

HUNTER'S POINT SOUTH REZONING AND RELATED ACTIONS



Draft Environmental Impact Statement

CEQR No. 08DME006Q

ULURP Nos.

080276MMQ

080362ZMQ

N080363ZRQ

080364PQQ

080365HAQ

Lead Agency: Office of the Deputy Mayor
for Economic Development

Prepared by: AKRF, Inc.
Eng-Wong, Taub & Associates, P.C.

April 2, 2008

HUNTER'S POINT SOUTH REZONING AND RELATED ACTIONS

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (DEIS)

Project Location:	<p>The proposed actions include two sites: Site A and Site B. Site A is approximately 30 acres located in the Hunter's Point section of Long Island City, Queens generally bounded to the north by 50th Avenue, to the west by the East River, to the south by Newtown Creek, and to the east by 2nd Street.</p> <p>Site B is approximately 7.5 acres and located adjacent to Site A in the Hunter's Point section of Long Island City, Queens generally bounded to the north by 54th Avenue, to the west by 2nd Street, to the south by Newtown Creek, and to the east by the prolongation of 5th Street.</p>
CEQR No.	08DME006Q
SEQR Classification	Type I
ULURP Nos.	08 0276 MMQ 08 0362 ZMQ N08 0363 ZRQ 08 0364 PQQ 08 0365 HAQ
Lead Agency:	Office of the Deputy Mayor for Economic Development
Lead Agency Contact:	Robert R. Kulikowski
Project Applicant:	The Office of the Deputy Mayor for Economic Development, in coordination with the New York City Economic Development Corporation, New York City Department of City Planning, New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development and New York City Department of Parks and Recreation
Preparers:	AKRF, Inc. 440 Park Avenue South New York, NY 10016 Eng-Wong, Taub & Associates, P.C. Two Penn Plaza, Suite 2630 New York, NY 10121 Acceptance Date: April 2, 2008

Copies of the DEIS are available for review on the websites of the New York City Economic Development Corporation and the Mayor's Office of Environmental Coordination:
<http://www.nycedc.com/Web/AboutUs/OurProjects/HuntersPointSouth.htm>
and <http://www.nyc.gov/oec>.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Project Identification	1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need	1-2
C. Project Background.....	1-2
Queens West Project	1-3
2012 Olympic Bid	1-4
D. Site Description.....	1-6
Site A.....	1-6
Site B.....	1-7
E. Hunter’s Point South Planning Efforts.....	1-7
F. Proposed Actions	1-8
Changes to the City Map.....	1-8
Zoning Map Amendments.....	1-9
Zoning Text Amendments.....	1-9
(E) Designations.....	1-13
Acquisition of Land.....	1-14
Designation as an Urban Development Action Area Project	1-14
Disposition of Land.....	1-14
School Site Plan Approval	1-14
Modification to the Queens West General Project Plan.....	1-15
Memorandum of Understanding/Restrictive Declaration	1-15
Other Actions	1-15
G. Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario	1-16
New Street System and Circulation Pattern	1-16
New Parcels.....	1-17
New Buildings and Development.....	1-18
Public Parks and Open Spaces	1-19
Parking	1-21
Infrastructure Improvements	1-22
H. Environmental Review Process.....	1-22
City Environmental Quality Review	1-22
Coordination with Other Review Processes.....	1-24
I. Framework for Environmental Review.....	1-26
Scope of Environmental Analysis	1-26
Definition of Study Areas	1-26
Analysis Year	1-27
Defining Baseline Conditions	1-27
Evaluation of Impacts Resulting from the Proposed Actions	1-28

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

2: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy2-1

- A. Introduction2-1
 - Principal Conclusions2-1
- B. Methodology.....2-2
- C. Existing Conditions2-3
 - Land Use.....2-3
 - Zoning.....2-7
 - Public Policy.....2-12
- D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions2-13
 - Land Use.....2-13
 - Zoning.....2-17
 - Public Policy.....2-17
- E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions2-17
 - Land Use.....2-17
 - Zoning.....2-19
 - Public Policy.....2-23
- F. Conclusions2-24
 - Land Use.....2-24
 - Zoning and Public Policy.....2-24

3: Socioeconomic Conditions3-1

- A. Introduction3-1
 - Principal Conclusions3-1
- B. Methodology.....3-2
 - CEQR Overview3-2
 - Determining Whether a Socioeconomic Assessment is Appropriate3-2
 - Analysis Format.....3-3
 - Study Area Definition.....3-4
 - Data Sources3-5
- C. Preliminary Assessment3-6
 - Preliminary Assessment: Direct Business and Institutional Displacement3-6
 - Preliminary Assessment: Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement3-10
 - Preliminary Assessment: Adverse Effects on Specific Industries3-14
 - Preliminary Assessment: Indirect Residential Displacement3-14
- D. Detailed Analysis of Indirect Residential Displacement3-17
 - Existing Conditions3-18
 - The Future Without the Proposed Actions (No Action Condition)3-31
 - The Future With the Proposed Actions.....3-33
- E. Conclusions3-35
 - Direct Business and Institutional Displacement3-35
 - Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement.....3-36
 - Adverse Effects on Specific Industries3-36
 - Direct Residential Displacement3-36
 - Indirect Residential Displacement3-36

4: Community Facilities.....4-1

- A. Introduction4-1
 - Principal Conclusions4-1

B.	Preliminary Screening and Methodology	4-2
	Public Schools	4-3
	Libraries	4-4
	Day Care Facilities	4-4
	Health Care Facilities (Outpatient).....	4-4
	Police and Fire Services	4-4
	New Residential Developments Expected in the Study Areas	4-5
C.	Public Schools.....	4-6
	Methodology	4-6
	Existing Conditions	4-7
	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-9
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-12
D.	Libraries	4-15
	Methodology	4-15
	Existing Conditions	4-16
	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-17
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-17
E.	Day Care Facilities.....	4-18
	Methodology	4-18
	Existing Conditions	4-19
	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-19
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-20
F.	Police and Fire Services	4-21
	Existing Conditions	4-21
	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-23
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-23
G.	Health Care Facilities (Outpatient)	4-24
	Existing Conditions	4-24
	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	4-26
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions.....	4-26
H.	Conclusions.....	4-26
5:	Open Space.....	5-1
A.	Introduction.....	5-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	5-1
B.	Methodology	5-2
	Direct Effects Analysis.....	5-2
	Indirect Effects Analysis	5-3
C.	Existing Conditions.....	5-6
	Study Area Population	5-6
	Study Area Open Space Inventory	5-8
	Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	5-10
D.	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	5-12
	Study Area Population	5-12
	Study Area Open Spaces	5-12
	Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	5-13
E.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	5-14
	New Open Space on Site A	5-15

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

New Open Space on Site B..... 5-16
Other Proposed Actions Related to Open Space 5-16
Direct Effects 5-17
Indirect Effects..... 5-18
F. Conclusions 5-20

6: Shadows 6-1
A. Introduction 6-1
Principal Conclusions 6-1
B. Methodology..... 6-1
C. Screening Analysis 6-2
D. Resources of Concern for Detailed Analysis..... 6-3
E. Assessment of Incremental Shadows..... 6-3
East River 6-4
Newtown Creek 6-5
Gantry Plaza State Park 6-5
Hunters Point Community Park..... 6-6
Proposed Open Spaces..... 6-6
Conclusions 6-8

7: Historic Resources 7-1
A. Introduction 7-1
Archaeological Resources 7-1
Architectural Resources..... 7-2
Principal Conclusions 7-2
B. Methodology..... 7-3
Archaeological Resources 7-3
Architectural Resources..... 7-3
C. Background History..... 7-4
Mid-17th Century to Mid-19th Century 7-4
Mid-19th Century to 20th Century 7-4
20th Century Development..... 7-5
D. Existing Conditions 7-6
Project Sites 7-6
Study Area 7-7
Views of Architectural Resources from Manhattan..... 7-9
E. The Future Without the Proposed Actions 7-9
Project Sites 7-9
Study Area 7-10
F. Probable Impacts of The Proposed Actions..... 7-10
Project Sites 7-10
Study Area 7-11
Views of Architectural Resources from Manhattan..... 7-11
Conclusion 7-11

8: Urban Design and Visual Resources 8-1
A. Introduction 8-1
Principal Conclusions 8-1

B. Methodology	8-2
C. Existing Conditions	8-3
Project Sites: Urban Design	8-3
Project Sites: Visual Resources	8-6
Study Area: Urban Design	8-6
Study Area: Visual Resources	8-11
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	8-14
Project Sites	8-14
Study Area	8-14
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	8-15
Project Sites: Urban Design	8-15
Project Sites: Visual Resources	8-19
Study Area: Urban Design	8-19
Study Area: Visual Resources	8-20
F. Conclusions	8-22
9: Neighborhood Character	9-1
A. Introduction	9-1
Principal Conclusions	9-1
B. Methodology	9-2
Neighborhood Character Components	9-2
Study Area	9-3
C. Existing Conditions	9-3
Project Sites	9-3
Primary Study Area	9-4
Secondary Study Area	9-9
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	9-10
Project Sites	9-10
Primary Study Area	9-11
Secondary Study Area	9-11
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	9-12
Project Sites	9-12
Primary Study Area	9-13
Secondary Study Area	9-15
F. Conclusions	9-15
10: Hazardous Materials	10-1
A. Introduction	10-1
Principal Conclusions	10-2
B. Methodology	10-2
C. Existing Conditions	10-3
Results of Investigations	10-3
Potential Contaminants of Concern	10-5
Topography, Geology, and Groundwater	10-7
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	10-8
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	10-8
Mechanisms to Ensure Preventive Measures Will be Followed	10-10
Conclusions	10-10

11: Natural Resources and Water Quality	11-1
A. Introduction	11-1
Principal Conclusions	11-1
B. Methodology.....	11-2
Overview	11-2
Existing Conditions	11-2
The Future Without the Proposed Actions	11-3
Assessment of Impacts from the Proposed Actions.....	11-3
C. Regulatory Context.....	11-4
Federal	11-4
New York	11-6
D. Existing Conditions	11-7
Geological Conditions	11-7
Groundwater	11-8
Floodplains and Wetlands.....	11-8
Aquatic Resources	11-9
Terrestrial Resources	11-21
Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species	11-24
Essential Fish Habitat	11-26
E. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	11-27
Floodplains and Terrestrial Resources.....	11-27
Water Quality and Aquatic Resources.....	11-28
Threatened or Endangered Species.....	11-30
Essential Fish Habitat	11-30
F. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	11-30
Groundwater	11-30
Floodplains and Wetlands.....	11-31
Aquatic Resources	11-33
Terrestrial Resources	11-36
Threatened or Endangered Species.....	11-37
Essential Fish Habitat	11-37
12: Waterfront Revitalization Program	12-1
A. Introduction	12-1
Overview	12-1
Principal Conclusions	12-2
B. Consistency of Proposed Actions with WRP Policies.....	12-2
13: Infrastructure	13-1
A. Introduction	13-1
Principal Conclusions	13-1
B. Methodology.....	13-1
C. Existing Conditions	13-2
Water Supply	13-2
Wastewater Treatment.....	13-3
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	13-6
Water Supply	13-6
Sanitary Sewage.....	13-6

Stormwater	13-6
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	13-7
Infrastructure Improvements	13-7
Water Supply	13-8
Sanitary Sewage	13-9
Stormwater	13-9
Conclusion.....	13-10
14: Solid Waste and Sanitation	14-1
A. Introduction	14-1
Principal Conclusions.....	14-1
B. Methodology	14-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	14-1
Solid Waste Services.....	14-1
Solid Waste Generation.....	14-2
Sanitation Services	14-3
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	14-3
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	14-4
Sanitation Services	14-5
Conclusion.....	14-5
15: Energy.....	15-1
A. Introduction	15-1
Principal Conclusions.....	15-1
B. Methodology	15-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	15-2
Energy Providers	15-2
Energy Distribution in Long Island City	15-3
Existing Demand at Project Sites	15-4
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	15-6
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	15-6
16: Traffic and Parking	16-1
A. Introduction	16-1
Principal Conclusions.....	16-1
B. Existing Conditions.....	16-2
Roadway Network and Traffic Study Area	16-2
Existing Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service	16-4
Parking	16-7
C. The Future Without the Proposed Actions	16-9
Background Developments and Trip Generation	16-9
No Build Volumes and Levels of Service	16-10
Parking	16-16
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	16-17
Trip Generation and Modal Split.....	16-17
Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	16-21
New Roadway Design.....	16-23
Traffic Diversions	16-25

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

Project-Generated Traffic Volumes..... 16-26
Traffic Levels of Service and Impacts..... 16-27
Parking..... 16-29

17: Transit and Pedestrians.....17-1

A. Introduction 17-1
Principal Conclusions 17-1

B. Methodology..... 17-1
Transit and Pedestrian Study Areas 17-1
Operational Analysis Methodology 17-3

C. Existing Conditions 17-6
Subway Station Operations..... 17-7
Subway Line Haul Levels..... 17-7
Bus Line Haul Levels 17-9
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 17-9

D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions 17-11
Subway Station Operations..... 17-11
Subway Line Haul Levels..... 17-12
Bus Line Haul Levels 17-13
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 17-14

E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions 17-14
Trip Distribution and Assignment 17-14
Subway Station Operations..... 17-14
Subway Line Haul Levels..... 17-17
Bus Line Haul Levels 17-18
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations 17-19

18: Air Quality18-1

A. Introduction 18-1
Principal Conclusions 18-1

B. Pollutants for Analysis..... 18-2
Carbon Monoxide 18-2
Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone..... 18-2
Lead 18-3
Respirable Particulate Matter—PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}..... 18-3
Sulfur Dioxide 18-4
Air Toxics 18-4

C. Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks 18-4
National and State Air Quality Standards..... 18-4
NAAQS Attainment Status and State Implementation Plans 18-6
Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts 18-7

D. Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations 18-8
Mobile Sources 18-8
Parking Facilities 18-12
Stationary Sources 18-14

E. Existing Conditions 18-18
Ambient Concentrations 18-18
Modeled CO Concentrations for Existing Traffic Conditions..... 18-19

F.	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	18-20
	Mobile Sources.....	18-20
	Stationary Sources.....	18-21
G.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	18-21
	Mobile Source Analysis	18-21
	Parking Facilities.....	18-23
	Stationary Sources.....	18-24
	Consistency with New York State Air Quality Implementation Plan	18-26
19: Noise.....		19-1
A.	Introduction	19-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	19-1
B.	Noise Fundamentals.....	19-2
	“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBa)	19-2
	Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	19-3
C.	Noise Standards and Criteria.....	19-3
	New York City Noise Control Code	19-4
	New York CEQR Noise Criteria	19-4
D.	Impact Definition	19-4
E.	Noise Prediction Methodology	19-7
	General Methodology.....	19-7
	Traffic Noise Model.....	19-7
	Analysis Procedure.....	19-7
F.	Existing Conditions.....	19-8
	Site Description.....	19-8
	Selection of Noise Receptor Locations	19-8
	Noise Monitoring	19-9
	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	19-9
	Results of Baseline Measurements.....	19-9
G.	The Future Without the Proposed Actions	19-9
H.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	19-11
I.	Building Attenuation for Project Buildings	19-12
J.	Mechanical Systems.....	19-13
20: Construction Impacts.....		20-1
A.	Introduction	20-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	20-1
B.	Overview of Construction Activities.....	20-4
	General Construction Practices	20-4
	Construction Methods	20-7
	Conceptual Construction Phasing and Schedule	20-10
C.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Actions	20-14
	Land Use and Neighborhood Character	20-14
	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	20-14
	Community Facilities	20-15
	Open Space.....	20-15
	Historic Resources.....	20-16
	Hazardous Materials.....	20-16

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

Natural Resources and Water Quality 20-16

Traffic and Parking 20-16

Transit and Pedestrians 20-20

Air Quality 20-20

Noise 20-24

21: Public Health 21-1

22: Mitigation..... 22-1

 A. Introduction 22-1

 B. Community Facilities 22-1

 Public Schools 22-1

 Public Day Care Centers 22-2

 C. Traffic 22-3

 Vernon Boulevard Corridor 22-4

 Jackson Avenue/Northern Boulevard Corridor 22-5

 21st Street Corridor 22-6

 Van Dam Street Corridor 22-7

 Center Boulevard Corridor 22-7

 2nd Street Corridor 22-8

 11th Street/11th Place Corridor 22-8

 5th Street Corridor 22-9

 Implementation 22-10

 D. Transit and Pedestrians 22-11

 Overview 22-11

 Subway Station Operations 22-12

 Capacity Improvement Option 22-13

 Enhanced Bus Service Option 22-16

 Mitigated Build Condition with Traffic Mitigation Measures 22-18

 E. Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures on Air Quality 22-19

 F. Noise 22-21

 Effects Of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures 22-21

 Noise Mitigation at Significantly Impacted Locations 22-21

 G. Construction Impacts 22-21

23: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts 23-1

 A. Introduction 23-1

 B. Community Facilities 23-1

 Schools 23-1

 Day Care Facilities 23-1

 C. Traffic 23-1

 D. Transit and Pedestrians 23-2

 E. Noise 23-2

24: Alternatives..... 24-1

 A. Introduction 24-1

 Overview 24-1

 Principal Conclusions 24-1

B. No Action Alternative24-2
Description of the No Action Alternative24-2
No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Actions24-3

C. Lesser Density Alternative24-10
Description of the Lesser Density Impact Alternative24-10
Lesser Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Actions24-11

D. General Project Plan Alternative.....24-22
Description of the General Project Plan Alternative24-22
General Project Plan Alternative Compared to the Proposed Actions24-25

E. M3-1 Zoning Alternative24-38
Description of the M3-1 Zoning Alternative.....24-38
M3-1 Zoning Alternative Compared to the Proposed Actions.....24-38

F. Conclusions.....24-39

25: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 25-1

26: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Actions.....26-1

Appendix 1.1: Special Southern Hunter’s Point District

Appendix 1.2: Background Development Projects

Appendix 7: Correspondence

Appendix 11: Natural Resources Site Photographs

Appendix 12: Coastal Assessment Form

Appendix 16: Traffic

Appendix 18: Air Quality

Appendix 19: Noise

Appendix 20: Construction

Appendix 22: Mitigation

List of Tables

1-1	Adopted General Project Plan For Queens West: Program, Bulk and Use Controls as of January 2008.....	1-5
1-2	Maximum FAR for Residential Uses in Special Southern Hunter’s Point District.....	1-11
1-3	Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario for Analysis.....	1-17
1-4	Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario Parcel Descriptions	1-18
1-5	Proposed Publicly Accessible Open Spaces (Sites A and B).....	1-19
2-1	Zoning Districts Located in the Primary Study Area	2-7
2-2	Zoning Districts Located in the Secondary Study Area	2-10
2-3	Projects Under Construction or Proposed in the Primary Study Area	2-14
2-4	Projects Under Construction or Proposed Within Secondary Study Area	2-15
3-1	Breakdown of Employment by Industry in the Study Area, 2000	3-9
3-2	Land Uses on Study Area Lots South of Borden Avenue.....	3-12
3-3	Population Trends	3-18
3-4	2006 Population Estimate.....	3-18
3-5	Age Distribution as Percent of Total Population, 1990 and 2000.....	3-19
3-6	Household Characteristics.....	3-19
3-7	Household Income.....	3-20
3-8	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level	3-21
3-9	Occupations of Residents in Primary and Secondary Study Areas, 2000.....	3-23
3-10	Housing Units and Vacancy.....	3-23
3-11	Housing Tenure	3-23
3-12	Housing Units Built Since 2000 Census	3-24
3-13	Housing Characteristics.....	3-25
3-14	Unregulated Rental Housing Units in Primary and Secondary Study Areas	3-28
3-15	Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings with Five or More Units, and All Renter-Occupied Buildings in Queens, 1999	3-30
3-16	Population and Housing Growth: No Action Condition, 2006-2017	3-32
3-17	Projects Planned for Completion by 2017.....	3-33

3-18	Population and Housing Growth: With-Action Scenario, 2006-2017	3-34
4-1	Preliminary Screening Analysis Criteria	4-3
4-2	New Residential Developments Expected in the Study Areas	4-5
4-3	Public Elementary and Intermediate Schools Serving the Study Area	4-8
4-4	Public High Schools Serving the Study Area	4-9
4-5	Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	4-10
4-6	Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	4-11
4-7	Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2017 Future With the Proposed Actions	4-13
4-8	Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future With the Proposed Actions.....	4-14
4-9	Public Libraries Serving the Project Sites	4-17
4-10	Publicly Funded Day Care Facilities Serving the Study Area	4-19
4-11	Police Facilities Serving the Project Sites	4-21
4-12	Fire Facilities Serving the Project Sites	4-22
4-13	Hospitals Serving the Study Area	4-25
4-14	Outpatient Facilities Serving the Study Area.....	4-26
5-1	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Open Space Ratios Summary	5-2
5-2	Existing Population in Commercial and Residential Study Areas.....	5-7
5-3	Age Distribution of 2000 Population in Residential Study Area.....	5-7
5-4	Open Space Inventory.....	5-8
5-5	Existing Conditions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines And Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations	5-10
5-6	Existing Conditions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	5-11
5-7	2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations	5-13
5-8	2017 Future Without the Proposed Actions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources	5-14
5-9	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations	5-19
5-10	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	5-20
5-11	2017 Future With the Proposed Actions: Open Space Ratios Summary	5-21
6-1	Sunlight-Sensitive Resources Within the Maximum Shadow Length Area	6-2

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

6-2 Incremental Shadow Durations 6-4

7-1 Known Architectural Resources in the Study Area..... 7-7

11-1 2004-2006 NYCDEP Water Quality Data for the East 23rd Street Sampling Station .. 11-12

11-2 2004-2006 NYCDEP Water Quality Data for the Newtown Creek Sampling Stations 11-12

11-3 Summary of Sediment Sampling Along Site A Shoreline,
November 15-December 14, 2006 11-15

11-4 List of Fish Species Known to Occur in the East River and Newtown Creek 11-18

11-5 Plants Observed Within the Project Sites, October 23, 2007 11-22

11-6 Essential Fish Habitat Designated Species for the East River 11-26

13-1 Existing Water Consumption on Sites A and B 13-3

13-2 Yearly Average Flows at Bowery Bay WPCP 13-4

13-3 Projected Water Consumption on Sites A and B with
Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario 13-8

14-1 Existing Solid Waste Generation on the Project Sites..... 14-3

14-2 Solid Waste Generation on the Project Sites in 2017..... 14-4

15-1 Existing Energy Consumption on the Project Sites..... 15-5

15-2 Energy Consumption for the Proposed Actions in 2017 15-7

16-1 Existing Traffic Level of Service Summary..... 16-6

16-2 Inventory of Existing Public Parking Lots and Garages 16-8

16-3 Background Development Projects 16-12

16-4 Projected Vehicle Trip Generation from Background Development Projects 16-14

16-5 Traffic Level of Service Summary 16-14

16-6 2017 No Build Public Parking Lots and Garages..... 16-16

16-7 Weekday Travel Demand Characteristics: Build Condition 16-18

16-8 2017 Build Vehicle Trip Generation 16-21

16-9 Traffic Level of Service Summary Comparison No Build Vs.
Build Conditions (2017)..... 16-28

16-10 2017 Build Condition—New Intersections Overall Levels Of Service 16-29

16-11 Weekday Parking Accumulation - 2017 Build Condition..... 16-30

16-12 Accident Data 16-32

17-1 Local Bus Routes Serving the Study Area 17-3

17-2 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements..... 17-4

17-3 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements 17-6

17-5 2007 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Control Area Analysis 17-8

17-6	2007 Existing Conditions: Subway Line Haul Analysis.....	17-9
17-7	2007 Existing Conditions: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points.....	17-9
17-8	2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks	17-10
17-9	2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis For Corners	17-10
17-10	2007 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis For Crosswalks.....	17-11
17-11	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis	17-12
17-12	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis.....	17-12
17-13	2017 No Build Condition: Subway Line Haul Analysis.....	17-13
17-14	2017 No Build Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points	17-14
17-15	2017 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis For Sidewalks.....	17-15
17-16	2017 No Build Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners.....	17-15
17-17	2017 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis For Crosswalks.....	17-16
17-18	2017 Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis	17-17
17-19	2017 Build Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis	17-17
17-20	2017 Build Condition: Subway Line Haul Analysis.....	17-18
17-21	2017 Build Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis at Project Site Load Points.....	17-19
17-22	2017 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks	17-20
17-23	2017 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis For Corners	17-20
18-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQs).....	18-5
18-2	Mobile Source Analysis Sites	18-12
18-3	Proposed Parking Locations and Capacities	18-13
18-4	Chemicals That Would be Used in the Proposed School Laboratories	18-17
18-5	Chemical Selected for Worst-Case Spill Analysis.....	18-17
18-6	Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations Recorded at Representative Monitoring Stations in 2006	18-19
18-7	Modeled Existing 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations (2007).....	18-19
18-8	Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO	18-20
18-9	Future Modeled 24-Hour PM ₁₀ Concentrations Without The Proposed Actions (2017)	18-20
18-10	Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations	18-21
18-11	Future Modeled 24-Hour Average PM ₁₀ Concentrations (2017).....	18-22
18-12	Future (2017) Modeled 24-Hour Average PM _{2.5} Concentration Increments	18-23
18-13	Future (2017) Neighborhood-Scale Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration Increments.....	18-23

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

18-14 Total 8-Hour Co Concentrations Near Garage Ventilation Outlets (PPM).....18-23

18-15 Contaminant Concentrations Resulting From Businesses
with BEC Permits.....18-25

18-16 Maximum Predicted Recirculation Concentration (PPM)18-26

19-1 Common Noise Levels19-2

19-2 Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels19-3

19-3 New York City Noise Codes19-4

19-4 Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review¹19-6

19-5 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels19-6

19-6 Noise Receptor Locations19-8

19-7 Measured Existing Noise Levels (in dBA).....19-10

19-8 2017 No Build Noise Levels (in dBA).....19-10

19-9 2017 Build Noise Levels (in dBA).....19-11

20-1 Daily Numbers of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks20-13

20-2 Peak Construction Trip Projections 2012.....20-18

20-3 Comparison of Vehicle Trips—Construction and Operational20-19

20-4 Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment20-25

22-1 Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary22-3

22-2 2017 Mitigated Build Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis22-13

22-3 2017 Mitigated Build Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels ...22-15

22-4 2017 Mitigated Build Condition (Q103 Extension): Bus Line Haul Levels22-17

22-5 Future Modeled 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations with the Proposed
Actions With and Without Traffic Mitigation.....22-19

22-6 Future Modeled 24-Hour Average PM₁₀ Concentrations (2017)22-20

22-7 Future (2017) Modeled 24-Hour Average PM_{2.5} Concentration Increments22-20

22-8 Future (2017) Neighborhood Scale PM_{2.5} Concentration Increments22-20

24-1 Lesser Density Alternative24-11

24-2 Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment,
Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future with the Lesser Density Alternative.....24-13

24-3 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of Lesser Density Alternative
and Proposed Actions.....24-14

24-4 Lesser Density Alternative Trip Generation Summary24-18

24-5 Vehicle Trip Generation Lesser Density Alternative vs. Proposed Actions24-18

24-6 Transit and Pedestrian Trip Generation: Lesser Density Alternative vs. Proposed Actions 24-20

24-7 Adopted General Project Plan for Queens West Stages III and IV: Program, Bulk and Use Controls as of January 2008 24-23

24-8 Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2017 Future with the GPP Alternative..... 24-27

24-9 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of GPP Alternative and Proposed Actions . 24-28

24-10 GPP Alternative Trip Generation Summary 24-33

24-11 Vehicle Trip Generation GPP Alternative vs. Proposed Actions 24-34

24-12 Transit and Pedestrian Trip Generation GPP Alternative vs. Proposed Actions 24-35

List of Figures

S-1	Project Location	S-2
S-2	Mapped but Unbuilt Streets to be Eliminated	S-4
S-3	Proposed Streets and Parks	S-4
S-4	Other Related Mapping Actions.....	S-6
S-5	Illustrative Site Plan	S-10
S-6	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario Illustration of Proposed Buildings	S-10
1-1	Project Location	1-2
1-2	Adopted General Project Plan for Queens West	1-4
1-3	Project Sites – Sites A and B.....	1-6
1-4	Existing Uses on the Project Sites.....	1-6
1-5	Proposed Streets and Parks	1-8
1-6	Mapped but Unbuilt Streets to be Eliminated	1-8
1-7	Other Related Mapping Actions.....	1-10
1-8	Existing Zoning	1-10
1-9	Proposed Zoning	1-10
1-10	Proposed Street Network.....	1-16
1-11	Illustrative Site Plan	1-18
1-12	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario Illustration of Proposed Buildings	1-18
2-1	Land Use Study Areas and Subareas.....	2-2
2-2	Existing Zoning	2-8
2-3	Long Island City Industrial Business Zone and Ombudsman Area	2-12
2-4	No Build Project Locations	2-14
3-1	Socioeconomic Study Areas.....	3-4
3-2	Long Island City Industrial Business Zone and Ombudsman Area	3-8
3-3	Percent of Population Living Below the Poverty Level, 1999	3-22
3-4	Median Household Income, 1999	3-22
4-1	Public Schools	4-8
4-2	Public Libraries	4-16
4-3	Day Care and Head Start Facilities	4-20
4-4	Police and Fire Services	4-22
4-5	Health Care Facilities	4-24
5-1	Open Space Study Areas	5-4
5-2	Open Space Inventory	5-8

5-3	Proposed Open Spaces	5-14
6-1	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario Building Heights Analyzed in Shadow Study – View East.....	6-2
6-2	Screening Analysis	6-2
6-3	Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21 – 9:00 AM EDT	6-4
6-4	Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21 – 12:00 PM EDT	6-4
6-5	Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21 – 3:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-6	Shadows March 21 / Sept. 21 – 5:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-7	Shadows May 6 / August 6 – 9:00 AM EDT	6-4
6-8	Shadows May 6 / August 6 – 12:00 PM EDT	6-4
6-9	Shadows May 6 / August 6 – 3:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-10	Shadows May 6 / August 6 – 5:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-11	Shadows June 21 – 9:00 AM EDT	6-4
6-12	Shadows June 21 – 12:00 PM EDT	6-4
6-13	Shadows June 21 – 3:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-14	Shadows June 21 – 5:15 PM EDT	6-4
6-15	Shadows December 21 – 9:00 AM EST	6-4
6-16	Shadows December 21 – 12:00 PM EST	6-4
6-17	Shadows December 21 – 2:15 PM EST	6-4
7-1	Historic Resources Study Area	7-4
7-2	Views of Site A	7-6
7-3	Views of Site B and Study Area	7-6
7-4	Views of Study Area	7-8
7-5	Views of Study Area	7-8
7-6	Views of Study Area	7-8
7-7	View of Queens Waterfront from Manhattan	7-10
8-1	Visual Resources Study Area	8-2
8-2	Block Form and Street Pattern in the Study Area	8-4
8-3	Views of Site A	8-4
8-4	Views of Site A	8-4
8-5	Views of Site B	8-4
8-6	Views of Site A	8-6
8-7	Views of Study Area	8-6
8-8	Views of Study Area	8-8
8-9	Views of Study Area	8-12
8-10	Views of Study Area	8-12
8-11	Views of Study Area	8-14
8-12	Views of Study Area	8-14
8-13	Views of Study Area	8-14

Hunter’s Point South Rezoning and Related Actions DEIS

8-14 Views of Study Area 8-14

9-1 Neighborhood Character Study Areas..... 9-4

11-1 FEMA Flood Hazard Areas..... 11-8

11-2 National Wetlands Inventory 11-10

11-3 NYSDEC Tidal Wetlands 11-10

11-4 Current Land Cover..... 11-10

12-1 Coastal Zone Boundary 12-2

13-1 Existing Water Mains..... 13-2

13-2 Existing Combined Sewer System (Sanitary and Storm)..... 13-4

16-1 Traffic Study Area..... 16-4

16-2 Existing Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour 16-6

16-3 Existing Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour 16-6

16-4 Existing Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour..... 16-6

16-5 Parking Study Area 16-8

16-6 Background Development Projects..... 16-10

16-7 2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour..... 16-14

16-8 2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour..... 16-14

16-9 2017 No Build Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour 16-14

16-10 Proposed Site Plan Roadway Configuration 16-14

16-11 2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service AM Peak Hour..... 16-28

16-12 2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service Midday Peak Hour..... 16-28

16-13 2017 Build Traffic Levels of Service PM Peak Hour 16-28

17-1 Transit Study Area 17-2

17-2 Pedestrian Analysis Locations 17-2

18-1 Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations..... 18-12

19-1 Noise Receptor Locations 19-8

20-1 Conceptual Plan – Proposed Development Parcels and Project Elements..... 20-4

20-2 Conceptual Construction Sequence..... 20-12

20-3 RWCDs Conceptual Construction Schedule 20-14

22-1 Traffic Mitigation Overview AM Peak Hour..... 22-4

22-2 Traffic Mitigation Overview Midday Peak Hour..... 22-4

22-3 Traffic Mitigation Overview PM Peak Hour 22-4

24-1 Lesser Density Alternative—View East..... 24-16

24-2 General Project Plan Alternative Conceptual Plan..... 24-22

24-3 General Project Plan Alternative: Axonometric View 24-22

*