## NYC - Business Integrity Commission April 9, 2018

1	
2	X
3	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
4	BUSINESS INTEGRITY COMMISSION
5	AMENDMENT OF MAXIMUM RATES
6	ALLOWED FOR HANDLING OF TRADE WASTE
7	PUBLIC HEARING
8	X
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	April 9, 2018 2:05 p.m.
14	100 Church Street
15	New York, New York
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Julia M. Speros Court Reporter
21	Court Weborrer
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
      A P P E A R A N C E S:
2
 3
4
      Patrick Z. Scotti
      Executive Agency Counsel and
      Market Manager of NYC BIC
5
 6
      Salvador Arrona
7
      NYC BIC Director of Policy
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2.0

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. SCOTTI: Good morning and welcome to today's public hearing. The time is 2:05 on Monday, April 9, 2018, and we are in the 2nd floor conference room of 100 Church Street.

My name is Patrick Z. Scotti. I am the Executive Agency Counsel and Market Manager of the New York City Business Integrity Commission. Thank you for attending today's hearing.

The Commission is conducting this hearing pursuant to the requirements set forth by the City Administrative

Procedure Act, commonly referred to as

"CAPA". The purpose of this hearing is to receive comments from the public on the Commission's proposed rules regarding the amendment of maximum rates allowed for collecting and disposing of trade waste.

The Commission published the proposed rules in the City Record on March 6, 2018. We also disseminated a copy of the rules via email to all New

York City local elected officials, the managers of all 59 Community Boards, several media organizations in the City, and other interested parties. Lastly, we posted the proposed rules on both the Commission's and the NYC Rules' websites.

In summary, the Commission is proposing to amend Title 17 of the Rules of the City of New York to raise the maximum rates that private carters can charge for removal of trade waste by 5.6 percent. This rate would apply to refuse, organic waste, paper, cardboard, metal, glass, and plastics.

As background for this hearing, the Commission is authorized to set by rule the maximum rates, by weight and by volume, that trade waste haulers can charge for the removal of putrescible and traditional recyclable commercial waste.

The maximum rates were previously adjusted in 2016. The Commission must base any changes to the maximum rates on

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

25

2.0

a fair and reasonable return to the private carters who provide trade waste removal services to commercial establishments in New York City while also protecting those using these services from excessive or unreasonable charges.

To achieve this balance, the

Commission established an administrative

procedure that provides greater

transparency, standardization, and

regularity in the rate-setting process.

Pursuant to Commission rules, a hearing was held on October 18, 2017 relating to the maximum rates charged by a private carter for the collection, removal, disposal, or recycling of trade waste. The hearing was attended by representatives of the trade waste industry and other interested parties; some of whom testified at the hearing and submitted written testimony.

The Commission has carefully evaluated the evidence provided throughout the process, including the

2.0

oral statements made at the October 2017 hearing, and the written statements submitted both prior to and after the hearing.

In accordance with Title 17 of the Rules of the City of New York, Section 5-02(g), the Commission has reviewed various relevant factors affecting the trade waste industry and its customers.

Those factors include, but are not limited to, the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, data contained in private carters' financial statements filed with the Commission, and certain data relating to increases in operating and capital costs provided to the Commission by members of the trade waste industry.

Based on its review, the Commission proposed to increase by 5.6 percent the current maximum rates that trade waste haulers can charge, from \$18.87 per cubic yard or \$12.38 per 100 pounds to \$19.93 per cubic yard and \$13.07 per 100

pounds.

2.0

2.1

The Commission also has made some plain language revisions to the rule relating to rates in the trade waste industry.

That concludes the summary of the proposed rule changes at issue at this hearing. You may present an oral statement for the record or submit written comments concerning the proposed rule changes.

Additionally, the Commission has been accepting written comments on the proposed rule since the publication in the City Record, and will continue to do so through the close of business today.

The Commission will make available a copy of any written comments that are received in connection with today's hearing on its website. The Commission will carefully review and consider all comments and submissions and make changes, if the Commission deems them necessary. The rules will go into effect 30 days after they are published

in the City Record.

2.0

We will begin by calling those of you who wish to speak this morning -- or this afternoon -- in the order in which you have signed in. When you speak, please state your full name and affiliation, and speak slowly and clearly so that the court reporter can understand and accurately transcribe your statement. We ask that you limit your statement to five minutes.

The Commission's Director of Policy, Salvador Arrona, will now begin calling up members of the public to testify.

MR. ARRONA: Our first speaker is Ron Bergamini from Action.

MR. BERGAMINI: Thank you. Good afternoon everyone. My name is Ron Bergamini. I'm the CEO of Action Environmental Group. We're the parent company to Action Carting, the largest private hauler of solid waste in New York City.

At the hearing of October 18, 2017, I testified on the merits of the rate

2.0

2.1

cap and additional cost facing all responsible solid waste companies.

Please refer to that testimony for your review, as it frames the discussion in terms of business fundamentals affecting all industry participants.

We feel the need for a rate cap has passed. The basic economic problem with any artificial price restraint is that it distorts markets. One consequence is less investment and less customer choice. These are hardly the goals of an enlightened industry.

I would also like to echo and support the written testimony of Mr. Steve Changaris, C-H-A-N-G-A-R-I-S, the New York City Chapter Director of the National Waste and Recycling Association.

As a member of the BIC's Trade Waste Advisory Board, I share the responsibility of conveying the challenges and goals of the industry.

In today's testimony I would like to address two critical and related issues

2.0

that have drastically changed the recycling landscape in New York City and beyond since October, my last testimony.

The first issue concerns the City's new mandate with respect to commercial recycling. Our company has put three additional trucks on the road to better manage clean recyclable materials. The monthly cost of having an additional truck in service is at least \$20,000.

While we share the general goals of recycling, contrary to public belief, recycling costs more to process than solid waste.

The second issue is even more profound. The international recycling market has been upended by new policies instituted by China. China has long been the dominant player as the biggest importer of recyclable products such as plastics and fiber-based products.

Beginning January 1, 2018, China banned 24 types of solid waste, including unsorted paper and various plastics. Additionally, the bales of

2.0

2.1

acceptable paper and cardboard must meet an unworkable standard of .5 percent contamination, whereas, previously, the standard was 2 percent.

In many parts of the United States mixed paper is going to landfills. The problem is not that the market is soft; there is no market.

A year ago a ton of OCC -- commonly called cardboard -- sold for \$200 per ton; today, the price is about \$65.

Mixed paper sold for \$150 per ton a year ago; today it's worth zero. You simply cannot move it.

Unlike previous movements in these markets, this time is different in that market forces are not causing the decline. Instead, a radical shift in China's public policy is the cause. There are no indications that this situation will change in the near or mid-term.

Markets in this country and others may eventually increase demand to deal with the great disparity of supply. At

2.0

best this is several years away. I would urge the Commission and members of the public to simple Google "recycling markets China" to learn about the impact this policy shift is having all over the world.

Further, you can listen to a conference call from just this past Friday where Michael Hoffman, Environmental Services Analyst for Stifel Capital Markets, who interviewed Bill Moore of Moore & Associates, to discuss the China impact on recycling markets. It's about a half hour long; you'll learn plenty.

One obvious forward looking consequence is that prices charged for the collection of solid waste and recycling must change to reflect the industry's changing economics. Thus, I would urge a rate cap increase of at least 20 percent.

I would also urge the BIC not to wait the traditional two years to review the rate cap, but to do so annually

until the day when this artificial 1 2 restraint on the market has ended. 3 Thank you. 4 MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Ron. 5 Our next speaker is Andy Moss from Waste Connections. 6 MR. MOSS: Good afternoon everyone. 7 8 My name is Andrew Moss. I'm the 9 Government Affairs Manager at Waste 10 Connections in New York City. 11 Waste Connections is the only 12 publicly traded company collecting and 13 transporting solid waste and recyclables 14 in New York City. 15 At the October 18, 2017 hearing, I 16 testified on the additional cost facing 17 all responsible solid waste companies, 18 mainly due to the increased regulatory 19 burden New York City haulers face. 2.0 I've sent in an updated version of 21 that testimony for the Commission's 22

I've sent in an updated version of that testimony for the Commission's review, as I believe it captures not only the regulatory cost increases, but also the annual operating cost increases the industry has encountered since the

23

24

25

last rate adjustment.

2.0

2.1

The major issues I'd like to address at today's hearing concern the City's mandate with respect to commercial recycling and emissions.

Our company has put additional trucks on the road to collect an increased amount of recyclable material, that the change in the commercial recycling rules, not surprisingly, has led to.

Before the change in law that made commercial recycling essentially the same as residential recycling -- not every commercial customer had to separate glass and plastic; now they do. The monthly cost of having an additional truck for us is approximately \$30,000.

Another change affecting the industry is Local Law 145, which requires all waste trucks to meet 2007 emissions or better by 2020. Simply put, the law requires a tremendous amount of capital investment in truck equipment.

2.0

New trucks cost \$370,000 when outfitted with the latest technology and safety equipment. Our fleet is over 100 trucks.

The economics for every waste company are the same; we have to pay for fuel, for our workers' salaries -- good working middle-class salaries by the way -- the cost of tipping the garbage or recyclables, maintenance for our trucks, and all the other rent -- and all the other expenses to run a business.

The money left over -- after all these expenses is typically 10 to 20 percent of the revenue -- is used to pay the interest on the money we borrowed to buy our trucks and containers. The more trucks we have to buy, the more interest we have to pay.

It's fundamental that all of these expenses and interest payments require corresponding revenue. Without sufficient revenue there are no wages to pay or anything else. The only way to generate revenue is from the price

customers pay for our service.

2.0

The consequences for these laudable, regulatory changes is that prices charged for the collection of solid waste and recycling must change to reflect the new requirements.

Imagine a City where every industry was subject to a rate cap, including restaurants, hairdressers, lawyers.

Would those businesses still exist?

Yes, but they would certainly not be flourishing, nor would there be the variety of services that currently exist today.

I urge the Commission to phase out the rate cap so the waste industry is treated like virtually every other service industry in the City.

Moreover, I would urge the -because of the regulatory changes that
the industry is facing, that the
proposed 5.6 increase be greatly
expanded to -- as Ron Bergamini stated
-- at least 20 percent.

Thank you.

```
1
                MR. SCOTTI: Thank for your
2
            testimony.
                Ron referred earlier to the
3
4
            testimony of Steve Changaris of the
5
            National Waste and Recycling
            Association. We'd just like to
6
7
            acknowledge that Steve's testimony was
            received by the Commission earlier
8
9
            today.
10
                MR. BERGAMINI:
                                 Thank you.
11
                MR. SCOTTI: Is there anyone else
12
            who would like to testify?
13
                (No response.)
14
                MR. SCOTTI: Seeing no one else, we
15
            will now close the record for this
16
            hearing.
17
                As a reminder, we will continue to
18
            accept written comments through the
19
            close of business today.
2.0
                This hearing is now closed.
2.1
            time is 2:19. Thank you.
22
                (Time noted: 2:19 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

## 1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 I, JULIA M. SPEROS, a Notary Public 4 for and within the State of New York, do 5 hereby certify: 6 7 That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; 8 9 and that the within transcript is a true 10 record of the testimony given by said 11 witness. 12 I further certify that I am not 13 related to any of the parties to this action by blood or 14 15 marriage, and that I am in no way 16 interested in the outcome of this 17 matter. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 19 set my hand this 9th day of April, 2018. 20 21 22 23 24 25

<b>\$1238</b> 6:24	14:6,17 (6)	andy 13:5	basic 9:8	<b>c</b> 2:2
<b>\$1307</b> 6:25	additionally 7:12	annual 13:24	because 16:20	<b>call</b> 12:8
<b>\$150</b> 11:12		annually 12:25	<b>before</b> 14:12	called 11:10
<b>\$1887</b> 6:23	address 9:25 14:2	another 14:19	<b>begin</b> 8:2,13	calling 8:2,13
<b>\$1993</b> 6:25	adjusted 4:24	anyone 17:11	beginning 10:22	can 4:11,19 6:23 8:8 12:7 (5)
<b>\$200</b> 11:10	adjustment 14:1	anything 15:24	belief 10:12	cannot 11:14
<b>\$20000</b> 10:10	administrative 3:14 5:9	<b>apply</b> 4:13	believe 13:22	cannot 11.14
<b>\$30000</b> 14:18 <b>\$370000</b> 15:1	advisory 9:21	approximately 14:18	<b>bergamini</b> 8:16,17,19 16:23 17:10 (5)	12:21,25 16:8,16 (6)
\$65 11:11	affairs 13:9	<b>april</b> 1:13 3:4 18:19	best 12:1	capa 3:16
<b>&amp;</b> 12:12	<b>affecting</b> 6:8 9:5 14:19	arrona 2:6 8:13,15 13:4 (4)	better 10:7 14:22	capital 6:17 12:11 14:24
<b>2nd</b> 3:5	affiliation 8:7	artificial 9:9 13:1	beyond 10:3	captures 13:22
<b>502g</b> 6:7	after 6:3 7:25 15:13	ask 8:10	bic 2:5,7 12:23	cardboard 4:14 11:1,10
<b>9th</b> 18:19	afternoon 8:4,18	associates 12:12	<b>bic's</b> 9:20	carefully 5:23
about 11:11	13:7		biggest 10:19	7:21
12:4,14 accept 17:18	agency 2:4 3:8	association 9:19 17:6	<b>bill</b> 12:12	carter 5:16
_	ago 11:9,13	attended 5:18	<b>blood</b> 18:14	carters 4:11 5:2
acceptable 11:1	<b>allowed</b> 1:6 3:20	attending 3:11	board 9:21	carters' 6:14
accepting 7:13	also 3:24 5:5 7:2	authorized 4:17	boards 4:2	carting 8:21
	9:14 12:23 13:24 (6)	available 7:17	borrowed 15:16	<b>cause</b> 11:19
accurately 8:9	am 3:7 18:12,15	away 12:1	<b>both</b> 4:5 6:3	causing 11:17
achieve 5:8	amend 4:9	background 4:16	<b>burden</b> 13:19	ceo 8:19
acknowledge 17:7	amendment 1:5	balance 5:8	bureau 6:13	certain 6:16
act 3:15	3:19 amount 14:8,24	bales 10:25	<b>business</b> 1:4 3:9 7:16 9:5 15:12	certainly 16:11
<b>action</b> 8:16,19,21		banned 10:23	17:19 (6)	certification 18:2
18:14 (4)	analyst 12:10	base 4:25	businesses 16:10	<b>certify</b> 18:6,12
<b>additional</b> 9:1 10:7,9 13:16	andrew 13:8	based 6:20	<b>buy</b> 15:17,18	challenges 9:23
10.7,5 13.10		<b>baseu</b> 0.20	buy 13.17,10	chancinges 7.23

<b>changaris</b> 9:16,16 17:4	<b>collecting</b> 3:20 13:12	<b>connections</b> 13:6,10,11	<b>customers</b> 6:9 16:1	economics 12:20 15:5
9:10,10 17:4	13:12	15.0,10,11	10.1	15.5
change 11:21	collection 5:16	consequence	data 6:13,16	effect 7:25
12:19 14:9,12,19	12:18 16:4	9:10 12:17	,	
16:5 (6)			day 13:1 18:19	elected 4:1
	comments 3:17	consequences		
changed 10:1	7:10,13,18,22	16:2	days 7:25	else 15:24
4.05	17:18 (6)	7.01	1 11 24	17:11,14
<b>changes</b> 4:25 7:7,11,23 16:3,20	commercial 4:21	consider 7:21	<b>deal</b> 11:24	email 3:25
(6)	5:3 10:5	contained 6:14	decline 11:18	eman 3.23
(0)	14:4,9,13,15 (7)	Contained 0.14	decime 11.16	emissions
changing 12:20	11.1,5,15,15 (7)	containers 15:17	deems 7:23	14:5,22
	commission 1:4			,
chapter 9:17	3:10,12,22	contamination	demand 11:24	encountered
_	4:8,17,24	11:3		13:25
<b>charge</b> 4:12,20	5:9,13,23		department 6:12	
6:23	6:7,15,18,20	continue 7:15	1100	ended 13:2
ah awas 3 5 1 5	7:2,12,17,20,23	17:17	different 11:16	
<b>charged</b> 5:15 12:17 16:4	12:2 16:15 17:8	2011	dimentary 2.7 9.12	enlightened 9:13
12:17 10:4	(22)	contrary 10:12	<b>director</b> 2:7 8:12 9:17	environmental
charges 5:7	commission's	conveying 9:22	9.17	8:20 12:10
charges 3.7	3:18 4:6 8:12	conveying 5.22	discuss 12:13	0.20 12.10
china	13:21 (4)	<b>copy</b> 3:25 7:18		equipment 14:25
10:18,18,22			discussion 9:4	15:3
12:4,13 (5)	commonly 3:15	corresponding		
	11:9	15:22	disparity 11:25	essentially 14:13
<b>china's</b> 11:19	4.2	0.1.10.0	1 1 5.17	4.11.1.1.5.0
choice 9:12	community 4:2	<b>cost</b> 9:1 10:9 13:16,23,24 14:17	disposal 5:17	established 5:9
choice 9.12	companies 9:2	15:1,9 (8)	disposing 3:20	establishments
<b>church</b> 1:100 3:6	13:17	13.1,7 (8)	disposing 5.20	5:4
		costs 6:17 10:13	disseminated	
city 1:3 3:9,14,23	company 8:21		3:24	evaluated 5:24
4:1,3,10 5:4 6:6	10:6 13:12 14:6	<b>counsel</b> 2:4 3:8		
7:15 8:1,23 9:17	15:6 (5)		distorts 9:10	even 10:15
10:2 13:10,14,19	112	country 11:23	1010	
16:7,18 (19)	concern 14:3	1.20.0.0	dominant 10:19	eventually 11:24
city's 10:4 14:3	concorning 7:10	<b>court</b> 1:20 8:8	drastically 10:1	every 14:15 15:5
city 8 10.4 14.3	concerning 7:10	critical 9:25	urastically 10.1	16:7,17 (4)
clean 10:8	concerns 10:4	Citical 7.23	due 13:18	10.7,17 (7)
	Jones III	<b>cubic</b> 6:24,25	10.10	everyone 8:18
clearly 8:8	concludes 7:6	,	duly 18:8	13:7
·		current 6:22		
close 7:16	conducting 3:12		earlier 17:3,8	evidence 5:24
17:15,19		currently 16:13	1 0 14	
alogad 17:20	conference 3:5	0.11	<b>echo</b> 9:14	excessive 5:6
<b>closed</b> 17:20	12:8	<b>customer</b> 9:11 14:15	economic 9:8	executive 2:4 3:8
collect 14:7	connection 7:19	17.13	economic 9.0	Executive 2.4 3.8
Concer 11./	Connection 7.17			

exist 16:10,13	further 12:7	13:19	industry 5:20	last 10:3 14:1
expanded 16:23	18:12 garbage 15:9	<b>having</b> 10:9 12:5 14:17	6:9,19 7:5 9:6,13,23 13:25 14:20	lastly 4:4
expenses	Saivage 13.7	xT.1	16:7,16,18,21 (13)	latest 15:2
15:12,14,21	general 10:11	hearing 1:7		
face 13:19	generate 15:25	3:3,11,13,16 4:16 5:14,18,21 6:2,4	industry's 12:20	laudable 16:2
facing 9:1 13:16 16:21	<b>given</b> 18:10	7:8,20 8:24 13:15 14:3 17:16,20 (18)	instead 11:18 instituted 10:18	law 14:12,20,23 lawyers 16:9
10.21	glass 4:15 14:16	held 5:14	instituted 10.18	lawyers 10.9
<b>factors</b> 6:8,10			integrity 1:4 3:10	learn 12:4,15
<b>fair</b> 5:1	<b>go</b> 7:24	hereby 18:6	interest	least 10:10 12:22
feel 9:7	<b>goals</b> 9:12,23 10:11	herein 18:8	15:16,18,21	16:24
fiberbased 10:21	<b>going</b> 11:6	hereunto 18:18	interested 4:4 5:20 18:16	led 14:11
		hoffman 12:9		left 15:13
filed 6:15	<b>good</b> 3:2 8:17 13:7 15:7 (4)	hour 12:14	international 10:16	less 9:11,11
financial 6:14	google 12:3	i'd 14:2	interviewed	like 9:14,24 14:2
first 8:15 10:4			12:11	16:17 17:6,12 (6)
<b>five</b> 8:11	government 13:9	i'm 8:19 13:8	into 7:24	<b>limit</b> 8:10
11VC 0.11	great 11:25	i've 13:20	mtv /.24	MIIII 6.10
<b>fleet</b> 15:3			investment 9:11	limited 6:11
floor 3:5	greater 5:10	imagine 16:7	14:24	listen 12:7
	greatly 16:22	impact 12:4,13	issue 7:7 10:4,15	
flourishing 16:12	9.20			local 4:1 14:20
<b>forces</b> 11:17	group 8:20	importer 10:20	issues 9:25 14:2	long 10:18 12:14
	hairdressers 16:9	include 6:10	its 6:9,20 7:20	
forth 3:14 18:8	half 12:14	including 5:25	january 10:22	looking 12:16
forward 12:16	Hall 12.14	10:24 16:8	January 10.22	made 6:1 7:2
<b>c</b> 0.4	hand 18:19		julia 1:20 18:4	14:12
frames 9:4	handling 1:6	increase 6:21 11:24 12:21 16:22	just 12:8 17:6	mainly 13:18
friday 12:9		(4)		
fuel 15.7	hardly 9:12	:managed 12:10	labor 6:13,13	maintenance
<b>fuel</b> 15:7	has 5:23 6:7	increased 13:18 14:8	landfills 11:6	15:10
<b>full</b> 8:6	7:2,12 9:7			major 14:2
fundamental	10:6,17,18 13:2,25 14:6,10 (12)	increases 6:16 13:23,24	landscape 10:2	make 7:17.22
15:20	14.0,10 (12)	13.23,24	language 7:3	make 7:17,22
	hauler 8:22	index 6:11		manage 10:8
<b>fundamentals</b> 9:5	haulers 4:19 6:23	indications 11:20	largest 8:21	manager 2:5 3:9

13:9	mixed 11:6,12	17:13,14 18:15 (6)	<b>p</b> 2:2,2	11:19 12:5 (4)
managers 4:2	monday 3:4	nor 16:12	<b>paper</b> 4:14 10:24 11:1,6,12 (5)	posted 4:5
mandate 10:5 14:4	money 15:13,16	notary 18:4	parent 8:20	<b>pounds</b> 6:24 7:1
many 11:5	monthly 10:9 14:17	<b>noted</b> 17:22	participants 9:6	present 7:8
march 3:24	moore 12:12,12	<b>now</b> 8:13 14:16 17:15,20 (4)	parties 4:4 5:20	previous 11:15
market 2:5 3:8 10:17 11:7,8,17	more 10:13,15 15:17,18 (4)	nyc 2:5,7 4:6	18:13 parts 11:5	previously 4:23 11:3
13:2 (7)	moreover 16:19	obvious 12:16	parts 11.3	<b>price</b> 6:11 9:9 11:11 15:25 (4)
markets 9:10 11:16,23	<b>morning</b> 3:2 8:3	<b>occ</b> 11:9	past 12:8	prices 12:17 16:3
12:4,11,14 (6)	moss 13:5,7,8	october 5:14 6:1 8:24 10:3 13:15	<b>patrick</b> 2:4 3:7	prior 6:3
marriage 18:15 material 14:8	move 11:14	officials 4:1	<b>pay</b> 15:6,15,19,24 16:1	<b>private</b> 4:11 5:2,16 6:14 8:22
materials 10:8	movements 11:15	one 9:10 12:16	(5)	(5)
matter 18:17	must 4:24 11:1	17:14	payments 15:21	<b>problem</b> 9:8 11:7
<b>maximum</b> 1:5 3:19 4:11,18,23,25	12:19 16:5 (4) my 3:7 8:18 10:3	only 13:11,23 15:24	per 6:23,24,25,25 11:10,12 (6)	<b>procedure</b> 3:15 5:10
5:15 6:22 (8)	13:8 18:19 (5)	<b>operating</b> 6:17 13:24	percent 4:13 6:21 11:2,4 12:22	proceedings 3:1
may 7:8 11:24	name 3:7 8:6,18 13:8 (4)	oral 6:1 7:8	15:15 16:24 (7)	<b>process</b> 5:12,25 10:13
media 4:3 meet 11:1 14:21	national 9:18	order 8:4	phase 16:15	producer 6:11
meet 11:1 14:21 member 9:20	17:5 near 11:21	organic 4:14	plain 7:3 plastic 14:16	products 10:20,21
members 6:18	necessary 7:24	organizations 4:3	plastics 4:15	profound 10:16
8:14 12:2	need 9:7	other 4:4 5:20 15:11,12 16:17 (5)	10:21,25	proposed 3:18,23
merits 8:25 metal 4:15	new 1:3,15,15 3:9,25 4:10 5:4	others 11:23	player 10:19 please 8:6 9:3	4:5 6:21 7:7,10,14 16:22 (8)
michael 12:9	6:6 8:22 9:17 10:2,5,17	out 16:15	plenty 12:15	proposing 4:9
middleclass 15:8	13:10,14,19 15:1 16:6 18:5 (19)	outcome 18:16	pm 1:2 17:22	protecting 5:5
midterm 11:22	next 13:5	outfitted 15:2 over 12:5 15:3,13	policies 10:17	provide 5:2 provided 5:24
minutes 8:11	no 11:8,20 15:23	UVCI 12.3 13.3,13	policy 2:7 8:12	6:17

nuovides 5.10	warraline 5:17	magness 10.5 14.4	some 14.14 15.6	gama 5:21 7:2
provides 5:10	recycling 5:17 9:18	respect 10:5 14:4	same 14:14 15:6	some 5:21 7:2
<b>public</b> 1:7 3:3,17 8:14 10:12 11:19	10:2,6,12,13,16 12:3,13,19	response 17:13	scotti 2:4 3:2,7 17:1,11,14 (6)	speak 8:3,5,7
12:3 18:4 (8)	14:5,10,13,14 16:5	responsibility		speaker 8:15
publication 7:14	17:5 (16)	9:22	second 10:15	13:5
	refer 9:3	responsible 9:2	section 6:6	speros 1:20 18:4
publicly 13:12	referred 3:15	13:17	seeing 17:14	standard 11:2,4
published 3:22	17:3	restaurants 16:9		
6:12 7:25	reflect 12:19 16:6	restraint 9:9 13:2	sent 13:20	standardization 5:11
purpose 3:16			separate 14:16	
pursuant 3:13	refuse 4:14	return 5:1	service 10:10	<b>state</b> 8:6 18:5
5:13	regarding 3:19	revenue	16:1,18	stated 16:23
<b>put</b> 10:6 14:6,23	regularity 5:12	15:15,22,23,25 (4)	services 5:3,6	statement 7:9
		review 6:20 7:21	12:10 16:13 (4)	8:10,11
putrescible 4:20	regulatory 13:18,23 16:3,20	9:4 12:24 13:22 (5)	set 3:13 4:17	statements
radical 11:18	(4)		18:8,19 (4)	6:1,2,15
raise 4:10	related 9:25	reviewed 6:7	several 4:3 12:1	states 11:5
rate 4:13 8:25 9:7	18:13	revisions 7:3	share 9:21 10:11	statistics 6:13
12:21,25 14:1	relating 5:15	road 10:7 14:7		statistics 0.13
16:8,16 (8)	6:16 7:4	ron 8:16,18 13:4	<b>shift</b> 11:18 12:5	steve 9:16 17:4
rates 1:5 3:19	relevant 6:8	16:23 17:3 (5)	signature 18:22	<b>steve's</b> 17:7
4:11,18,23,25 5:15 6:22 7:4 (9)	reminder 17:17	<b>room</b> 3:6	signed 8:5	stifel 12:11
ratesetting 5:12	removal 4:12,20 5:3,17 (4)	rule 4:17 7:3,7,11,14 (5)	simple 12:3	<b>still</b> 16:10
reasonable 5:1			simply 11:13	street 1:100 3:6
receive 3:17	rent 15:11	<b>rules</b> 3:18,23,25 4:5,9 5:13 6:6	14:22	subject 16:8
received 7:19	reporter 1:20 8:8	7:24 14:10 (9)	since 7:14 10:3 13:25	submissions 7:22
17:8	representatives	rules' 4:6		
record 3:23	5:19	run 15:12	situation 11:21	submit 7:9
7:9,15 8:1 17:15	require 15:21		slowly 8:7	submitted 5:22
18:10 (6)	requirements	safety 15:3	soft 11:7	6:3
recyclable 4:21	3:13 16:6	said 18:10		such 10:20
10:8,20 14:8 (4)	requires	salaries 15:7,8	sold 11:10,12	sufficient 15:23
recyclables 13:13	14:21,23		solid 8:22 9:2	
15:10	residential 14:14	salvador 2:6 8:13	10:14,23 12:18 13:13,17 16:4 (8)	summary 4:8 7:6
			. ,	

supply 11:25	today 7:16 11:11,13 16:14	unlike 11:15	<b>we'd</b> 17:6	<b>would</b> 4:13 9:14,24 12:2,21,23
support 9:15	17:9,19 (6)	unreasonable 5:6	we're 8:20	16:10,11,12,19 17:12 (11)
surprisingly 14:10	today's 3:3,11 7:19 9:24 14:3 (5)	unsorted 10:24	website 7:20	written 5:22 6:2
sworn 18:8	ton 11:9,11,12	<b>until</b> 13:1	websites 4:7	7:10,13,18 9:15 17:18 (7)
technology 15:2	trade 1:6 3:21	unworkable 11:2	weight 4:18	x 1:2,8
terms 9:5	4:12,19 5:2,17,19 6:9,19,22 7:4 9:20	<b>up</b> 8:14	welcome 3:3	yard 6:24,25
	(12)	updated 13:20	when 8:5 13:1	
testified 5:21 8:25 13:16	traded 13:12	upended 10:17	15:1	year 11:9,12
testify 8:14 17:12	traditional 4:21	urge 12:2,21,23	where 12:9 16:7	years 12:1,24
testimony 5:22	12:24	16:15,19 (5)	whereas 11:3	yes 16:11
9:3,15,24 10:3 13:21 17:2,4,7	transcribe 8:9	us 6:12 14:18	whereof 18:18	york 1:3,15,15 3:9 4:1,10 5:4 6:6
18:7,10 (11)	transcript 18:9	used 15:15	which 8:4 14:20	8:23 9:17 10:2 13:10,14,19 18:5
than 10:13	transparency 5:11	using 5:5	<b>while</b> 5:4 10:11	(15)
thank 3:10 8:17 13:3,4 16:25	transporting	variety 16:13	<b>who</b> 5:2 8:3 12:11 17:12 (4)	you'll 12:15
17:1,10,21 (8)	13:13	<b>various</b> 6:8 10:24	<b>whom</b> 5:21	<b>z</b> 2:4 3:7
them 7:23	treated 16:17	version 13:20	whose 18:7	<b>zero</b> 11:13
these 5:5 9:12 11:15 15:14,20	tremendous 14:23	via 3:25	will	<b>205</b> 3:4
16:2 (6)	truck 10:10	virtually 16:17	7:15,17,21,24 8:2,13 11:21	<b>219</b> 17:21,22
those 5:5 6:10 8:2 16:10 (4)	14:18,24	volume 4:19	17:15,17 (9)	<b>2007</b> 14:21
three 10:6	trucks 10:7 14:7,21	wages 15:23	wish 8:3	<b>2016</b> 4:24
through 7:16	15:1,4,10,17,18 (8)	wait 12:24	<b>within</b> 18:5,9	<b>2017</b> 5:14 6:1 8:24 13:15 (4)
17:18	true 18:9	waste 1:6 3:21 4:12,14,19,22	without 15:22	<b>2018</b> 1:13 3:4,24
throughout 5:25	two 9:25 12:24	5:2,18,19 6:9,19,22 7:4 8:22	witness 18:7,11,18	10:22 18:19 (5)
thus 12:20	types 10:23	9:2,18,20 10:14,23 12:18	workers' 15:7	<b>2020</b> 14:22
time 3:4 11:16 17:21,22 (4)	typically 15:14	13:6,9,11,13,17 14:21 15:5	working 15:8	
tipping 15:9	understand 8:9	16:5,16 17:5 (30)	world 12:6	
title 4:9 6:5	united 11:5	way 15:8,24 18:15	worth 11:13	
1.7 0.3	umicu 11.3	10.13	WOILH 11.13	