Commission Meeting NYC - Business Integrity Commission May 17, 2022

1	
2	X
3	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
4	BUSINESS INTEGRITY COMMISSION
5	
6	COMMISSION MEETING
7	X
8	
9	Held remotely via Web-Ex Videoconference
10	Web-Ex Videoconference
11	
12	May 17, 2022
13	11:02 a.m.
14	
15	
16	Reported by:
17	Elbia Baires
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	DAVID FELDMAN, Deputy Commissioner of Legal Affairs and General Counsel
5	
6	ELIZABETH CROTTY, Commissioner and Chair of the Business Integrity Commission
7	
8	JOCELYN STRAUBER, Department of Investigation Commissioner
9	
L0	VILDA VERA MAYUGA, Department of Consumer and Worker Protection Commissioner
L1	
12 13	GREGORY ANDERSON, Department of Sanitation Deputy Commissioner (Designee)
L 3 L 4	ANDREW SCHWARTZ, Department of Small Business
15	Services, Deputy Commissioner (Designee)
16	MATTHEW HYLAND, Inspector, New York City Police
Department (Designee) 17	Department (Designee)
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

We

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. FELDMAN: Good morning. are on the record. Today is Tuesday, May 17, 2022. The time is 11:02 a.m. Welcome to today's meeting of the New York City Business Integrity Commission. We are conducting this meeting via Webex. This is a public meeting which was duly noticed for today's date, at 11:00 o'clock a.m., along with the Webex information and dial-in information for the meeting.

This meeting is being recorded and the recording and meeting minutes will be posted on BIC's website in a timely manner following the completion of this meeting.

I am David Feldman, Deputy Commissioner of Legal Affairs and General Counsel for the Commission. am joined by the following Commission members: Elizabeth Crotty, Commissioner and Chair of the Business Integrity Commission. Department of Investigation Commissioner, Jocelyn

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Strauber. Department of Consumer and
Worker Protection Commissioner, Vilda
Vera Mayuga. Department of Sanitation
Deputy Commissioner, Gregory Anderson,
representing DSNY Commissioner Jessica
Tisch. Department of Small Business
Services, Deputy Commissioner Andrew
Schwartz, representing SBS
Commissioner Kevin Kim, and Inspector
Matthew Hyland of the New York City
Police Department, representing Police
Commissioner Keechant Sewell.

Thank you for being here today.

Today, the Commission will consider two matters. The first matter is a proposed rule amendment concerning the maximum rates that a trade waste removal business may charge for the collection, removal disposal or recycling of trade waste.

The second matter is a proposed denial recommendation by the Commission staff in connection with the registration application.

Before I turn to these two
matters, I would like to acknowledge
that this is the first Commission
meeting for a number of the
Commissioners present today, including
Commissioner and Chair Crotty who
would like to say a few words.

MS. CROTTY: I just want to thank everyone for getting on. The Commissioners and designees alike. I look forward to meeting you all in person, and to continue our working relationship that has preceded most of us here and some of us here to working together for the City of New York. So thanks so much, and I'll turn it back to Dave Feldman.

MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Crotty.

The Commission will now consider the proposed rule.

The proposed rule is an amendment to Section 5-02 of Title 17, Chapter One, Subchapter E of the rules

of the City of New York, relating to the maximum rates allowed for the collection, removal, disposal or recycling of trade waste.

The rule amendment increases the current maximum rates that trade waste haulers can charge by nine percent.

This increase recognizes the fact that operating costs have risen significantly for trade waste haulers since the last rate cap increase, while also recognizing the difficult economic situation for many businesses in New York City, particularly small businesses. The increase would result in maximum rates of \$22.63 per cubic yard, and \$14.85 cents per 100 pounds.

On April 28, 2022, the

Commission held a public hearing on
the proposed rule amendment. The

Commission considered all comments
received on the proposed rule
amendment.

Do any of the Commissioners have

1 2 any questions or comments regarding 3 the proposed rule amendment? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. FELDMAN: The Commission will now vote as to whether to adopt 6 7 the proposed rule amendment. A vote 8 of "yes" is a vote to adopt the rule amendment. A vote of "no" is a vote 9 10 not to adopt the rule amendment. If 11 the Commission votes yes --12 MS. STRAUBER: I actually do 13 have a question. 14 MR. FELDMAN: Yes? 15 MS. STRAUBER: Sorry. I was 16 unmuting myself. 17 I've -- obviously, this is my first meeting. So forgive me if I'm 18 19 asking something that's obvious. 20 I've reviewed some of the statements 21 at the hearing and some of the 22 questions raised by members of the 23 industry with respect to the price 24 increases that they've seen over the past four years, relative to the 25

increase proposed here. And I would just like to better understand, if you wouldn't mind explaining a little bit about the basis for the 9 percent, as opposed to let's say the higher amounts that were being proposed in some of the hearing comments.

MR. FELDMAN: Certainly. Thank you, Commissioner Strauber.

Yeah, as described at our CAPA hearing, the Commission takes a number of factors into account when establishing the rate cap, those factors are set out in Section 502(g) of Title 17 of our rules. They do include, as you pointed out, the producer price index that relates to the solid waste industry. And the other costs that a number of industry representatives brought up.

Section 5-02 also requires that the Commission consider any relevant factor affecting the trade waste business, trade waste

industry or its customers. And the Commission is to assess a fair and reasonable return to licensees and the protection of those using the services of such licensees from excessive or unreasonable charges.

So taking those factors

together, taking all of the comments

that were received, all of the

testimony from the CAPA hearing and

the other factors, it was determined

that the 9 percent increase was

appropriate at this time.

I would also point out that although the BIC is required to hold a rate cap hearing every odd numbered year before October, that is not a limitation on the number of increases that can occur, nor is it a requirement that an increase occur after such a hearing. And in fact, there was not an increase after the 2019 rate cap hearing.

So if there are compelling

reasons to revisit the increase that we are voting on today, that is something that either industry representatives on the one hand can bring to BIC's attention or customers on the other hand can also bring to BIC's attention. And we evaluate it on an ongoing basis.

MS. STRAUBER: Thank you.

MR. FELDMAN: Thank you,

Commissioner Strauber.

Are there any other questions or comments regarding the proposed rule amendment?

(No response.)

MR. FELDMAN: Okay. The

Commission will now vote as to whether

to adopt the proposed rule amendment.

A vote of "yes" is a vote to adopt the

rule amendment. A vote of "no" is a

vote not to adopt the rule amendment.

If the Commission votes yes to adopt

the rule amendment, the final rules

will be published and will go into

1	
2	effect 30 days after publication.
3	Is there a motion from the
4	Commissioners to adopt the proposed
5	rule amendment?
6	MR. ANDERSON: So moved.
7	MR. FELDMAN: Is there a second?
8	MS. MAYUGA: Second.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
10	I will now be calling on each
11	Commissioner and designee to collect
12	votes.
13	Commissioner Crotty?
14	MS. CROTTY: Yes.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Commissioner
16	Strauber?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. FELDMAN: I'm sorry, I
19	couldn't hear that.
20	MS. STRAUBER: Yes.
21	Sorry. Mute button again.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Mayuga?
24	MS. MAYUGA: Yes.
25	MR. FELDMAN: Deputy

1 2 Commissioner Anderson? 3 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. MR. FELDMAN: Deputy 4 5 Commissioner Schwartz? MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes. 6 7 MR. FELDMAN: Inspector Hyland? 8 MR. HYLAND: Yes. 9 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Let the 10 record reflect that the vote is unanimous. 11 The Commission will now consider 12 13 an application, which the Commission staff has recommended be denied. 14 Zumbas Builder's Inc. submitted an 15 original application for an exemption 16 17 from the Commission's trade waste 18 licensing requirement to operate as a 19 trade waste business solely engaged in 20 the removal of waste materials 21 resulting from building, demolition, 22 construction, alteration and excavation. Also known as a Class 2 23 24 Registration. 25 The applicant was served with a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

notice of the grounds to recommend the denial of its application. applicant was advised that it had 10 business days to submit a sworn written response to the Commission. The Commission did not receive a response from the applicant.

On May 11th, 2022, we e-mailed the commission members who recommended denial decision regarding the application at issue. There have been no material changes made to the recommended denial decision since then.

The staff attorney in charge of the denial recommendation is Executive Agency Counsel Spiros Moustakas. Commission's staff has recommended denial of the application of Zumbas Builder's Inc. based on the following grounds as fully set forth in the staff's recommendation.

One, Maria Zumba, a principal of the applicant failed to provide sworn

1 2 testimony to the Commission when 3 directed to do so. Two, Andres Gonzalez is an 4 undisclosed principal of the 5 applicant. 6 7 Three, the applicant's 8 predecessor company and Andres Gonzalez were recently convicted of 9 10 crimes related to larceny. 11 Four, the applicant has 12 repeatedly engaged in unregistered 13 trade waste removal activity. 14 And five, the applicant failed 15 to pay civil penalties for which 16 judgments have been entered. 17 Do any of the Commissioners or 18 designees have any questions or 19 comments regarding this application? 20 (No response.) 21 MR. FELDMAN: The Commission 22 will now consider the recommended denial. The Commission members will 23 24 vote as to whether or not to adopt the 25 staff's recommendation to deny the

1	
2	application.
3	A vote of "yes" is a vote to
4	adopt the staff's denial. A vote of
5	"no" is a vote not to adopt the
6	recommendation.
7	Is there a motion from the
8	Commissioners or designees to adopt
9	the staff's recommendation?
10	MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved.
11	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
12	And is there a second?
13	MS. STRAUBER: Second.
14	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
15	I will be calling on each
16	Commissioner and designee to collect
17	votes.
18	Commissioner Crotty?
19	I think you might be on mute,
20	Commissioner.
21	MS. CROTTY: Yes.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Strauber?
24	MS. STRAUBER: Yes.
25	MR. FELDMAN: Commissioner

1	
2	Mayuga?
3	MS. MAYUGA: Yes.
4	MR. FELDMAN: Deputy
5	Commissioner Anderson?
6	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
7	MR. FELDMAN: Deputy
8	Commissioner Schwartz?
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.
10	MR. FELDMAN: And Inspector
11	Hyland?
12	MR. HYLAND: Yes.
13	MR. FELDMAN: Let the record
14	reflect that the vote is unanimous.
15	That concludes the matters
16	before the Commission. The denial
17	decision will note each Commissioner
18	and designee's vote today.
19	Thank you to the Commission
20	members for their participation and to
21	the members of the Commission staff
22	for their hard work on these matters.
23	Thank you also to any members of the
24	public who attended this meeting
25	today.

```
1
                       We are off the record at
 2
                11:14 a.m. Thank you.
 3
                    (TIME NOTED: 11:14 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5	:SS
6	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
7	
8	I, Elbia Baires, a Notary Public within
9	and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:
LO	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter, and that the within
L2	transcript is a true record of such proceedings to
L3	the best of my ability.
L4	I further certify that I am not related
L5	to any of the parties to this action by blood or
L6	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in
L7	the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
L9	my hand this 25th day of May, 2022.
20	
21	
22	Ela (B.)
1 2	Elbia Merino (Baires)
23 24	
24 25	
ري	