



ISSUER: Keith L. Wen, R.A.

Assistant Commissioner, Code & Zoning Interpretation

PURPOSE: This Bulletin rescinds 6 Memoranda, 2 Directives, 10

Letters and 3 Extract City Records, which were issued by

this Department but are no longer applicable.

SUBJECT(S): Directive, Executive Order, Letter, Memoranda, Rescind

BULLETIN RESCINDS:

Memo 12-23-81, Memo 12-1-81, Memo 2-2-72, Memo 1-27-72, Memo 11-7-85, Memo 12-17-74

Directive 15 of 1974, Directive 11 of 1972

Letter 7-24-89, Letter 7-26-89, Letter 5-29-91, Letter 12-12-88, Letter 1-25-88, Letter 10-7-88, Letter 1-29-90, Letter 8-14-86, Letter 12-3-85, Letter 7-31-91

Extract City Record 6-18-86, Extract City Record 5-18-87, Extract City Record 6-22-89

BACKGROUND

The Department of Buildings periodically reviews published Buildings Bulletins (BB), Policy and Procedure Notices (Technical, Operational, Legal, Administrative, OTCR) and the various Directives, Executive Orders, Memoranda and Letters issued in the past to ensure their continued consistency with current Departmental practice and to verify that new laws and regulations are incorporated into these documents.

The above listed Memoranda, Directives and Letters are rescinded effective immediately. Rescinded documents are not applicable to any projects filed after the date this Bulletin was issued. The rescinded documents will appear on the Department's website with the watermark RESCINDED. Because this review is ongoing, documents not specifically listed in this Bulletin may be addressed in future Bulletins. Watermarked Memoranda, Directives, Executive Orders and Letters may be accessed through the online version of this Bulletin at https://www1.nyc.gov/site/buildings/codes/building-bulletins.page.

HPD COMMISSIONER'S INTERPRETATION NO. 1 (Effective December 23, 1981)

\$\$C26-1705, D26-20.08 and D26-20.09 of the Administrative Code (Smoke Detecting Devices) - Duty of owner where tenants installs smoke detecting devices.

An owner requests advice as to his duty where a tenant installs a smoke detecting device in a dwelling unit required to be equipped with such devices by these sections of the Administrative Code.

The relevant sections of the Administrative Code and the HPD Regulations promulgated pursuant thereto on September 29, 1981 impose a duty upon owners of the covered dwelling units specified therein to provide and install at least one approved and operational smoke detecting device in each such dwelling unit. No exception or release of the obligation is expressly contained therein where someone other than the owner installs or elects to install an approved and operational smoke detecting device in the manner prescribed by law.

The intent of this law is to provide for the protection and safety of tenants in the case or fire related conditions in their dwelling units. The primary responsibility for implementing this law is clearly placed upon the owner. However, the Commissioner finds that it is not inconsistent with the purposes of the law to qualify the duties of owners where approved and operational smoke detecting devices are installed by persons other than the owner. The Commissioner is aware, for example, that the New York City Fire Department has undertaken a program to install such devices in dwelling units occupied by senior citizens. Also, tenants may prefer to install or may have already installed their own choice of device.

The Commissioner therefore finds that where an approved and operational smoke detecting device is installed by someone other than an owner, the owner shall have a duty to inspect and certify to HPD the satisfactory installation of a smoke detecting device as prescribed by the HPD Regulations promulgated on September 29, 1981. He shall not be required to install a separate device under these circumstances.

Antrony Framan, Commissioner

THE CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 1, 19

TO

All Dorough Superintendents

FROM:

Lwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner

SUBJECT

Five Protection Systems- Building Code Interpretations

- 1. C26-1702.16 (b) (1) and C26-1702.16 (b) (2) Water Supply to Standbipe Fire Pumps: Standbipe Fire Pumps must either have two sources of water supply, or a suction tank augmenting the single source, where permitted.
- 2. C26-1703.8 (a) Classification of Mater Supplies for Sprinkle Make matic Fire Pumps can be used as a sprinkler supply revided the pumps comply with the applicable provisions of Sections C26-1702.15 and C26-1702.16. (Except that no enclosures shall be required.) The electric power to the motor shall be taken from the street side of the house service switch.
- 3. RS 17-2 Section 2-4.3 and Table 2-2.1 (a) Water Supply Required for Sprinkler systems. Buildings that are predominantly light hazard may have a light hazard water supply provided the ordinary hazard areas are designed for ordinary hazard requirements.
- 4. RS 17-2 Section 2-4.3 and 2-5.3 & Chapter 8, High Rise Buildings. Combined standpipe and sprinkler systems can be used in E.F.G.H and J Occupancies provided the automatic fire pump, if used, is provided with emergency power that is automatic switching.
- 5. C26-1700.1, C26-1701.2 (a) Existing Sprinklers. When altering or extending an existing system, the extension must be made to comply with the present code. Existing undersized piping can remain, provided additional heads are not added to it.
- 6. C26-1703.9 (e) Sources of Water Supply It is acceptable to use the dementic water supply in multiple dwellings only for sprinklers in couridous and in refuse chutes, and in other limited areas. The domestic water may be supplied by direct public water connection or equipment and pumps approved for water supply in accordance with Reference Standard RS-16.
- 7. C26-17(3.9 (e) Limit on Number of Sprinklers Connected to the Domestic Water Supply No more than 10 heads can be connected to a plumbing riser supplying other than sprinkler heads, and no more than 20 heads can be connected to a tprinkler riser supplying only sprinkler heads in any fire section, separated by 2 hour anclosures from adjoining fire sections.

Continued

8. RS- 17-1, Section 4 (c) - Filling of Tanks for Standpipe Systems- A lire standpipe reserve tank can only be filled by direct public water connection or separate fill pumps, or a fire standpipe reserve tank can be filled by direct public water connection, separate fill pump and direct connection to equipment or pumps used to supply domestic water systems in accordance with Poseronse Standard RS-16.

Irwin Fruchtman, P.E. Commissioner

Deputy Commissioner Parascandola Asst. Commissioner Grill Asst. Commissioner Dennis Tast. Commissioner Cox Deputy Commissioner Minkin Chief J. Hess Deputy Chief Johnston Exec. Engineer Polsky Director of Special Projects Kupfer Assistant Commissioner Dennis Assistant Commissioner Grill Dorough Superintendents MEA Plan Examiners Executive Staff BIAC New York Assn. Consulting Engs. Mechanical Codes Committee

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 2, 1972

TO:

Borough Superintendents

FROM:

Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Executive Engineer

SUBJECT:

Prequalified Concrete Mixes

Herewith forwarded are copies of Building Department Rules Relating to Approval of Prequalified Concrete Mixes for distributing to department personnel. These rules appear on Page 329 of the City Record of 1/28/72, and are effective 3/1/72.

At present, there are no approved prequalified concrete mixes, necessitating either preliminary tests, or batching of concrete pursuant to Method III (without preliminary test or prequalified mixes), as noted in Section C26-1004.3, as amended by Local Law No. 62/71. Note that concrete proportioned according to prequalified mixes, when approved, can only be produced from batch plants approved by the Commissioner. Since this department's proposed rules for approval of batching plants have been deferred at the request of the Concrete Industry Board, and may require republishing the notice of opportunity to comment thereon anew if substantative changes are made in said proposed rules pursuant to comments just received from the C.I.B., the subject rules on prequalified concrete mixes cannot be fully operative at this time.

Signed
Irving E. Minkin, P.E.
Executive Engineer

HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 27, 1972

TO:

Borough Superintendents

FROM:

Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Executive Engineer

SUBJECT

Amendment to RS 10-3 in relation to concrete test cylinders - B.S.A. Cal. No. 720-71 BCR

On January 25, 1972, the Board of Standards and Appeals approved Commissioner Stein's application to amend Section 504(a) of Reference Standard RS 10-3 (ACI 318-63, Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete) in relation to concrete test cylinders, under Calendar No. 720-71 BCR.

This amendment stipulates that, in addition to three test cylinders required to be molded from concrete taken directly from the mixer for each 50 cubic yards or fraction thereof for each class of concrete placed in any day's concreting, the following will also be required:

Concrete test cylinders shall be made from concrete taken out of the bucket, hopper or forms, as directed by the engineer designated for controlled inspection. These test cylinders shall be separate and distinct from those made from the mixer, and shall be made from the same batch and cured and tested in the same manner as described in Section 504(a) for the samples taken from the mixer. The number of test cylinders made from concrete taken out of the bucket, hopper or forms may be reduced to a minimum of one set of 3 cylinders for every 150 cubic yards or fraction thereof for each class of concrete mixed in any one day's concreting; and, when concrete is being placed directly from the mixer into the forms without any intermediate conveyance, the additional cylinders will not be required.

This office has been advised by the Board that the above-mentioned amendment is effective as of January 25, 1972. Accordingly, notify all plan examiners and inspectors of this new requirement of law. However, inspectors should be advised that no violations should be issued for failure to comply with the new requirement for concrete hereafter placed in any structure until at least 10 days have elapsed after they have notified job superintendents or the engineer responsible for controlled inspection of concrete construction, and there is failure to comply thereafter.

This revision in no way diminishes your authority to take any steps necessary in the case of questionable construction, pursuant to Section C26-1002.2 of the Building Code.

Executive Engineer

IEM:kl

cc: Comm. Stein
Dep. Comm. Padavan
Asst. Comm. Walsh
Executive Staff
B.C.A.C.
Licensed Concrete Test Labs.
Professional Societies
Concrete Industry Board



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jr., R.A., Commissioner 248-8811

RESCINDED BY BUILDINGS BULLETIN 2022-003

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Borough Superintendents

FROM:

Irving Polsky, P.E., Executive Engineer

DATE:

November 7, 1985

RE:

List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians

Section 2-3.1 of the Rules relating to the Licensing of Concrete Testing Laboratories, effective December 30, 1984, requires that technicians taking samples and performing required tests on concrete delivered to New York City construction sites be qualified.

The attached extract from the November 1, 1985 edition of the City Record constitutes the current Department of Buildings' List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians.

A Licensed Professional Engineer or a Registered Architect is required to supervise the testing of materials of concrete construction where a Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technician is not available. (Refer to Sections C26-10004.3 and C26-1004.5 of the Administrative Code).

Irving Polsky, P.E.,
Executive Engineer

IP/gt

cc: Exec. Staff

BIAC

. Industry

Attachment

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 17, 1974

70: Borough Superintendents

FROM: Thomas V. Burke, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: FEES - AIR-SUPPORTED STRUCTURES

Temporary certificates of occupancy are issued for a-one-year term for tents and air-supported structures (C26-718.8). Requests for renewal or extension for an additional term of one year shall be made by amendment to the original application.

A filing fee shall be charged. It shall be the minimum fee charged as for an alteration - (C26-32.0, subdivision 2)-(\$33.00). No second fee shall be required if the only work performed is storing or re-erecting the original tent.

Please add this memorandum to the manual of fees, dated January, 1975.

Thomas V. Burke

Director of Operations

TVB/LR/df

CC: Exec. Staff

The City of New York
HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
Department of Buildings

DIRECTIVE NO. 15,1974

To: borough Superintendents

DATE: October 18, 1974

: NO.:

Thomas V. Burke, P.E., Director of Operations

SUBJECT:

LOCAL LAW # 5/1974 RS-17-3B

MODIFIED FIRE ALARM SIGNAL SYSTEMS

Local Law #5/73, Section C26-1704.5(g), permits the installation of a modified Class E fire alarm system complying with R5-17-3B in example office buildings, 100 feet or more in height, in which fire alarm or communication systems existed prior to January 18, 1973.

The principal difference between the Class E fire alarm system (RS-17-3A) and the modified Class E fire alarm system (RS-17-3B) is that the latter permits a single source of electrical energy under Section 1(a) of RS-17-3B, except however, that a secondary source is required for radio systems under Section 16(a)(3) and for carrier transmission systems under Section 18(a)(3).

- 1. The following, when installed prior to January 18, 1973, shall be decided to be existing fire alarm or communication systems which when incorporated wholly or substantially permit the installation of a modified Class E fire alarm system (RS-17-3B).
 - a. A smoke detection system which incorporates a local alarm and a connection to a franchiced central office operating company activated by any duct, area or fan shutdown detector.
 - b. A oprinkler water flow alarm which incorporates either a local electrical alarm or is connected to a franchised central office operating company.
 - c. A thermostatic alarm system which incorporates a local alarm and is connected to a franchised central office company.
 - d. An exit stairway locked door fail safe release system.
 - c. A standpipe fireline signaling and telephone system.
 - i. An elevator voice communication system between the elevator car and the elevator starter's panel.
 - g. An approved interior fire alarm signal system consisting of assimual stations and sounding devices on each floor. The presence of any of these systems qualify an existing building for the installation of a modified Class E fire alarm system as described in RS-17-3B.

- 2. RS-17-3B Section 1(a), sources of electrical energy, shall be interpreted to require only one of the listed sources of electrical energy, except that a secondary source is required under Section 15(a)(3), for radio systems and 18(a)(3), for carrier current transmission systems.
- 3. A Board of Standards and Appeals Class E fire alarm system may be used as a modified Class E fire alarm signal system with the number of electrical energy sources as indicated in RS-17-3B, and Section 2 of this Directive.
- 4. Section 1(c) of RS-17-3B, requires that where there is a secondary or emergency source of electrical energy the fire alarm system shall be connected as specified.
- 5. The department plan examiners, where the installation of a modified Class E fire alarm signal system is proposed, shall:
 - a. Accept the applicant's statement on amendment and plan that a modified Class E fire alarm signal system is being installed, provided that the type of existing fire alarm or communication system listed in Section 1 of this Directive is indicated, and further that existence of the present system is subject to Fire Department verification at the time of examination of the electrical wiring and shop drawings for approval by such department.
 - b. Require that the number and type of electrical sources of energy as required by RS-17-3B and this Directive be specified in the general notes on the plan.

TVB/LR/sc

Director of Operations

cc: Comm. J.T. Walsh
Dep. Comm. A.J. Jenkins
Ass't. Comm. B. Parascandola
Dir. of Sp. Proj. J.W. Schneider
Sec'y. to Dept. L. Dwoskin
Dir. of Training A. Viviani

The City of New York HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION Department of Buildings

DIRECTIVE NO. 11 of 1972

TO:

Borough Superintendents

DATE: December 4,1972

FROM:

Director of Operations, Thomas V. Burke, P.E.

SUBJECT:

"Protection of Adjoining Walls of Stud Construction during Demolition Operations" - Sections C25-1902.1

and C26-1905.2

Sections C26-1902.1 and 1905.2 require that "Portions of the wall exposed by construction operations shall be protected against the elements, and shall be restored or left permanently protected after completion of operations".

In the process of demolition of attached frame structures, unprotected stud party walls are exposed. For the purposes of compliance with Sections C26-1902.1 and 1905.2 the following minimum method of protection shall be required.

Lath and plaster or other wall finish on the exposed side of remaining wall shall be removed to provide a proper nailing surface on the exposed edge of the studs; this shall be performed in such a manner that brick fill or other insulation if present is protected and preserved. A minimum thickness of 3/6"exterior grade plywood shall be attached to the face of the studs; the nailing to the studs shall comply with Table R.S. 10-9-3. A "Z" moulding of noncorrosive or galvanized metal shall be provided at each horizontal joint to act as a flashing.

Other methods of providing adequate protection of the exposed party stud walls may be employed if approved by the Borough Superintendent.

TVB/JL/rmr

Thomas V. Burke, P.E. Director of Operations

cc: Executive Staff

All Construction Inspectors Department of Davelopment Commissioner Joseph Christian Director of Demolition, S.B. Feller

Mr. Rudolph Jones Demolition Industry



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jt., R.A., Commissioner

JUL 24 1989

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E.

Assistant Commissioner
Building Construction

Mr. Tieh Chi Ho Ho Associates Architect/Engineer 33 Bowery Confucius Plaza, Suite B 206 New York, N.Y. 10002

RE: Requirements of Strobes
(LL58/87)
in Mini-Class E Fire Alarm
Systems

Dear Mr. Ho:

This is in response to your letter, dated July 18, 1989 requesting a clarification of the above requirements in buildings requiring Mini Class E systems.

A Mini-Class E system is a combination of fire alarm and communication systems. Manual pull station is required only to notify the Fire Department via a central station of a franchised operating company. Whereas speakers on every floor are provided for voice communication from the fire command station.

Should these speakers also be used to sound alarm on floor(s), strobes shall be provided.

Very truly yours

George E. Bergér, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

GEB:NTP:lg

c: Commissioner C. Smith, Jr., R.A.
Deputy Commissioner C. Dennis, P.E.

Deputy Commissioner S. O'Brien

General Counsel C. Foy

Asst. Commissioner P. Franconeri Exec. Eningeer I. Polsky, P.E.

Borough Superintendents

Chief J. DeMec, Fire Department

Fire Alarm Association



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jt., R.A., Commissioner

July 26, 1989

. ___

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E.
Assistant Commissioner
Building Construction

Chief Joseph DeMeo New York Fire Department Bureau of Fire Prevention 250 Livingston Street Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201

> RE: Modified Class J-l Fire Alarm System and Smoke Detector System

Dear Chief DeMeo:

When an interior fire alarm in existing low rise hotels is upgraded to meet the requirements of Modified Class J-l fire alarm systems pursuant to Local Law 16/1987, strobes are not required unless addition or relocation of existing gongs takes place.

If smoke detecting system in public corridors and public spaces in hotel buildings is installed, it need not be integrated with the interior fire alarm.

However, the smoke detecting system shall require strobes and shall be activated upon the activation of smoke detectors. The activation of fire alarm does not require these strobes to operate.

Very truly yours

George E. Berger, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

GEB:NTP:lq

cc: Deputy Commissioner C.F. Dennis, P.E.

Deputy Commissioner S.F. O'Brien

Borough Superintendents



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 16013

RUDOLPH J. RINALDI, Commissioner

GEORGE C. SAKONA, P.E. Deputy Commissioner Technical Affairs (212) 312-8120

May 29, 1991

Mr. Walter T. Gorman, P.E., P.C. 115-14 Beach Channel Drive Rockaway Park, New York 11694

Re: 1381 East New York Avenue

Brooklyn

MISC. # 679/90

Dear Mr. Gorman:

Your letter dated May 9 1991 to reconsider the defect #4 issued by the Fire Department and requesting permission to use TFFN type wiring for an interior fire alarm system in the above referenced building has been reviewed by this office.

Analysis

RS 17-3 requires a minimum of NO. 16 A.W.G. copper wire, type THWN for interior fire alarm. Rules and Regulations for Mimi-Class E and for Places of Assembly used as a Cabaret allow TFFN/THHN/THWN type wire. TFFN and THHN type wires have the same characteristics. However TFFN is only available in AWG 16 and 18 and THHN/THWN are available in AWG 14 thru 501-1000.

Approval

Since code requires NO 16 A.W.G wire for interior fire alarm system and THHN/ THWN type wires are not available in AWG 16, your request to allow TFFN type wire installed in rigid conduct is hereby approved.

Very truly yours,

George C. Sakona, P.E. Deputy Commissioner

GCS:NP:mrb



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jt, R.A., Commissioner

December 12, 1988

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E.
Assistant Commissioner
Building Construction

Mr. Rosario Lantiere, P.E. Syska & Hennessy, Inc. Engineers 11 West 42nd Street New York, N.Y. 10036

RE: Combined Fire Standpipe and Sprinkler Systems

Dear Mr. Lantiere:

Your letter, dated October 25, 1988 to Deputy Commissioner Dennis regarding the above referenced subject has been forwarded to my office for review and response.

This subject was reviewed by both the Fire Department and the Department of Buildings and concluded that Section 27-962(h) should have included low rise buildings classified in those occupancies.

Until this section is revised to include low rise buildings, you may request reconsideration for such approval.

very cury yours,

George E. Berger, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

GEB:NTP:lg

cc:

Commissioner C. Smith Jr., R.A.
Deputy Commissioner C. Dennis, P.E.
Assistant Commissioner F. Pocci, P.E.
Executive Engineer I. Polsky, P.E.
Borough Superintendents
Chief J. DeMeo, Fire Department
Chief J. Hodgens, Fire Department



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M SMITH, It. RA., Commissioner

January 25, 1988

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E.
Assistant Commissioner
Building Construction

Mr. Carl Guinta Consulting Engineers 11 Mathews Avenue Riverdale, N.J. 07457

Dear Mr. Guinta:

This is in response to your letter, dated January 20, 1988, regarding the issue of combined standpipe and sprinkler risers in office buildings.

Section 27-962(h) allows standpipe risers to use for spinklers in high rise buildings classified in occupancy groups E, G, H and J and in existing office buildings one hundred feet or more in height.

Since the law requires sprinklers in high rise buildings in certain, classification it only mentions standpipe riser to be used for spinklers in high rise buildings only.

Therefore, examiners cannot approve the sprinkler application for low rise buildings where only standpipe riser is used. However you may request reconsideration to such objection. The Department in the past considered such objections.

During the last revision of the Building Code under Local Law 16/1984, it should have been corrected to allow all buildings under those classification. This, I hope, will be done in the next revision.

George E. Berger, P.E. Assistant Commissioner

Very truly yours.

Page 17 of 26



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10013

CHARLES M. SMITH, Jt, R.A., Commissioner

October 7, 1988

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E. Assistant Commissioner Building Construction

Mr. Leonard T. Epstein, P.E. Syska & Hennessy, Inc., Engineers 11 West 42 Street New York, New York 10036

> RE: Combined Standpipe and Sprinkler Systems

Dear Mr. Epstein:

This is in response to your letter dated Septemper 19, 1988 regarding the use of combined standpipe and sprinkler system in the buildings classified in Occupancy Group F.

Section 27-962(h) does not permit combined systems in Occupancy Group F. Since the Building Code takes the precedence over the Reference Standard, inclusion of Occupancy Group F in paragraphs 2-4.3.2 and 3-8.7 of RS 17-2 is incorrect and RS 17-2 will be amended to correct this situation.

Thank you for bringing this conflict to our attention.

very cruity yours,

George E. Berger, P.E.

GEB:NTP:an

cc:Deputy Commissioner Dennis Excutive Engineer Polsky Chief DaMeo ,Fire Dept. Chief Hodgens, Fire Dept.



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET. NEW YORK. N. Y. 10013
CHARLES M. SMITH. Jr., R.A., Commissioner
312-8100

January 29, 1990

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E. Acting Deputy Commissioner Technical Affairs (212) 312-8120

Mr. Daniel M. McGee, P.E. American Iron & Steel Institute Matawan Mall P.O. Box 311 34th & Broad Street Matawan, New Jersey 07747

Re: Plastic Piping

Dear Mr. McGee:

Your letter of December 1, 1989 addressed to Commissioner Smith has been referred to my office for review and reply.

As indicated in your letter to this office regarding the use of non-metalic pipe for fire sprinkler system, your understanding is correct in that such use has been under review.

B. F. Goodrich has filed an MEA Application 434/88M for acceptance of their Blaze Master Sprinkler Pipe, C-PVC, to be used for use in a sprinkler system. Numerous tests were submitted to our MEA Division in regard to MEA Application 434/88M. In reviewing these test results, Deputy Commissioner Dennis submitted a memo to Marvin Hassman, Director of MEA, allowing B. F. Goodrich Blaze Master Sprinkler Pipe under that MEA Application to be used for residential occupancies.

Such pipe may only be used when located in spaces protected by sprinklerheads fed from that pipe and only when the system is a wet sprinkler system.

Sincerely

George E. Berger, P.E. (
Acting Deputy Commissioner



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M. SMITH. Jr., R. A., Commissioner

August 14, 1986

GEORGE E. BERGER, P.E. Assistant Commissioner Building Construction Special Projects

Mr. Joel Pastolove Acme Sprinkler Company 118 West 18th Street New York, New York 10011

Re: Sprinkler System - RS 17-2
Water Reserve for 20 Minute
Duration

Dear Mr. Pastolove:

As per your request this office with the advice of the Fire Department has reviewed the guidelines in determining acceptable automatic means of makeup when the water reserve is reduced for 30 minutes to 20 minutes as approved by Board of Standards as Appeals #633-33.

- T. following co: itions should be met for determining acceptable automatic means or makeup:
- 1. Automatic means of makeup for a tank fire reserve should be capable of pumping water into the tank at a rate, for a period of 20 minutes, sufficient to equal the difference between the normal 30 minute demand and the alternate 20 minute demand. The demand required is established by calculations for the most demanding remote area from the riser as shown by examples of Appendix A of NFPA 13-1983 and as now determined for the 30 minute demand.
- There should be at least two automatic means of makeup, each having the same capacity, so that in the event a unit must be removed for repairs the other unit can be placed in service.
- 3. An approved low water alarm is to be provided and located at a point 500 gallons above the available fire reserve level.

George E Berger, P.E

Very truly yours,

Assistant Commissioner



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
120 WALL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

CHARLES M. SMITH. Jr., R.A., Commissioner 248-8811

December 3,.. 1985

Mr. Richard J. Reilly Jaros, Baum & Bolles Consulting Engineers 345 Park Avenue New York, N.Y. 10154

Re: New Building 52/85, Manhattan
Block 1461, Lot-1321/31
430-436 East 67th Street
"New Laboratory Building Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center"

Dear Mr. Reilly:

This is in response to your letters to this office, dated October 14; 1984, November 18, 1985 and November 26, 1985, requesting that the above proposed 13 story research laboratory be considered a light hazard occupancy for the purpose of supplying the required sprinklers from a combined standpipe/sprinkler system.

It is noted that:

- 1. Section 1-7.2.1 of NFiPA 13 of Reference Standard RS 13-2 defines low hazard as an occupancy where the quantity and/or combustibility of the contents is low and fires with relatively low rates of heat release are expected.
- 2. Building Code Section 305.3 and Table 3-2 states that laboratories in Industrial Occupancy Classification D-2 represent a low fire hazard.
- 3. Fire Department regulations for the Storage and Use of Chemicals, Acids and Gases in College, University, Hospital, Research and Commercial Laboratories, effective November 6, 1985, specifies Maximum Laboratory Unit Storage Limits, based on Lab Type, Fire Ratings and Fire Protection.

4. However, Section 6.2 states that: "In existing buildings, water supply to sprinkler systems may be taken off existing standpipes provided that the system is hydraulically designed".

In view of the foregoing and the specific storage limits of the Fire Department, it is interpreted that this research building be considered as a low hazard occupancy with the following requirement:

The light hazard sprinkler system and combination standpipe shall be described on the fire safety plan and filed with the Fire Department as required by sub-article 124.0.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Smith, Jr., R.A.,

Commissioner



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE OFFICES
60 HUDSON STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 16013

RUDOLPH J. RINALDI. Commissioner

312-8100

July 31, 1991

Edward J. Albano Universal Design Standards 1058 Jassamine Way Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024

Re: Concrete Admixtures

Dear Mr. Albano:

Your letter to Irving Polsky, P.E., Executive Engineer, dated July 25, 1991 in relation to concrete admixtures and the need for Material and Equipment Acceptance Division (MEA) acceptance has been forwarded to me for reply.

Please be informed that concrete accelerators, retarders, coloring agents and other related admixtures where quantities are subject to controlled inspection do not require MEA acceptance. These are covered by Section 27-608, RS 10-3 and RS 10-44 (ANSI-ASTM C494 1986 - Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete) of the New York City Building Code.

Very truly yours

Mark Jachniewicz, P.E. MEA DIVISION

MJ:dt

cc: George C. Sakona, P.E., Deputy Commissioner Irving Polsky, P.E., Executive Engineer Borough Commissioners

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

LIST OF QUALIFIED CONCRETE FIELD TESTING TECHNICIANS

SUPPLEMENT NO. ONE

Pursuant to Section 2-3.1.2 of the rules relating to the Licensing of Concrete Testing Laboratories, effective December 30, 1984 (and amended effective May 1, 1985), and Section 1105 of the City Charter, the List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians of the Department of Buildings, published in the City Record on November 1, 1985, is supplemented with the following names:

Srimanta Adhya Ralph P. Albanese William C. Baumann Chandan K.R. Bhattacharjee Kevin Bowman Peter Burkhardt Everard A. Campbell Hugh C. Campbell Michael Cetta Jeffrey A. Chattin Gerard W. Cooney Roberto E. Espinoza Renato G. Estrella Vincent Ferrara Charles S. Garufi John T. Giordano Robert Gorog Abdul Jabbar Theodore J. Krukowski, Jr. Tak C. Lai Michael Landry Chow J. Lee

Thomas McCabe Brian K. McDaniel G. Manzi Leo Marinconz Barbara Smith Mishara Mahesh Patel Peter H. Pilshaw Adelia D. Pipergias Michael A. Proto Michael A. Roache Charles Salemi Satish C. Sawhney Adsil Sealy Tim Stecher James Treacy Dominck Valenti Thomas Veccia Howard Washington Delroy L. Webb Stanley B. Winckowski James E. Winkler Jahannema Zherman Frank A. Zunno

Dated: June 13, 1986 New York, N.Y.

Charles M. Smith, Jr.,

Commissioner

Published in the City Record: June 18, 1986

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

LIST OF QUALIFIED CONCRETE

FIELD TESTING TECHNICIANS

SUPPLEMENT NC. THREE

Pursuant to Section 2-3.1.2 of the rules relating to the Licensing of Concrete Testing Laboratories, effective December 30, 1984 (and amended effective May 1, 1985) and Section 1105 of the City Charter, the List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians of the Department of Buildings, published in the City Record on November 1, 1985, June 19, 1986 and November 10, 1986, is supplemented with the following names:

Antonio Cruz
Prabhas Ranjan Das
Thomas A. Finnerty
Louie C. Gasperetti
Dawn E. Greene
Ludwig Gorokhousky
Lendward Jackson
Johnie King
Dean Lancaster
Gi Leggard
Paul Marks
Anthony Nicholetti
Yaya Odubiro
Walter Onufrik

Christian L. Peirce David Percz Joseph S. Rybacki Carlton Sanders Michael Soybelzon Theodore Spolansky Michael A. Sweeney Frisner Tisselin C.J. Vieitez David Walsh

Borace Overstreet

Piyushkumar Patel

Anil Parikh

Dated: May 18, 1987

New York, New York

Charles M. Smith, Jr.

Commissioner

1702

THURSDAY, JUNE 22, 1989

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians Supplement No. 5

Pursuant to Section 2-3.1.2 of the rules relating to the Licensing of Concrete Testing Laboratories, effective December 30,. 1984 (and amended effective May 1, 1985) and Section 1105 of the City Charter, the List of Qualified Concrete Field Testing Technicians of the Department of Buildings, published in the City Record on November 1, 1985, June 19, 1985, November 10, 1986, May 27, 1987 and January 27, 1988 is supplemented with the following names:

Antonio Alvarenga Ralph Caplini Ralph Carlini Prank Carrano Naveed Chaudri Robert Colucci Tulsi Dehnani Nick Diano Edvin Pining Brent Pund Salvatore Gitto Byron Grant John A. Grillo Donald Hinds Macaulay Hough Lin-Kuo Hau Daran Jeffers Steven Jensen Praved Katapituke Charles E. Kenchen Raymond Kuong

Sem Yon Lanin Melapurathu Mathew Mjuibur R. Miah Vincent Monaco Michael Montelto **Anthony Muscat** Anthony Nazzaro John O'Hallaran Barindra Patel Dilipkumarn Patel Jayantibhai Patel Andrew Peplowski Cesar Santelices Jeff Smith Albani Thecmo Edward Valentin Curtis Ward Ken Wolfed, Jr. Howard Worthington Zi Xin Zhu Peter J. Zimmerman

Dated: June 15, 1989

New York, New York

Charles M. Smith, Jr.

Comissioner