

**CB9M Manhattanville Rezoning Task Force**  
**Monday, October 24, 2005**  
**Minutes**

The meeting was called to order at approximately 6:45PM by Co-chair Jones.

The agenda was adopted; the minutes were adopted with any necessary corrections.

**197-A Plan Update**

Jones reported that on October 17, 2005 DCP presented CB9's 197-A Plan to the City Planning Commissioners. She further reported that the Commissioners unanimously agreed that the plan met their threshold review. In addition, the Commissioners invoked 197-A Plan Rule 3.721, whereby CB9's plan and Columbia's 197-C plan would be reviewed in parallel.

Additionally, it was reported that pursuant to CB9's request, Columbia has agreed to include the 197-A Plan as an alternative scenario in the EIS.

**Pratt Center Technical Resources (M. Stanislaus)**

Mr. Stanislaus reviewed terminology and direction in which consultants are moving to address Columbia's draft scope document. He noted that CB9 and consultants plan to develop a framework for how the 197-A Plan should be analyzed in Columbia's EIS.

Discussion points also included the baseline for existing conditions that should be used and how that would be projected into the future (years 2015 and 2030); impact of Columbia acquisitions on determination of baseline; need to compare Columbia's proposed changes of land use with the 197-A Plan changes of land use and how each impact area in the EIS would compare (apples to apples comparison); the appropriate build years for the EIS (in order to determine maximum impact); other developments which may need to be taken into account to determine impacts; definition of study area necessary for each EIS topic; issues of displacement (primary, secondary, as well as temporary displacement during the construction period); impacts on social fabric of a neighborhood.

It was noted that an EIS that is not in conformity with the final scope of the EIS is legally challengeable.

Input to CB9's response to Columbia's draft scope was requested to be forwarded to Pat Jones via e-mail who would distribute to the consultants; additional comments could also be submitted at the November Task Force meeting. Mr. Stanislaus also underscored that individuals should also speak at the public scoping meeting and also submit written responses to the draft scope expressing personal experiences and potential impacts of the Columbia proposal.

Questions relating to format of public scoping meeting were agreed to be forwarded to DCP. Chair of CB9 indicated that copies of the scoping document were sent to each elected official.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:15 PM.

The next Task Force meeting is scheduled for Monday, November 28, 2005 at 6:30 PM.