

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT  
APRIL 2009**

**STATISTICAL REPORT: MONTHLY ACTIVITY – MARCH 2009**

**A. CASES REACHING DISPOSITION**

FULL INVESTIGATIONS	143	
TRUNCATED INVESTIGATIONS	354	
MEDIATIONS	7	
MEDIATION ATTEMPTED	9	
SUB-TOTAL	504	
OTHER CASES CLOSED	100 <sup>1</sup>	
<b>GRAND-TOTAL</b>		<b>604</b>

**B. INTAKE**

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD		781
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT'S OFFICE (OCD)		805
OTHERS		160

**C. DOCKET**

TOTAL CASELOAD AS OF MARCH 31, 2009		4,342
TOTAL CASELOAD AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2009		4,165
INCREASE IN TOTAL CASELOAD		177

**D. AGE OF CCRB CASES BASED ON DATE OF REPORT**

	# COUNT THIS MONTH	% OF TOTAL	# COUNT LAST MONTH	% OF TOTAL	THIS MTH. vs. LAST MTH.	% CHANGE
CASES 0-4 MONTHS	2,642	60.8%	2,581	62.0%	61	2.4%
CASES 5-7 MONTHS	665	15.3%	623	15.0%	42	6.7%
CASES 8 MONTHS	187	4.3%	185	4.4%	2	1.1%
CASES 9 MONTHS	169	3.9%	158	3.8%	11	7.0%
CASES 10 MONTHS	150	3.5%	141	3.4%	9	6.4%
CASES 11 MONTHS	132	3.0%	106	2.5%	26	24.5%
CASES 12 MONTHS	91	2.1%	91	2.2%	0	0.0%
CASES 13 MONTHS	76	1.8%	81	1.9%	-5	-6.2%
CASES 14 MONTHS	76	1.8%	48	1.2%	28	58.3%
CASES 15 MONTHS	39	0.9%	44	1.1%	-5	-11.4%
CASES 16 MONTHS	29	0.7%	32	0.8%	-3	-9.4%
CASES 17 MONTHS	20	0.5%	18	0.4%	2	11.1%
CASES 18 MONTHS	18	0.4%	16	0.4%	2	12.5%
CASES OVER 18	48	1.1%	41	1.0%	7	17.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,342</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,165</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>4.2%</b>

<sup>1</sup> One-hundred cases that were originally categorized as CCRB cases prior to March 1, 2009 were referred to OCD, IAB, another agency, or closed as duplicates.

E. AGE OF CCRB CASES BASED ON DATE OF OCCURRENCE

	# COUNT THIS MONTH	% OF TOTAL	# COUNT LAST MONTH	% OF TOTAL	THIS MTH. vs. LAST MTH.	% CHANGE
CASES 0-4 MONTHS	2,500	57.6%	2,441	58.6%	59	2.4%
CASES 5-7 MONTHS	678	15.6%	641	15.4%	37	5.8%
CASES 8 MONTHS	196	4.5%	183	4.4%	13	7.1%
CASES 9 MONTHS	172	4.0%	174	4.2%	-2	-1.1%
CASES 10 MONTHS	167	3.8%	133	3.2%	34	25.6%
CASES 11 MONTHS	122	2.8%	129	3.1%	-7	-5.4%
CASES 12 MONTHS	114	2.6%	98	2.4%	16	16.3%
CASES 13 MONTHS	79	1.8%	102	2.4%	-23	-22.5%
CASES 14 MONTHS	95	2.2%	51	1.2%	44	86.3%
CASES 15 MONTHS	45	1.0%	46	1.1%	-1	-2.2%
CASES 16 MONTHS	33	0.8%	36	0.9%	-3	-8.3%
CASES 17 MONTHS	25	0.6%	28	0.7%	-3	-10.7%
CASES 18 MONTHS	24	0.6%	21	0.5%	3	14.3%
CASES OVER 18	68	1.6%	62	1.5%	6	9.7%
UNDETERMINED	24	0.6%	20	0.5%	4	20.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,342</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,165</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>4.2%</b>

F. STATUS OF OPEN CASES

	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION	2,658	61.2%
MEDIATION UNIT	105	2.4%
IN CASE MANAGEMENT UNIT	511	11.8%
PENDING BOARD REVIEW	1,068	24.6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,342</b>	<b>100%</b>

List of Cases 18 months and older, by date of incident, as of March 31, 2009

Number	Date of report	Date of incident	Difference between report and incident (days)	SOL Month	Month	Status
1	3/28/2007	3/24/2007	4.52	Sep-08	24	case re-opened
2	4/20/2007	4/16/2007	4.60	Oct-08	23	case re-opened
3	9/14/2007	9/14/2007	0.00	Mar-09	18	case re-opened
4	6/4/2007	5/28/2007	7.67	Nov-08	22	case re-opened
5	4/19/2007	4/10/2007	9.65	Oct-08	23	case re-opened
6	7/10/2007	3/26/2007	106.00	Sep-08	24	further investigation
7	9/5/2007	9/3/2007	2.51	Mar-09	18	documents and/or officer appearance delays
8	5/23/2007	5/10/2007	13.70	Nov-08	22	documents and/or officer appearance delays
9	8/24/2007	8/22/2007	2.46	Feb-09	19	documents and/or officer appearance delays
10	7/27/2007	6/24/2007	33.63	Dec-08	21	DA hold
11	7/20/2007	6/19/2007	31.00	Dec-08	21	DA hold
12	6/25/2007	5/21/2007	35.00	Nov-08	22	DA hold
13	7/5/2006	6/13/2006	22.43	Dec-07	33	DA hold
14	11/25/2006	11/25/2006	0.00	May-08	28	DA hold
15	12/8/2006	11/30/2006	8.00	May-08	28	DA hold
16	9/22/2007	8/16/2007	37.00	Feb-09	19	DA hold
17	9/26/2007	8/19/2007	38.66	Feb-09	19	DA hold
18	9/27/2007	9/22/2007	5.60	Mar-09	18	DA hold
19	10/17/2006	9/20/2006	27.00	Mar-08	30	DA hold
20	10/18/2006	8/3/2006	76.39	Feb-08	31	DA hold
21	6/29/2006	6/17/2006	12.71	Dec-07	33	DA hold
22	6/21/2005	6/21/2005	0.00	Dec-06	45	DA hold
23	2/23/2005	2/20/2005	3.66	Aug-06	49	DA hold
24	8/14/2007	8/14/2007	0.67	Feb-09	19	DA hold
25	3/25/2009	5/6/2007	689.61	Nov-08	22	Late filing
26	1/22/2008	7/4/2007	202.38	Jan-09	20	Late filing
27	4/27/2008	2/21/2007	431.00	Aug-08	25	Late filing
28	8/27/2008	4/19/2007	496.00	Oct-08	23	Late filing
29	2/6/2008	9/12/2007	147.00	Mar-09	18	Late filing
30	12/17/2008	9/7/2007	467.00	Mar-09	18	Late filing
31	2/5/2008	7/5/2007	215.00	Jan-09	20	Late filing
32	9/12/2008	7/18/2007	422.68	Jan-09	20	Late filing
33	2/5/2008	10/18/2006	475.00	Apr-08	29	Late filing
34	5/21/2008	9/17/2007	247.60	Mar-09	18	Late filing
35	5/22/2007	5/22/2007	0.65	Nov-08	22	staff-related delays
36	6/11/2007	6/8/2007	3.43	Dec-08	21	staff-related delays
37	11/10/2006	11/9/2006	1.00	May-08	28	staff-related delays
38	8/19/2007	8/19/2007	0.00	Feb-09	19	staff-related delays
39	3/27/2007	3/23/2007	4.63	Sep-08	24	pending panel review
40	6/11/2007	6/2/2007	9.46	Dec-08	21	pending panel review
41	7/19/2007	7/15/2007	4.61	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
42	8/6/2007	7/27/2007	10.70	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
43	8/10/2007	7/17/2007	24.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
44	8/14/2007	8/10/2007	4.42	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
45	8/22/2007	8/22/2007	0.00	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
46	8/27/2007	8/22/2007	5.52	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
47	9/14/2007	9/2/2007	12.51	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
48	9/24/2007	9/24/2007	0.55	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
49	10/11/2007	9/28/2007	13.54	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
50	10/24/2007	9/13/2007	41.41	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
51	1/15/2008	9/22/2007	115.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
52	3/26/2008	4/19/2007	342.73	Oct-08	23	pending panel review
53	7/26/2007	7/26/2007	0.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
54	7/31/2007	7/2/2007	29.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
55	11/2/2007	8/6/2007	88.68	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
56	12/8/2007	7/6/2007	155.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
57	1/15/2008	8/10/2007	158.67	Feb-09	19	pending panel review

List of Cases 18 months and older, by date of incident, as of March 31, 2009

Number	Date of report	Date of incident	Difference between report and incident (days)	SOL Month	Month	Status
58	11/13/2006	11/3/2006	10.61	May-08	28	pending panel review
59	6/25/2007	3/26/2007	91.00	Sep-08	24	pending panel review
60	7/28/2007	7/25/2007	3.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
61	8/27/2007	6/22/2007	66.66	Dec-08	21	pending panel review
62	8/31/2007	8/31/2007	0.49	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
63	9/13/2006	8/1/2005	408.42	Feb-07	43	pending panel review
64	8/9/2007	8/5/2007	4.39	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
65	8/20/2007	8/17/2007	3.47	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
66	9/4/2007	9/1/2007	3.79	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
67	9/20/2007	9/18/2007	2.42	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
68	9/25/2007	7/31/2007	56.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
69	3/31/2008	9/17/2007	196.68	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
70	7/19/2007	7/1/2007	18.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
71	7/30/2007	7/28/2007	2.44	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
72	9/27/2007	9/13/2007	14.58	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
73	10/25/2007	9/23/2007	32.45	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
74	2/13/2008	8/29/2007	168.00	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
75	4/19/2007	4/15/2007	4.00	Oct-08	23	pending panel review
76	1/20/2009	9/13/2007	495.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
77	8/27/2007	8/8/2007	19.70	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
78	9/4/2007	8/28/2007	7.48	Feb-09	19	pending panel review
79	9/4/2007	9/3/2007	1.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
80	9/14/2007	9/13/2007	1.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
81	9/22/2007	9/22/2007	0.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
82	9/27/2007	9/22/2007	5.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
83	11/15/2007	1/10/2007	309.00	Jul-08	26	pending panel review
84	6/14/2007	6/14/2007	0.51	Dec-08	21	pending panel review
85	6/14/2007	6/7/2007	7.68	Dec-08	21	pending panel review
86	7/31/2007	7/31/2007	0.00	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
87	5/5/2008	9/12/2007	236.00	Mar-09	18	pending panel review
88	2/12/2009	1/1/2007	773.48	Jul-08	26	pending panel review
89	7/8/2008	7/10/2007	364.39	Jan-09	20	pending panel review
90	3/20/2007	2/24/2007	24.69	Aug-08	25	pending panel review
91	4/18/2007	4/18/2007	0.00	Oct-08	23	pending panel review
92	9/13/2007	9/12/2007	1.40	Mar-09	18	pending panel review

**CCRB COMPLAINT DATA  
MARCH 2009**

**Total Complaints and Allegations Received For Current Month  
March 2008 v. March 2009**

	March 2008		March 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	617	29.5%	721	32.3%	104	16.9%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1,113	53.2%	1,102	49.4%	-11	-1.0%
Discourtesy (D)	303	14.5%	358	16.0%	55	18.2%
Offensive Language (O)	59	2.8%	51	2.3%	-8	-13.6%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>2,092</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,232</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>606</b>		<b>781</b>		<b>175</b>	<b>28.9%</b>

**Total Complaints and Allegations Received Year-to-Date  
January-March 2008 v. January-March 2009**

	January-March 2008		January-March 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	1,895	29.7%	1,913	30.1%	18	0.9%
Abuse of Authority (A)	3,365	52.7%	3,318	52.2%	-47	-1.4%
Discourtesy (D)	943	14.8%	996	15.7%	53	5.6%
Offensive Language (O)	188	2.9%	133	2.1%	-55	-29.3%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>6,391</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6,360</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-31</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,863</b>		<b>2,080</b>		<b>217</b>	<b>11.6%</b>

**Total Complaints and Types of Allegations in Complaints Received\*  
January-March 2008 v. January-March 2009**

	January-March 2008		January-March 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	1,025	55.0%	1,141	54.9%	116	11.3%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1,300	69.8%	1,358	65.3%	58	4.5%
Discourtesy (D)	725	38.9%	819	39.4%	94	13.0%
Offensive Language (O)	165	8.9%	123	5.9%	-42	-25.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,863</b>		<b>2,080</b>		<b>217</b>	<b>11.6%</b>

\* This table presents the number of complaints containing one or more allegations in each FADO category. For example, 1,913 of the 2,080 complaints received in January-March 2009 contained one or more force allegations, while 1,358 contained one or more abuse of authority allegations.

**Updated February 2009 Complaint Statistics**

	Initially Reported February 2009	Updated February 2009 Complaint Filings	Change in number of complaints	Percent difference
<b>Total Complaints</b>	706	666	-40	-5.7%

**Updated Statistical Comparison  
Total Complaints and Allegations Received  
February 2008 v. February 2009**

	February 2008		February 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	622	30.4%	554	26.4%	-68	-10.9%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1,043	50.9%	1166	55.5%	123	11.8%
Discourtesy (D)	323	15.8%	334	15.9%	11	3.4%
Offensive Language (O)	61	3.0%	46	2.2%	-15	-24.6%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>2,049</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>607</b>		<b>666</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>9.7%</b>

**Total Complaints and Allegations Received Year-to-Date  
January-February 2008 v. January-February 2009**

	January-February 2008		January-February 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	1,278	29.7%	1,192	28.9%	-86	-6.7%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2,252	52.4%	2,216	53.7%	-36	-1.6%
Discourtesy (D)	640	14.9%	638	15.5%	-2	-0.3%
Offensive Language (O)	129	3.0%	82	2.0%	-47	-36.4%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>4,299</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,128</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-171</b>	<b>-4.0%</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,257</b>		<b>1,298</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>3.3%</b>

**Total Complaints and Types of Allegations in Complaints Received\*  
January-February 2008 v. January-February 2009**

	January-February 2008		January-February 2009		CHANGE	
	Number	Percent of total	Number	Percent of total	Number difference	Percent difference
Force (F)	694	55.2%	697	53.7%	3	0.4%
Abuse of Authority (A)	868	69.1%	884	68.1%	16	1.8%
Discourtesy (D)	491	39.1%	524	40.4%	33	6.7%
Offensive Language (O)	115	9.1%	78	6.0%	-37	-32.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,257</b>		<b>1,298</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>3.3%</b>

\* This table presents the number of complaints containing one or more allegations in each FADO category. For example, 697 of the 1,298 complaints received in January-February 2009 contained one or more force allegations, while 884 contained one or more abuse of authority allegations.

**CCRB DISPOSITION DATA  
MARCH 2009**

## CCRB Disposition of Cases

	Percents Below are Percentages of all Cases Closed after Full Investigation			
	March 2009		2009	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<b>Full Investigations - Dispositions</b>				
One or more allegations substantiated	14	9.8%	35	7.8%
Allegations exonerated, unfounded, and/or unsubstantiated	124	86.7%	389	86.8%
Department employee unidentified	5	3.5%	22	4.9%
Miscellaneous	0	0.0%	2	0.4%
Refer to IAB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

	Percents Below are Percentages of all Closed Cases			
	March 2009		2009	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<b>Alternative Dispute Resolution Closures</b>				
Mediated	7	1.4%	25	1.8%
Mediation attempted	0	0.0%	9	0.6%
<b>Total - ADR Closures</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>2.4%</b>

<b>Truncated Investigations</b>				
Complaint withdrawn	71	14.1%	172	12.2%
Complainant/victim/witness uncooperative	195	38.7%	542	38.5%
Complainant/victim/witness unavailable	85	16.9%	202	14.4%
Victim unidentified	3	0.6%	9	0.6%
<b>Total - Truncated Investigations</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>65.7%</b>

<b>Total Closed Cases</b>	<b>504</b>		<b>1,407</b>	
---------------------------	------------	--	--------------	--

## CCRB Disposition of all Allegations

	Percents Below are Percentages of all Allegations Closed after Full Investigation			
	March 2009		2009	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<b>Full Investigations - Dispositions and Disciplinary Recommendations</b>				
Substantiated - Charges	28	4.6%	80	4.2%
Substantiated - Command discipline	1	0.2%	4	0.2%
Substantiated - Instructions	4	0.7%	7	0.4%
Substantiated - No recommendations	0	0.0%	3	0.2%
Substantiated - Department employee unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Subtotal - Substantiated Allegations</b>	33	5.4%	94	5.0%
Unfounded	89	14.7%	265	14.0%
Employee exonerated	166	27.4%	551	29.2%
<b>Subtotal - Findings on the Merits</b>	288	47.5%	910	48.2%
Unsubstantiated	261	43.1%	767	40.6%
Department employee unidentified	46	7.6%	167	8.8%
Miscellaneous	11	1.8%	45	2.4%
Refer to IAB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,889</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

	Percents Below are Percentages of all Closed Allegations			
	March 2009		2009	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<b>Alternative Dispute Resolution Closures</b>				
Mediated	15	1.0%	52	1.2%
Mediation attempted	0	0.0%	21	0.5%
<b>Total - Alternate Dispute Resolution Closures</b>	15	1.0%	73	1.7%
<b>Truncated Investigations</b>				
Complaint withdrawn	163	10.6%	358	8.2%
Complainant/victim/witness uncooperative	534	34.9%	1,524	35.0%
Complainant/victim/witness unavailable	195	12.7%	447	10.3%
Victim unidentified	18	1.2%	61	1.4%
<b>Total - Truncated Investigations</b>	910	59.4%	2,390	54.9%
<b>Total Closed Allegations</b>	<b>1,531</b>		<b>4,352</b>	

**Disposition of Force Allegations, January-March 2009**

Type of Force Allegation	Substantiated		Exonerated		Unsubstantiated		Unfounded		Officer Units Number
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	
Gun fired	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Gun pointed	0	0.0%	31	59.6%	11	21.2%	6	11.5%	2
Nightstick as club (incl asp & baton)	1	2.9%	10	28.6%	13	37.1%	6	17.1%	3
Gun as club	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0
Police shield	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Vehicle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0
Other blunt instrument as a club	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0
Hit against inanimate object	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	5	41.7%	0
Chokehold	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	45.0%	8	40.0%	3
Pepper spray	0	0.0%	21	80.8%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	0
Physical force	3	0.8%	170	43.6%	117	30.0%	55	14.1%	38
Radio as club	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1
Flashlight as club	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1
Handcuffs too tight	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0
Nonlethal restraining device	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0
Animal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>48</b>

\* "Physical force" includes: dragged/pulled, pushed/shoved/threw, punch/kicked/kneed, slapped and bit.

**Disposition of Abuse of Authority Allegations, January-March 2009**

Type of Abuse of Authority Allegation	Substantiated		Exonerated		Unsubstantiated		Unfounded		Officer Un
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	
Question and/or stop	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Question	2	4.5%	29	65.9%	10	22.7%	0	0.0%	2
Stop	12	9.2%	67	51.1%	38	29.0%	2	1.5%	11
Search	17	16.0%	16	15.1%	51	48.1%	7	6.6%	12
Frisk	13	18.1%	26	36.1%	25	34.7%	1	1.4%	6
Vehicle search	13	18.6%	23	32.9%	20	28.6%	3	4.3%	8
Vehicle stop	7	15.6%	25	55.6%	5	11.1%	0	0.0%	4
Premises entered and/or searched	4	5.1%	54	68.4%	17	21.5%	1	1.3%	2
strip-searched	0	0.0%	12	26.1%	23	50.0%	8	17.4%	2
Gun Drawn	0	0.0%	8	66.7%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0
Seizure of property	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
Property damaged	0	0.0%	4	14.3%	13	46.4%	6	21.4%	5
Threat to notify ACS	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	2
Threat of force	1	1.7%	3	5.1%	41	69.5%	9	15.3%	4
Threat to damage/seize property	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	1
Threat of arrest	4	4.2%	31	32.3%	35	36.5%	13	13.5%	10
Threat of summons	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0
Retaliatory arrest	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Retaliatory summons	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0
Refusal to process civilian complaint	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1
Refusal to provide name/shield number	8	7.3%	4	3.7%	57	52.3%	23	21.1%	14
Refusal to obtain medical treatment	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	15	68.2%	3	13.6%	3
Failure to show search warrant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	1
Improper dissemination of medical info	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Other	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>91</b>

Disposition of Discourtesy Allegations, January-March 2009

Type of Discourtesy Allegation	Substantiated		Exonerated		Unsubstantiated		Unfounded		Officer Unident	
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Word	6	2.2%	1	0.4%	178	64.5%	62	22.5%	24	8.7%
Gesture	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%
Demeanor/tone	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Action	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	64.3%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8.4%</b>

Disposition of Offensive Language Allegations, January-March 2009

Type of Offensive Language Allegation	Substantiated		Exonerated		Unsubstantiated		Unfounded		Officer Unident	
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Race	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	12	52.2%	7	30.4%	2	8.7%
Ethnicity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%
Religion	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sex	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%
Sexual Orientation	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Physical Disability	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>56.8%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.3%</b>

**OFFICERS AGAINST WHOM THE CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS  
MARCH 2009**

From March 1, 2009 through March 31, 2009, the board substantiated 14 cases involving 20 identified subjects.

Precinct/Command of Assignment at Time of Incident	FADO	Reason
043 Precinct	F - Nightstick as club	Ch
Unknown	A - Vehicle search	Ch
042 Precinct	A - Search	Ch
042 Precinct	A - Vehicle search	Ch
043 Precinct	A - Frisk	Ch
043 Precinct	A - Premises entered and/or searched	Ch
071 Precinct	A - Frisk, Search, Stop	Ch
071 Precinct	A - Frisk	Co Dis
083 Precinct	A - Search, Stop, Question	Ch
083 Precinct	A - Search, Stop, Question	Ch
Narcotics Staten Island	A - Vehicle search, Search	Ch
079 Precinct	A - Frisk, Search, Stop	Ch
Narcotics Queens North	A - Premises entered and/or searched	Ch
Narcotics Queens North	A - Search; D - Word	Ch
101 Precinct	A - Threat of force	Ins
TB DT01	D - Word; O - Race	Ch
066 Precinct	A - Threat of arrest	Ch
PSA 5	A - Vehicle Searched	Ins
TB DT04	A - Threat of arrest	Ch
TB Manhattan Task Force	A - Threat of arrest, Refusal to provide name/shield number	Ins

**POLICE DEPARTMENT DISPOSITIONS OF  
SUBSTANTIATED CASES FEBRUARY 2009,  
FEBRUARY 2008, AND OCTOBER 2008**

**Police Department Dispositions of Substantiated Cases by Year of NYPD Closure\***

Police Department Disposition	Number of Officers	
	February 2009	YTD 2009
Guilty after trial	0	0
Pleaded guilty		
To charges and specifications	2	3
To charges and specifications negotiated as command discipline	1	1
To command discipline	6	12
Instructions	4	4
<b>Subtotal: Disciplinary Action</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>
Not guilty after trial	0	1
Dismissed	0	0
Department Declined to Prosecute	8	13
Statute of limitations expired	0	1
Department employee unidentified	0	0
<b>Subtotal: No Disciplinary Action</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>
Filed**	0	0
<b>Total Closed Cases</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Discipline rate</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>

\* Cases resolved by the police department in a particular year often stem from CCRB referrals from earlier years.

\*\* "Filed" is a term used when the police department is not required to take action against the subject officer because the officer has resigned or retired from the department, or has been terminated.

**Police Department Disciplinary Penalties Imposed by Year of NYPD Closure\***

Penalty	Number of Officers	
	February 2009	YTD 2009
Terminated	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or 1-year of probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss vacation time of 21 to 30 days and/or 1-year of probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	2	3
Command discipline A	0	3
Command discipline B	7	10
Instructions	4	4
Warned and admonished	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>

\* Cases resolved by the police department in a particular year often stem from CCRB referrals from earlier years.

**CCRB Disciplinary Recommendations for Officers against Whom the CCRB Substantiated Allegations 2003-2009**

Recommendation	Number of Officers						
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
No recommendation	0	3	0	3	3	3	1
Charges	316	459	310	265	226	168	42
Command discipline	64	84	49	66	54	43	2
Instructions	14	8	12	13	18	7	6
<b>Total Number of Subject Officers</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>51</b>

**Police Department Dispositions of Substantiated Cases by Year of CCRB Referral 2003-2009**

Police Department Disposition	Number of Officers						
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Guilty after trial	20	21	12	2	1	0	0
Pleaded guilty							
To charges and specifications	28	19	6	9	2	0	0
To charges and specifications negotiated as command discipline	10	13	0	1	2	0	0
To command discipline	134	189	52	68	68	20	2
Instructions	74	147	225	160	67	18	2
<b>Subtotal: Disciplinary Action</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4</b>
Not guilty after trial	52	59	25	10	4	0	0
Dismissed	38	50	14	8	0	0	0
Department Declined to Prosecute	5	18	13	56	104	32	0
Statute of limitations expired	10	9	7	5	2	1	2
Department employee unidentified	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal: No Disciplinary Action</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Cases Completed by NYPD</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Percent of Officers Disciplined in Completed NYPD Cases</b>	<b>71.5%</b>	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>75.2%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
Filed*	22	28	17	16	8	1	0
No action (pending)	0	0	0	12	43	149	45
<b>Percent of Cases Still Pending at NYPD</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>88.2%</b>
<b>Total Number of Subject Officers</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>51</b>

\* "Filed" is a term used when the police department is not required to take action against the subject officer because the officer has resigned or retired from the department, or has been terminated.

**CCRB SUBSTANTIATED CASES DISPOSITIONED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT  
FEBRUARY 2009**

From February 1, 2009 through February 28, 2009, the police department closed 15 substantiated cases involving 21 identified subject officers.

Precinct/Cmd of Assignment at Time of Incident	CCRB Panel Recommendation	PC Disposition	FADO
020 Precinct	Charges	DCT Plead Guilty - 8 vacation days	A - Retaliatory summons D - Word
077 Precinct	Charges	DCT Plead Guilty - Command Discipline "B"	A - Search
School Safety Division	Charges	DCT Plead Guilty - 8 vacation days	F - Physical force; A - Threat of force; D - Word
110 Precinct	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Threat of force
Warrant Section	Charges	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Premises entered and searched, Threat of arrest
041 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Premises entered and searched
Warrant Section	Charges	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Premises entered and searched
Warrant Section	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Premises entered and searched, Refusal to provide name and shield number
Warrant Section	Charges	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Premises entered and searched, Refusal to provide name and shield number
049 Precinct	Instructions	Instructions	F - Physical force
Housing	Command Discipline	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Stop, Premises entered and/or searched

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED CASES DISPOSITIONED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT  
FEBRUARY 2009

Precinct/Command of Assignment at Time of Incident	CCRB Panel Recommendation	PC Disposition	FADO
Housing	Command Discipline	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Premises entered and searched
Midtown North Precinct	Charges	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Search
070 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Vehicle search
070 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Vehicle search, Fris Search, Stop
Patrol Bronx Anti-Crime	Charges	Instructions	A - Vehicle search
072 Precinct	Charges	Instructions	A - Refusal to obtain medical treatment
046 Precinct	Command Discipline	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Vehicle stop
046 Precinct	Command Discipline	Department Declined to Prosecute	A - Vehicle search
060 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Refusal to process civilian complaint
041 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Premises entered and searched

REVISED FEBRUARY 2008 NYPD CLOSING REPORT\*

From February 1, 2008 through February 28, 2008, the police department closed 22 substantiated cases involving 27 identified subject officers.

Precinct/Cmd of Assignment at Time of Incident	CCRB Panel Recommendation	PC Disposition	FADO
103 Precinct	Charges	DCT - Charges dismissed	A - Refusal to provide name/shield number, Question and/or stop, Retaliatory arrest; D - V
103 Precinct	Charges	DCT - Charges dismissed	A - Question and/or stop
044 Precinct	Charges	Department Unable to Prosecute	F - Pepper spray
044 Precinct	Charges	Department Unable to Prosecute	A - Threat of force
044 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	A - Question and/or stop, Search
PSA 3	Charges	Instructions	A - Question and/or stop, Frisk
070 Precinct	Charges	Department Unable to Prosecute	A - Threat of arrest; O - Race
Traffic Manhattan Task Force	Command Discipline	Command Discipline "B"	D - Word
034 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	F - Other; D - Word
Queens North Narcotics	Charges	Command Discipline "A" <i>(originally reported as Department Unable to Prosecute)</i>	A - Vehicle stop
Queens North Narcotics	Charges	Command Discipline "A" <i>(originally reported as Department Unable to Prosecute)</i>	A - Vehicle search, Stop
Brooklyn South Narcotics	Charges	Command Discipline "A" <i>(originally reported as Department Unable to Prosecute)</i>	A - Frisk, Search, Stop

\* As indicated in italics, the NYPD determined that the dispositions provided for three cases in the original closing report for February

REVISED FEBRUARY 2008 NYPD CLOSING REPORT

Precinct/Cmd of Assignment at Time of Incident	CCRB Panel Recommendation	PC Disposition	FADO
067 Precinct	Instructions	Instructions	A - Question and/or st
			A - Refusal to provide name/shield number, TI of force, Frisk
073 Precinct	Charges	Instructions	A - Retaliatory summo Frisk, Stop
101 Precinct	Charges	Instructions	A - Retaliatory summo Question, Stop
101 Precinct	Charges	Instructions	A - Retaliatory summo Question, Stop
070 Precinct	Instructions	Department Unable to Prosecute	A - Seizure of property
115 Precinct	Command Discipline	Instructions	D - Word
Housing Special Operations	No Recommendations	Instructions	A - Vehicle Searched
			A - Refusal to provide name/shield number, Property damaged; D - Word
070 Precinct	Charges	Command Discipline "B"	F - Physical force; A - Premises entered and/or searched, Question
070 Precinct	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Question and/or st
PSA 5	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Question and/or st
PSA 5	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Question and/or st
007 Precinct	Command Discipline	Department Unable to Prosecute	A - Refusal to provide name/shield number
114 Precinct	Charges	Filed	A - Premises entered and/or searched
105 Precinct	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Refusal to process civilian complaint
070 Precinct	Instructions	Instructions	A - Seizure of property

REVISED OCTOBER 2008 NYPD CLOSING REPORT\*

From October 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008, the police department closed 8 substantiated cases involving 12 identified subject officers.

Precinct/Cmd of Assignment at Time of Incident	CCRB Panel Recommendation	PC Disposition	FADO
042 Precinct	Charges	DCT Trial Guilty – 5 vacation days	F- Physical force; A – Question, Retaliatory an
Patrol Borough Brooklyn North Anti-Crime Unit	Charges	DCT Trial - Not Guilty	A – Premised entered and/or searched
Patrol Borough Brooklyn North Anti-Crime Unit	Charges	DCT Trial - Not Guilty	A – Premised entered and/or searched
ESU	Charges	Instructions	D - Word
Housing Brooklyn – Impact Response Team	Charges	Instructions	A - Retaliatory summon stop
PSA 9	Command Discipline	Instructions	A - Stop
052 Precinct	Charges	Instructions	F – Physical force; O – Offensive language
Patrol Borough Staten Island Anti-Crime	Charges	Department unable to prosecute	A - Question
Patrol Borough Staten Island Anti-Crime	Charges	Department Unable to Prosecute	A – Vehicle search, Se
043 Precinct	Charges	Department Unable to Prosecute	F - Nightstick
PSA 1	Charges	Command Discipline “A” <i>(originally reported as Department Unable to Prosecute)</i>	A – Search, Frisk, Vehi Search
PSA 1	Charges	Command Discipline “A” <i>(originally reported as Department Unable to Prosecute)</i>	A – Search, Frisk, Vehi Search

\* As indicated in italics, the NYPD determined that the dispositions provided for two cases in the original closing report for October 2

**CCRB CASES CLOSED AS MEDIATED  
AND MEDIATION ATTEMPTED  
MARCH 2009**

**SUBJECT OFFICERS WHOSE CASES WERE CLOSED AS MEDIATED AND MEDIATION ATTEMPTED  
MARCH 2009**

From March 1, 2009 through March 31, 2009 CCRB mediated 7 cases involving 8 identified subject officers:

Precinct/Cmd of Assignment at Time of Incident	FADO	Disposition
Traffic Manhattan Task Force	A - Refusal to provide name/shield number, Threat of force	Mediated
Brooklyn North Impact Response Team	A - Stop	Mediated
026 Precinct	A - Search, Stop	Mediated
026 Precinct	A - Stop	Mediated
045 Precinct	A - Threat of summons, Vehicle stop; D - Action	Mediated
030 Precinct	A - Threat of force (verbal or physical); D - Action	Mediated
001 Precinct	A - Threat of summons; D - Word	Mediated
007 Precinct	A - Refusal to provide name/shield number; O - Ethnicity	Mediated