 47 pertaining (1) 8:25	.0		
phase (1) 39:6			
Philadelphia (1) 46:	16		
phone (1) 19:22			
photo (1) 18:22			
photos (1) 18:15 physical (1) 34:15			
physically (1) 48:15			
place (16) 8:23 19:4			
26:11 27:12 30:23			

```
50:25 53:19,20 57:24
placed (1) 32:22
places (3) 7:16 26:7 27:8
plan (1) 26:10
planned (1) 28:12
pleas (1) 44:17
please (3) 7:19 8:7 36:25
pleasure (1) 4:4
point (12) 18:18 21:11 34:12 36:20
  51:4 53:7 54:11,18 56:6 63:4
  64:5,12
pointing (1) 41:11
points (1) 6:22
police (77) 6:5 7:2 12:5,7,11 14:14
  14:18 15:2,2 17:22 18:5,9,9,12
  18:12 20:16,19,22 21:12 22:10
  22:19,21,23 23:4,5,12,18,20
  24:16,20 25:12 26:2,13,15,16
  27:15,16,17,21,23 28:2,3,7 29:17
  30:3,14,17 31:9,18,23 33:3,8,16
  35:7,15 36:18 45:5,11,17,20 46:5
  46:21 47:4 49:5,7,11,14 51:20,23
  52:21 54:15 57:19,22 58:3,23
  59:11,14
policies (4) 32:5 36:5 37:25 38:3
policing (13) 17:13 27:20 32:4
  43:23 44:3,4,21 47:23 48:2,5,19
  49:18 59:10
policy (9) 8:5 25:13 31:21,25 32:16
  35:17 36:25 38:7 62:2
polite (1) 47:23
poor (1) 48:25
Popular (1) 6:2
portion (2) 63:24 65:13
Portland (1) 27:18
position (5) 4:15 47:17 50:12,14
  51:2
positive (6) 13:3 14:3,5 16:22 45:6
  46:11
possession (1) 40:23
possibility (1) 31:7
power (3) 20:12 30:6 31:21
practice (1) 62:2
precinct (7) 18:20 22:20 25:8
  52:14,15 56:3,7
precincts (2) 22:24 46:7
preface (1) 33:13
present (2) 2:2 22:13
presentation (4) 1:21 16:20 17:4
  60:13
presenting (1) 38:22
president (3) 5:9,15 41:12
President's (2) 32:2 37:8
presidential (1) 33:20
Press (1) 25:23
presumably (1) 20:2
```

pretextual (1) 29:18

```
prevalence (2) 25:12 31:23
prevalent (3) 20:20 22:8 60:19
priests (1) 40:3
primarily (3) 21:8 44:12,20
Prison (1) 26:12
private (2) 33:18 45:23
privately (1) 26:17
privilege (1) 4:5
probably (2) 7:11 53:3
problem (5) 15:24 53:12 56:20
  60:19 61:22
proceeded (1) 19:23
proceedings (67) 1:9 3:1 4:1 5:1
  6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1
  13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1
  19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1
  25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
  31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
  37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1
  43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1
  49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1
  55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
  61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1
  67:9,11
process (2) 22:7 38:3
processed (1) 25:18
processing (1) 34:6
professional (2) 45:13 46:23
professor (2) 41:7.14
profiling (3) 17:13 20:18 63:19
program (7) 6:3 10:9 45:14,15,22
  55:25 56:18
programs (3) 5:14 23:2,6
prohibiting (1) 25:14
project (1) 45:21
prosecute (1) 12:9
Prosecution (2) 12:15,17
prostitutes (1) 23:25
prostitution (1) 19:15
prostitution/lewd (1) 23:8
protect (1) 31:5
protesting (1) 54:4
protests (4) 53:17,18,22 55:11
protocol (3) 25:16 60:22 63:9
proud (1) 17:10
proven (1) 55:21
provide (4) 9:2 15:5 47:6 60:7
provided (5) 15:12,13 39:24 40:4
  42:14
PSIU (1) 57:22
public (36) 1:3,15,23 3:11 4:6 6:24
  7:15 15:20 16:18 18:14 20:18
  30:10,19 35:10 38:4 39:7,10
  45:10,22,24 46:4 47:21 48:8
  49:17,24 50:19 51:2 56:20 58:16
  58:16,20 59:15 65:4,13 66:2 67:7
public's (1) 13:7
```

publicly (2) 30:12 59:23 pull (1) 64:18 PUMA (2) 2:12 36:2 pursued (1) 20:5 push (1) 54:10 pushing (1) 56:19 put (3) 32:12 47:17 57:8 putting (1) 57:6

Q

QUEENS (1) 67:5 question (14) 15:11 17:18 20:10 20:25 33:12,13,22 34:11,21 36:2 37:25 38:5 59:20 60:20 questions (12) 8:14 14:8,11 15:12 15:25 16:24 33:5 35:25 43:14 51:9 60:10 64:2 quick (1) 6:22 quite (1) 60:21

R

R (2) 1:11 67:1 race (1) 29:23 racial (3) 5:25 20:18 63:18 raid (1) 25:5 raise (1) 37:25 raised (5) 16:24 36:17 51:4,6,8 raises (1) 48:7 raising (2) 20:10 49:23 **RAMON (1)** 2:10 rams (1) 54:3 range (1) 18:13 rape (5) 19:7 24:24 26:13 29:6 63:24 raped (1) 63:23 rare (1) 28:14 rarely (1) 17:21 rate (4) 11:10,15 12:3,9 re-entry (1) 45:21 reach (1) 13:19 reached (1) 54:22 reaching (1) 38:13 read (1) 25:22 readable (1) 13:2 really (9) 8:8 13:6 47:6 50:18 60:14 60:24 61:12,21,23 reason (3) 35:4,5 61:12 reasons (1) 26:5 receive (5) 34:9 35:5,16 58:11 59:8 received (4) 9:12 13:2 25:18 55:2 receives (1) 59:25 receiving (1) 34:4 recognize (2) 33:25 38:18 recognizes (1) 58:21 recommend (1) 30:6 recommendation (3) 32:6 37:6 53:10

recommendations (4) 12:8 31:22 32:3 36:13 recommended (2) 14:15 32:15 record (2) 49:2 67:11 records (2) 21:19 25:19 rectal (2) 41:19,23 reduce (1) 31:22 reducing (1) 32:13 refer (3) 18:8 19:2 41:10 reference (1) 37:16 referred (2) 35:7 52:9 referring (1) 34:7 refers (2) 17:24 60:2 reflects (1) 9:11 reform (3) 6:5 7:2 47:5 reforms (2) 11:6 44:15 refusing (1) 63:14 regard (3) 9:12 11:16 15:18 regarding (2) 15:14 34:16 reiterate (1) 58:15 related (2) 63:20 67:12 relating (1) 29:22 relations (2) 45:6 49:7 relationship (2) 5:3 46:5 released (1) 12:21 releasing (1) 8:6 Relief (1) 40:19 relocated (1) 41:14 remain (1) 10:18 remaining (1) 41:3 remind (1) 39:9 reminder (1) 15:19 reminding (1) 27:10 reopened (1) 10:13 replication (1) 45:15 report (22) 1:18,19,20 3:10 4:2 8:4 8:6,14 9:4,5 12:21 14:10 18:10 24:7,9,11 26:17,20 27:5 31:21 35:9 39:3 reported (8) 2:23 20:22 24:13 27:13 40:17 41:3 64:14 67:9 represent (1) 10:22 representing (3) 10:2,7,10 represents (2) 13:4 20:21 request (1) 13:7 require (1) 26:14 requires (1) 32:4 research (6) 21:3,4 22:5 34:23,23 researcher (1) 17:11 researchers (3) 20:15 22:8 24:5 resident (2) 13:20 42:15 residential (4) 41:2 42:9,12,16

residents (1) 13:19

resolved (2) 11:9 31:17

resources (2) 31:2 47:6

resides (1) 44:10

respect (5) 14:24 15:10 16:24 34:13.18 respond (1) 61:5 responded (1) 14:18 responding (2) 22:22 64:3 response (12) 3:23 8:16 13:7 16:3 24:3 32:14 37:4 51:10 53:25 65:8 65:11,24 restate (1) 63:7 restrained (2) 41:17,24 restroom (2) 18:20 28:17 retaliation (1) 27:16 retrained (1) 41:18 returning (1) 29:7 reveals (1) 21:7 review (8) 1:2 3:4 8:21 9:4 10:7,8 10:15 30:22 rich (1) 13:9 right (3) 58:16 64:13 65:25 rights (8) 4:11,17,17,20 16:8,10 44:9 59:7 Rikers (1) 4:24 rise (2) 27:4 28:22 Ritchie (10) 2:21 16:9 17:3,5,7 34:22 35:3 36:16 38:11 64:5 Riverton (4) 42:9,11,11,16 Rodham (3) 40:23,25 41:17 Roman (1) 40:3 room (2) 9:9 43:14 run (1) 43:15 running (1) 44:9 runway (1) 40:14

S

S-H-O-T (1) 39:21 Sadly (1) 22:25 safe (1) 56:16 safely (1) 30:23 safety (2) 45:24 47:9 **SALVATORE (1)** 2:9 Sarasota (1) 27:23 saying (4) 7:3 33:13 40:8 63:6 says (1) 63:22 scared (1) 27:25 schedule (1) 51:21 School (3) 4:12 6:5 17:10 schools (1) 4:22 scope (1) 61:22 screen (1) 11:14 screens (1) 9:8 searches (5) 18:25 19:6,6 24:14 61:14 second (7) 3:17,18 14:20 20:21,24 39:22 65:19 **Secondly (1)** 61:14 **secretary (1)** 5:9 section (2) 65:4,6

sector (4) 5:4 33:14,18 56:9 **sectors (2)** 56:4,8 see (12) 35:15 43:8 52:3 56:22,23 56:23,24,24,25 57:3 61:12 63:7 seeing (2) 7:16 66:3 semiannual (1) 12:21 **Seminary (2)** 5:11 46:3 **Senator (3)** 41:9,12,15 send (5) 19:24 53:8,14 54:19,20 sending (2) 54:6 62:3 sends (1) 63:10 senior (1) 5:13 senior-level (1) 50:14 sense (1) 36:14 sent (3) 12:6 52:23 55:17 separately (1) 34:2 September (17) 9:9,13,14,25 10:13 11:3,6,11,13,14,17 12:4,10 12:11,19,20 13:13 serious (2) 34:16 52:8 seriously (1) 30:21 serve (3) 5:16 13:11 15:9 service (3) 14:25 15:4 16:16 services (1) 15:15 serving (2) 14:14 15:19 **Session (1)** 65:16 set (2) 50:25 67:16 seven (1) 10:21 sex (7) 19:8 21:18 23:15 25:3,4,7 29:10 Sexting (1) 25:8 sexual (67) 1:21 16:14 17:18,23 18:6,9,9,12,13,24 19:6,12,16,18 19:25,25 20:6,9,13,17 21:21 22:10,17 23:4 24:8,9,11,17,21,25 25:9,12,14,17,20 26:2,15 27:2,12 27:15 28:10 29:17,22 30:2,5,14 31:6.19.23 32:14.17 33:8 34:4.9 34:19 35:12,15,22 60:15 61:3,9 61:16,17 62:9,15 63:12 64:8 sexually (2) 23:21 31:4 **shooting (3)** 57:23,24 58:4 short (1) 6:18 **shortly (2)** 37:2 49:9 **shot (3)** 39:20,21,22 **shots (1)** 40:12 shouted (1) 39:20 show (2) 32:22 51:25 **show-ups (1)** 31:13 shows (1) 9:23 shrunk (1) 14:17 Shut (1) 53:21 side (1) 36:4 significant (2) 4:16 60:20 similar (3) 35:4 41:21 45:21 similarly (2) 20:10 46:14 single (7) 6:19 22:2 30:10 51:23

53:20,23 56:22 sisters (2) 51:18,18 situation (1) 63:18 SIU (1) 57:20 six (1) 40:25 six-year (1) 26:3 slots (1) 6:18 slurs (1) 29:21 social (3) 5:13 52:18,19 society (3) 6:4 24:20 33:14 **solutions (1)** 47:8 somebody (2) 54:23 63:22 soon (3) 37:5 50:14 51:3 Soros (2) 6:3 17:7 sort (1) 60:22 sound (1) 43:20 South (3) 4:22 6:10 45:3 space (1) 42:17 spans (1) 18:12 speak (3) 17:17 47:5 62:6 speaker (2) 16:6,8 **SPEAKERS (1)** 2:17 **speaking (1)** 39:17 speaks (1) 4:13 Special (1) 57:21 specific (3) 25:15 31:3 34:19 **spelled (1)** 40:6 spent (1) 17:11 **spoke (2)** 49:6 52:10 spoken (1) 30:11 spun (1) 63:23 Square (3) 42:9,11,11 **SRG (1)** 53:24 **ss (1)** 67:4 staff (16) 7:5,6,7 8:4 10:6 33:12,23 33:23 43:25 49:22 50:17,23 51:5 59:20 63:2 64:3 stage (1) 23:9 Stahly-Butts (6) 2:5 5:24 13:24 17:8 46:13,14 stakeholders (2) 50:18,21 stand (3) 6:14 58:25 59:13 standing (2) 32:20 47:17 standpoint (2) 37:23 59:11 start (6) 3:13 4:8 7:12 18:7 33:11 started (4) 43:17 45:2,16 46:24 **starting (4)** 55:12 64:5,10,12 State (2) 67:3,8 statement (1) 62:8 states (5) 40:17,21 41:9,13 54:16 statistical (2) 9:4,5 statistics (3) 11:12 34:2,5 status (3) 24:4 36:11 38:6 statute (1) 15:21

stead (1) 6:15

sting (1) 28:11

stings (1) 31:12 stop (9) 5:19 8:12 19:2,3 22:12,14 62:10,11 63:20 stopped (1) 63:22 stopping (1) 56:15 stops (5) 19:5,5 22:7,18 29:19 story (2) 30:13 36:4 strategic (2) 50:20 53:25 strategy (1) 50:16 street (3) 1:6 19:5 42:10 streets (2) 22:6,12 strip (1) 19:6 strive (1) 7:18 stroke (1) 41:19 studies (3) 4:24 6:8 24:5 study (1) 23:3 submit (2) 29:16,24 substances (1) 21:17 substantiated (4) 11:18,24 12:2,6 substantiation (1) 11:15 successful (1) 58:6 sum (1) 64:21 summons (1) 19:13 support (3) 14:5 50:15 59:5 supposedly (1) 29:8 sure (6) 39:11 55:19 57:2,12,14 58:20 surface (1) 53:22 surprised (1) 60:21 **Surrat (1)** 39:25 survivors (5) 21:20 24:25 26:15 27:5 31:3 **susceptible (1)** 31:10 suspect (2) 43:13 50:9 suspects (1) 27:10 swath (1) 34:18 switch-off (1) 42:8 symposium (1) 38:16 systematically (1) 18:4 systemic (1) 20:20 **systems (1)** 56:17

Т

T (2) 67:1,1
table (2) 61:13,16
tackling (1) 32:23
tainted (1) 55:20
take (4) 8:23 19:14 35:12 64:18
takes (9) 15:9 19:4 22:4,5,19 30:21
37:21 53:20 57:24
talk (4) 16:14 22:16 34:12 48:18
talked (3) 14:13 20:24 53:4
talking (7) 7:5,9 18:8,23 21:12 56:2
56:18
tape (1) 29:6
target (1) 21:25
targeted (2) 21:2,9

targeting (1) 21:13 targets (1) 21:7 tarmac (1) 40:15 Taser (1) 8:4 Task (2) 32:3 37:8 taught (2) 4:23 6:10 team (1) 57:24 teams (1) 57:18 tearing (1) 19:12 tell (5) 16:11 17:2 30:16,17 59:24 ten (1) 16:21 ten-year (1) 24:22 term (1) 22:9 terms (10) 15:3,22 22:4 36:4,5 37:21 49:3 62:10,14,17 terrible (1) 54:23 terrific (1) 47:16 terrified (1) 27:20 testified (3) 41:16 42:5,5 testimony (1) 41:21 text (1) 20:2 texted (1) 19:22 thank (31) 3:4,25 5:20 6:12,16,20 13:25 15:17 17:5,15 33:9,10 34:20 37:14 38:8,10,21 40:9 42:22 44:6 47:10,15 49:18,20 51:11 58:7,8 60:9 63:25 65:3,25 **Theological (2)** 5:11 46:3 thing (4) 35:9 43:16 53:16 55:23 things (9) 26:14 37:21 47:18 48:20 49:13 50:11,24 59:5 61:6 think (23) 4:13 6:22 15:23 16:19 20:10 28:3,5 35:4,8,11 37:8 43:24 46:14 47:7 48:22,23,25 49:24 52:10 60:23 61:7 62:5,19 third (1) 23:3 third-parties (1) 26:19 third-party (1) 54:8 Thomas (1) 62:5 thought (1) 39:14 threatening (1) 25:5 three (8) 4:5 9:8 26:22 29:7 43:19 52:14 57:17,17 thrilled (1) 47:20 throw (1) 52:17 ticket (2) 19:13 64:20 time (21) 14:17 15:3,8 23:13,13 27:12 30:11 31:14 32:11 34:12 38:8 39:11 41:6 42:18,23 47:13 48:10 56:6 58:2 66:2,5 times (5) 29:7 47:16 48:14,22 52:14 today (1) 38:11 told (3) 21:10 30:13,14 **Tolerance (1)** 31:25 tomb (2) 39:24 40:4 tones (1) 27:9

tonight (8) 8:24 16:8,13,19 18:3 38:21 40:10 59:21 Tony (2) 40:23,25 tool (1) 54:10 topic (2) 17:9 38:12 total (3) 9:23 10:3,5 touch (1) 7:17 touched (1) 24:13 track (4) 31:20 36:5 48:25 64:11 tracking (3) 31:13 35:18 36:6 tracks (1) 55:4 traction (1) 36:15 trades (2) 21:19 23:15 traffic (3) 19:5,13 22:7 training (2) 27:14 30:25 transcript (2) 1:9 67:11 transgender (1) 21:15 transparency (3) 12:23 13:5,8 trauma (1) 31:4 treating (1) 27:9 tremor (2) 40:18,22 trial (1) 12:16 trials (2) 12:17,19 true (2) 30:25 67:11 truncation (1) 11:10 Trustees (1) 5:10 try (2) 50:9 52:16 trying (2) 47:7 55:3 turn (4) 8:12.15 25:5 39:6 twice (1) 24:10 Twitter (1) 13:13 two (9) 6:18,21 14:4 23:20 48:19 56:8 61:6 64:18,22 type (4) 9:13 33:15 34:25 63:18 typical (1) 50:6 typos (1) 39:18

ι

ultimate (1) 62:25 unacceptable (2) 33:16,21 unbiased (1) 4:15 unclear (1) 28:23 undercount (1) 26:5 underreported (1) 27:24 underreporting (1) 61:11 understand (7) 36:14 38:6 45:4,7 52:24 60:3 61:22 understanding (1) 17:21 understood (1) 21:25 unfortunately (3) 21:20 24:2 43:12 uninvestigated (1) 23:18 **Union (3)** 5:10 43:7 46:2 unique (1) 33:2 unit (14) 8:5 12:15,17 35:17 50:19 51:17 55:8,14 57:4,18,21,23 59:3 59:16 United (4) 40:17,21 41:9,13

units (1) 41:2 University (1) 17:10 unknown (1) 41:4 unnecessary (1) 55:10 unprecedented (1) 13:4 unquestionably (1) 26:4 unquestioningly (1) 29:20 unwanted (1) 19:24 unwarranted (3) 18:15,22 19:4 update (2) 14:16 15:6 urge (1) 48:14 use (1) 31:21 utilizing (1) 50:18

V

variety (1) 34:14 vast (1) 18:2 Ventures (1) 45:23 verbally (1) 26:18 verdicts (1) 12:14 versus (1) 34:6 vice (1) 5:9 victim (2) 28:5 31:11 victims (1) 61:10 video (3) 11:23 12:2 18:22 videos (5) 18:15,18 51:25 54:5,6 videotape (1) 58:17 view (1) 12:24 vigorously (1) 18:4 violence (11) 18:10 19:18 20:19 21:21 24:25 25:2 26:15 28:10 30:14 33:3 52:12 violently (1) 19:25 visitors (1) 12:24

W

want (17) 16:6 17:15 30:17 33:11 38:10 42:2 43:2 49:21 54:17,20 55:5 57:2,13 58:9,20 59:19 61:5 wanted (4) 3:6 36:14 40:9 42:6 wanting (1) 47:22 wants (1) 16:14 War (1) 44:11 warning (1) 42:3 **Washington (1)** 4:23 wasn't (1) 51:6 way (8) 22:20 32:24 44:25 47:23 48:24 52:25 53:6 67:14 ways (4) 12:25 26:17 46:18 50:9 we'll (11) 6:6 7:10,17,18 37:5 50:2 50:2,9,23,25 59:22 we're (18) 3:11 4:6 5:5 6:18 7:4,12 7:16 17:9 21:12 33:19 42:18 50:8 53:16 55:3 57:5 58:12 62:23 we've (5) 50:11,24 58:2,5 61:19

wearing (1) 20:12

	ı	1
web (1) 5:20	year (3) 14:3 24:19 25:23	26 (1) 11:15
website (5) 9:6 12:22 13:9 35:14	year-to-date (2) 11:3 12:18	29 (1) 9:21
57:4	years (5) 14:4 17:12 23:23 26:22	(-,
week (4) 8:7,11 52:15 55:12	53:3	3
weekly (1) 51:21	yesterday (1) 49:6	3 (2) 1:18 11:14
weeks (1) 38:24	Yom (1) 3:7	3:00 (1) 27:7
welcome (4) 13:23 43:2 59:4,17	YOON (1) 2:13	30,000 (1) 56:10
welfare (1) 22:23	York (20) 1:7,7 19:2 21:6 23:17	300 (1) 13:20
well-known (2) 41:7,8	24:4 25:10 26:7 30:4 32:6 33:9	31 (1) 11:24
went (1) 35:13	41:8,12,15 43:6 47:2 53:20,23	319 (1) 10:8
WHEREOF (1) 67:16	67:3,8	323 (1) 9:10
White (2) 5:16 38:24	Yorkers (2) 13:11,15	34 (1) 10:7
wide (1) 34:17	young (9) 18:25 19:20 21:14 22:16	363 (1) 10:5
widely (1) 60:18	24:7,7 32:7,10 37:6	388 (1) 9:11
widespread (1) 17:24	YOUNGIK (1) 2:13	300 (1) 9.11
WILEY (37) 1:12 2:3 3:2,17,19,21	youth (4) 21:15 23:2 24:9,13	4
3:24 8:17 14:7 15:18 16:4 33:10	youth (+) 21.13 23.2 24.9,13	
34:20 35:24 37:15 39:2,5,16 42:2	Z	4 (1) 1:19 40 (1) 11:11
42:18,22 47:14 49:20 51:11 56:5	zero (2) 12:10 31:25	40 (1) 11.11 40,000 (1) 56:11
58:8 60:9 61:4 63:5,25 64:13	Zimbabwe (1) 6:9	43 (2) 9:18 11:8
65:3,9,12,20,22,25	21111babwe (1) 0.9	46th (2) 56:3,7
Wilkes (1) 39:20	0	4001 (2) 50.5,7
Willey (1) 41:21		5
William (1) 40:10	1	5 (6) 1:23 11:18 12:13 24:7,7,8
windows (1) 53:10	1 (6) 1:16 9:9 10:15 12:15 24:8	50 (1) 24:20
within-entitled (1) 67:10	40:19	55 (2) 10:3 11:5
WITNESS (1) 67:16	1,000 (1) 25:25	59 (1) 11:9
witnessing (1) 54:8	1,079 (1) 9:24	591 (1) 9:25
woman (7) 18:19 19:21 25:4 29:8	1,196 (1) 10:25	
31:15 64:14,23	10 (3) 10:10,14 24:15	6
woman's (1) 28:16	100 (3) 1:6 42:8 51:18	6 (2) 1:24 12:12
women (17) 17:13 18:25 19:11,20	109 (1) 10:9	6:36 (2) 1:5 3:2
20:4 21:8,14,14,16 22:2,16 23:22	12 (4) 1:4 10:13 11:20 24:12	619 (1) 10:3
24:7,7 27:19,20 32:10	124 (1) 10:11	6th (2) 52:14,15
women's (3) 4:23 32:7 37:6	13 (1) 40:5	
wonderful (1) 7:8	135th (1) 42:10	7
word (4) 39:21,22 61:14,16	16 (1) 10:12	7 (1) 1:25
words (3) 58:22 61:18 63:7	19 (1) 39:19	7:40 (1) 66:5
work (10) 5:2 27:8 28:7 38:13	1996 (1) 44:14	
45:18,22 46:23 59:15 64:15,17	19th (1) 67:17	8
worked (5) 5:3 6:9 15:3 19:21		8 (1) 39:19
64:25	2	
working (5) 6:4 8:5 15:7 17:12	2 (4) 1:17 9:22 24:6,7	9
46:24	200 (1) 42:17	9 (2) 12:19 39:25
world (1) 53:19	2011 (2) 18:10 61:19	90 (1) 55:19
worse (1) 43:7	2012 (1) 29:4	95 (1) 10:19
worship (1) 45:18	2013 (1) 22:15	96 (1) 61:19
wouldn't (1) 35:14	2014 (1) 11:5	98 (1) 12:4
wound (1) 40:23	2015 (2) 9:14 61:19	99 (2) 10:20 12:18
Wright (1) 42:15 writing (1) 26:18	2016 (9) 1:4 9:9,14 10:4,13 11:3,7	995 (1) 41:4
withing (1) 20.10	41:5 67:17	9th (1) 8:24
<u> </u>	21 (2) 9:16,20	
X (2) 1:2,6	21st (1) 32:3	
Λ (ε) 1.2,0	22 (1) 11:21 23-year (2) 10:23 13:6	
Υ	23-year (2) 10.23 13.6 24 (2) 11:19,25	
Yale (1) 6:5	25 (1) 17:12	
1415 (1) 0.0	23 (1) 17.12	
	I	I