

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: Winter’s Eve at Lincoln Square.

Full Board Vote: 25 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application by the Lincoln Square BID to the Mayor’s Street Activity Permit Office for curb lane and street closures on Monday, November 26, 2012 (Asterisk indicates a new location, all other locations have been approved in previous years):

- a. A total street closure of West 62nd Street between Broadway and Columbus Avenue for erection of a dance tent. The tent will be erected on the north side of the street with a 15 foot emergency lane maintained on the south side of the street for emergency vehicles and garage traffic. Police Officers from the NYPD Paid Detail Unit will be used to monitor this location.
- b. A 200-foot curb lane and sidewalk on the south side of West 64th Street starting from the corner of Broadway heading east to erect several 10’ X 10’ tents. This location is a wrap around continuation of the food tasting tents erected on the east side of Broadway between 63rd and 64th Streets.
- c. A 200-foot curb lane and sidewalk on the north side of West 63rd Street starting from the corner of Broadway heading east to erect several 10’ X 10’ tents. This location is a wrap around continuation of the food tasting tents erected on the east side of Broadway between 63rd and 64th Streets
- d. Curb lane on the north side of West 63rd Street between Broadway and Columbus Avenue.
- e. Curb lane East side of Columbus between 63rd and 64th Streets (Dante Park), also 62nd to 63rd Street for generator trucks.
- f. Sidewalk and Curb lane – East side of Broadway between 63rd and 64th Street, where there are restaurants with sidewalk cafes. The owner of the building will be asked for permission. The curb lane would only be needed from 5:30 pm until 8:30 pm during the food tastings at that location.
- g. 3 parking spots/ curb lane east side of Broadway between 66th and 67th Streets.
- h. Curb lane along the east side of Broadway between 65th and 66th Streets (Richard Tucker Park).
- i. Curb lane along the west side of Columbus Avenue between 65th and 66th Streets (Richard Tucker Park).
- j. 1 parking spot / curb lane west side of Broadway between 62nd and 63rd Streets on the south side of the block (sky tracker).
- k. Parking spot / curb lane on the east side of Broadway at the Northeast corner of 67th Street (sky tracker).
- l. A 300-foot curb lane and sidewalk along the west side of Columbus Circle adjacent to the Time Warner Center.
- m. A 50-foot curb lane on the north side of 65th Street from the corner of Columbus Avenue east towards Central Park West.
- n. A 100-foot curb lane and sidewalk along the west side of Broadway running north from the North West corner of west 67th Street.
- o. A 100-foot curb lane and sidewalk along the north side of west 67th Street running west from the north west corner of 67th Street and Broadway
- p. A 200-foot curb lane and sidewalk along the east side of Broadway between 62nd and 63rd Street from mid-block to the southeast corner of West 63rd Street. The property owner has given permission for the LSBID to use this location.
- q. Curb lane and sidewalk on the North side of West 61st Street from Broadway to Columbus Avenue.
- r. *Curb lane and sidewalk along the west side of Broadway between 61st and West 62nd Streets.
- s. *A 200-foot curb lane and sidewalk along the east side of Broadway running north from 60th Street.
- t. *Curb lane and side walk on the west side of Columbus Avenue between 63rd and 64th Street.

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: 25 West 88th Street (Central Park West – Columbus Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** petition form EIN#123-58-0470 for a Revocable Consent to the Department of Transportation by Kurt Roeloffs to construct, maintain and use front entrance stoop at 25 West 88th Street.

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: 2642 Broadway, 3rd Floor (West 100th- 101st Street.)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application #B01743 to NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission by Two-Way Black Cars & Radio Group Transportation, Inc., for renewal of their For Hire Base Station license at 2642 Broadway, 3rd Floor.

Committee: 6-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: 504-510 West 110th Street (Amsterdam Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** petition form EIN#133-26-5803 for a Revocable Consent to the Department of Transportation by Amherst-Cortland Condominium to construct, maintain and use an ADA compliant ramp needed for entry access to the main lobby.

Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 176- 182 West 82nd Street (Columbus-Amsterdam Avenue.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to combine four buildings into one, reducing the number of dwelling units from twenty to eleven; to remove the fire escape and restore the West 82nd Street elevation; to replace windows; to lower the entrance by one step to allow the addition of a ramp for ADA accessibility; to remove six feet from the entire rear of the building and create an outdoor terrace and deck; and to add a penthouse.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

1. The four existing structures will be combined into one building, including filling in the interior courtyard space, removing three feet from the east and west ends of the rear facade, eight feet from the center section to create a faceted rear wall of the building, and excavating the basement 2'-6". Eleven new dwelling units and support spaces will replace the existing twenty smaller dwelling units. At the ground level, new exterior decks will be added at the enlarged rear courtyard space.
2. The existing fire escapes will be removed; fire egress will be accomplished by new interior fire stairs and egress corridors.

Front Façade:

3. The front facade will be extensively restored, including:
 - (a) replacing existing one-over-one double hung aluminum windows with new aluminum double-hung thermal windows within the existing openings, (single-hung at arched openings) with one-over-one or one-over-three, to match presumed original muntin patterns. Replacement windows and frames to be black;
 - (b) replacing existing front doors with new wood and glass front doors, similar to original, but taller;
 - (c) eliminating one 9" step, lowering front door threshold level in order to allow new ADA accessible ramp to be installed between existing stoop cheek walls without protruding out into sidewalk area. Ramp to be concrete, color to match sidewalk;
 - (d) repairing existing entry steps and painting them gray;
 - (e) stripping, repairing and restoring existing brownstone cladding at ground floor façade, and repainting in brighter white;
 - (f) stripping, repairing and repointing existing brick on upper floors;
 - (g) repairing existing brownstone sills and window headers;
 - (h) repairing and repainting existing metal cornice black;
 - (i) repairing and repainting existing metal railings at street level, and infilling additional metal railing to match existing where required;
 - (j) installing a black steel and glass canopy over the main entry door, supported on thin metal columns resting on existing stoop cheek walls. Metal details to be modeled on railing ornament details.

Rear and Side Façades:

4. The new, south-facing rear façade to be clad in brick, similar to original, with new double-hung aluminum thermal windows, two-over-two, painted black. The lowest two floors of the new rear façade to have more extensive, multi-paned fenestration, between masonry piers.

The renovated east and west-facing side facades to have existing brick repaired, supplemented by new brick to

match. New one-over-one-and two-over-two double-hung aluminum replacement windows to be installed within existing window openings. Additional window openings will generally align with existing window openings.

Rooftop Addition:

5. The proposed two-story rooftop addition includes two terraces, one between the cornice and the first floor of the addition on the existing roof (which will be lowered several inches), and the second on a portion of the set-back roof of the first floor of the proposed addition. The terraces are both located between the bulk of the proposed addition and the street.
Facades of the proposed addition to be of matching brick, with black steel terrace doors, black aluminum double-hung thermal windows to match replacement windows throughout.
6. From some vantage points on West 82nd Street and Amsterdam Avenue (which is one lot to the west of the building), the rooftop addition and bulkheads will be partially visible.
7. To minimize the visibility of the proposed rooftop addition, its bulk is massed at the southeast corner of the roof, including extending the east wall of the building 18 feet above the current roof level (and the same height above the rear roof terrace of the neighboring building to the east). The elevator bulkhead and some portion of mechanical bulkheads to extend five feet above the rooftop addition.
8. The rooftop addition is anticipated to have a significant impact on the rear yards of the applicant's building as well as that of its neighbors.

Revisions to the Proposal Following the Preservation Committee Meeting:

9. Following the hearing on this project, representatives of the applicant advised the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan of the following changes to the proposal:
 - (a) The ground floor front façade and stoop area will be painted brown rather than white.
 - (b) The proposed canopy has been eliminated from the project.
 - (c) The proposed acoustic enclosure on the rooftop addition will be moved 5 feet to the west, to make it less visible to the neighbors to the east.
10. In reliance on these representations, the Preservation Committee is deleting from its original resolutions its recommendation concerning the color of the ground floor front façade, and its disapproval of the canopy.

RESOLUTIONS:

Rooftop Addition:

Full Board Vote to disapprove: 25 In Favor 0 Against 4 Abstentions 0 Present

A. Community Board 7/ Manhattan finds that the design of the proposed rooftop addition is not appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District, due to the bulk and volume of the two-story addition and its massing and positioning near and impact over the rear yards of the subject building and its neighbors.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the design of the proposed rooftop.

Front Façade:

Full Board Vote to disapprove: 15 In Favor 9 Against 4 Abstentions 0 Present

B. Community Board 7/ Manhattan applauds the change to paint the lower floor of the front façade brown and the attention to certain details concerning the renovation work for the front façade.

C. Notwithstanding these elements, Community Board 7/Manhattan finds that the overall plan for the front façade is not reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District due to concerns over the design of the sashes for the arched windows at the top floor and certain other window replacements, the impact of the handicap accessible ramp on the windows and stoop, the proposed color for the ramp and stoop.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the front facade restoration and renovation work.

Rear and Side Facades:

Full Board Vote to disapprove: 15 In Favor 6 Against 5 Abstentions 0 Present

D. Community Board 7/ Manhattan finds that, while the design of the new faceted rear facade and the elements of the design pertaining to the east and west facades of the building are an improvement over previous iterations of the design, the overall effect of the elimination of original details of this building are still not reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the design of the rear, east and west façades.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 55 Central Park West (West 65th - 66th Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a replacement of main entrance doors and of non-historic doctors' office doors at the ground floor.

Full Board Vote: 28 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing materials and details at the main entrance doors will be restored and retained where still in good condition, replicated using the same materials and details where required. These materials include copper, nickel-plated steel, and bronze overlay.
- The center glass panels of the doors will have a frosted glass skyscraper design modeled on the design in the lobby flooring, continuing the skyscraper motif used throughout the building.
- The new doctors' office doors will be more solid than the main entrance doors, but the same materials and similar details will be used, including copper trim. They will be composed primarily of nickel plated steel, with bronze overlay details. At the center will be a narrow vertical glazed panel with a similar frosted glass skyscraper design.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan finds that the design of the new main entrance doors and the design of the new doctors' office doors are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District, with the suggestion that the width of the stiles at the doctors' office doors be slightly reduced to be similar to the top rail dimension.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the replacement of the main entrance doors and of the non-historic doctors' office doors.

Committee: 4-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 464 & 466 Amsterdam Avenue (West 82nd - 83rd Streets.) Application #13-5630 & #13-5631 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to remove two (2) 'special windows' and replace them with doors to provide access to a new trash platform at the rear of the buildings.

Full Board Vote: 24 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The two sills of the two existing window openings will be lowered to floor level.
- Two new metal doors with glazed upper panels will be installed.
- Glass door panels to incorporate square-edge muntins in an unequal "eight-over-eight" pane design relating to the muntin pattern of the original "special" windows.
- New doors to be painted to match existing window colors/
- New trash platform to be erected in rear courtyard of black steel framing with a black perforated metal deck.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the new doors and trash platform is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the removal of two "special windows", to be replaced by two new doors that will pay homage to the muntin pattern of the existing special window, to create access for a new trash platform at the rear of the building.

Committee: 4-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 70 West 85th Street (Columbus Avenue.) Application #13-6148 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a horizontal rear extension, new rear façade, new landscaped design at rear garden and new stair bulkhead providing access to the roof.

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- New 4-story full-width rear yard addition to replace existing 3-story “dogleg” addition, set 30’-1” back from rear property line; the new rear facade will be 2 feet beyond the rear facade line of the existing dogleg addition.
- Rear yard addition to be clad in common red brick, with double-hung two-over-two wood windows on the upper floors, fixed wood windows and sliding glass doors at double-height space below. Windows to be painted dark charcoal grey.
- Existing half-depth 5th floor structure to be extended back to 1.5 feet beyond original rear facade line. New 5th floor rear facade to be clad in horizontal, gray zinc panels.
- New rooftop stair bulkhead to be clad in vertical, gray zinc panels.
- Rear yard to have paved and planted areas. The Committee urges the applicant to employ porous paving materials

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rear extension, rear façade design, rear garden design, and new rooftop stair bulkhead.

Preservation Committee: 4-1-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 0-0-2-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committees of Origin: Preservation

Re: 152 West 88th Street (Columbus-Amsterdam Avenues.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for possible window replacement on the front façade and for rear-yard and roof-top additions.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

A. Rear yard addition:

Full Board Vote to disapprove: 27 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

- Open space to the east of the existing dogleg rear yard addition to be filled in, resulting in a full-width rear yard addition, 3 stories tall, adding 312 sf. total floor area. This is the first rear-yard infill addition in this entire row of buildings within the block interior. It would represent the first step at eroding the open space within the block interior and interrupt the rhythmic in-and-out flow of open space at the perimeter of the block interior along the rear facades.
- New full-width addition to be clad in buff color brick, incorporating some of masonry details from original rear façade, including brick corbelling at top of rear façade wall, limestone sills, Carrera marble lintels.
- New addition to have new multi-paned, casement wood windows, fixed windows and French doors, including a large-scale central double-height door and window element at the lowest two floors, framed by decorative side casings and decorative carriage-house light fixtures. The rear windows on the second and third floors include a carved decorative detail which copies a pattern from the ornate stonework on the front façade. The large-scale fenestration elements of the rear façade is visually distinct from the surrounding rear facades within the block interior, with their many smaller-scale, unadorned window and door openings

Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the rear yard addition, in being out of scale with the surrounding rear yard facades, and in employing an architectural vocabulary, rhythms and massing that are inconsistent with and insensitive to the surrounding architectural and spatial context, is inappropriate to the historic character of the building, the block interior, and the Historic District.

The Board feels strongly that the pristine condition of this block interior should be preserved.

Construction of any rear yard structure which fills in the open space between original “dogleg” additions would dramatically and permanently diminish the historic character of the block interior, and set a dangerous precedent for further erosion of the “donut”.

In addition, the overall effect of the elevation of the first three floors of the proposed rear addition is to create a grand entrance typical of a front, not rear, façade.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rear yard addition.

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

B. Rooftop addition:

Full Board Vote to disapprove: 18 In Favor 9 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

- New rooftop addition to be 670 square feet, set back 10 feet from front facade so not visible from the street below.
- Addition and new elevator bulkhead to be clad in light beige stucco at front and rear, buff brick at side walls, with sloping metal standing seam zinc colored roof, buff brick at chimney extension.

- New windows to be wood. Windows to have no muntins in front facade, be multi-paned at rear façade, each treatment related to the window vocabulary of the lower floors.
- New arched central dormer at rear, with a half-oval dormer window with muntins in a radiating pattern facing the rear yard.
- The proposed rooftop addition dominates the roof and is visible from the donut.

Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the rooftop addition is not reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rooftop addition.

C. Front facade restoration includes the following:

Full Board Vote to approve: 26 In Favor 1 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

- Painting and repairing cornice
- General maintenance of front facade cladding.
- Replacing existing wood windows with new thermal wood windows to match existing one-over-one double hung windows, painted brown.
- Removing window air conditioners.
- Refurbishing existing wood and glass front doors.
- Installing more historically appropriate light fixtures.
- Replacing stoop side rail enclosures with more historically accurate side enclosures, modeled on neighboring stoops.
- Replacing wrought iron gate with new metal gate, modeled on existing.

Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that front facade restoration work and window replacement work are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the front façade restoration work and new windows.

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Parks & Environment

Re: 79th Street Boat Basin, Riverside Park. Proposal by the NYC Department of Parks & Recreation on the design for the complete replacement of A-Dock, known as the Public Pier, on the upstream side of the marina at the 79th Street Boat Basin. A-Dock will continue to serve as the facility's Public Pier to include a kayak launch, an access point for smaller vessels moored north of the marina and a deep water mooring at the outer most slip.

Full Board Vote: 18 In Favor 6 Against 3 Abstentions 0 Present

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

The A-Dock, also known as the Public Pier, has significantly deteriorated since its last renovation, and for that reason has been closed to the public for several years. Community Board 7/Manhattan, believes that the Public Pier is an important amenity for the CB7 community and for all park users, providing access directly over the Hudson River with a unique opportunity to experience an actual working pier in Riverside Park.

The Department of Parks and Recreation has concluded that the condition of the existing pier requires a complete replacement in kind, with new steel piers, new understructure, new wood decking, new lighting and other street furniture, and new railings. The project is being funded with public money, and most of the funding is in place.

Following the renovation, the pier will continue to be used as a launching site for private kayaks, as an access point for small vessels moored north of the Marina, and as a deep water mooring site at the outmost portion of the pier, the "end pier", including for vessels that offer educational programs, such as the Clearwater. It will also be reopened to the public during daylight hours, restoring a feature of Riverside Park that was very popular for some years prior to the most recent closing of the pier to the public.

The Parks & Environment Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan, thanks various user groups, including "live-aboards" at the Marina, kayak users and others for their thoughtful comments on the proposed design. As a result of those comments, aired at the Committee's September and October, 2012 meetings, DPR has reconsidered some aspects of the design as originally presented, has made some modifications, and is continuing to consider further limited modifications. The Committee thanks DPR and its outside consultants for their clear and thorough presentations and for their thoughtful responses to Committee and community comments.

Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the final design to reconstruct the A-Dock at the 79th Street Marina.

It further recommends and strongly urges that DPR consider potential modifications as follows:

1. Modifying the design for the railing at the "end pier" to accommodate expressed concerns about safety for boaters whose vessels are moored at that portion of the pier, possibly by moving the railing back from the edges of the decking, while also maintaining the greatest practical space for public use at the end pier.
2. Modifying the "gate" for the kayak launch to provide a greater turning radius for large kayaks being transported on the pier to the launch.
3. Reinforcing PlaNYC's commitment to responsible energy usage by using lighting powered by a sustainable energy source, consistent with respect for the historical design and context of the pier.

Committee: 7-1-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-1-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 103 West 70th Street (Columbus Avenue)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Peter Candari, d/b/a To be Determined.

Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2626 Broadway (West 99th Street)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Alamo Metro, LLC d/b/a To be Determined.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2642 Broadway (West 100th Street)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by New A & J Restaurant Inc. d/b/a To be Determined.

Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 936 Amsterdam Avenue (West 106th Street)

Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Andrew Breslin, d/b/a To be Determined.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: Unenclosed Café Renewal Applications:

Full Board Vote: 24 In Favor 1 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following unenclosed café renewal applications:

- **2012 Broadway** (West 68th-69th Street.) Renewal application DCA# 12829599 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Nanoosh Broadway, LLC, d/b/a Nanoosh, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 6 tables and 12 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.
- **285 Columbus Avenue** (West 73rd Street.) Renewal application DCA# 1343003 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Tenzan New York, Corp., d/b/a Tenzan Japanese Restaurant, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 21 tables and 44 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.
- **430 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 81st Street.) Renewal application DCA# 1096363 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Third Avenue Restaurant, Inc., d/b/a Jake's Dilemma, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 8 tables and 21 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.
- **2637 Broadway** (West 100th Street.) Renewal application DCA# 1280169 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by S.A.V Associates, Inc., d/b/a Turkvaz, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 16 tables and 32 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.
- **2740 Broadway** (West 105th Street.) Renewal application DCA# 1222176 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Silver Moon Bakery, Inc., d/b/a Silver Moon Bakery, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 15 tables and 30 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.
- **982-988 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 108th – 109th Street.) Renewal application 1347879 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Amsterdam Avenue Restaurant, LLC, d/b/a Village Pourhouse, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 24 seats.
Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committee of Origin: Steering

Re: Community Board 7/Manhattan's priorities for the FY2014 NYC Expense Budget.

Full Board Vote: 25 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **adopts** the proposed priorities for the FY2012 NYC Expense Budget.

RESOLUTION

Date: November 15, 2012

Committees of Origin: Steering

Re: Community Board 7/Manhattan's priorities for the FY2014 NYC Capital Budget.

Full Board Vote: 25 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **adopts** the proposed priorities for the FY2012 NYC Capital Budget.