



BUILDINGS 2023-021 BULLETIN TECHNICAL

**ISSUANCE DATE** December 11, 2023 ISSUER: Keith L. Wen, R.A. Assistant Commissioner Code & Zoning Interpretation

**PURPOSE:** This Bulletin rescinds 10 Directives, 4 Letters, and 47 Memoranda which were issued by the Department but are no longer applicable under current Codes and Local Laws.

**SUBJECT(S):** Rescinding Directive, Letters, and Memoranda

# **RESCINDED DOCUMENTS**

Directive 44 of 1970, Directive 34 of 1970, Directive 1 of 1973, Directive 1 of 1979, Directive 1 of 1984, Directive 12 of 1969, Directive 3 of 1971, Directive 20 of 1971, Directive 21 of 1969, Directive 6 of 1979

Letter 9/6/77, Letter 8/14/91, Letter 11/29/82, Letter 7/5/84

Memo 2/23/77, Memo 8/6/70, Memo 10/5/70, Memo 9/24/73, Memo 10/19/83, Memo 3/29/71, Memo 2/23/72, Memo 8/10/83, Memo 11/20/61, Memo 2/28/75, Memo 3/24/71, Memo 1/28/82, Memo 7/17/69, Memo 7/24/69, Memo 5/16/86, Memo 5/16/79, Memo 3/21/74, Memo 10/12/79, Memo 12/19/79, Memo 11/20/74, Memo 9/29/72, Memo 11/1/73, Memo 5/10/79, Memo 11/19/73, Memo 7/28/71, Memo 10/7/71, Memo 11/18/71, Memo 5/24/68, Memo 10/19/89, Memo 3/27/72, Memo 5/7/70, Memo 8/18/71, Memo 9/2/60, Memo 12/5/86, Memo 11/28/80, Memo 1/3/72, Memo 8/27/69, Memo 1/6/81, Memo 12/21/82, Memo 6/7/82, Memo 9/9/83, Memo 8/2/84, Memo 8/19/68, Memo 10/31/67, Memo 12/14/78, Memo 6/19/73, Memo 12/19/69

### BACKGROUND

The Department of Buildings periodically reviews published Buildings Bulletins (BB), Policy and Procedure Notices (Technical, Operational, Legal, Administrative, OTCR) and the various Directives, Executive Orders, Memoranda and Letters issued in the past to ensure their continued consistency with current Departmental practice and to verify that new laws and regulations are incorporated into these documents.

The above listed Directives, Letters, and Memoranda are rescinded effective immediately and are attached therein.

The rescinded documents will appear on the Department's website with the watermark **RESCINDED**. Because this review is ongoing, documents not specifically listed in this Bulletin may be addressed in future Bulletins. Watermarked Directives, Executive Orders, Memoranda, and Letters mav be accessed through the online version of this Bulletin at www.nyc.gov/site/buildings/codes/building-bulletins.page.

The City of New York HOUSING AND LEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION Department of Buildings

#### DIRECTIVE NO. 44 of 1970

TO: Borough Superintendenus

DATE: October 8, 1970

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Notice to Adjoining Property Owners Concerning Foundation Work or Earthwork Operations - C26-112.3 New Building Code

Section 026-112.3 of the building code states the following:

"No foundation or earthwork permit shall be issued unless and unbil at least five days prior written notice of the permit application shall nave been given by the applicant to the owner of the adjoining lots, buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed foundation work or earthwork operations."

Proof of service of the five day notice must be submitted, by a statement in writing made by the applicant, to the department prior to the issuance of the permit.

To give the applicant adequate notice of the aforesaid requirement, you are hereby directed to instruct the plan examiners to stamp the following legend on the specification sheet and folder of all approved applications which involve foundation work or earthwork operations:

"No permit involving foundation or earthwork operations shall be issued, until the applicant has submitted a statement certifying that he has given the required five day notice as set forth in Section C26-112.3 of the building code.

NOTE: Felsification of the statement is a misdemeanor under Section 643a - 10.0 of the Administrative Code."

Stamps are forwarded herewith for use by your Borough Office.

This directive shall be effective immediately.

Inomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

TVB/JTW/E1

cc: Executive staff
Incustry



The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

Departmental Memorandum

1

3

To: Borough Superintendents

Refuse Compaction Administration Sanitation, Dept. of Air Pollution Control

From: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

Date: December 19, 1969

Subject: Local Law No. 14 of 1966 and Local Law No. 14 of 1968 -In Relation to Air Pollution Control

This directive supplements Directive 5 of 1968 (Revised) August 6, 1969, and voids memoranda on the above subject dated May 8, 1968, May 21, 1968 and August 26, 1968.

Herewith forwarded are copies of amended form letters to be used in notifying the Department of Air Pollution Control and the Department of Sanitation of the filing of applications for installation of refuse compacting systems. Please destroy the previous form letters used for this purpose, and use the attached form hereafter.

Please have the information called for filled in at the time of filing if such information is readily available from the application of record. In the event this is not the case, the plan examiner is to fill in the missing pertirent data from the application and plans and Form 100, and forward the letters to the appropriate clerk for mailing.

The representative of the Department of Air Pollution Control to the related agency division is authorized to review department records in order to obtain such information as may be necessary for that agency.

> (Signed) Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

- 239-

СОРҮ

Housing and Development Department of Buildings 100 Gold Street

Commissioner Department of Air Pollution Control 51 Astor Place New York, New York

Commissioner Department of Sanitation 125 Worth Street New York, New York

Re: Application No.

Block	No.	Lot	No.	
-------	-----	-----	-----	--

Applicant: Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address

Dear Sir:

Į

The above application, which includes refuse chutes and refuse compacting equipment, has been filed with this department.

There are \_\_\_\_\_\_dwelling units in this building. This application indicates \_\_\_\_\_Compactors.

Make and model number of the compactor is \_\_\_\_\_

Capacity of output container in cubic yards \_\_\_\_\_\_or

Cubic feet \_\_\_\_\_.

Very truly yours,

¢

. ÷

5

Borough Superintendent

- 240 -

COPY

The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

.

1

3

2

Depertmental Memorandum

To: Borough Superintendents

I	Tax	Abatement

Date: December 22, 1969

From: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

Subject: Tax Exemption and Abatement

This memorandum supersedes the memorandum issued February 25, 1969, on the same subject.

Enclosed herewith are revised "Department of Buildings Certification for Tax Exemption and Abatement" forms (form TA-3 Rev. 1/70) for your use in your borough. Form TA-3 (modified) previously used shall be destroyed.

> (<u>Signed</u>) Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

24

The City of New York HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION Department of Buildings

DIRECTIVE NO. 34-1970

TO: Borough Superintendents

DATE: August 11, 1970

FROM: J.T. Walsh, P.E., Acting Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Soil Tests for Under-Sidewalk Transformer Vaults Sub-article 1101.0 Building Code

This directive supersedes the memorandum dated November 4, 1960, which supplemented Directive No. 17 of 1958 on the subject of "Soil Tests for Under-Sidewalk Transformer Vaults."

Applications for the installation of under-sidewalk vaults to house public utility equipment, when filed by the utility companies, shall be exempt from the requirements of sub-article 1101.0 "Soil Investigation" of the Building Code including inspections, provided that the utility company submits with the application a statement to the effect that:-

a careful inspection of the soil will be made

the i stallation would be made on soil of adequate bearing capacity

the utility company will take full responsibility for any settlement of such under-sidewalk vault

prompt repair will be made in the event settlement does occur

The above exemption does not apply to the present requirements regarding the structural effect of such installation on the foundation or footings of any adjoining building or structure.

seremian T. Walsh, P.E.

Acting Director of Operations

JTW/WCK/sl

cc: Industry Staff

The City of New York HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION Department of Buildings

DIRECTIVE NO. 1 OF 1973

TO: Borough Superintendents

DATE: January 15, 1973

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: IDENTIFICATION OF MASONRY UNITS - C26-1003.2

<u>PURPOSE</u>: Section C26-1003.2 states the "masonry units shall be clearly identified to show the grade of the unit and the compressive strength where called for on the plans".

> The Board of Standards and Appeals approvals previously obtained for masonry units do not therefore constitute compliance with Section C26-1003.2.

Masonry units now being used in building construction are not marked to show grade and compressive strength.

PROCEDURE: C26-1003.2 shall be deemed to be complied with if:

a. Prior to construction of mascnry, the contractor submits a written statement from the manufacturer of the masonry units on the attached form 10H, indicating that they comply with the specified ASTM specifications,

AND

- b. The contractor submits a written statement on the attached form 10J, from the supplier, that the masonry units described in the manufacturers statement were actually furnished and delivered to the specific site.
- c. The foregoing shall apply to all work performed under the 1968 and 1938 codes. No work shall be signed off completed until the required statements are filed and no certificate of occupancy shall be issued until the required statements are filed.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

- Form 10H Shall be required for applications incorporating masonry construction where the permit is dated January 22, 1973, and thereafter.
- Form 10J Shall be required for applications incorporating masonry construction prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy where the application for C. of O. is dated January 22, 1973, and thereafter.

Thomas V. Burke, P.E.

Director of Operations

TVB/PEO/s1 ATTACHMENTS: Forms 10H and 10J cc: Executive staff BCAC - CICI Industry

719

THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DIRECTIVE NO. #1 OF 1979

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

• -

DATE: April 16, 1979

TO. Borough Superintendents

FROM: Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner

SUBJECT: WALLS ADJACENT TO DEMOLITION SITES C26-1902.1, C26-1905.2

Demolition Applications of structures with adjoining or adjacent walls  $\pi$  be signed off as complete under the following conditions:

1. Where a building adjacent to a structure that is being demolished is legally occupied, those provisions of the Building Code in C-1902.0, and C26-1905.2 shall be applicable.

C26-1902.1

- (c) Adjoining walls When any construction operation exposes or breaches an adjoining wall, the contractor shall maintain the structural integrity of such wall and maintain all required fire exits and passageways or provide substitutions meeting the requirements of this code. Portions of the wall exposed by construction operations shall be protected against the elements, and shall be restored or left permanently protected after completion of operations.
- (d) Weatherproof integrity of adjoining buildings Where the weatherproof integrity of an adjoining building is impaired by constructioperations, the flashing shall be restored, copings replaced, or other necessary measures taken to restore the weatherproof integri of such adjoining buildings. See section C26-1905.2 (a) (3).

#### C26-1905.2

- (a) Adjoining walls -
  - (1). All beams in party walls shall be cut off close to the walls, stub ends removed without weakening existing masonry, and beam pockets cleaned of loose mortar. The owner of the demol ished structure shall, at his own expense, bend over all wall anchors at the beam ends in the standing wall and shall brick up all open beam holes with sound brick and cement mortar.
  - (2). The stability and condition of the remaining walls shall be investigated and all necessary steps taken to protect same. Where the floor beams of the adjacent building bear on the party wall, the person causing the demolition to be made shall ascertain that such beams are anchored into the wall and, where such anchorage is lacking, shall provide anchorage or otherwise brace the standing wall.

Walls Adjacent to Demolition Sites - continued

C26-1905.2

Adjoining walls -

- (3). Roofing material of adjoining buildings shall be bent over and flashed. All door or other openings in party walls shall be sealed and weatherproofed. Cornices, where cut, shall be properly sealed. Parapets and any walls that have been disturbed shall be pointed up and made weathertight. All exposed furring, lath, and plaster on party walls shall be removed, and any loose wall material shall be firmly anchored or removed and replaced.
- 2. Where the building adjacent to a structure that is being demolished is vacant and an Unsafe Building Order has been filed, further work on such building is not required unless it is necessary to maintain its structural stability.
- 3. Where the building adjacent to a structure that has been demolished is partially occupied, an inspection shall be made in order to determine if any of the provisions of the Building Code listed in Paragraph 1 above, might be waived. The Chief Construction Inspector, acting under the guidelines established by the Borough Superintendent, may waive certain specific items of work and have the Demolition Application signed off as completed. (Suggested sample guidelines attached).
  - 4. Where the adjacent occupied structure will have part or all of its interior exposed to the weather by the removal of the demolished wall, then the party causing the demolition of the Unsafe Building shall be responsible for maintaining the integrity of that part of the adjoining structure exposed by the demolition work.

Directive 11 of 1972, relative to treatment of stud walls, is still in effect.

Irwin Fruchtman, P.E. Commissioner

IF:JJI:rmr Attachment

CC:

Executive Staff Professional Societies Registered Architects Trade Associations Plumbing Associations Allied Building Inspectors' Union

### RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS BULLETIN 2023-021 THE CITY FREW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF SUILDINGS

#### DEPARIMENTAL MEMORANDUM

### DATE: February 23, 1977

10: Ldward L. Jirak, City-Wide Chief Inspector - OCE

FROM: Director of Operations Irving E. Winkin

SUBJECT: CELLOTEX CEILINGS, E.C.

In accordance with your request regarding filing requirements for certain ceiling installations, in a memorandum dated January 4, 1977, you are advised that new ceilings applied with adhesive directly to the existing ceiling, or placed on furning strips that were directly over the existing ceiling can be considered ordinary repairs, and exempt from filing requirements with this department provided:

1. You are satisfied as to the judgment of your staff in ascertaining adequacy of the fastening of the new ceilings to the existing ceiling.

2. The ceilings described by you are restricted to the interior of apartments only, in Class 3 (non-fireproof) or Class 4 (frame) buildings only, are not affixed to ceilings or assemblies required to have a fire resistive rating, and neither the new or existing ceilings constitute hung ceilings.

3. If the violations to repair a ceiling were issued subsequent to a fire, it will be necessary that repairs not be made until at least a week after the fire damage occurred, in order to avoid shrinkage of any water-damaged members which would weaken the connection of the new ceilings.

Irving E. Minkin Director of Operations

IFW/qt

CC: Comm. Walsh Dep. Comm. Jenkins Asst. Comm. Parascandola Borough Superintendents

BULLETIN 2023-021 The City of New York. Housing and Development Administration Department of Buildings

RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS

August 6, 1970

Fo: Borough Superintendents

From: Jeremiah T. Walsh, Acting Director of Operations

Subject: Local Law No. 30/70 - Section C26-108.5 and C26-113.2 Admin. Code -Signed Statement of Notice to Department of Rent and Housing Maintenance of intention to File Plans.

A local law, number 30 of 1970, was approved by the Mayor on July 10, 1970, and became effective immediately upon certification that the law was properly enacted.

A new subdivision b of section C26-108.5 Administrative Code requires that "an application for approval of plans for the alteration of an existing building or the construction of a new building shall be accompanied by a signed statement of the applicant certifying either (1) that the building to be altered, or the site of the new building, as the case may be, contains no occupied housing accommodations subject to control under title Y of chapter fifty-one of the administrative code, or (2) that the owner has notified the city rent agency of his intention to file such plans and has complied with all requirements imposed by the regulations of such agency as preconditions for such filing."

division b of section C26-113.2 of the Administrative Code, was added by the same local law and contains a requirement that an application for a permit for demolition or removal of an existing multiple dwelling be accompanied by a signed statement of the applicant certifying either of the two conditions set forth in the previously quoted subdivision b of section C26-108.5 Administrative Code. Such signed statements shall be required at the time a new building or alteration application, or a demolition application, is filed.

A supply of form 19 "Occupied Housing Accommodations Statement" is enclosed.

This procedure shall be effective immediately.

Jeremiah T. Walsh Acting Director of Operations

TTW/df

C: Exec. Staff



BULLETIN 2023-021 THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

### DATE: October 5, 1970

Kinffer

to: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Applicant - Occupied Housing Accommodations Statement Form 19(8/70)

This memorandum is a supplement to the memorandum of August 6, 1970, relating to the requirement of C26-108.5(b) and C26-113.2(b) of the building code that a statement be filed with a new building, alteration or demolition application indicating the status of the property with respect to occupied housing accommodations subject to rent control.

The required statement may be signed by the owner of the property even though the owner is not the applicant of record. In the case where the owner is a corporation, an officer of the corporation may sign, provided that the title of his corporate office is indicated on the statement.

12012

Thomas V. Burke,P.E. Director of Operations

TVB/JTW/sl

cc: Exec. staff Boro Supts.(3 copies)

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

August 6, 1970 <u>Revision #1</u> : September 24, 1973

to: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Local Law No. 30/70 - Section C26-108.5 and C26-113.2 Admin.Code -Signed Statement of Notice to Department of Rent and Housing Maintenance of Intention to file Plans.

A local law, number 30 of 1970, was approved by the Mayor on July 10, 1970, and became effective immediately upon certification that the law was properly enacted.

A new subdivision (b) of section C26-108.5 Administrative Code requires that "an application for approval of plans for the alteration of an existing building or the construction of a new building shall be accompanied by a signed statement of the applicant certifying either (1) that the building to be altered, or the site of the new building, as the case may be, contains no occupied housing accommodations subject to control under title Y of chapter fifty-one of the Administrative Code, or (2) that the owner has notified the city rent agency of his intention to file such plans and has complied with all requirements imposed by the regulations of such agency as preconditions for such filing."

Subdivision (b) of section C26-113.2 of the Administrative Code, was added by the same local law and contains a requirement that an application for a permit for demolition or removal of an existing multiple dwelling be accompanied by a signed statement of the applicant certifying either of the two conditions set forth in the previously quoted subdivision (b) of section C26-108.5 Administrative Code. Such signed statements shall be required at the time a new building or alteration application, or a demolition application, is filed.

Form 19 "Occupied Housing Accommodations Statement" shall be filed in quadruplicate. The fourth copies shall be sent to the following person at the end of each week:

Assistant Commissioner Department of Rent & Housing Maintenance 100 Gold Street New York, N.Y. 10038

This procedure shall be effective immediately.

Engles,

Thomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

TVB/PEO/sc

cc: Executive staff



#### DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

**EXECUTIVE OFFICES** 120 WALL STREET, NEW-YORK, N.Y. 10005

**ROBERT ESNARD, R.A. Commissioner** 

CAROL FELSTEIN Deputy Commissioner

Date: October 19, 1983

To: Borough Superintendents

From: Carol Felstein

{

Yarol Felstin Five-Day Notice to Owners of Adjoining Property Before Re: Demolition - Section C26-113.3 Administrative Code (Revised Directive of 9/29/72 - T. Burke)

The provisions of Section 26-113.3 of the Administrative Code require that five-day notice to owners of adjoining property be given before start of demolition. The section reads as follows:

> "Section C26-113.3. Notice to adjoining owners. No demolition or removal permit shall be issued unless and until at least 5 days prior written notice of the permit application shall have been given by the applicant to the owners of all adjoining lots, buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed demolition or removal work."

Before issuance of a demolition permit, the applicant is required to sign a statement on the permit form that "at least five days prior written notice of this permit application has been given by me to the owners of all adjoining lots, buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed demolition or removal work."

In addition to this signed statement, the Department will require the applicant to submit evidence of such notification as an attachment to the permit application, to be retained by the Department of Buildings as part of the permanent file.

Acceptable evidence will be:

A copy of a letter addressed to the owner by name and correct address of his residence or place of business, along with a "Certified Mail" receipt endorsed by the Post Office.



- 2 -

Failure of a contractor to submit acceptable evidence that required notice was given to owners of adjoining premises, will be cause for denial of a demolition permit.

Effective Date: 30 calendar days after date of this memorandum

CF:mk cc: Bob Esnard Irv Minkin Lou Munoz Joe White Neil Dennis Ken Lowenstein Gene Slattery

(

(



and the state of the second

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM	<b></b>	DATE:	March	29,	1971
70: Borough Superintendents	• • •		•		DISTRIBUTICI Minkin
FROM. Thomas V. Burke, P.E.,	Director of Operat	ions	• •		Kupfer Clarke
suzzer: Lumber Sizes and Gra	ades				Roberts Flynn

An application will be filed by this department with the Board of Standards and Appeals for amendments to Reference Standard RSLO-8 which relates to design specifications for structural lumber. Pending filing and approval of the application by the Board, lumber grade stamped #1 or #2, together with identification of the lumber, regional association or inspection agency, shall be accepted in lieu of construction and standard grades respectively called for on plans.

Stresses for #1 & Appearance or #2 grade stamped lumber shall not exceed that permitted under the present code. You will note in the accompanying tables for structural joists and planks, for Hem-Fir, under column headed "Repetitive" the allowable stresses in bending are 1400 pounds per square inch.for #1 and 1150 for #2.

Floor and roof joists placed 24 inches or less center to center are considered repetitive.

Particular attention shall be given as to the actual sizes of lumber delivered to the construction site. New grading rules permit, for surface dry lumber, 2 inch off the nominal size in thickness, and 2 inch off the nominal size for depth, up to and including 6 inches and 3/4 inches of the nominal size exceeding 6 inches in depth.

Amendments shall be required, to be filed by engineer or architect of record, when such sizes are encountered and are not shown on the approved plans. Net sizes, and stresses heretofore stated for the partic ular specie of lumber, shall be used in recomputing carrying capacity of the joists.

Chomas V. Burke.P

Director of Operations

TVE/WC/sl

cc: Exec. stai

Attachment:

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 23, 1972

70: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Steel Frame Buildings - Distribution of Horizontal Loads - C26-906.2

The recent collapse of an eight story steel frame structure, in the course of construction, in Rockaway, Queens, has directed attention to a serious deficiency that may occur in other buildings of steel frame construction.

It appears that the building may have been constructed without, adequate resistance to side-sway and without resistance to wind loads. Under the provisions of Reference Standard RS9-5, structures which are 100 feet or less in height, must be designed to withstand a wind pressure of 20 pounds per square foot on vertical surfaces and buildings from 101 feet to 300 feet in height must be designed for a wind pressure of 25 pounds per square foot; for heights greater than 300 feet, refer to table RS9-5-1. Wind must be assumed to come from any direction.

In order to develop such wind resistance the connection of the columns to the floor systems must be adequate to develop the required resisting moments. Moments must be analyzed with the building considered as a continuous frame. Note that unless adequate resisting moments are developed at each floor, in each direction, by the connections of the columns to the floor systems, sufficient resistance to lateral loads cannot be developed by the structural frame and the building may collapse. Note also that provision of a heavy, rigid floor system will not prevent collapse under lateral loads unless the connections of the floor system to the columns develop adequate moment resistance. Other methods of providing lateral stability may be utilized e.g., "shear walls".

The shear at each story due to horizontal loads, is transmitted to the floor below, by the columns. The columns can do this only when they can develop adequate resisting moments at the floor connections.

Examiners shall require compliance with this memorandum and with the provisions of the Reference Standard. A complete, thorough set of structural computations bearing the seal and signature of the structural applicant of record showing analysis for horisontal stability shall be submitted in accord with C26-1002.1.

Thomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

TVB/sl

•

cc: Executive staff

2



•

#### DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW-YORK, N.Y. 10005

ROBERT ESNARD, R.A. Commissioner

CAROL FELSTEIN Deputy Commissioner

Date: August 10, 1983 To: Borough Superintendents From: Carol Felstein M Re: Arson Strike Force

As we agreed at our meeting on July 27, the following procedures will be followed in response to concerns raised by the Mayor's Arson Strike Force:

- All Docket Advice Sheets are to be forwarded to the Arson Strike Force, attention of Mr. Hoey, Director. The Strike Force will return notated copies indicating those buildings where there have been past incidents of suspected arson or negligently caused fires, as well as their comments on the requested action.
- 2. A comprehensive tenant protection plan is required to be submitted prior to any approval for the rehabilitation of any occupied multiple dwelling (unless the building is an SRO and is already so covered under Local Law 19 of 1983). This plan should cover all aspects of tenants' safety during the course of construction. For buildings indicated on the Docket Sheets as known to the Arson Strike Force, the plan shall set forth in detail the efforts to be taken to insure tenants' safety from additional fire hazards that may arise during the alteration work.

CF:mk cc: Bob Esnard Irv Minkin Joe White Deputy Borough Superintendents

CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS INTRADEPARTMENTAL MELORANDUM

To: Borough Superintendents

Date: November 20, 1961

From: Peter J. Reidy Commissioner Subject: FIBERGLASS DIVIDING PARTITIONS ON EXTERIOR BALCONIES

In my memorandum of October 20th, 1961, it stated that <u>Fire Retardant</u> fiberglass dividers may be approved as a screening device on exterior balconies.

A question has been raised as to how the inspector is to determine whether the fiberglass dividers are fire retardant.

Fire retariant fiberglass is self extinguishing.

The Barchite Corp. has received an approval for the Board of Standards and Appeals for their fiberglass panel which is self extinguishing. The Calendar No. 320-5854 is printed in Bulletin Nos. 8 and 28, Volume XLV.

Fire retardant fiberglass should bear a FM label imbedded in the sheets. This indicates it has been tested by a recognized laboratory such as the Underwriters and a Factory Mutual approval has been obtained by the manufacturer.

Peter J. Roidy (Commissioner

CC: Dep.Comm.W.C.Kane Dep.Comm.H.Birns Dir.of Cp.J.Fermo Asst.Dir.of Op.J.Schneider Exec.Eng.Asst.J.H.Cohen Exec.Hsg.Asst.J.J.Christian Bureau of Records

inc:1a

A-28

September 6, 1977

Hon. Joseph B. Klein, Chairman Eloard of Standards and Appeals 80 Lofayette St. New York, N. Y.

Dear Chairman Klein;

£

### Cal. #253-77SM; U.S. Gypsum Floor-Ceiling Assembly

I have been advised that various architects and engineers have been inadvertently given the impression that the floor-ceiling assembly approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. #253-775M, on July 7, 1977, is permissible in Construction Group 1 buildings under the new Eucliding Code.

However, since the type of assembly does not consist exclusively of noncombustible material, it is my interpretation that the assembly described in the subject Cal. number is, in fact, combustible and not authorized where either the old Building Code or the new Building Code requires construction elements or assemblies to be noncombustible, and am notifying all borough offices and the construction industry to that effect.

I would appreciate if, in view of the misunderstandings that have already arisen and which will probably continue in the future despite my letter, that the Board amend the subject Cal. number so as to include the proviso to the effect that this assembly cannot be used where the Building Code requires noncombustible elements or assemblies.

Very truly yours,

Jeremiah T. Walsh, P.E. Commissioner

JTW/IEM/df

CC: Dep. Comm. Jenkins Asst. Comm. Parascandola Exec. Staff Boro, Supts. Industry

1353

BODSING AND DEVELOU DEEY ALL MAILYNATION Department of Buildings

DIPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

TUI

'FLOM:

5.

33

-

DATE: 'February 28, 19'

Porough Superintendents

Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Executive Engineer

Asurant: Doard of Standards & Appeals Cal. No. 25-75 GR; Acoustical Tile and Lay-in Panel Ceiling Suspension Systems

> On February 25. 1975, the Board of Standards & Appeals approved Cal. No. 25-75 GR, making Reference Standard NE 5-76 (adopted by the Board of June 27, 1972 under Cal. No. 355-72 BCR) applicable to all of the suspended ceiling systems approved by the Board prior to June 27, 1972.

This general resolution generally has a specific impact in regard to the size of hanger (now required) to be either 2" diameter rod or 1/3" I " flat strap) and the necessity of a supporting two-way grid, <u>regardless of the less stringent</u> details of the prior Board Epproval to the contary. Purtuer Reference Standard AS 5-10-15 now Ende applicable to all light weight suspended ceiling systems, whether or not other work is performed under the old Building Code, as well as to all such ceilings having a fire resistance rating or noise control rati

The Board's resolution is effective immediately to all plans not yet approved.

Herewith forwarded for distribution to department personnel are copies of the proposed resolution as adopted. Official copies of the resolution appearing in the Dulletin of the Board will be forwarded when received.

Irving L. Minkin.P.E. Executive Engineer

1975

MAR A

III.:njk cc: Comm. Walsh Dep. Comm. Jonkins Ass't Comm. Parascandola Ince. Staff IXAC Industry City Agencies

> WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads: Subject-Applicability of Reference Standard RS 5-16 of the Building Code (acoustical tile and lay-in panel ceiling suspension systems) to pertinent approvals of ceiling sus-

> suspension systems) to pertinent approvals of ceiling sus-pension system components adopted by the Board of Standards and Appeals before June 27, 1972. WHEREAS, the requirements of Calendar Number J53-72-BCR, adopted by the Board on June 27, 1972 to establish Reference Standard RS 5-16 of the Building Code (acousti-cal tile and lay-in panel ceiling suspension systems), were not made applicable to components of ceiling suspension systems approval by the Board before June 27, 1972, and WHEREAS, the Board deems it necessary in the public interest to make these requirements applicable to all ceiling suspension systems.

suspension systems. *Resolved*, that by virtue of the powers vested in the Board by Section 666.2 of the City Charter, the Board hereby makes the requirements of Reference Standard RS 5-16 of the Building Code (acoustical tile and lay-in panel ceiling suspension systems) applicable to all approvals of components of ceiling suspension system adopted by the Board before June 27, 1972, including those adopted under the

Beins of celling instemsion system subplet by the bolt before june 27, 1972, including those adopted under the following Calendar Numbers:
851-40-SM, 44-41-SM, 841-50-SM, 767-51-SM, 778-51-SM, 769-51-SM, 696-52-SM, 754-52-SM, 79-53-SM, 371-53-SM, 866-53-SM, 577-54-SM, 727-54-SM, 798-55-SM, 729-54-SM, 729-54-SM, 728-55-SM, 592-54-SM, 298-55-SM, 645-55-SM, 678-55-SM, 874-55-SM, 595-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 592-56-SM, 1013-57-SM, 1027-57-SM, 555-57-SM, 760-57-SM, 1013-57-SM, 1027-57-SM, 515-57-SM, 525-57-SM, 760-57-SM, 1033-62-SM, 1013-67-SM, 1033-62-SM, 1013-62-SM, 1033-62-SM, 1013-62-SM, 1033-62-SM, 1013-62-SM, 1033-62-SM, 1013-64-SM, 726-64-SM, 726-64-SM, 726-64-SM, 787-64-SM, 994-64-SM, 781-64-SM, 784-64-SM, 785-65-SM, 902-67-SM, 904-64-SM, 1150-64-SM, 711-65-SM, 935-65-SM, 655-66-SM, 655-66-SM, 655-66-SM, 259-68-SM, 348-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 739-68-SM, 482-67-SM, 131-64-SM, 131-64-SM, 739-68-SM, 131-64-SM, 131-64-SM, 131-64-SM, 131-64-SM, 739-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 434-58-SM, 439-68-SM, 434-58-SM, 439-68-SM, 437-64-SM, 744-68-SM, 437-64-SM, 744-68-SM, 546-61-SM, 214-68-SM, 439-68-SM, 439-68 and 548-58-SM.

(Sgd.) JOHN B. CINCOTTA, Executive Director,

For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL Asst Engr. THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,

Asst. Arch., Committee on Test.

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF DUILDINGS

	DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM	0 6 1071	date: Ma	rch 24, 1971
•	TO: Borough Superintendents	MAR 2 6 1971		DISTRIBUTION Minkin
	FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director	of Operations		·Kupfer All Examiners
۲' ۱	SUBJECT: H. H. Robertson Co Q Cal. No. 583-68-SM	Lock Deck		Flynn Roberts Cafe

A memorandum was issued under date of November 20, 1970, to require that approval be withheld of the floor assembly designated as H. H. Robertson Co. - Q Lock Deck, approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 583-68-SM, until the Board of Standards and Appeals had reviewed the approval.

On March 23, 1971, the Board reaffirmed its approval of the assembly.

Please instruct all examiners that such assemblies are to be accepted in accordance with the approval of the Board.

The memoran 1 of November 20, 1970, on this subject, shall be marked void.

Thomas V. Burke

Director of Operations

TVB/df

CC:

Ľ

Exec. Staff

lics of

Joseph Lazar, Director of Fiscal Aff

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 28, 1982

. TO:

. ..

(:

Borough Superintendents, Borough Office Managers, Chief Inspector

FROM:

SUBJECT: CD Monitoring

Effective February 1, 1982 every inspection unit in this department will be responsible for tracking and monitoring route sheets for CD and UB related inspections. The enclosed Forms CD-1 and CD-2 are for your use. CD-1 is to be completed by the inspection division while the CD-2 form is to be completed by the Borough Office Manager and submitted along with the monthly set of statistics to Marvin Luttenberg.

If an inspector notes on his route sheet that he took 3 actions at a given address which happens to be in a CD area it should be noted as follows:

-3 actions - 1 UB inspection 1 complaint inspection and 1 violation reinspection. - in the UB column list 1 in the CD column list 2-

If you have any further questions regarding this new updating formula please call my office 248-8814.

cc: Commissioner Fruchtman Deputy Commissioner Minkin Deputy Commissioner Parascandola Assistant Commissioner Dennis Assistant Commissioner Grill Marvin Luttenberg, Associate Staff Analyst

#### СОРҮ

The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

Departmental Memorandum

To: Borough Superintendents

From: John T. O'Neill Commissioner

2

3.

Concrete Plan Examination Date: July 17, 1969

Subject: Ultimate Strength Design

Effective immediately, Director of Operations Burke's memorandum of September 4, 1968 regarding Ultimate Strength Design is hereby superseded.

Based on enactment of the new Building Code, all Borough Superintendents may authorize the use of Ultimate Strength Design, conditioned by the stipulations specified in the authorization accompanying this memorandum, pursuant to Section 1804 of the New York City Charter.

> (Signed John T. O'Neill Commissioner



#### СОРҮ

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

Concrete Plan Examination

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 24, 1969

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Ultimate Strength Design

In a memorandum to you from Commissioner John T. O'Neill dated July 17, 1969, permission was granted to Borough Superintendents to authorize the use of Ultimate Strength Design, conditioned by stipulations specified in an authorization which accompanied the memorandum.

Stipulation No. 1 in the authorization requires the design to comply in all respects with applicable provisions of the ACI Building Code (ACI 318-62 relating to Ultimate Strength Design.

Under the provisions of Section 1708(b) of the ACI 318-63 Building Code, when structural lightweight aggregate concrete is used, the value of FSP, the ratio of splitting tensile strength to the square root of the compressive strength, shall be 4.0 unless determined for the particular aggregate in accordance with Section 505.

The value of FSP used in design shall appear on the approved structural plans. Where the value of FSP is other than 4.0, the value is to be determined for a particular aggregate in accordance with Section 505. Test data shall be filed with this department on a " Controlled Concrete Design Mix" Amendment form 10B and the calculated value of FSP is to appear on the Amendment form. This calculated value of FSP is to be checked against the design value.

(Signed)

Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

- 212-



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005 Directive #1/1984

ROBERT ESNARD, R.A. Commissioner

CAROL FELSTEIN Deputy Commissioner

Date: January 6, 1984

To: Borough Superintendents

From: Carol Felstein

Re: Tenant Safety Plan

The question of defining the necessary elements of a tenant safety plan has arisen in regard to two recent directives, i.e. implementation of Local Law 19 of 1983 regarding permits for conversion of SRO facilities (directive of August 10) as well as a directive of July 28 regarding rehabilitation of occupied buildings and arson-prone buildings. At a minimum, the tenant safety plan must make provisions for:

1. Egress

At all times in the course of construction provision is made for adequate egress, as required by the Code. Required egress must not be obstructed at any time.

2. Fire Safety

All necessary laws and controls as well as any additional safety measures necessitated by the construction shall be strictly observed.

#### 3. Health Requirements

Provision for control of dust, disposal of construction debris, pest control and maintenance of sanitary facilities, and limitation of noise to acceptable levels shall be included.

<sup>1</sup>. <u>Services</u>

Continuation of essential services as required by the New York City Building Code and Housing Code and the State Multiple Dwelling Law.

1965A

### 5. Structural Stabilty

No work to be done where there might be any danger to occupants due to structural work.

#### 6. Controlled Inspection

Everything should be under controlled inspection.

7. Plans

Plans submitted by the applicant shall show compliance with the above items during construction. Details such as temporary Fire-Rated Assemblies and Opening Protectives shall be included.

The applicant must provide a notarized statement that the above conditions will be met.

CF:mk

cc: Executive Staff

# 1965B



### DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

ENECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M. SMITH. Jr., R.A., Commissioner 248-8811

May 16, 1986

#### MEMORADUM

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: George E. Berger, P.E., Assistant Commissioner

SUBJECT: Controlled Is spection for "Subgrade for Footings, Foundation Pills and Foundation Walls. -C26-1112.5".

When excavations are made in order to place footings for foundation piers and walls, adequate protection must be taken for protection of the sidewalk and adjoining structures. Therefore the following note will be placed on the 10F amendment for controlled inspection:

"SUBGRADE" C26-\_112.5

IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION WILL BE GIVEN TO THE CHIEF CONSTRUCTION INSPECTOR (Chief Inspector's Phone #). IF FENCING (Per C26-1901.5), UNDERPINNING, (C26-1903.1) AND/OR SHEETPILING (Per C261903.2) IS REQUIRED. SOIL WILL NOT BE APPROVED UNTIL ADEQUATE PRECAUTIONS HAVE BEEN TAKEN.

See copy of attached Form 10F.

George E. Berger, \P.E.

Assistant Commissioner

GEB:1g

#### COPY

The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

ì

DIRECTIVE NO. 12 of 1969

TO: BORO SUPTS. CHAMOY, COHEN, PINSKER, SIGMAN

FROM: Director of Operations, T.V. Burke

Urban Renewal Area Model City Areas Development, Dept. ( Unsafe Buildings Demolition

Date: November 6, 1969

SUBJECT: URBAN RENEWAL and MODEL CITIES AREAS

1. Pursuant to Subdivision 503(h) of the General Municipal Law and by agreement between the Department of Development and the Department of Buildings; consent of the Department of Development is required prior to issuance of a building permit or a Certificate of Occupancy for a structure or use within an approved urban renewal or model cities area, except for construction or uses necessary for the immediate protection of public health or safety.

Applications in model cities areas by the New York City Housing Authority or other public agencies may be processed immediately without referral to the Department of Development.

Enclosed herewith is a current list of urban renewal areas and model cities in your boroughs. Each list includes the name and address of the area project director. Consent requests in urban renewal areas pursuant to Subdivision 503(h) of the General Municipal Law shall be sent directly to the urban renewal project directors. Consent requests in model cities areas shall be sent directly to:-

> Mr. Steve Lambert, Director Vest Pocket Unit Department of Development 2 Lafayette Street - 17 floor New York, N.Y. 10007

The granting or denial of the requests will be in writing by Deputy Commissioner, David S. Olinger of the Dept.of Development

By agreement, it is the reaponsibility of the area project directors to submit to the Department of Buildings and to the approprate Borough Superintendent any urban renewal plan amendment modifying the project boundaries, after such amendments are approve by the Board of Estimate.

2. "Form #117 - Authorization to Demolish" on unsafe buildings for which precepts have been issued by the Supreme Court are sent to the Department of Development. In the future if the building is in an urban renewal or a model cities area, such information she be noted on the "Form 117 - Authorization to Demolish". \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*

This memorandum supersedes Directive #4 of 1969 dated April 8,19

-156

### RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS BULLETIN 2023-021 The City of New York

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION Department of Buildings

. DIRECTIVE #\_\_\_\_\_OF 1971

TO:	Borough	Superintendents
-----	---------	-----------------

Date: January 8, 1971

FROM: Director of Operations, T. V. Burke, P.E.

ACCELERATED DEMOLITION PROGRAM

SUBJECT:

The Mayor's Office recently established an accelerated program to demolish unsafe structures. In conjunction with this program the Board of Health passed a resolution under which demolition can be ordered without a precept from the Supreme Court. To further accelerate the program it is contemplated to use mechanical means of demolition wherever such means are safe and practical.

Effective at once all authorization for demolition under precept on Form #117 or certification to the Department of Health on Form#117A shall be reviewed and the information required on the attached Form #117B "INFORMATION - MECHANICAL DEMOLITION OF UNSAFE BUILDING" shall be submitted at the same time.

Chomas V. Burke

Director of Operations

TVB:JL:rmr

cc:

Executive Staff Chief Engineers-Construction Chief Construction Inspectors Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller



### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEFARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENT	ALMEMORANDUM	DATE	May 16, 1979	1979	
10:	Borough Superintendents				
FROM	Cornelius F. Dennis, P.E., As	sistant Com	missioner-Oper	ations	
SUBJECT	CCMMUNITY BOARD NOTIFICA	TION OF DEM	OLITION	÷	

Effective immediately, each borough office shall send to each Community Board Chairman in that borough, a copy of the order sent to the Division of Demolition in the Housing Preservation and Development to demolish an unsafe building.

Cornelius F. Dennis,

1615

Assistant Commissioner-Operations

CFD:JJI:rmr

cc: Commissioner I. Fruchtman Deputy Commissioner, I.E.Minkin Deputy Commissioner, B.F.Parascandola Executive Chief Inspector, J.J.Ingoglia Senior Construction Inspector, E.J.Fogt Unsafe Building Clerks - All Boroughs

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK NOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL	MEMORANDUM
--------------	------------

DATE: Earch 21, 1974

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Director of Garations, T. V. Burke, P.E.

SUBJECT:

Preliminary Inspection Prior to Demolition

Effective at once all preliminary inspections prior to issuance of demolition permits for demolition of structures under contracts issued by the Department of Development, will be made by inspectors of the Department of Development. If all other requirements are complied with the Demolition Permits shall be issued without preliminary inspection by Department of Building personnel provided that the Form 260 "Request for Inspection Prior to Demolition" signed by a Demolition Inspector of the Department of Development and countersigned by Messrs. S.B. Feller or A.D. Ragogna is presented with the application for a Demolition Fermit. The Department of Development is accepting responsibility for the accuracy of the reports they submit on Form 260.

On the Form 260 - items 1 through 4 shall be completed. By agreement the Department of Development will notify the respective Borough Superintendent when it is found that development has commenced without a permit. When so notified the Borough Superintendent shall cause an inspection to be made and proper action taken.

Construction Inspectors shall be responsible for surveillance and inspection of the actual demolition and for compliance with all laws, rules and regulations pertaining to demolition.

Where it is required that a new fire escape must be created on an adjoining building prior to issuance of a demolition permit, the examination of a b.N. filed for compliance shall be expedited when requested by the applicant.

Inspectors of the Building Department will continue to make pre-demolition inspections of those buildings not demolished by the Department of Development.

This memo supersedes memo "Demolition Under Board of Health Resolution" dated December 12, 1970 and memo "Preliminary Inspection Prior to Demolition" dated July 1, 1971.

Thomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

IVB:JL:mr Attachment Form 260 cc: Executive Staff

Chief Construction Inspectors Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: October 12, 1979

TO: ALL BOROUGH SUPERINTENDENTS

FROM: Cornelius F. Dennis, P.E., Assistant Commissioner-Operations

SUBJECT: EMERGENCY DEMOLITION REQUEST

Effective immediately, whenever a request for emergency demolition is to be forwarded to the Operations office at 120 Wall Street, the Borough Superintendent shall notify the Demolition Division at 100 Gold Street, Telephone: 566-6465 or 566-6456 that such request is being made.

Comelius F. Dennis, P.E.

63

Assistant Commissioner-Operations

. . . . . . .

CFD:JJI:dt

cc:

Executive Staff Frank Juliano, Director of Demolition - H.P.D. All Chief Construction Inspectors



THE CITY OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE MAYOR NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007

December 19, 1979

#### MEMORANDUM

- TO: COMMUNITY BOARD CHAIRPERSONS DISTRICT MANAGERS
- FROM: ANTHONY B. GLIEDMAN, COMMISSIONER
- RE: EMERGENCY DEMOLITION OF UNSAFE BUILDINGS AND PRIORITY "A" DESIGNATIONS

This memorandum will outline a clear definition of demolitions for "Emergency" and Priority "A" designations. This procedure is intended to respond to the criticism some community boards have made about the process while insuring that the Department of Buildings and the Department of Housing Preservation and Development can accomplish their Charter mandated mission to protect the public from unsafe buildings.

The Buildings Department will make two classes of determinations on those buildings considered to be hazardous.

"Emergency Demolitions" will be declared on those buildings where inspections by the Department of Building" "Whether is serious structural danger and/or imminent danger of collapse. In the case of an "Emergency Declaration" CBAU will notify the community boards both by a phone call and by certified mail of the emergency declaration and impending demolition. If a board wishes to question the reason for the emergency declaration, it should call Cornelius Dennis, P.E., at 248-8775. A court order directing demolition is not required in these situations and demolition will begin as soon as possible.

1663

MMUNITY BOARD CHAIRPERSONS ISTRICT MANAGERS Page 2 December 19, 1979

Notification to the community boards of Emergency Demolitions is for informational purposes only, and discretionary modification of the declaration is not appropriate.

<u>Priority "A"</u>. Vacant buildings not in imminent danger of collapse but otherwise found by the Department of Buildings to be hazardous to the community.(e.g., a fire trap, hangout for addicts, in close proximity to schools or other reasons) will be designated Priority "A" demolitions and a court order directing demolition will be requested prior to commencement of demolition.

In the case of "Priority  $\Lambda$ " designation, HPD's Division of Demolition will notify the community board by cortified mail that an inspection by the Buildings Department has resulted in it being declared a "Priority  $\Lambda$ ". The boards will then have 30 days to respond to the Division of Demolition, Frank Juliano, 100 Gold Street, N.Y., N.Y. 10038, Room No. 8043, as to its recommendations for the building - whether it wishes demolition or whether it recommends seal-up. In those cases where the boards recommend demolition, HPD will move to demolish as swiftly as possible barring utility cut-off problems or "precept" delays. In other instances, the Division of Demolition will try to accommodate the recommendations of the boards unless extraordinary circumstances prevent them from doing so.

1664
THE CITY OF NEW YORK MOUSING AND DEVELCOMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

### DATE: November 20, 1974

TO: BOROUGH SUPERINTENDENTS

FROM

Director of Operations, Thomas V. Burke, P.E.

SUBJECT:

Mechanical Means of Domolition Re: Permits for Domolition Contracts awarded by HDA

\_ ان

There have been previous agroements with the Department of Development concerning approval of mechanical means of demolition. In some cases mechanical demolition was permitted only with prior approval of the Department of Development, in other cases involving mechanical means employed to break up floor slabs, prior approval was not required.

We have been advised by the Department of Development that these permissive approvals have been abused by some segments of the industry.

In the interest of maximum safety and in compliance with a request by the Department of Development, no permits for mechanical means of demolition under contracts made with the Department of Development shall be issued unless requested in writing by the Department of Development.

Thomas V. Burke, P.E.

Director of Operations

TVB:JL:rmr

cc: Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller Chief Construction Inspectors



### THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATICA DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

4.4

DATE: September 29, 1972

"5: Borough Superintendents

acid: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

Subject: Five-Day Notice to Owners of Adjoining Property Before Demolition - Section C26-113.3 Administrative Code.

> A. The provisions of Section C26-113.3 of the Administrative Code require five-day notice to owners of adjoining property be given before start of demolition. The section reads as follows:

"Section C26-113.3 Notice to adjoining owners. No demolition or removal permit shall be issued unless and until at least 5 days prior written notice cf the permit application shall have been given by the applicant to the owners of all adjoining lots. buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed demolition or removal work."

Before issuance of a demolition permit, the applicant is required to sign a statement on the permit form that "at least five days prior written notice of this permit application has been given by me to the owners of all adjoining lots, buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed demolition or removal work."

The Department of Buildings will continue to issue demolition permits when the statement is signed.

B. Upon complaint of one or more owners of adjoining property, that notice has not been received, the department will require the demolition contractor to show evidence that notification was given.

Acceptable evidence will be:

a. A copy of a letter addressed to the owner by name and correct address, with an affidavit of the contractor that the letter was mailed or was delivered by hand.

b. A signed receipt for a letter addressed by name to an owner.

Letters addressed to "Owners," without the name of the owner, will not be acceptable. Also, letters addressed to an owner by name must be sent to the address of his residence or business.

- C. An owner who advises the department that he has not received the required notice of commencement of demolition, will be required to file the complaint in affidavit form.
- D. Failure of a contractor to produce acceptable evidence \_\_\_\_\_\_ that required notice was given to owners of adjoining premises, will be cause for revocation of a demolition permit.

Thomas V. Burke

Director of Operations

TVB/df

CC: Executive Staff Counsel Abraham Dollinger, House Wrecking Industry Board (for distribution to members of the board)

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

#### DATE: November 1, 1973

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Director of Operations, T. V. Burke, P.E.

SUBJECT: Unsafe or Unlawful Demolition Operations

If during the course of routine inspections or by observation of construction inspectors it is found that demolition work is proceeding in an unsafe manner or that mechanical methods of demolition are employed without proper permit therefor issued by the Borough Superintendent, the construction inspector shall immediately order all such unsafe and unlewful work to stop.

The construction inspector shall also call the Chief Construction Inspector and the stop work order shall be processed pursuant to Directive #3-1972 "Issuance of Stop Work Orders" and mano under date of April 22, 1969 "Administrative Precedures concerning Inspections, Stop Orders and Information."

Where the demolition is being performed pursuant to a contract issued by the Department of Development, the Chief Inspectors or Berough Superintendents shall immediately notify the Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller by telephone. Such notification shall be followed by a mailed copy of the violation order. If demolition work is in progress on Schurday or Sunday, the Department of Development should be notified by calling:

> Seymour B. Faller Attilio D. Ragogna

Horn Telenhone # 549-5915 686-3012

Themes V. Burke, P.E.

Director of Operations

812

TVB:JL:TER

cc: Ass't. Director of Operations, P.E.Olin Chief Inspector of Censtr.-Opera., J.Linker Chief Engineers-Construction Chief Construction Inspectors Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

DATE: May 10, 1979

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Cornelius F. Dennis, P.E., Assistant Commissioner-Operations

UNSAFE BUILDINGS - DEMOLITION REQUESTS

When a written request is received from a Community Board for the demolition of a vacant and abandoned building, the site shall be inspected as soon as possible. Unsafe Building action shall be instituted and expedited.

A Priority or Emergency Demolition may be recommended after inspection, even though the building has no structural defects. On the memo request for Priority or Emergency demolition there shall be quoted the reasons given by the Community Boards as well as the reasons determined by our inspection. A copy of the Community Boards' request shall be attached to the request for Emergency or Priority demolition.

This procedure shall be followed on city owned as well as privately owned buildings.

A copy of the Priority or Emergency demolition memo shall be sent to Chairman of the Community Board.

Cornelius F. Dennis, P.E.

Assistant Commissioner of Operations

161

CFD:rmr

cc:

Executive Staff Chief Construction Inspectors Borough Managers Unsafe Building Clerks

Director of Demolition, F. Juliano-HPD

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

#### DATE: November 19, 1973

to: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

FROM: Philip E. Olin, P.E., Assistant Director of Operations

SUBJECT: PREQUALIFIED COMPUTER PROGRAMS (Approved)

#### Inden to Date

- CP1 Modification and elaboration of Portland Cement Ass'n. Flat Plate Program - Slingerland & Boos
- CP2 Flat Plates and Beams Severud Assoc.
- CP3 Frame Constants (TP1) Alvin Fromme
- CP4 Non Prismatic Frame Analysis (TP1) Tlvin Fromme

CP5 - Beam Analysis (Simple Beams) (TP1) - Alvin Fromme

- 196 Engenda Rigid Frames Atlantic Building Systems
- CP7 Strudl Severud Assoc.
- CPS Modification of Portland CementAss'n. Flat Plate Program Zoldos/Silman
- CP9 Design of Concrete Beams Zoldos/Silman
- CF10 Wind Shears and Moments in Columns of Multi-Story Buildings Zoldos/Silman
- CP11 Flat Slab Analysis, A Modification of the Portland Ass'n. Program - Robert Rosenwasser
- CP12 Stress (1]30 Fortran) Zoldos/Silman
- CP13 Design of Steel Columns & Base Plates Zoldos/Silman
- Cl14 Design of Foundation Elements Zoldos/Silman
- CP15 Design of Steel Beams, Joists & Plate Girders Zoldos/Silman
- CP16 Omni-Stress Modification and Expansion of Stress Program -Omnidata Services
- C'17 Struc 5 A Program to Analyze and Design Multi-Story Planar Frames in Steel or Concrete - Cmmdata Services
- CI18 Program to Design Pile Caps and Investigate Eccentrically Loaded Pile Groups - Robert Rosenwasser

continued...

817

· .	-2-
<b>C</b> P19	- Analysis of Multi-Story Frame Under Lateral Load - Wayman C. Wing
CP20	- Light Structural Steel Buildings, Design Program for Vertical Loads - Fischer & Redlien
CP21	- Structural Steel Building - Design Program for Vertical Loads - Fischer & Reldien
<b>CP2</b> 2	- Analysis of Pile Caps for Pile Location Deviation - Fischer & Redlien
CP23	- T.P.1. Flat Plate Program by Technical Programs, Inc Leroy Callender
CP24	- Shearwall Interaction Program by Technical Programs, Inc Leroy Callender
<b>C</b> P25 ·	- EEAMG - Beam-Grid Design Program - Design of Steel Beams & Girders of floor framing plan for gravity loads - Office of James Ruderman
<b>C</b> P26	- (W.L.101) - Three Span Continuous Beam (Three Moment Theorem) - Calculates "indeterminate" interior moments of three-span continuous beams for Olivetti-Underwood desk computer - Wiesenfeld & Leon
CP27	- (W.L.501) - Design Concrete Beams & Slabs - Determines required reinforcing for concrete beam or slab for a given bending memort - Wiesenfeld & Leon
CP28	- BMJTL - Beam to Joint Load Summary Program - Office of James Ruderman
<b>C</b> P29	- CLDSP Column Loads & Prelininary Design Program - Office of James Suderman
<b>C</b> P30	- WCANY Wind-Cantilever Design Program - Office of James Ruderman
<b>C</b> P31	- CLDSF 30cel Column Design Final Program - Office of James Ruderman
<b>C</b> P32	- BASEP Fuse Plate Design Program - Office of James Ruderman
CP33	- CCLOD - Concrete Column Loads & Design - Office of James Ruderman
<b>CP</b> 34	- CCOMP Concrete Column Load Combinations - Office of James Ruderzan
<b>CP3</b> 5	- FRAME - Frame Analysis Program - Office of James Ruderman
<b>CP3</b> 6-	WPORT - Wind Portal Design Program - Office of James Ruderman
CP37	-PLAT1 - Flat Plate Solver from PCA - Modified Portland Cement 2330c. Program - Office of James Ruderman
CP38	- X Floor Design of simply supported Beams - Harwood & Gould
• ] • ] • ]	Exec. staff Boro. Supto. 2. Goldstein, P.E. Industry BCAC

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND BEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT

D	EP	A	RT	M	ÊN	٢A	Ł	M	E/	١Ą	0	R.	A	N	JUA	A

DATE: July 28, 1971

'TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Irving E. Minkin, Acting Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Rules Relating to Structural Designs Based on Electronic Computer Computations.

Herewith forwarded for distribution to engineering personnel, are copies of Building Department rules relating to Structural Designs based on Electronic Computer Computations, published in The City Record of July 28, 1971.

These rules shall take effect on September 7, 1971.

Minkin

Irving E. Minkin Acting Director of Operations

IEM/df Enclosures

CC: Exec. Staff Industry



### RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS BULLETIN 2023-021 THE CITY OF NEW YORK

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ALLINISTRATION

#### DEPARTABLEIAL MEMOLATIONIA

۰.

DATE: October 7, 2072

 Yo: Derough Superintendents & Nuccutive Stuif
 FROM: Flikip Goluctein, P.F. Director of Secondary and Pavelepusts

subject: cohanical Designs Lised on Electronic Computer Computations

> At the present time the Department of Buildings! "Rules Relating to Structural Designs Based on Electronic Computer Computations" which became effective, September 7, 1971, apply only to structural designs. The rules are not applicable to mechanical designs.

Therefore, programs for mechanical designs should not be sent to 100 Gold Street for prequalification.

The degree of complexity of the design equations used for mechanical designs is not as great as that for indeterminate structures. Lechanical examiners, who wish to investigate calculations in accordance with C25-110.4 of the Administrative code should not find it difficult to obtain translations of the input and output from the applicant to a degree sufficient to determine compliance with the building code.

Providing for the safety of the public, of course, is of the highest priority. In the examination of the mechanical close fire safety is of prime importance. The piercing of rated partitions, the proper installation of safety devices, and the protection of ducts are of the highest concern to the acpurtuation and are not related to computer calculations.

The decision to limit the computer rules to structural designs is not irreversible. Computer based mechanical designs are beginning to gain in popularity. The situation in this field will be closely watched and related department policies constantly will be reviewed.

Philip Goldstein, P.E. Director of Technological Development

-<u>573-</u>

A. M. A. Martin

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK NOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

### DATE: November 18, 1971

10:	porough subertutendents &		
	Executive Staff		
FROM:	Philip Goldstein, P.E.		
	Director of M.E.Á. Division	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SUBJECT:	Qualification of Computer Program-CP2		•

#### CP 2 -Flat Plates and Beams

Applicant

Ramesh Chandra of Severud Associates, 415 Lexington, New York, NY 10017.

#### Application

A design program for vertical loads only which may be used for flat slabs, one way slabs and beams, T-beams, waffle slabs.

#### What the Program Determines?

Moments and shears, negative and positive reinforcement in square inches including details, live and dead load deflection. Values are determined for beams and slabs. Columns must be designed by some other means.

#### Assumptions

At the present time the program is arranged for allowable stresses of the ACI-63 code. Columns are considered fixed at the floor above and floor below, but the program can be used for roofs when columns above are eliminated. The moment of inertia of the beam from the center line of the column to the face of the column may or may not be taken as infinity as described in Section 2103(a)3 of ACI 318-63. The requirements for assuming an infinite haunch should be studied for particular structures.

#### Technical\_Consideration\_

Analysis is based on simultaneous slope-deflection equations and a maximum of 13 spans may be handled. The language is fortran IV. Beam soffits may be handled within the program without separate manual calculation.

Qualification of Computer Program-CP2.

November 18, 1971

### Recommendation

Program has been accepted on condition that the user of the program complies with rules 2 & 3 of the Rules Relating to Structural Designs based on Electronic Computer Computations.

Philip Goldstein, P.E. Director of M.E.A. Division

2.

PG:sb

CC: John Muller Ramesh Chandra Severud-Associates

The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

T

1

7

ł

à

Fire Guards BSA Cal. No. 784-41 SR

. The Euroraph Scheriatendents

Date: May 24, 1968

...... Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

Subject: Fire Guards - Rule 2.4.1 Board of Standards and Appeals Cal. No. 784-41SR

The above referenced rule was enacted by the Board of Standards and Appeals on October 20, 1967 and went into effect retroactively on April 20, 1968.

The Fire Department will directly enforce this rule and A8 referrals will not be sent to this department. This department will enforce this rule only in particular cases, and will make no attempt to set up an evening or weekend inspection program.

This rule shall be applicable on "sites" in excess of the area specified upon start of excavation, installation of sidewalk sheds and/or removal of interior doors and partitions as applicable. They shall also be applicable on small "sites" at such time when the building reaches 75' in height during construction and until the building is reduced to under 75' in height during demolition.

(Signed)

Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

-75-76-77-



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jr., R.A., Commissioner \$12-8100

MEMORANDUM

TO:

BOROUGH SUPERINDENTENTS EXECUTIVE (Engineering) STAFF

IRVING POLSKY, P.E.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

FROM:

DATE: OCTOBER 19, 1989

SUBJECT:

FIRE RETARDANT - TREATED WOOD

Forwarded herewith are extracts from The Building Official and Code Administrator (BOCA), for September/Cotober 1989.

The articles emphasize that all FRTW is not the same. "Production of FRTW involves various treating processes carried out by different treatment plants." The U.S. Forest Products Laboratory recommends that, "A specific fire retardant should be avoided if the FRT wood manufacturer fails to supply information about the performance of the wood in a given situation."

Also enclosed are Sections 2.2, 8.1.7, Appendix C and 3.5 of Appendix Q of the Reference Standard RS 10-8 NFoPA 1986-National Design Specification for Wood Construction with 1987 revisions. These sections provide for adjustment factors in the design values of FRTW, and for adjustments for conditions of use such as duration of load and moisture.

The Applicant-of-Record is responsible for considering the foregoing in his design.

Further, Section 27-328 of the Building Code requires that all fire-retardant treated wood bear the indentification of a testing laboratory or producer certifying to the performance thereof, that it shall be accepted pursuant to Section 27-131 and be accompanied by a MEA Division label.

IP:lg

CC: Chairman Roger E. Bennett, P.E., BS&A Chief Joseph DeMeo, Fire Department John Yacovone, Fire Prevention Kenneth E. Bland, P.E., NFOPA

#### THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 27, 1972

**TO:** Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: FIRE RETARDANT TREATED WOOD SECTION C26-502.6 ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

> At a meeting with representatives of the manufacturers and dealers engaged in production and processing of fire retardant treated-wood, it was agreed that if problems of approval for such wood arose, they should be referred to the Director of Operations.

> When it is found that your office cannot approve a request for a permit to use such wood, please notify the applicant to call the Assistant Director of Operations or me.

> > Thomas V. Burke

Director of Operations

TVB/df

14

CC: Exec. Staff

660

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 7, 1970

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Notification to Department of Air Resources of Locations where Spray-on Fireproofing is in Use.

Please arrange to notify Chief Inspector (Operations) Jack Linker of those locations where asbestos spray-on fireproofing is being used in the construction of buildings at the present time.

In addition, inspectors are to report immediately, any new locations where the use of asbestos spray-on fireproofing is started hereafter. This is to be a continual requirement.

The notification to Mr. Linker shall specify the street address, the class of construction, the number of stories, and the stories on which the asbestos is being applied at the time of inspection.

Mr. Linker will forward this information to the Department of Air Resources so that department may be enabled to enforce the rules which it has promulgated to control the application of spray-on fireproofing in order to minimize air pollution and to protect the workmen engaged in this application and other persons employed in the building.

This procedure is to be effective on Monday, May 11, 1970.

Thomas

Director of Operations

CC: Comm. O'Neill Dep. Comm. Ferro Asst. Comm. Padavan Messrs. Schneider, Walsh Minkin, Sakona, Kupfer

TVB/df

Ch. Insp. (Jps.) Linker - for compliance with the above procedure.

THE GITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF EVILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 18, 1971

TO: Borough Superintendents

PROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

surger: Application and Protection of Sprayed-On Fireproofing of Structural Steel

It has come to the attenuion of this office that poor workmanship in the application of sprayed-on fireproofing, combined with inadequate protection of such fireproofing from damage, displacement and deterioration, has resulted in several instances of inadequately fireproofed structures where remedial measures were required.

Accordingly, the following procedure is to be implemented immediately:

- 1. District inspectors are to notify all contractors that where fireproofing is missing for any reason after initial application, the structural member is not to be enclosed or covered until approved by the inspector.
- 2. Where reapplication is required, the district inspector is to require that all defective areas be resprayed or adequately patched so as to provide the required fire protection before authorizing enclosure or covering of the structural member.
- 3. District inspectors are to assure that the requirements of the Board or NEA approval as appropriate, are adhered to. Copies of the Resolution of the Board under Cal. No. 118-68 GR, adopted November 15, 1968, and amended January 10, 1969, imposing additional requirements to prior approvals of sprayed-on fireproofing are herewith enclosed.
- 4. Plan examiners are to assure that plans showing sprayed-on fireproofing indicate complete compliance with the Board or MEA approval, as appropriate, as well as noting compliance with this memorandum. Your attention is also directed to recent Board approvals now requiring submission to this department of a copy of the test report drawing referred to in the resolution of the Board.

560

Thomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

. . .

TVB/IEM/sl

Enclosures:

cc: Executive staff Industry

THE CITY OF NEW YORK Intradepartmental Memorandum

	Chief Engr. Engineering	J. Drapkin Examiners	Date:	Septembe	r 2, 1960
From:			Superintendent	Subject:	Flat Plate Construction.

It shall be required that every opening on each floor of buildings to be erected with flat plate or flat slab construction shall be shown on the approved plans. The exact dimensions and exact location from column bent lines shall be shown.

Examiners shall require that computations be submitted showing that the concrete and steel of the flat plate shall not be stressed above the limitations of the Administrative Code.

Reinforcing shall not be permitted to run through such openings as three openings are left open or are filled with material other than controlled concrete. Since the concrete, if it is subsequently placed in such openings, is not monolithic with the floor slab, it cannot be considered as carrying stress. Examiners shall review the computations and design of such openings with care. The entire design of flat plate construction, particularly in apartment house design where columns are not on regularly spaced bent lines, is a matter of assumptions as to how the flat plate will develop stress; and openings in such floors, especially in column strips and particularly where near columns, often make worthless the previous design, without openings. The interruption of the continuity of the steel and concrete may require redesign with beems of greater depth than the slab, or an increase in slab thickness, as well as additional r inforcing.

It should be noted again that all openings must be shown on the approximate plans with exact dimensions and locations. Typical openings shall not be accepted.

I momas V. Burke

Borough Superintendent

TVB/df

CC: Comm. Reidy Chicf Insp. Lanese A. Rader

A-27

#### **DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS**

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10013

RUDOLPH J. RINALDI, Commissioner

312-8100

August 14, 1991

Alan D. Marcus, P.E United Inspection Service, Inc. Eleven Pennsylvania Plaza New York, New York 10001

> RE: Acceptance of Floor Covering Assemblies

Dear Mr. Marcus:

This is in response to your letter to me, dated August 2, 1991, relative to filing of floor covering assembly acceptance tests performed by an independent testing laboratory on the MEA list of accepted testing laboratories.

Please be informed that the required procedure is as follows:

- An application to M.E.A. for carpet assembly acceptance pursuant to the Code Test Method made by an architect, engineer, manufacturer or his representative.
- The application to M.E.A. for the carpet assembly acceptance shall comply fully with the requirements of Section 27-351(d) and Section 27-131 of the Building Code. Prescribed tests shall be conducted by a testing service or laboratory acceptable to the Commissioner or by a New York State Registered Architect or Licensed Professional Engineer.
- The application for acceptance shall be accompanied by the appropriate fee. (Refer to Section C26-214 (a)(11).)

Kindly comply with the foregoing.

Very truly yours,

long, P.E.

Irving Polsky, P.E. Executive Engineer

IP/rbc

cc: George C. Sakona, P.E. Deputy Commissioner



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jr., R.A., Commissioner

December 5, 1986

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

**Building Construction** 

TO: BOROUGH SUPERINTENDENTS

FROM: George E. Berger, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

SUBJECT: Pre-Survey of Existing Adjoining Foundations and Protection of Adjoining Property (C26-110.2(6)(7) and C26.71.0)

NOTE: This memorandum supplements Directive #10 of 1969.

Effective immediately, all new building and alternation plans which include new foundations shall contain the following information:

- A description of existing foundations on the same or adjacent lots which will be affected by the proposed work. The description shall include the elevation, condition, and construction of the foundations.
- 2) The plot plan shall include the location of all new and existing foundations, (on the same or adjacent lots) affected by the proposed construction. The plans shall also contain a sectional view through the existing and proposed foundations.

For the purposes of the above, existing foundations which are within 4'-0" of the proposed footing shall be presumed to be affected by the construction. Beyond that distance, applicants shall determine if adjacent foundations are affected, based upon the depth of the proposed footings.

If this data determines that underpinning, sheeting or bracing is necessary, details for such work must be submitted prior to approval of any phase of the application. Details must be specifically designed for the site and conditions encountered; standard details, if used, must be appropriately modified and dimensioned.

The controlled inspection amendments (Form 10F) for the above items must be filed for all applications which contain such details, and must be submitted prior to the approval of any application for a partial permit, e.g. excavation work or foundations.

George E. Bérger, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

GEB:rmr

cc: Executive Staff Professional Engineering & Architects' Societies

> New York Association of Consulting Engineers Ms. R. Joan Faherty, C.A.E. Executive Director

#### CPY

DIRECTIVE NO. 21- of 1969

The City of New York DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

Precept.s Demolitions Inspections Devilonment, Dept, of

TO: Borough Superintendents

Date: December 15, 1969

From: Director of Operations, T.V. Burke

Subject: DEMOLITION AUTHORIZATION - FORM # 117

November 6th - "Form # 117, Demolition Authorization" November 12th - "Directive # 13 of 1969 - Precepts with Court. Ordered Delays"

Effective immediately the memorandums listed above are superseded by the following procedure:

\*

In all cases where there has been a precept issued by the Supreme Court, the unsafe building covered by the precept is to be recommended for demolition on "Form # 117, Demolition Authorization". The Report of Survey, Form # 44 and Demolition Authorization, Form # 117 attached together, shall be forwarded within five (5) days of its issuance by the court to:

> Chief Inspector of Construction-Operations, J. Linker 100 Gold Street, Manhattan - Room 8209

The Form # 117, Demolition Authorization shall in all cases include the tollowing information:

> a. number of apartments b. number of class B rooms c. party walls d. party fire escapes e. total cubic content in cubic feet

Where there is a court ordered delay of execution, the Foun # 117, Demolition Authorization shall be clearly marked indicating the extent of the court ordered stay of execution or a cover letter attached indicating the length of the stay.

Accurate control of cases with court ordered delays of execution shall be maintained and a reinspection made of the premises concerned at the termination of

#### Demotition Authorization, Form #117 - continued - December 15, 1969

2.

the court ordered stay. If the inspection report indicates there has been compliance, the case is to be withdrawn from the Department of Development without delay.

The Department of Development will process cases with court ordered stays of execution for demolition at the termination of the court ordered stay unless advised to the contrary.

(Signed)

Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 28, 1980

TO: Borough Superintendents and Executive Staff. FROM: Marvin Hassman, P.E., Director MEA Division. SUBJECT: Use of polystryene or urethane foam insulation.

Enclosed for your advice and guidance, and for distribution to all plan examiners is a copy of correspondence between Mr. Donald Toy, chairman of the Insulation Committee of The Construction Specifications Institute (Metropolitan Chapter) and the writer concerning the use of the subject insulation materials.

Please be guided by the contents of my response to Mr. Toy with respect to applications that are filed, and contact me at 248-8817 on specific questions that may arise.

Marvin Hassman, P.E. Director, MEA Division

cc: Commissioner Fruchtman Deputy Commissioner Minkin Deputy Commissioner Parascandola Assistant Commissioner Dennis Executive Staff



### **INSULATION & CONSERVATION SYSTEMS, INC.**

333 Sixteenth Street, Carlstadt, N.J. 07072 (201) 460-0190

November 19, 1980

Department of Buildings MEA Division Room 1526 120 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attn: Mr. Marvin Hassman Director of MEA

Gentlemen:

As Chairman of the Insulation Committee of Metropolitan Chapter of The Construction Specifications Institute, and also an independent Thermal/ Acoustical Consultant, a clarification of information is hereby requested.

Because of my association with many Architects and General Contractors, a certain controversy has arisen regarding the use of rigid polystyrene or urethane foam insulation materials in New York City construction.

Specifically, do any polystyrene or urethane insulation products meet the requirements of the Building Codes of the City of New York for use on exterior walls (even though they may be covered with gypsum wall board)?

Many Architects and Contractors are under the belief that the above installations are approved by virtue of past Board of Standards and Appeals approvals submitted or shown to them.

Your clarification of this use of polystyrenes and urethanes will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

INSULATION & CONSERVATION SYSTEMS, INC.

Donald H. Toy C.S.I."

President



733

İ

#### DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

IRWIN FRUCHTMAN, P.E. Commissioner 248-8811

November 25, 1980

Mr. Donald H. Toy, C.S.I. President Insulation and Conservation Systems, Inc. 333 Sixteenth Street Carlstadt, NJ 07072

Dear Mr. Toy:

This is in reply to your letter of November 19, 1980 requesting Code clarification regarding thermal/ acoustical insulation materials; and specifically the use of rigid polystyrene or urethane foam insulation materials in New York City construction.

To date the Materials and Equipment Acceptance Division (MEA) has not accepted any polystyrene or urethane insulation products due to their inherent smoke development ratings in excess-of-the-Code-limitation-of-50-maximum.--

The above insulation materials may not be used in noncombustible construction when covered with gypsum wallboard. The Code specifically provides that an insulation material which does not comply with the definition of non-combustibility established under Local Law 80 of 1972 shall be covered with three inches of unpierced masonry on all sides.

Prior Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA) approval under the old Building Code would not comply with present Code requirements generally due to lack of any testing or only partial testing. Additionally, "new" Code toxicity testing could not have been performed.

1734

-2-

I trust that this has satisfactorily responded to your inquiry, but I will be happy to address specific design situations that you or your colleagues may pose.

My direct telephone is (212) 248-8817.

3

Very truly yours,

Income 1 - trance

Marvin Hassman, P.E. Director, MEA Division



cc: Irving E. Minkin, P.E. Deputy Commissioner

1735

Dire Clay of the Albert FOUSING ALB DENVERTIONS AND DESCRIPTION Department of Fouldneys

#### DERECTEVE NO. 20 OF 1971

$\mathbb{T}^{C}$ :	Loroust	Superintendenco

.

December 6, 1971

From: Thomas V. Buike, Director of Operations

Subject: Mire Retardant Treated Wood - Section C26-502.6 Duilding Code.

Under the provisions of Section C26-502.6 of the Building Code, fire retardant treated wood is required to bear the identification of a testing laboratory, or producer, certifying to the performance of the wood in prescribed fire tests, and is required to be in accordance with the acceptance requirements of Section C26-106.2 of the code.

The Materials and Equipment Acceptance Division has not approved or accepted any wood as being fire retardant in accordance with the provisions of Section C26-502.6 of the Building Code.

Wood that is installed under the provisions of the new code for partitions, interior trim, or in any location where find retardant treatment of the wood is required in buildings of Group I classification, must be accepted by the Materials and Equipment Acceptance Division. Wood that is not so recepted shall not be permitted in new construction coming under the provisions of the 1968 Building Code.

Construction sheds are required to be constructed of nonconjustible material or of fire retardant treated wood when within 30 feet of new construction or existing buildings under Section C26-1901.1 of the code. Most sheds presently located within new buildings under construction are made of wood which bears a label stating it has been treated to hake it fire retardant. Such wood shall not be accepted, as none has been accepted by the Naterials and Equipment Acceptance Division.

It should be noted that plywood made by the U.S. Flywood Corporation was appurated by the beard of Standards and appual auder the former Building Code and may be accepted as meeting the requirements for fire retardant treated wood.

For those algorations which come under the provisions of the 1938 Duilding Code, treated wood vay be accepted which the provisions of such code. This requires that camples of the

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

í.

#### DATE: January 3, 1972

70: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Director of Operations, T. V. Burke

SUZJECT: Rules Relating to Sealing of Exterior Openings

Where rule C. 1. of the Amended Rules Relating to Sealing Exterior Openings of Vacant, Unguarded Buildings effective December 10, 1970 requires removal of roof bulkheads and sealing of roof openings, this shall not be applied to bulkheads constructed of brick or masonry. Sealing of the doors and windows of such bulkheads with masonry shall be accepted, in place of removal of the masonry bulkhead.

Ins. R.A. here.

634

Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

Executive Staff TVB: JL: TTTT cc: Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller

THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS DIRECTIVE No. 6 of 1979

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 7, 1979

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner

Subject: PRIVATE DWELLING (Occupancy Group J-3) Building Construction Limitations (Supplements Directive No. 5/77)

#### 1. Floor area for lowest story or basements in R4 and R5 zoning districts.

Such floor area as defined in Section 12-10 Zoning Resolution (See subparagraph (i) and (j) of that portion of the definition of "Floor Area" under the "shall not include" heading) shall not be excluded from the zoning floor area calculations for new buildings. For alterations, however, such floor area may be excluded for one, two or three family residences not more than 32 feet in height which received a certificate of occupancy prior to December 1, 1972.

#### 2. Class II-D and II-E Construction

(a) These classes of construction shall not be permitted for one or two family dwellings where the design or arrangement is such that they may be readily converted to J-2 multiple dwelling usage.

(b) One family dwilings may be 3 stories in height.

(c) Two family dwelling may be three stories in height, provided the two top stories are one dwelling unit containing an unenclosed stair. Otherwise, they shall be limited to two stories in height.

#### 3. Class II-B and II-C Construction (exterior walls).

(a) Combustible elements in the exterior wall construction of this construction class shall not be permitted, except for exterior trim as permitted by the code.
(b) Buildings exceeding height limitations of II-D and II-E construction specified in paragraph 2 above, shall be constructed under II-B or II-C type construction, or of a higher fire rated type of construction; and, conform fully with Directive No. 5/77, as appropriate.

Continued....

-2-

#### 4. Fire Divisions (Demising Walls - Classes II-B, II-C, II-D and II-E).

(a) Vertical fire divisions shall be required to separate any two dwelling units from a third dwelling unit and shall be of 2 hour non-combustible construction, continuous between foundations and roof, or horizontal fire division, and through any concealed space in floor or roof construction. When roof construction, on the same levels, is combustible on both sides of a vertical fire division, the vertical fire division shall extend through the roof construction to a height of at least 4 inches above the high point at the roof framing. Alternately, Tectum Board, or an approved equivalent, may be installed as per the attached detail, so as to provide a minimum of 18 inches of non-combustible roof construction on each side of the fire division.

(b) When used in conjunction with combustible construction such two hour non-combustible construction may consist of a one inch solid gypsum wallboard core as per Fire Resistance Design Manual, Referance Standard RS-5-1 (WP 1850), covered on each side by a one-half inch moisture recompound over the entire surface to each side of the one inch interlocking coreboard, or as approved by the Board of Standards & Appeals or the MEA Lateral Lateral support may be 2 X 4 load bearing studs at 16 inches o.c. staggered each side of the party wall, with one-half inch type X gypsum wallboard on the interior face. The fire division shall be secured to the studs on both sides.

#### 5. On-Going Construction.

Buildings presently in the construction phase with components not consistent with the criteria stated herein, shall be modified as required by the Borough Superintendent. Modifications shall be as nearly consistent as practical with the criteria set forth herein.

#### 6. C26-504.7 Firestopping.

The Borough Superintendents are to remind their plan examiners that Directive 2 of 1975 for Partial Examination requires checking for firestopping and fire protection details. In conjunction with this, all concealed draft openings, both horizontal and vertical, shall be firestopped to prevent the spread of fire within the concealed space, and shall be subject to controlled inspection.

Irwin Fruchtman, P.E. Commissioner

cc: Executive Staff Professional Societies Building Associations



G

()

.

and the second 
THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUDING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

Permits AC-Title C-Part 11-Sec. C26-112.0

€

DEPARIMENTAL MEMORANDUM

1

DATE: August 27, 1969

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Foundation Permits - Section 0.26-112 0 Admin. Code.

A foundation approval may be issued in advance of approval of complete plans of a building in accordance with section C26-112.1 Admin. Code, under the following conditions:

- 1. The foundation approval form (Form 3) is filed with all required information completed.
- 2. Foundation plans shall be filed showing all the information required by paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of section C26-110.2 Admin. Code.
- 3. A column schedule showing column loads for each story and those transmitted to the foundations, shall be filed.
- 4. Preliminary plans are filed, adequate to show how column loadings were obtained.
- 5. Preliminary plans are filed showing conformance with the Zoning Resolution for use and bulk requirements.
- 6. Approval of the Transit Authority is filed, where a subway structure is located in a street adjoining the building to be constructed.

Note, that the following statement appears on the Foundation approval form:

"The applicant agrees, in the event full examination of plans discloses that Foundation and Footings do not agree with the requirements of the Euclding Code, that he will remove portions contrary to the requirements and reconstruct same in accordance with the law."

In view of this statement, complete plans of a building shall not be required before a foundation approval. Only the information noted above shall be necessary to indicate that the proposed foundation has been properly designed, in accordance with the loadings and character of soil.

Work on the foundation may not be started until a permit for such work has been obtained, after assuance of a foundation approval. Such

- 270-

۸

### <u>C O P Y</u>

Borough Superintendents

3

¥

August 27, 1969

Re: Foundation Permits - Section C26-112.0 Admin. Code

permit shall not be issued for those buildings which come under the provisions of the new code, until evidence has been filed that five days prior written notice of the permit application has been given to the owners of all adjoining lots, buildings and service facilities which may be affected by the proposed foundation work or earth-work operations, as provided in section C26-112.3 Admin. Code.

> (Signed) Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

- 221-

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 6, 1981

**TO:** '

Borough Superintendents

FROM: Deputy Commissioner Irving E. Minkin, P.E.

SUBJECT

Drainage Swales

-----

Based on recent information conveyed by the Department of Health, unpaved drainage swales have been found to represent health hazards.

Accordingly, hercafter, any above grade drainage swales indicated on plans submitted to this department for compliance with storm water drainage requirements shall be required to be paved. The paving shall be required to conform with either the Zoning Resolution provisions relating to permanent parking areas; or, in the alternate, Highway Department standards for either paved sidewalks or paved highways.

All existing approvals shall be reviewed, and an additional objection issued based on the foregoing, citing Section 643 a - 13.0 of the Administrative Code, and permits withheld until the plans are amended accordingly.

Where permits have been issued, and work is progressing, an objection is to be similarly issued; and all personnel instructed to withhold certificates of occupancy of any kind until the plans are amended; and withhold final certificates of occupancy until required work has been completed.

Mark

Irving E. Minkin, P.E. Deputy Commissioner

IEM:ap cc: Commissioner Fruchtman Assistant Commissioner Dennis Executive Staff File

## RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS -----BULLETIN 2023-021---

THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

### ( ) DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 21, 1992

TO: The Borough Superintendents

See the attached diagram.

FROM: Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner

SUBJECT: Exterior Wall Construction -Reference Standard RS 5-1C

SUMMARY: The Miscellaneous Test Reports for Load-Bearing Wall Assemblies with Combustible: One-Hour Ratings, of Reference Standard RS 5-1C are expanded so as to accept Alternative No. 1 and Alternate No. 2 in addition to NFOPA Report No. WHI-690-003.

BACKGROUND: The Board of Standards and Appeals in Calendar No. 252-82-BCR, on June 22, 1982, modified Reference Standard RS 5-1 so as to provide for a sub-heading called Miscellaneous Test Reports. These test reports are required to be in accord with the Referenced National Standard as conducted by a recognized national testing laboratory. On the basis of test report data furnished by the National Forest Products Association, 3/8 inch hardboard facing or 4-inch minimum concrete asbestos siding (approved by the Board), when added to 3/8 inch exterior grade plywood may be used as an acceptable alternative to the 5/8" grooved siding, which was approved by the Board as part of a load-bearing combustible one-hour exterior wall assembly.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This memorandum is immediately effective.

REFERENCE: BSA Calendar No. 252-82-BCR, page 80, Bulletin No. 26, Volume LXVII.

Irwin Fruchtman, P.E. Commissioner

- cc: Exec. Staff Industry & Professional Societies
  - John Ed Ryan, P.E. National Forest Products Assoc.

Joseph Margolis N.Y.C. Builders Assoc.

### THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 7, 1982

TO: Boroug	n Superintendents
------------	-------------------

FROM: Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

SUBJECT:

Pneumatically Driven Roof Staples

Table 10-4 of the Building Code specifies a nailing schedule for fastening structural lumber components to each other. Included therein are provisions relating to staples; however, the foregoing did not include specifications for fastening non-structural components of building elements, such as roof shingles or the like.

The enclosed specifications for installation of pneumatically driven roof staples has been reviewed, and found to be acceptable for use in construction in this city, provided that all of the requirements set forth in the enclosures are strictly adhered to.

Irving E. Minkin, P.E. Deputy Commissioner

IEM: rmr

cc: Commissioner I. Fruchtman, P.E. Ass't. Commissioner, C.F. DEnnis, P.E. Professional Societies B.I.A.C.
Asphalt Composition Roof Shingles16 gauge galvanized staples, 3/4" crown minimum, manufactured from steel wire which dip galvanized zinc, mechanically deposited zinc or electro- deposited zinc.A minimum of 4 staples per each 36" section of sheathing 1/8" or to penetrate the sheathing 3/4"Staple leg length should be long enough to penetrate the opposite side of the sheathing 1/8" or to penetrate the sheathing 3/4"Asphalt Composition Ridge, Hip, Caps16 gauge galvanized staples, 3/4" crown minimum, manufactured from steel wire which is zinc coated by hot- dip since and the sheathing is zinc coated by hot- deposited zinc.A minimum of 4 staples per each 36" section of staples per each 36" section of staple is penetrate the sheathing 3/4"	BUILDING ELEMENT	NAIL TYPE	NUMBER AND DISTRIBUTION	LEG LENGTH
Hip, Caps staples, 3/4" crown staples per each be long enough to penetrate minimum, manufactured 36" section of the opposite side of the from steel wire which shingle. sheathing 1/8" or to is zinc coated by hot-		staples, 3/4" crown minimum, manufactured from steel wire which is zinc coated by hot- dip galvanized zinc, mechanically deposited zinc or electro-	staples per each 36" section of	be long enough to penetrate the opposite side of the sheathing 1/8" or to penetrate the sheathing
<pre>dip galvanized zinc,</pre>	Hip, Caps	staples, 3/4" crown minimum, manufactured from steel wire which is zinc coated by hot- dip galvanized zinc, mechanically deposited zinc or electro-	staples per each 36" section of	be long enough to penetrate the opposite side of the sheathing 1/8" or to

.

۰,

!

1

٠,

.

٠.

4

f

•.

•

SUMMARY OF PROPER INSTALLATION PRACTICES FOR ROOF STAPLES

## 1. STAPLE AND STAPLER SELECTION

For new roof construction only, the staples should be zinc coated, 16 gauge, semi-flattened to an eliptical cross section, and long enough to penetrate 3/4" into the wood deck or through/it 1/8" if the deck is thinner. The staples should be driven with pneumatic stapler specially designed and manufactured for roofing applications.

## 2. STAPLE INSTALLATION

To properly secure the shingles and obtain a smooth roof, make sure the tool is always perpendicular to the surface of the shingle. The staple crown must bear tightly against the shingles, but must not cut the shingle surface.



- a. Properly Driven
- b. Improperly Driven (tool not perpendicular to surface)
- c. Under Driven
- d. Over Driven

## 3. ADJUSTING AIR PRESSURE

Start by adjusting the air pressure regulator to show a pressure on the pressure indicator of 90 pounds per square inch (PSI) in the line to the tool (the pneumatic outfit must contain an air pressure indicator/regulator). Using the starter course as a test area, drive staples and adjust the air pressure so the staple crown is driven flush with the shingle surface. Any depressing or tearing of the shingle surface requires reducing the air pressure until the staple is driven flush with the surface. If any light can be seen between the staple and the shingle surface, or your fingernail, or a strip of paper torn from the wrapper can be inserted under a driven staple, the air pressure must be increased until the staple is driven flush with the surface.

يوريني وموسده مناهم

-2-

Because of the hardness variances in roof decking, periodically check how deep the staples are being driven. Adjust air pressure as required to drive staple flush with shingle surface (as indicated in above).

## 4. APPLICATION OF SHINGLES

The application instructions printed on the packages of shingles must be followed as they apply to deck preparation, underlayment, shingle layout, valley, flashing and hips or ridges as they are required for new construction. To insure proper securing of each unit of 36" strip-type asphalt shingles a minimum of four (4) staples is required.





## DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

**ROBERT ESNARD, R.A. Commissioner** 

CAROL FELSTEIN Deputy Commissioner

Date: September 9, 1983

To: Borough Superintendents

From: Carol Felstein

Re: Check List for Site Safety Program Required by Rules Relating to the Filing of Site Safety Programs and the Designation of Site Safety Coordinators

As you know, a site safety program is required to be filed in the borough office for the construction of a major building or the alteration of the facade of a major building when the alteration requires a sidewalk shed by Section C26-1901.5 of the Building Code. A major building is either 15 or more stories, 200 feet or more in height, or 100,000 square feet or more of lot coverage regardless of height. Such program shall include the following:

- 1. The designation of a site safety coordinator by the owner.
- 2. The credentials of the site safety coordinator:

He must be a New York State Professional Engineer or Registered Architect, or a person with appropriate experience, including 10 years of construction experience with at least five years of supervising largescale construction work.

- 3. A list of the responsibilities of the site safety coordinator which shall include at least the following:
  - a) Sidewalk shed permits are secured in a timely manner as required by Section C26-1901.5(a)(1).
  - b) Approved sidewalk shed drawings are at the job site as required by Section C25-1900.9.
  - c) Sidewalk sheds are erected in accordance with approved drawings.
  - d) Sidewalk sheds are not removed until the structure is enclosed, all exterior work completed, the sash is glazed above the second story, the exterior of

- 2 -

the facade is cleaned down, all outside handling of material, equipment and machinery is completed and dis mantling of a material hoist or tower or climber crane or the use of a derrick in their removal above the second story is completed.

- e) All cranes, derricks and cableways have valid certificates of approval, operation and on-site inspection as required by Section C26-1909.4 of the Building Code.
- f) Have prior knowledge of all crane and derrick operations; to ascertain that there is communication among all responsible parties during all such activities, including those in which crane and derrick loads are carried beyond the perimeter of the building and when tower or climber cranes are being dismantled or erected.
- g) Provide for flagmen for stopping vehicular and pedestrian traffic when crane or derrick loads are carried beyond the perimeter of the building and for use of a hot-line to telephone the Department of Buildings and the Department of Transportation when it is necessary to close a street for safety purposes.
- h) Obtain required permits from the Department of Transportation for any street obstructions or closings.
- i) Be present at those times required by regulation.
- 4. A signed statement by the site safety coordinator indicating that he is familiar with the safety requirements of Article 19 of Title C of the Building Code, and that he will monitor compliance with such safety requirements.
- 5. A description by the site safety director of how he will accomplish the requirements listed in Item #3, including staff members participating in the program and proposals for periodic inspection.
- 6. A plan of the site showing:

(

(

- a) Surrounding buildings, including their cocupancy and height.
- b) Public rights of way.
- c) Sidewalk and roadway widths.
- d) Relevant traffic information.
- e) Material hoists.
- E) Loading areas.

- 3 -

- 7. Indication that a hot-line number has been provided to telephone the Department of Buildings and the Department of Transportation when it is necessary to close streets for safety purposes.
- 8. Indication that a log will be at the site available to a Department inspector indicating job meetings, periodic inspections and other activities relevant to the site safety program.
- 9. A description of any subcontractor or joint labor-management site safety programs which are in force.
- 10. Provision for coordinator's presence at required times.
- 11. A fire evacuation plan

{

(





•

## DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

IRWIN FRUCIITMAN, P.E. Commissioner 248-8811

November 29, 1982

Mr. Philip Kotik Valgos Industrial Corporation 600 Third Avenue New York, NY 10016

> Re: Scaffolding, Shoring and Hoist Equipment

Dear Mr. Kotik:

I would like to apologize for the lateness of this letter in response to your October 6, 1982 letter which referred to the above referenced subject. We have had an extraordinary amount of high priority items converging recently.

I will try to outline the requirements for three items about which you inquire.

## a) <u>Scaffolding</u>:

1. Power operated and manually operated scaffolds used for vindow cleaning and cleaning of the exterior surfaces of completed buildings are under the exclusive jurisdiction of the State Industrial Commissioner. Approval of the devices and use of the equipment must be obtained from the State Industrial Commissioner.

2. Power operated and manually operated scaffolds used in the course of erection, alteration, and demolition, and, on completed buildings for work other than window cleaning and cleaning of exterior surfaces are under the concurrent jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Buildings and the State Industrial Commissioner. Approval of the power operated scaffolds must be obtained either from the Materials and Equipment Acceptance Division (MEA) of the Department of Buildings or the Board of Standards and Appearls of the City of Naw York. Manually operated scaffolds need no prior approval for use, but must conform to the requirements of the code in the matter of testing and construction as outlined in C26-1907.4 thru C26-1906.9.

continued.....

- 2 -

November 29, 1982

Re: Scaffolding, Shoring and Hoist Equipment

The general requirement for materials, construction and design of all scaffolds is outlined in sections C26-1907.1, thru C26-1907.3 of the code. There are no requirements for department approval, per se, except as noted above.

b) Shoring:

In the matter of shoring your letter is unclear as to the type of shoring for which you are making inquiry. However the code refers to shoring in C26-1904.

c) Hoists:

Hoist standards are set forth in Article 18 and Reference Standard RS-18 of the Building Code.

I suggest that you obtain a current copy of both the Building Code and the Building Laws at the City Record Sales Office, Room 2213, Municipal Building, 1 Centre Street, New York, N.Y. and peruse the foregoing sections in the Building Code, and sections 240 through 242 of the Labor Law in Volumn 2 of the Building Laws. Answers to many of the questions you raise would probably then be self-evident.

Very truly yours,

1

Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

IEM:JC:s5

cc: Assistant Commissioner Dennis Assistant Commissioner Grill Executive Engineer Polsky Dir. of Spec. Projects Kupfer MEA Hassman



## DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

FXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jr., R. A., Commissioner, 248–8813

August 2, 1984

Mr. Philip Martines Emery Roth & Sons, P.C., Architects 845 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022

> Re: Power Operated Scaffolds

Dear Mr. Martines:

In response to your letter of July 31, 1984, with respect to the design and approval of power operated scaffolds used for the cleaning of exterior high rise buildings, Reference Standard RS 18-8 of the New York City Building Code is preempted by Section 202 of the Labor Law with respect to scaffolds used primarily for window cleaning and cleaning of exterior surfaces of buildings.

Accordingly, it will be necessary that you and your clients contact the Industrial Commissioner in regard to appropriate approvals; and, the building structure itself must be adequate to accommodate the structural loads imposed based on the scatfolding loading authorized by the State.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Smith, Jr. Commissioner

CMS: IEM: os

cc: Deputy Commissioner Minkin Assistant Commissioner Dennis General Counsel Munoz Borough Superintendent Sakona

1991

## <u>c o p y</u>

THE CANN OF NEW YORK HUTCHING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION CHIPARIMENT OF BUILDINGS

Langert ental Memorendum

3

SCHOOLS

## Date: August 19, 1968

TO: Borough Superintendents

FRCM: Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Temporary Metal Classroom Buildings, New York City Board of Education.

The Board of Education proposed to construct temporary, one story steel frame summing containing eleven classrooms of less then 650 sq. ft. each, at several locations within the five boroughs of New York City.

These structures are sixty (60) feet by 180 feet and are divided into four areas by three hour partitions extending to the underside of the steel roof deck. Openings in these partitions are protected by one and one-half hour, self-closing protective assemblies. Acts consist of two, three foot wide doors located at about the center of each of the four suchcours walls, suitably marked with exit and directional signs.

The roof has a slope of one inch to the foot and need only be designed for a live load of thirty pounds/sq.ft. The roof deck and wall panels will be galvanized steel showt braced with girts, sills and eave struts, which shall not be required to have an approval from the Board of Standards and Appeals. Design of these elements shall be in accordance with the ATSI Specification for the Design of Light Gage Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members.

keat is supplied to these structures by means of gas-fired hot-air heaters located in the ceiling. Such furnaces shall be vented directly through the roof with a ventilating unique.

New Building Applications filed in your office are to be examined in accordance wit the above discussion and other applicable provisions of the law and approvals granted in an exceditions manner.

> /s/ Thomas V. Burke Thomas V. Burke Director of Operations

\_ TV3:CFD:no

- 88-

į

ŀ

7



#### DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M SMITH, Jr., R.A., Commissioner 248-8811

July 5, 1984

Mr. Alfred R. Sanno Apt. 15E 310 Lexington Avenue New York, N.Y. 10016

> Re: Replacement of Multiple Dwelling Windows

Dear Mr. Sanno:

Your letter of June 29, 1983, addressed to Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Deputy Commissioner, inquiring as to the need for sidewalk sheds when multiple dwelling windows are replaced, has been forwarded to me for reply.

Section C26-1901.5(a)(5) of Local Law 45 of 1983 states:

"(5) A sidewalk shed shall be erected when a portion of a facade over forty feet above curb level is being altered or repaired and the horizontal distance from the portion of the structure being altered or repaired to the inside edge of the temporary or permanent walkway is less than one-half the height of the structure being altered or repaired."

If protection of the public is intended, paragraph (5) may be interpreted to apply to the replacement of windows.

The Manhattan Borough Office, in practice, has been requesting sidewalk sheds where windows are being replaced for other than six story residential buildings.

This does not preclude you from further discussing the matter with the Borough Superintendent and suggesting alternate barrier safeguards which would carry out the intent of the law.

199

IP:gt
cc: I.E. Minkin, P.E., Dep. Comm.
 C.F. Dennis, P.E. Asst. Comm.
 J. White, Asst. Comm.
 J. Grill, P.E.,Asst. Comm.
 Boro. Supts.

Very truly yours,

Oning Polskap, P.E.

Irving Polsky, P.E., Executive Engineer

THE CITY OF NEW YORK Intradepartmental Memorandum

TO: Borough Superintendents

DATE: October 31, 1967

FROM: Thomas V. Burke Director of Construction SUBJ. Suspended Ceilings

Because of collapses recently of suspended ceilings, the addition of loads to existing ceilings must be restricted.

- Where an existing ceiling is supported by wood hangers, the weight of the ceiling shall not be increased by any means unless new hangers are installed in compliance with section C26-461.0 Admin. Code. Furring strips, acoustical tile, gypsum board or ventilating ducts shall not be permitted on such existing ceilings unless the hangers are made to comply with section C26-461.0 Admin. Code.
- 2. No alterations to an existing ceiling supported by wood hangers shall be permitted unless the entire ceiling be made to comply with section C26-461.0 Admin. Code.
- 3. In buildings other than class 1 and class 2 buildings, where it is proposed to install a new suspended ceiling below an existing suspended ceiling, the existing suspended ceiling shall be removed completely before installation of the new ceiling. Installation of the new ceiling shall comply with the requirements of section C26-461.0 Admin. Code.
- 4. In class 1 and class 2 buildings, where it is proposed to install a new ceiling below an existing ceiling, it shall be required that the new ceiling be supported directly from the ceiling purlins, adjacent to the hangers. Not more than one existing ceiling may be permitted above a new ceiling. All but one ceiling must be removed before installation of a new ceiling. Existing hangers shall not be used for new ceilings unless found to be in sound structural condition.
- 5. This directive shall be effective immediately and shall apply to all ceilings installed hereafter.
- 6. This directive shall supersede the memorandums of December 1, 1967 and June 21, 1967, on this subject.

Signed Thomas V. Burke Director of Construction

n\_10

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

## DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

## DATE: Dec. 14, 1978

TO: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Philip E. Olin, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Dismissal of Unsafe Building Orders Section C26-80.0 Removal or Repair of Structures

- 1. The sign-off of Demolition Work or the Sealing of structures may be done by certain H.P.D. personnel.
- 2. Their "sign-off" shall be in the form of a certification - letter of which samples are attached.
- 3. Upon receipt of H.P.D. certifications, pending unsafe building orders shall be dismissed as having complied with section c26-80.0.

Olin. Shilip

Director of Operations

PEO:ah

Attachments:

- 1. Sample Demolition sign-off certification.
- 2. Sample sealing sign-off certification.
- cc: Frank Dell'Aira, Comm., H.P.D. Joseph Merendino, H.P.D. Henry Serino, H.P.D.

Comm. Fruchtman Dep. Comm. Minkin Dep. Comm. Parascandola Messrs Kupfer, Ingoglia, Olin (2) Stuart Klein Chief Construction Inspectors T. McLoughlin (A.B.I. Union) File: "Unsafe Buildings" File: "Memo. 1978)

The City Of New York

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING PRESERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

NATHAN LEVENTHAL, Commissioner

Office of Rent and Housing Maintenance DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT 100 GOLD STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10038

DANIEL W. JOY, Deputy Commissioner FRANK A, DELL'AIRA, Assistant Commissioner

Date

TO: The Department of Buildings Borough Superintendent Borough of FROM: Director of Demolition SUBJECT: Unsafe Building The following building/Structure located at: ADDRESS:

U.B.#\_\_\_\_\_BLOCK\_\_\_\_\_LOT\_\_\_\_\_

has been demolished.

Completion Date\_\_\_\_\_

Contract Reference

Kindly have the U.B.<sup>#</sup> status removed.

Joseph Merendino Director of Demolition



DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING PRESERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT NATHAN LEVENTHAL, Commissioner

Office of Rent and Housing Maintenance DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT 100 GOLD STREET, NEW YORK, NY. 10038

DANIEL W. JOY, Deputy Commissioner FRANK A. DELL'AIRA, Assistant Commissioner

Date

TO:	The Department of Buildings Borough Superintendent Borough of				
FROM:	Director of Emergen	cy Service Bureau	· · · · ·		
SUBJECT:	Unsafe Buildings				
	The following building/Structure located at: ADDRESS:				
	Has been full sealed, according to the rules and regulations of your department.				
	Kindly have the U.B. Status-removed.				

Henry Serino Director of Emergency Service Bureau

# HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

#### DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

- I

DATE: June 19, 1974

**TO:** Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Inspection and Tests of Welds

This memorandum supplements the memorandum dated August 16, 1971&applies to applications filed under both the 1938 and the 1968 Building Codes.

In a restrained joint involving steel members generally over 1.5 inches thick, when the weldment cools, the resulting contraction may tear the steel. The tearing may appear as internal microscopic cracking detectable ultrasonically, or at the surface where it is visible.

The following procedure shall be implemented immediately in order to provide for detection of the above defects pending amendments to the Welding Rules which will provide for the phenomenon of lamellar tearing of steel.

1. Applicability and methods to be used.

When any of the components of a joint subject to stress due to moment are welded and exceed 1.5 inches in thickness, either of the following additional requirements shall be met.

(1.1) Non-destructive testing in item 2 shall be mandatory for the weld and base metal in the region of the weld, and all deficiencies that are detected shall be remedied.

(1.2) Preheating and insulated cooling techniques shall be employed.

÷

continued.....,

#### - 2 -

2. Non-delive bire coting

(2.1) Non-destructive testing shall be utilized by the architect or engineer designated for controlled inspection to augment other inspection requirements.

(2.2) Non-destructive testing shall conform with the requirements of section 607 (Non-destructive Testing) and Appendices B (Radiographic Inspection of Welds) and C (Ultrasonic Testing of Groove Welds) contained in AWS D1.0-69-Welding in Building Construction, or with sections 6.7 through 6.23 and the related appendices in AWS D1.1-72, Structural Welding Code. Other methods of nondestructive testing acceptable to the Commissioner of Buildings, consistent with good practice, may be used.

In addition, in the course of inspection of welding, verification that only licensed welders are performing structural welding shall be required, by ascertaining that the individual has his welder's license on hand. Copies of welder's licenses shall not be acceptable, unless issued by this department.

Thomas V. Eurke, P.E.

Director of Operations

TVB:IEM:njk

cc: Chairman Klein, B.S. &.A. Comm. Karagheuzoff Dep. Comm. Cooke Ass't Comm. Stulz Exec. Staff BCAC Industry Welding Inspection Agencies

778

ł