

Table of Contents

Foreword.....	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Project Identification	1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need	1-2
C. Project Background.....	1-2
Queens West Project	1-3
2012 Olympic Bid	1-4
D. Site Description	1-6
Site A.....	1-6
Site B	1-7
E. Hunter’s Point South Planning Efforts.....	1-7
F. Proposed Actions	1-8
Changes to the City Map	1-8
Zoning Map Amendments.....	1-9
Zoning Text Amendments.....	1-9
(E) Designations.....	1-13
Acquisition of Land.....	1-14
Designation as an Urban Development Action Area Project	1-14
Disposition of Land.....	1-14
School Site Plan Approval	1-14
Modification to the Queens West General Project Plan and Related Actions.....	1-14
Land Disposition Agreement.....	1-15
Other Actions	1-15
G. Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario	1-16
New Street System and Circulation Pattern	1-16
New Parcels.....	1-18
New Buildings and Development.....	1-18
Public Parks, Open Spaces, and Streetscape Improvements	1-19
Parking	1-22
Infrastructure Improvements	1-22
H. Environmental Review Process.....	1-23
City Environmental Quality Review	1-23
Coordination with Other Review Processes	1-25
I. Framework for Environmental Review.....	1-27
Scope of Environmental Analysis	1-27
Definition of Study Areas	1-27
Analysis Year	1-28

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

Defining Baseline Conditions..... 1-28
Evaluation of Impacts Resulting from the Proposed Actions..... 1-29

2: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy2-1

A. Introduction2-1
Principal Conclusions2-1

B. Methodology.....2-2

C. Existing Conditions2-3
Land Use.....2-3
Zoning.....2-7
Public Policy.....2-12

D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions2-13
Land Use.....2-13
Zoning.....2-17
Public Policy.....2-17

E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions2-17
Land Use.....2-17
Zoning.....2-18
Public Policy.....2-23

F. Conclusions2-24
Land Use.....2-24
Zoning and Public Policy.....2-24

3: Socioeconomic Conditions3-1

A. Introduction3-1
Principal Conclusions3-1

B. Methodology.....3-2
CEQR Overview3-2
Determining Whether a Socioeconomic Assessment is Appropriate3-2
Analysis Format.....3-3
Study Area Definition.....3-4
Data Sources3-5

C. Preliminary Assessment3-6
Preliminary Assessment: Direct Business and Institutional Displacement3-6
Preliminary Assessment: Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement3-10
Preliminary Assessment: Adverse Effects on Specific Industries3-14
Preliminary Assessment: Indirect Residential Displacement3-15

D. Detailed Analysis of Indirect Residential Displacement3-17
Existing Conditions3-18
The Future Without the Proposed Actions (No Action Condition)3-31
The Future With the Proposed Actions.....3-32

E. Conclusions3-35
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement3-35
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement.....3-35
Adverse Effects on Specific Industries3-36
Direct Residential Displacement3-36
Indirect Residential Displacement3-36

4: Community Facilities	4-1
A. Introduction	4-1
Principal Conclusions.....	4-1
B. Preliminary Screening and Methodology	4-3
Public Schools	4-4
Libraries	4-4
Day Care Facilities	4-4
Health Care Facilities (Outpatient).....	4-5
Police and Fire Services	4-5
New Residential Developments Expected in the Study Areas	4-5
C. Public Schools.....	4-7
Methodology	4-7
Existing Conditions	4-8
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-10
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-14
D. Libraries	4-18
Methodology	4-18
Existing Conditions	4-18
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-19
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-19
E. Day Care Facilities.....	4-20
Methodology	4-20
Existing Conditions	4-21
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-21
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-22
F. Police and Fire Services	4-23
Existing Conditions	4-23
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-25
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-25
G. Health Care Facilities (Outpatient)	4-26
Existing Conditions	4-26
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-27
Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-28
H. Conclusions.....	4-29
5: Open Space.....	5-1
A. Introduction	5-1
Principal Conclusions.....	5-1
B. Methodology	5-2
Direct Effects Analysis.....	5-2
Indirect Effects Analysis	5-3
C. Existing Conditions.....	5-6
Study Area Population	5-6
Study Area Open Space Inventory	5-8
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	5-10
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	5-12
Study Area Population	5-12
Study Area Open Spaces	5-12

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

Adequacy of Open Spaces 5-13

E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions 5-14

 New Open Space on Site A 5-15

 New Open Space on Site B..... 5-16

 Other Proposed Actions Related to Open Space 5-16

 Direct Effects 5-17

 Indirect Effects..... 5-18

F. Conclusions 5-20

6: Shadows 6-1

 A. Introduction 6-1

 Principal Conclusions 6-1

 B. Methodology..... 6-1

 C. Screening Analysis 6-2

 D. Resources of Concern for Detailed Analysis..... 6-3

 E. Assessment of Incremental Shadows..... 6-3

 East River 6-4

 Newtown Creek 6-5

 Gantry Plaza State Park 6-5

 Hunters Point Community Park..... 6-6

 Proposed Open Spaces..... 6-6

 Conclusions 6-8

7: Historic Resources 7-1

 A. Introduction 7-1

 Archaeological Resources 7-1

 Architectural Resources..... 7-2

 Principal Conclusions 7-2

 B. Methodology..... 7-3

 Archaeological Resources 7-3

 Architectural Resources..... 7-3

 C. Background History..... 7-4

 Mid-17th Century to Mid-19th Century 7-4

 Mid-19th Century to 20th Century 7-4

 20th Century Development..... 7-5

 D. Existing Conditions 7-6

 Project Sites 7-6

 Study Area 7-7

 Views of Architectural Resources from Manhattan..... 7-9

 E. The Future Without the Proposed Actions 7-9

 Project Sites 7-9

 Study Area 7-10

 F. Probable Impacts of The Proposed Actions..... 7-10

 Project Sites 7-10

 Study Area 7-10

 Views of Architectural Resources from Manhattan..... 7-11

 Conclusion 7-11

8: Urban Design and Visual Resources	8-1
A. Introduction	8-1
Principal Conclusions.....	8-1
B. Methodology	8-2
C. Existing Conditions.....	8-3
Project Sites: Urban Design	8-3
Project Sites: Visual Resources	8-6
Study Area: Urban Design	8-6
Study Area: Visual Resources.....	8-11
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	8-14
Project Sites.....	8-14
Study Area.....	8-14
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	8-15
Project Sites: Urban Design	8-15
Project Sites: Visual Resources.....	8-19
Study Area: Urban Design	8-19
Study Area: Visual Resources.....	8-20
F. Conclusions.....	8-22
9: Neighborhood Character	9-1
A. Introduction	9-1
Principal Conclusions.....	9-1
B. Methodology	9-2
Neighborhood Character Components	9-2
Study Area.....	9-3
C. Existing Conditions.....	9-3
Project Sites.....	9-3
Primary Study Area	9-4
Secondary Study Area.....	9-9
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	9-10
Project Sites.....	9-10
Primary Study Area	9-11
Secondary Study Area.....	9-11
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	9-12
Project Sites.....	9-12
Primary Study Area.....	9-13
Secondary Study Area.....	9-15
F. Conclusions.....	9-15
10: Hazardous Materials	10-1
A. Introduction	10-1
Principal Conclusions.....	10-2
B. Methodology	10-2
C. Existing Conditions.....	10-3
Results of Investigations	10-3
Potential Contaminants of Concern.....	10-5
Topography, Geology, and Groundwater.....	10-7
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	10-7

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions 10-8
Mechanisms to Ensure Preventive Measures Will be Followed..... 10-10
Conclusions 10-10

11: Natural Resources and Water Quality 11-1

A. Introduction 11-1
Principal Conclusions 11-1

B. Methodology..... 11-2
Overview 11-2
Existing Conditions 11-2
The Future Without the Proposed Actions 11-3
Assessment of Impacts from the Proposed Actions..... 11-3

C. Regulatory Context..... 11-5
Federal 11-5
New York 11-6

D. Existing Conditions 11-8
Geological Conditions 11-8
Groundwater 11-8
Floodplains and Wetlands..... 11-9
Aquatic Resources 11-10
Terrestrial Resources 11-22
Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species 11-25
Essential Fish Habitat 11-27

E. The Future Without the Proposed Actions 11-28
Floodplains and Terrestrial Resources..... 11-28
Water Quality and Aquatic Resources..... 11-29
Threatened or Endangered Species..... 11-32
Essential Fish Habitat 11-32

F. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions 11-32
Groundwater 11-32
Floodplains and Wetlands..... 11-33
Aquatic Resources 11-35
Terrestrial Resources 11-39
Threatened or Endangered Species..... 11-40
Essential Fish Habitat 11-40

G. References 11-41

12: Waterfront Revitalization Program 12-1

A. Introduction 12-1
Overview 12-1
Principal Conclusions 12-2

B. Consistency of Proposed Actions with WRP Policies..... 12-2

13: Infrastructure 13-1

A. Introduction 13-1
Principal Conclusions 13-1

B. Methodology..... 13-1

C. Existing Conditions 13-2

Water Supply.....	13-2
Wastewater Treatment.....	13-3
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	13-8
Water Supply.....	13-8
Sanitary Sewage.....	13-8
Stormwater.....	13-8
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	13-10
Infrastructure Improvements.....	13-10
Water Supply.....	13-11
Sanitary Sewage.....	13-12
Stormwater.....	13-13
Conclusion.....	13-18
14: Solid Waste and Sanitation.....	14-1
A. Introduction.....	14-1
Principal Conclusions.....	14-1
B. Methodology.....	14-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	14-2
Solid Waste Services.....	14-2
Solid Waste Generation.....	14-2
Sanitation Services.....	14-3
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	14-3
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	14-4
Sanitation Services.....	14-5
Conclusion.....	14-5
15: Energy.....	15-1
A. Introduction.....	15-1
Principal Conclusions.....	15-1
B. Methodology.....	15-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	15-2
Energy Providers.....	15-2
Energy Distribution in Long Island City.....	15-3
Existing Demand at Project Sites.....	15-4
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	15-6
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	15-6
16: Traffic and Parking.....	16-1
A. Introduction.....	16-1
Principal Conclusions.....	16-2
B. Existing Conditions.....	16-3
Roadway Network and Traffic Study Area.....	16-3
Existing Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service.....	16-5
Parking.....	16-8
C. The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	16-10
Background Developments and Trip Generation.....	16-10
No Build Volumes and Levels of Service.....	16-15
Parking.....	16-17

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	16-19
Trip Generation and Modal Split	16-19
Trip Distribution and Assignment	16-23
New Roadway Design	16-25
Traffic Diversions	16-28
Project-Generated Traffic Volumes	16-28
Traffic Levels of Service and Impacts	16-29
Parking	16-32
Traffic and Safety	16-33
17: Transit and Pedestrians.....	17-1
A. Introduction	17-1
Principal Conclusions	17-1
B. Methodology.....	17-1
Transit and Pedestrian Study Areas	17-1
Operational Analysis Methodology	17-3
C. Existing Conditions	17-6
Subway Station Operations.....	17-7
Subway Line Haul Levels.....	17-7
Bus Line Haul Levels	17-9
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	17-10
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	17-11
Transit and Pedestrian Volume Projections.....	17-11
Subway Station Operations.....	17-12
Subway Line Haul Levels.....	17-13
Bus Line Haul Levels	17-14
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	17-14
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	17-16
Trip Distribution and Assignment	17-16
Subway Station Operations.....	17-16
Subway Line Haul Levels.....	17-18
Bus Line Haul Levels	17-18
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	17-19
18: Air Quality	18-1
A. Introduction	18-1
Principal Conclusions	18-1
B. Pollutants for Analysis.....	18-2
Carbon Monoxide	18-2
Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone.....	18-3
Lead	18-3
Respirable Particulate Matter—PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	18-3
Sulfur Dioxide	18-4
Air Toxics	18-4
C. Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks	18-5
National and State Air Quality Standards.....	18-5
NAAQS Attainment Status and State Implementation Plans	18-5
Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts	18-7

D.	Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations.....	18-9
	Mobile Sources.....	18-9
	Parking Facilities.....	18-13
	Stationary Sources.....	18-14
E.	Existing Conditions.....	18-19
	Ambient Concentrations.....	18-19
	Modeled CO Concentrations for Existing Traffic Conditions	18-19
F.	The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	18-20
	Mobile Sources.....	18-20
	Stationary Sources.....	18-20
G.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	18-21
	Mobile Source Analysis	18-21
	Parking Facilities.....	18-23
	Consistency with New York State Air Quality Implementation Plan	18-24
	Stationary Sources.....	18-24
19:	Noise.....	19-1
A.	Introduction.....	19-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	19-1
B.	Noise Fundamentals.....	19-2
	“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBa)	19-2
	Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels.....	19-2
C.	Noise Standards and Criteria.....	19-4
	New York City Noise Control Code	19-4
	New York CEQR Noise Criteria.....	19-4
D.	Impact Definition	19-4
E.	Noise Prediction Methodology	19-6
	General Methodology.....	19-6
	Traffic Noise Model.....	19-6
	Analysis Procedure.....	19-6
F.	Existing Conditions.....	19-7
	Site Description.....	19-7
	Selection of Noise Receptor Locations	19-7
	Noise Monitoring	19-8
	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	19-8
	Results of Baseline Measurements.....	19-8
G.	The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	19-8
H.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	19-10
I.	Building Attenuation for Project Buildings	19-11
J.	Mechanical Systems.....	19-12
20:	Construction Impacts.....	20-1
A.	Introduction.....	20-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	20-1
B.	Overview of Construction Activities.....	20-4
	General Construction Practices	20-4
	Construction Methods	20-7
	Conceptual Construction Phasing and Schedule.....	20-10

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

C. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions 20-13
Land Use and Neighborhood Character..... 20-13
Socioeconomic Conditions 20-14
Community Facilities..... 20-15
Open Space 20-15
Historic Resources 20-15
Hazardous Materials 20-16
Natural Resources and Water Quality 20-16
Traffic and Parking 20-16
Transit and Pedestrians 20-22
Air Quality 20-23
Noise 20-26

21: Public Health 21-1

22: Mitigation..... 22-1
A. Introduction 22-1
B. Community Facilities 22-1
Public Schools 22-1
Public Day Care Centers..... 22-2
C. Traffic 22-3
Vernon Boulevard Corridor 22-4
Jackson Avenue/Northern Boulevard Corridor 22-5
21st Street Corridor..... 22-6
Van Dam Street Corridor..... 22-7
Center Boulevard Corridor 22-8
2nd Street Corridor 22-8
11th Street/11th Place Corridor 22-8
5th Street Corridor 22-9
Implementation 22-10
D. Transit and Pedestrians 22-11
Overview 22-11
Subway Station Operations..... 22-12
Capacity Improvement Option 22-13
Enhanced Bus Service Option 22-16
Mitigated Build Condition with Traffic Mitigation Measures..... 22-17
E. Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures on Air Quality 22-18
F. Noise..... 22-20
Effects Of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures..... 22-20
Noise Mitigation at Significantly Impacted Locations 22-20
G. Construction Impacts..... 22-21

23: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts 23-1
A. Introduction 23-1
B. Community Facilities 23-1
Schools..... 23-1
Day Care Facilities 23-1
C. Traffic 23-1

D. Transit and Pedestrians	23-2
E. Noise	23-2
24: Alternatives	24-1
A. Introduction	24-1
Overview	24-1
Principal Conclusions.....	24-1
B. No Action Alternative.....	24-2
Description of the No Action Alternative	24-2
No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Actions	24-3
C. Modified Project Alternative.....	24-11
Description of the Modified Project Alternative	24-11
Modified Project Alternative Compared With the Proposed Actions	24-13
D. Lesser Density Alternative	24-16
Description of the Lesser Density Impact Alternative	24-16
Lesser Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Actions	24-17
E. General Project Plan Alternative.....	24-28
Description of the General Project Plan Alternative	24-28
General Project Plan Alternative Compared to the Proposed Actions	24-31
F. M3-1 Zoning Alternative	24-44
Description of the M3-1 Zoning Alternative.....	24-44
M3-1 Zoning Alternative Compared to the Proposed Actions.....	24-44
G. Conclusions.....	24-45
25: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	25-1
26: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Actions.....	26-1

Appendices of the FEIS

Appendix 1.1	Special Southern Hunter’s Point District Zoning Text
Appendix 1.2	(E) Designation Text
Appendix 1.3	Background Development Projects
Appendix 7	Historic Resources
Appendix 11.1	Natural Resources Site Photographs
Appendix 11.2	Technical Memorandum: Impact of Hunter’s Point South Development on Wet-Weather Discharges
Appendix 12	Coastal Assessment Form
Appendix 16	Traffic and Parking
Appendix 17	Transit and Pedestrians
Appendix 18	Air Quality
Appendix 19	Noise
Appendix 22	Mitigation
Appendix 24	Lesser Density Alternative
Appendix 24.1	(E) Designation Text for the Modified Project Alternative

List of Tables

S-1	Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario for Analysis.....	S-11
S-2	Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary	S-26
1-1	Adopted General Project Plan For Queens West: Program, Bulk and Use Controls as of January 2008.....	1-5
1-2	Maximum Floor Area by Parcel (Site A)	1-11
1-3	Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario for Analysis.....	1-18
1-4	Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario Parcel Descriptions	1-19
1-5	Proposed Publicly Accessible Open Spaces (Sites A and B).....	1-21
2-1	Zoning Districts Located in the Primary Study Area	2-7
2-2	Zoning Districts Located in the Secondary Study Area	2-8
2-3	Projects Under Construction or Proposed in the Primary Study Area	2-14
2-4	Projects Under Construction or Proposed Within Secondary Study Area	2-16
2-5	Maximum Floor Area by Parcel (Site A)	2-20
3-1	Breakdown of Employment by Industry in the Study Area, 2000	3-9
3-2	Land Uses on Study Area Lots South of Borden Avenue	3-12
3-3	Population Trends	3-18
3-4	2006 Population Estimate.....	3-18
3-5	Age Distribution as Percent of Total Population, 1990 and 2000.....	3-19
3-6	Household Characteristics	3-19
3-7	Household Income.....	3-21
3-8	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level	3-22
3-9	Occupations of Residents in Primary and Secondary Study Areas, 2000	3-23
3-10	Housing Units and Vacancy	3-23
3-11	Housing Tenure	3-24
3-12	Housing Units Built Since 2000 Census	3-24
3-13	Housing Characteristics.....	3-25
3-14	Unregulated Rental Housing Units in Primary and Secondary Study Areas	3-28
3-15	Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings with Five or More Units, and All Renter-Occupied Buildings in Queens, 1999	3-30
3-16	Population and Housing Growth: No Action Condition, 2006-2017	3-32
3-17	Projects Planned for Completion by 2017.....	3-33
3-18	Population and Housing Growth: With Action Scenario, 2006-2017	3-33
4-1	Preliminary Screening Analysis Criteria	4-4
4-2	New Residential Developments Expected in the Study Areas	4-6
4-3	Public Elementary and Intermediate Schools Serving the Study Area	4-9

4-4	Public High Schools Serving Within 1½ Miles of the Project Sites.....	4-10
4-5	Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	4-11
4-6	Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	4-12
4-7	Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2017 Future With the Proposed Actions.....	4-14
4-8	Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future With the Proposed Actions.....	4-15
4-9	Comparison of School Utilization: Existing Conditions, Future Without, and Future with the Proposed Action.....	4-17
4-10	Public Libraries Serving the Project Sites.....	4-19
4-11	Publicly Funded Day Care Facilities Serving the Study Area.....	4-22
4-12	Police Facilities Serving the Project Sites.....	4-23
4-13	Fire Facilities Serving the Project Sites.....	4-24
4-14	Hospitals Serving the Study Area.....	4-27
4-15	Outpatient Facilities Serving the Study Area.....	4-28
5-1	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Open Space Ratios Summary.....	5-2
5-2	Existing Population in Commercial and Residential Study Areas.....	5-7
5-3	Age Distribution of 2000 Population in Residential Study Area.....	5-7
5-4	Open Space Inventory.....	5-8
5-5	Existing Conditions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines And Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations.....	5-9
5-6	Existing Conditions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	5-11
5-7	2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations.....	5-13
5-8	2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	5-14
5-9	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations.....	5-19
5-10	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	5-20
5-11	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Open Space Ratios Summary.....	5-21
6-1	Sunlight-Sensitive Resources Within the Maximum Shadow Length Area.....	6-2
6-2	Incremental Shadow Durations.....	6-4
7-1	Known Architectural Resources in the Study Area.....	7-7
11-1	2004-2006 NYCDEP Water Quality Data for the East 23rd Street Sampling Station...	11-12
11-2	2004-2006 NYCDEP Water Quality Data for the Newtown Creek Sampling Stations.	11-12
11-3	Summary of Sediment Sampling Along Site A Shoreline, November 15-December 14, 2006.....	11-16
11-4	List of Fish Species Known to Occur in the East River and Newtown Creek.....	11-18
11-5	Plants Observed Within the Project Sites, October 23, 2007.....	11-24
11-6	Essential Fish Habitat Designated Species for the East River.....	11-27

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

13-1 Existing Water Consumption on Sites A and B13-4

13-2 Yearly Average Flows at Bowery Bay WPCP13-4

13-3 Sanitary and Stormwater Flow Rates from Sites A and B to East River,
Newtown Creek and CSS under Existing Conditions13-7

13-4 Sanitary and Stormwater Volumes from Sites A and B to East River,
Newtown Creek and CSS under Existing Conditions13-8

13-5 Projected Water Consumption on Sites A and B with
Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario13-12

13-6 Area of Roof, Paved, and Pervious Surfaces and Destination of Stormwater
from Each Area Under the Existing Condition and RWCDs13-15

13-7 Sanitary and Stormwater Flow Rates from Sites A and B to East River, Newtown
Creek, and CSS under the Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario13-16

13-8 Sanitary and Stormwater Volumes from Sites A and B to East River, Newtown
Creek, and CSS under the Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario13-17

14-1 Existing Solid Waste Generation on the Project Sites.....14-3

14-2 Solid Waste Generation on the Project Sites in 2017.....14-5

15-1 Existing Energy Consumption on the Project Sites.....15-5

15-2 Energy Consumption for the Proposed Actions in 201715-7

16-1 Existing Traffic Level of Service Summary.....16-6

16-2 Inventory of Existing Public Parking Lots and Garages (¼-Mile Radius).....16-9

16-3 Nighttime Inventory of Existing Public Parking Lots and Garages
(½-Mile Radius)16-10

16-4 Background Development Projects16-11

16-5 Projected Vehicle Trip Generation from Background Development Projects16-13

16-6 Traffic Level of Service Summary16-16

16-7 2017 No Build Public Parking Lots and Garages (¼-Mile Radius)16-18

16-8 Nighttime 2017 No Build Public Parking Lots and Garages (½-Mile Radius).....16-18

16-9 Weekday Travel Demand Characteristics: Build Condition16-20

16-10 2017 Build Vehicle Trip Generation16-23

16-11 Traffic Level of Service Summary Comparison No Build
vs. 12Build Conditions (2017)16-30

16-12 2017 Build Condition—New Intersections Overall Levels of Service16-30

16-13 Weekday Parking Accumulation—2017 Build Condition16-33

16-14 Accident Data.....16-34

17-1 Local Bus Routes Serving the Study Area17-3

17-2 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements.....17-4

17-3 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements17-6

17-4 2007 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Stairway Analysis.....17-8

17-5 2007 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Control Area Analysis17-8

17-6 2007 Existing Conditions: Subway Line Haul Analysis17-9

17-7 2007 Existing Conditions: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points17-9

17-8 2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....17-10

17-9 2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners.....17-11

17-10	2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks	17-11
17-11	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis	17-12
17-12	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis.....	17-13
17-13	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Line Haul Analysis.....	17-14
17-14	2017 No Build Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points	17-14
17-15	2017 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....	17-15
17-16	2017 No Build Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners.....	17-15
17-17	2017 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks.....	17-16
17-18	2017 Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis	17-17
17-19	2017 Build Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis	17-18
17-20	2017 Build Condition: Subway Line Haul Analysis.....	17-18
17-21	2017 Build Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points.....	17-19
17-22	2017 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks	17-20
17-23	2017 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners	17-20
17-24	2017 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks	17-21
18-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQs).....	18-6
18-2	Mobile Source Analysis Sites	18-12
18-3	Proposed Parking Locations and Capacities	18-13
18-4	Chemicals That Would be Used in the Proposed School Laboratories	18-17
18-5	Chemical Selected for Worst-Case Spill Analysis.....	18-18
18-6	Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations Recorded at Representative Monitoring Stations in 2006	18-19
18-7	Modeled Existing 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations (2007).....	18-20
18-8	Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations Without the Proposed Actions (2017).....	18-21
18-9	Future Modeled 24-Hour PM ₁₀ Concentrations Without The Proposed Actions (2017)	18-21
18-10	Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations With and Without the Proposed Action.....	18-22
18-11	Future Modeled 24-Hour Average PM ₁₀ Concentrations (2017).....	18-23
18-12	Future (2017) Modeled 24-Hour Average PM _{2.5} Concentration Increments	18-23
18-13	Future (2017) Neighborhood-Scale Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration Increments.....	18-23
18-14	Total 8-Hour Co Concentrations Near Garage Ventilation Outlets (PPM)	18-24
18-15	Contaminant Concentrations Resulting From Businesses with BEC Permits	18-26
18-16	Maximum Predicted Recirculation Concentration (PPM)	18-26
19-1	Common Noise Levels.....	19-2
19-2	Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	19-3
19-3	New York City Noise Codes	19-4
19-4	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	19-5
19-5	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels.....	19-6

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

19-6 Noise Receptor Locations 19-8

19-7 Measured Existing Noise Levels (in dBA)..... 19-9

19-8 2017 No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)..... 19-10

19-9 2017 Build Noise Levels (in dBA)..... 19-10

20-1 Daily Numbers of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks20-13

20-2 Peak Construction Trip Projections 2012.....20-18

20-3 Comparison of Vehicle Trips—Construction and Operational20-19

20-4 Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment20-27

22-1 Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary22-3

22-2 2017 Mitigated Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis22-13

22-3 2017 Mitigated Build Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels ...22-14

22-4 2017 Mitigated Build Condition (Q103 Extension): Bus Line Haul Levels22-17

22-5 Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations with the Proposed
Actions With and Without Traffic Mitigation.....22-18

22-6 Future Modeled 24-Hour Average PM₁₀ Concentrations (2017)22-19

22-7 Future (2017) Modeled 24-Hour Average PM_{2.5} Concentration Increments22-19

22-8 Future (2017) Neighborhood Scale PM_{2.5} Concentration Increments22-19

24-1 Lesser Density Alternative24-17

24-2 Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment,
Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future with the Lesser Density Alternative.....24-19

24-3 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of Lesser Density Alternative
and Proposed Actions24-20

24-4 Lesser Density Alternative Trip Generation Summary24-24

24-5 Vehicle Trip Generation Lesser Density Alternative vs. Proposed Actions24-24

24-6 Transit and Pedestrian Trip Generation: Lesser Density Alternative vs.
Proposed Actions.....24-26

24-7 Adopted General Project Plan for Queens West Stages III and IV: Program,
Bulk and Use Controls as of January 200824-29

24-8 Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment,
Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future with the GPP Alternative24-33

24-9 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of GPP Alternative and Proposed Actions .24-34

24-10 GPP Alternative Trip Generation Summary24-39

24-11 Vehicle Trip Generation GPP Alternative vs. Proposed Actions24-40

24-12 Transit and Pedestrian Trip Generation GPP Alternative vs. Proposed Actions.....24-41

List of Figures

		<u>Following page</u>
S-1	Project Location	S-1
S-2	Mapped but Unbuilt Streets to be Eliminated.....	S-4
S-3	Proposed Streets and Parks	S-4
S-4	Other Related Mapping Actions	S-5
S-5	Illustrative Site Plan.....	S-11
S-6	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario Illustration of Proposed Buildings.....	S-11
1-1	Project Location	1-1
1-2	Adopted General Project Plan for Queens West.....	1-4
1-3	Project Sites—Sites A and B	1-6
1-4	Existing Uses on the Project Sites	1-6
1-5	Proposed Streets and Parks	1-8
1-6	Mapped but Unbuilt Streets to be Eliminated.....	1-8
1-7	Other Related Mapping Actions	1-9
1-8	Existing Zoning	1-9
1-9	Proposed Zoning	1-9
1-10	Proposed Street Network	1-16
1-11	Illustrative Site Plan.....	1-18
1-12	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario Illustration of Proposed Buildings.....	1-18
2-1	Land Use Study Areas and Subareas	2-2
2-2	Existing Zoning.....	2-7
2-3	Long Island City Industrial Business Zone and Ombudsman Area.....	2-12
2-4	No Build Project Locations.....	2-14
3-1	Socioeconomic Study Areas	3-4
3-2	Long Island City Industrial Business Zone and Ombudsman Area.....	3-8
3-3	Percent of Population Living Below the Poverty Level, 1999	3-21
3-4	Median Household Income, 1999	3-21
4-1	Public Schools.....	4-8
4-2	Public Libraries.....	4-19
4-3	Day Care and Head Start Facilities	4-21
4-4	Police and Fire Services.....	4-23
4-5	Health Care Facilities.....	4-27
5-1	Open Space Study Areas.....	5-3
5-2	Open Space Inventory.....	5-8
5-3	Proposed Open Spaces.....	5-14

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions FEIS

6-1 Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario
 Building Heights Analyzed in Shadow Study—View East..... 6-2

6-2 Screening Analysis..... 6-2

6-3 Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21—9:00 AM EDT..... 6-3

6-4 Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21—12:00 PM EDT 6-3

6-5 Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21—3:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-6 Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21—5:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-7 Shadows May 6 / August 6—9:00 AM EDT 6-3

6-8 Shadows May 6 / August 6—12:00 PM EDT 6-3

6-9 Shadows May 6 / August 6—3:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-10 Shadows May 6 / August 6—5:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-11 Shadows June 21—9:00 AM EDT..... 6-3

6-12 Shadows June 21—12:00 PM EDT..... 6-3

6-13 Shadows June 21—3:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-14 Shadows June 21—5:15 PM EDT 6-3

6-15 Shadows December 21—9:00 AM EST 6-3

6-16 Shadows December 21—12:00 PM EST 6-3

6-17 Shadows December 21—2:15 PM EST 6-3

7-1 Historic Resources Study Area..... 7-3

7-2 Views of Site A 7-6

7-3 Views of Site B and Study Area..... 7-6

7-4 Views of Study Area 7-7

7-5 Views of Study Area 7-8

7-6 Views of Study Area 7-8

7-7 View of Queens Waterfront from Manhattan..... 7-9

8-1 Visual Resources Study Area..... 8-1

8-2 Block Form and Street Pattern in the Study Area 8-3

8-3 Views of Site A 8-4

8-4 Views of Site A 8-4

8-5 Views of Site B 8-4

8-6 Views of Site A 8-5

8-7 Views of Study Area 8-6

8-8 Views of Study Area 8-8

8-9 Views of Study Area 8-12

8-10 Views of Study Area 8-12

8-11 Views of Study Area 8-13

8-12 Views of Study Area 8-13

8-13 Views of Study Area 8-13

8-14 Views of Study Area 8-14

9-1 Neighborhood Character Study Areas..... 9-3

11-1 FEMA Flood Hazard Areas..... 11-9

11-2 National Wetlands Inventory 11-9

11-3	NYSDEC Tidal Wetlands	11-10
11-4	Current Land Cover	11-22
12-1	Coastal Zone Boundary	12-2
13-1	Existing Water Mains	13-3
13-2	Existing Combined Sewer System (Sanitary and Storm)	13-5
16-1	Traffic Study Area	16-5
16-2	Existing Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour	16-6
16-3	Existing Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour	16-7
16-4	Existing Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour	16-7
16-5	Parking Study Area	16-8
16-6	Background Development Projects.....	16-10
16-7	2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour	16-16
16-8	2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour	16-16
16-9	2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour	16-16
16-10	Proposed Site Plan Roadway Configuration.....	16-25
16-11	2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour	16-30
16-12	2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour	16-30
16-13	2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour	16-30
17-1	Transit Study Area	17-1
17-2	Pedestrian Analysis Locations	17-2
18-1	Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations	18-12
19-1	Noise Receptor Locations	19-7
20-1	Conceptual Plan—Proposed Development Parcels and Project Elements	20-4
20-2	Conceptual Construction Sequence	20-12
20-3	RWCDS Conceptual Construction Schedule.....	20-13
22-1	Traffic Mitigation Overview AM Peak Hour	22-3
22-2	Traffic Mitigation Overview Midday Peak Hour	22-3
22-3	Traffic Mitigation Overview PM Peak Hour	22-3
24-1	Modified Bulk Alternative Illustrative Site Plan	24-11
24-2	Modified Bulk Alternative View Northeast.....	24-11
24-3	Lesser Density Alternative—View East	24-22
24-4	General Project Plan Alternative Conceptual Plan	24-28
24-5	General Project Plan Alternative: Axonometric View.....	24-28

*