



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Housing

Re: Preserving and improving New York State Rent Regulation Laws applicable to New York City [Emergency Tenant Protection Act (1974)] due to expire on June 15, 2015.

Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 3 Against 2 Abstentions 1 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- Community Board 7/Manhattan (MCB7) supports the right of all residents to live and raise a family in their home communities.
- Market rate housing in New York City continues to climb in value. Without rent regulation a significant amount of the West Side community would be forced to leave their home community.
- New York City continues to lose affordable rent regulated units through vacancy decontrol and luxury decontrol.
- The expiration of the New York State Rent Regulation Laws on June 15, 2015 would be a disaster for millions of New York City Residents.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan strongly supports the renewal of the rent regulations, and urges State Legislators and other elected officials to renew the New York State Rent Laws in anticipation of their sunset on June 15, 2015.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan urges State Legislators to repeal vacancy and luxury decontrol.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 555 West End Avenue (West 87th Street.) Application # 17-0198 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to create a new entrance, install a canopy, create new window openings, replace special windows construct a rooftop and rear yard additions, and modify the rear facades.

Full Board Vote A: 40 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

Full Board Vote B: 40 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

Full Board Vote C: 39 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

- A. Regarding the façade restoration and reconstruction work; the window replacement; new 87th Street entrance with new canopy and lighting; parapet reconstruction and panel infill; rear façade modifications and rear yard addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:
1. There will be extensive restoration work to the entire building.
 - The masonry facades will be repaired and restored as required.
 - All windows will be replaced with new painted wood windows with transoms modelled on the original windows.
 - The missing central medallion of the front parapet will be recreated, minus the religious iconography.
 - The remainder of the existing parapet along both street facades will be restored and augmented to create a continuous line. All existing open or blocked-in panels will be infilled with transparent glass.
 - The bricked-in sides of the existing barrel-vaulted space at the roof level will be re-opened, restored with metal and glass infill modelled on the original.
 2. To create ADA access, a new entrance to the building will be created at grade level, bridging over the existing areaway surrounding the building.
 - The new entrance will be cut into the blank wall along 87th Street, centered under the large window grouping.
 - The new entrance will be defined by a large-scaled, deeply cut chamfered frame surrounding a modern glazed door pair with multi-lite transom above.
 - A new dark bronze metal canopy with slender poles is proposed.
 - Two 16" diameter lighting globes are proposed for the entrance, located at the areaway railing cut created for the new entrance.

The Committee requested that the applicant revise the design of the following elements, to which the applicant agreed:

- The architectural definition of the newly-created 87th Street entrance opening should have some additional architectural modulation to be more respectful of the historic facade fabric into which it is being cut.
 - The sleek modern design of the canopy should be revisited to be more visually consistent with other buildings of this era with extensive architectural detail, as well as with other residential buildings in the neighborhood.
 - The scale and the design of the large simple light globes should be reconsidered to be less modern.
3. The modifications to the new portions of the rear façades consist of removing an enclosed fire stair that forms an "L" off the north portion of the rear yard and erecting a new façade in the rear yard on the north side that will expand the footprint of the yard from approximately 900 SF to 1,200 SF. The Committee finds that the new rear yard facade will be visually consistent with the existing fenestration design and patterning, and with the brick cladding of the existing rear façade. The

250 West 87th Street New York, NY 10024-2706

Phone: (212) 362-4008 Fax: (212) 595-9317

Web site: nyc.gov/mcb7 e-mail address: office@cb7.org

modifications to the rear facade will be partially visible from West 86th Street through the open alley to the south.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed restoration and reconstruction work; the replacement windows; the design of the new 87th Street entrance with canopy and lighting as modified; the parapet reconstruction and panel infill, and the rear façade modifications and the rear yard addition are all reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the façade restoration and reconstruction work; the replacement windows; the design of the new 87th Street entrance with canopy and lighting as modified; the parapet reconstruction and panel infill; and the rear façade modifications and the rear yard addition.

The Committee commends the applicant on the extensive restoration work, and the great sensitivity to design and detail in the proposed modifications.

B. Regarding the one story rooftop addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The one story rooftop addition will be set back 10 feet from both the West End Avenue and 87th Street facades. It will be centered on the West End Avenue façade.
- The rooftop addition will be 12 feet above the roof/ parapet top.
- The rooftop addition will consist of large glazed openings set within limestone framing. The fenestration will be steel-framed glass doors and full-height windows and incorporate proportions from the building's original window openings
- It is visible from numerous vantage points along the public way in the neighborhood, with maximum visibility along West 87th Street, looking west from Broadway.

The Committee recommends that

- The penthouse addition be reduced in height and/or set back further to minimize its visibility from the public way, and to reduce the height of the elevator bulkhead and mechanical enclosure platform.
- The design of the penthouse enclosure be modified to pay greater respect to its being the conclusion of the building, more consciously focusing on how it meets the sky with the addition of some simple architectural details and/or shadow lines, etc.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that design of the one-story rooftop addition - with consideration given to the Committee's design modification recommendations - is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District, subject to the design recommendations.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rooftop addition, with the strong recommendation that the addition be reduced in height and/or set back further to decrease its visibility, and that its design be modified to soften the severity of its roofline edge.

C. Regarding the mechanical enclosure and the elevator bulkhead, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The elevator bulkhead will project 10 feet above the penthouse roof.
- The mechanical equipment enclosure will extend 7 feet further up.
- The enclosure will be light colored to minimize its visibility.

Because of their bulk and excessive height, the elevator bulkhead and mechanical equipment are highly visible from many vantage points of the public way. Their massive appearance detracts from the historic fabric of the main building as well as the contrasting features of the proposed penthouse addition. Therefore, the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the current design of the mechanical equipment enclosure and the elevator bulkhead are inappropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

The Committee recommends that the applicant look at ways to disperse the mechanical equipment over more floor area so that its height and bulk can be minimized, in order to significantly diminish its visibility.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the mechanical enclosure and the elevator bulkhead.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 118 West 76th Street (Columbus – Amsterdam Avenues.) Application #17-0584 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to construct rooftop and rear yard additions.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing structure has been stabilized from structural failure after decades of deterioration following abandonment.
- The front façade will be restored, including cornice, brownstone facing, etc. New windows will be installed, including curved windows in the bowed front bay.
- A new full-width four-story rear yard addition will replace the existing three-story L-shaped addition. The addition will step back above the third floor to align with the parapet of the adjacent addition.
- The existing top floor window openings will remain, with the sill of the narrower (western) window lowered to create a new door opening.
- The addition will be primarily “storefront” style glass infill, framed in red brick. The glazing will be large undivided panes of clear glass and spandrel panels of clear and obscure glass within a dark bronze metal framework. New lintels above the windows will align with those of the neighboring façade to the east.
- The existing rear yard will be excavated to within 5 feet of the rear property line, so the reconstructed rear yard will hardscaped.
- The new rooftop addition will have metal-framed glazing at the short ends, and will be clad in light stucco along its sides.
- Owing to the slightly reduced floor-to-ceiling heights of the newly constructed interior floors, the new rooftop addition will not project above the top of the restored cornice. The rooftop addition will also be set back from the cornice, and will not be visible from the public way.
- The mechanical equipment, located above the center of the rooftop addition, will be minimally visible from the public way. Equipment will be galvanized aluminum to visually recede into the sky. The visibility is largely the result of the building facing an open playground accessory to the O’Shea public school complex across West 76th Street, creating unusually long vista corridors.

The Committee requested that the unbroken expanses of large glass panels at the new rear façade be broken down by introducing some small scale modulation, which the applicant agreed to do.

The Committee further strongly recommends that the rear yard be surfaced with permeable paving and that greenery be re-introduced.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the rooftop addition and the rear yard addition as modified are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rooftop addition and the rear yard addition as modified, and strongly recommends the introduction of permeable paving and greenery into the rear yard. The Committee applauds the extensive stabilization and restoration work.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 2195 Broadway, d/b/a AT&T (West 77th – 78th Streets.) Application #16-9149 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to install storefront infill and signage.

Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 1 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing store is expanding to the south. The new store entrance will be relocated to the new southern portion, as will the store signage.
- New glass-framed dark brown metal “storefront” will be installed at both sections of the façade on a black granite base.
- Existing cast iron columns behind brick facing at the northern section will be exposed and painted gray, along with the existing cast iron panel above.
- New signage over the entrance will consist of an acrylic and metal “AT&T” logo globe pin-mounted on an orange aluminum composite panel within painted wood framing. The globe will be back-lit LED halo lighting

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the storefront infill and signage is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan approves the storefront infill and signage.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 38 West 83rd Street (Columbus Avenue – Central Park West.) Application #16-4475 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to legalize window replacement and facade and areaway work performed without Landmarks Preservation Commission permits.

Full Board Vote: 25 In Favor 9 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

Regarding the separate violations, the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan believes that the as-built design of the following four items is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

1. The **multi-part transoms** installed above the one-over-one double-hung Parlor Floor bay windows (in lieu of the single pane transoms originally proposed). The Committee is satisfied that the multi-part transoms replicate the original transom windows design.
2. The **fanlight transom** design at the top of the fourth floor arched window (in lieu of the single pane arched transom originally proposed.) While not original, a majority of the Committee found the fanlight transom appropriate.
3. The **one-over-one double hung window** (in lieu of the French window pair originally proposed) below the fanlight window. The Committee found the as-installed window appropriate and consistent with other examples in the same grouping.
4. The **brick chimney extension**, required by Code – the Committee found it to be minimally visible and consistent with other roofscape elements throughout the neighborhood.
5. Regarding the final violation, the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan believes that the **location of the light fixture** over the Basement window in the areaway is inappropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

The Committee recommends relocating the light to the arched under-stoop entrance, with concealed conduit, as is typical within the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan approves the legalization of the first four violations and disapproves the legalization of the light fixture violation.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 55 West 90th Street (Columbus Avenue – Central Park West.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for 2-story rear addition, a rooftop addition, façade restoration and replacement of windows.

Full Board Vote: 33 In Favor 2 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The front facade will be restored. Blue paint will be removed and brownstone will be restored.
- New double-hung wood windows will be installed. The new windows will replicate the original configurations and be painted black to match the original.
- A new two-story rear yard addition will be constructed within the open space between the existing three-story half-width addition and the property line.
- The new addition will be set slightly back from the existing addition.
- The rear façade will be full-width aluminum and glass “storefront” (operable doors at grade, fixed windows above), with dark metal finish.
- The fenestration in the existing three-story addition will be modified to be three equal sized single double-hung windows.
- The new addition’s exposed side wall will be clad in red brick to match existing.
- The rooftop addition will have brick side walls, with similar black aluminum and glass infill fenestration to the new two-story addition below.
- Mechanical equipment will be situated on the rear roof deck, north of the elevator bulkhead. With the exception of the fireman upper-roof access ladder, none of the rooftop addition will be visible from the public way.

The Committee requested the “storefront” infill glazing at the rear yard addition be installed within a matching dark metal frame to make it more visually substantial. The applicant agreed.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the 2-story rear addition as modified, rooftop addition, façade restoration and replacement of windows is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves the 2-story rear addition as modified, the rooftop addition, façade restoration and replacement of windows.**



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: Resolution in support of City Council Intro No. 791-2015 by councilmember Helen Rosenthal.

Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- Often, subsequent to presentations before the Community Board and hearings before the Landmarks Preservation Commission, developers/applicants make significant changes to their applications for certificates of appropriateness (C/As) for renovations, additions and new construction of buildings in historic districts, including individual landmarks.
- When these changes are made, there is currently no process for notification to the affected Community Boards or the councilmember in whose district the project is located.
- Councilmember Rosenthal's bill would require that any modification to an application for a C/A made after a public hearing that would change the footprint of a proposed improvement, increase its height or significantly change the exterior design elements or materials, be referred to the affected Community Boards. The commission would also be required to notify the appropriate councilmember. This process would only require one such review.
- LPC would be prohibited from taking further action on the application for forty-five days.
- For any modifications after the additional referral, LPC would be required to notify the Community Board and the appropriate councilmember of its final action including an explanation of the modifications.
- The requirement that filed applications be referred to affected Community Boards would be codified.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan expresses its strong support for New York City Council Intro No. 791-2015 and calls upon the City Council and the Mayor to enact Intro No. 791-2015 into law.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: Greenfield/ Koo bill Intro 775 re: de-calendaring.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

This resolution is based upon the following facts:

- Nearly one hundred buildings, interiors, scenic areas, and neighborhoods or collections of buildings (“calendared items”) have been on the calendar of the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission (“LPC”) for potential designation as either individual landmarks or historic districts for a period in excess of five years without a vote having been taken on the proposed designation. In most instances, LPC long ago completed its public hearing on the proposed landmarks or historic districts.
- New York City Council Intro 775 seeks to impose time limits within which LPC must hold a public hearing on a calendared item, and a separate time limit within which LPC must vote on the calendared item. Intro 775 would require a public hearing on a proposed individual, interior and scenic landmarks within 180 days from adoption of a motion to calendar, and a vote within 180 days from the conclusion of the public hearing. For historic districts, it would require a public hearing within one year of adoption of a motion to calendar, and a vote within one year of the close of the public hearing.
- Intro 775 would also establish a period during which the backlog of calendared items must be cleared.
- In the event that LPC fails to vote to designate a calendared item by the respective deadline, Intro 775 would require that the proposal be automatically removed from the calendar and would prevent the LPC from calendaring that proposal for a period of at least 5 years.
- The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan believes that setting firm deadlines for actions by the LPC is in the best interests of the entire community.
- The Preservation Committee, however, believes that the prohibition of reconsideration of a proposal for 5 years after failing to be approved for designation by the LPC for any reason is improperly punitive and contrary to the best interests of the community.

Now, therefore, Community Board 7/Manhattan resolves to:

- A. endorse the objectives of Intro 775 to impose a finite timetable for the orderly consideration of proposed individual, interior and scenic landmarks and for proposed historic districts, and to
- B. oppose any effort to prevent re-consideration of a proposed individual, interior or scenic landmark or historic district if the calendared item should not be approved for designation within the specified timetable.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: Proposed changes in the boundaries of the pending WEA Historic District.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

This resolution is based upon the following facts:

- Community Board 7/Manhattan adopted a resolution on June 3, 2008, urging the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission ("LPC") to designate an historic district to include every building fronting on West End Avenue from West 70th Street through West 107th Street. The resolution passed CB7 by a vote of 33-1-1-0.
- LPC conducted research into the structures worthy of historic district protection, and November 16, 2010, calendared three proposed historic districts that collectively included every structure fronting West End Avenue from West 70th Street through Broadway at West 109th Street, as well as most of the structures on the corresponding side streets and fronting on Riverside Drive, as well as many worthy structures fronting the west side of Broadway.
- LPC designated the West End-Collegiate Historic District Extension (West 70th-79th Streets) and the Riverside-West End Historic District Extension I (West 79th -87th Streets) on June 25, 2013 and June 26, 2012 respectively, and the City Council approved such designations.
- The Riverside-West End Historic Extension II (West 87th-109th Streets) was the subject of an LPC hearing on October 25, 2011, but to date LPC has not called for a vote on this remaining portion of the proposed historic district.
- Recently, the Preservation Committee has learned that LPC intends to remove certain structures from the footprint of the proposed Extension II, including the entire block between Riverside Drive and West End Avenue between West 95th-96th Streets, as well as virtually all of the structures fronting the west side of Broadway between West 89th Street to West 105th Street and an indeterminate number of mid-block structures in that span between West End Avenue and Broadway.
- The Preservation Committee believes that the elimination of these structures from the proposed Extension II will significantly erode the unique sense of place and diminish the essential character sought to be preserved by the Extension II, which LPC's report captured in noting: "The work of a distinguished group of residential architects defines the character of the proposed Riverside-West End Historic District extension, which features the grand town houses and later apartment buildings that transformed West End Avenue into a desirable boulevard between 1900 and the late 1920s."

Now, therefore, Community Board 7/Manhattan resolves to call upon the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission promptly to designate the entirety of the proposed Riverside-West End Historic District Extension II as calendared.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Parks & Environment

Re: Design for reconstruction by Department of Parks and Recreation of sidewalks in Riverside Park along Riverside Drive between 91st Street and 95th Street.

Full Board Vote: 33 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee 4-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-1-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken in to account in arriving at our conclusions:

The sidewalks in Riverside Park along Riverside Drive have deteriorated very significantly over prior decades. The restoration of the sidewalks in our District has been a Community Board 7/Manhattan budget priority item for many years. With funds obtained for DPR by New York City Council members, the sections of the sidewalk from 72nd Street to 91st Street were restored in several stages, leaving the section from 91st Street to 95th Street still to be restored, and in very poor condition. This year, funds secured by Council Member Rosenthal have become available to restore that section.

The Parks and Environment Committee of CB7 believes that the proposed design, which includes new hex block paving, granite block tree pits, new benches, the installation of Riverside Park luminaires on the existing light poles and other improvements, is appropriate to the historic design of the park. It also increases the permeability of these sidewalk areas, which is an environmental improvement.

Community Board 7/Manhattan **commends** DPR for its thoughtful presentation, and **approves** the design for the reconstruction of the sidewalks in Riverside Park along Riverside Drive from 91st Street to 95th Street.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Parks & Environment

Re: Design for the restoration of the Ramble and the Ravine in Central Park.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee 4-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

The Ramble, in the West 70's in Central Park, is adjacent to The Lake, which has recently been restored by the Central Park Conservancy in several stages over several years. The final stage of the work at this area involves the restoration of the water course at The Ramble, known as The Gill. As part of the same project, the Conservancy proposes to restore several rustic features at The Ramble and also to restore the water course, known as The Loch, in the Ravine at the north end of the Park.

The proposed work, while not restoring the water courses to their precise original configurations, will remove accumulated sediment and other debris, will not disturb established major trees and will recreate a reasonable approximation of the original ambiance at both of these rustic areas of the park.

The Parks and Environment Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan believes that the proposed restoration at these two areas of the park is appropriate to their historic character. Community Board 7 **commends** the Central Park Conservancy for its thoughtful presentation and its sensitive design proposal.

Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the proposed design for the restoration of the Ramble and the Ravine in Central Park.

**RESOLUTION**

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 180 Columbus Avenue (West 68th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by COBP Corp. d/b/a Il Violino.

**RESOLUTION**

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 568 Amsterdam Avenue (West 87th Street)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by 568 Amsterdam LLC d/b/a To be Determined.

**RESOLUTION**

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 570 Amsterdam Avenue (West 87th Street)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Mermaid Grill LLC d/b/a The Mermaid Inn.

**RESOLUTION****Date: June 2, 2015****Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues****Re: 410 Amsterdam Avenue (West 79th Street.)****Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present***Committee: 7-0-0-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by ThandaNYC LLC d/b/a Thanda.

**RESOLUTION**

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 1991 Broadway (West 67th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **disapproves without prejudice** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Sugar Factory Broadway LLC, d/b/a Sugar Factory.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: Unenclosed Café Renewal Applications.

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the following unenclosed sidewalk café renewal applications:

- **302 Columbus Avenue (West 74th –75th Streets.) Renewal application #1339241-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Lennv's 74th Street, LLC, d/b/a Lennv's, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 18 seats.**
Committee: 6-1-0-0.
- **201 West 79th Street (Amsterdam Avenue.) Renewal application #1125981-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Renolta, LLC, d/b/a Nice Matin, for four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 24 tables and 68 seats.**
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **435 Amsterdam Avenue (West 81st Street.) Renewal application #1387587-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 357 Hospitality, Inc., d/b/a Spice, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 17 tables and 34 seats.**
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **450 Amsterdam Avenue (West 82nd Street). Renewal application #1204137-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by EKD Tavern, Inc., d/b/a The Dead Poet, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 5 tables and 11 seats.**
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **474 Columbus Avenue (West 83rd Street.) Renewal application #1415817-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Spring Natural Corp., d/b/a Spring Natural Kitchen, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 20 seats.**
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **485 Columbus Avenue (West 83rd – 84th Streets.) Renewal application #1249725-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Cilantro West, LLC, d/b/a Cilantro NYC, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 18 seats.**
Committee: 6-0-0-0.
- **522 Columbus Avenue (West 85th Street.) Renewal application #0895505-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Barrier, Corp., d/b/a Firehouse Restaurant, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 11 tables and 29 seats, conditioned on the owners implementing a barrier system to preclude sidewalk encroachment and servers serving within café footprint.**
Committee: 6-0-0-0.
- **2799 Broadway (West 108th Street.) Renewal application #1394145-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 2799 Broadway Grocery, LLC, d/b/a Cascabel Taqueria, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 20 tables and 41 seats.**
Committee: 6-0-0-0.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 370 Columbus Avenue (West 77th – 78th Streets.)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** renewal application #1337067-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Gari International, Inc., d/b/a Gari, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 11 tables and 22 seats.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 722 Amsterdam Avenue (West 95th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** renewal application #1458675-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Opai Thai, Inc., d/b/a Opai Thai Restaurant, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 8 tables and 17 seats.

**RESOLUTION****Date: June 2, 2015****Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues****Re: Enclosed Café Renewal Applications:****Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 4 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the following enclosed sidewalk café renewal applications:

- **2020 Broadway** (West 69th Street.) Renewal application #0769760-DCA/ ULURP# N110004ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by First 69th Street Realty Corp., d/b/a Westside Restaurant, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 17 tables and 34 seats.
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **247 West 72nd Street** (Broadway – West End Avenue.) Renewal application #1379700-DCA/ ULURP# N150029ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by My Most Favorite 72nd St. Corp., d/b/a My Most Favorite Food, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 27 seats.
Committee: 6-0-0-0.
- **2290 Broadway** (West 83rd Street.) Renewal application #1350796DCA/ ULURP #N140425ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Corned Beef Express, LLC, d/b/a Artie's Delicatessen, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 26 tables and 54 seats.
Committee: 6-0-0-0.
- **502 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 84th -85th Streets.) Renewal application #1146560-DCA/ ULURP# N120361ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Romagica, Corp., d/b/a Celeste, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 10 seat.
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **2483 Broadway** (West 92nd -93rd Streets.) Renewal application #0916146-DCA/ ULURP #N150028ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Hussien Environment, Inc., d/b/a Cleopatra's Needle, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 16 seats.
Committee: 6-0-0-0.

**RESOLUTION****Date: June 2, 2015****Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues****Re: 483 Columbus Avenue (West 83rd Street.)****Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present***Committee: 6-0-0-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** new application #3872-2015-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by LVSS, Inc., d/b/a Bellini, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 3 tables and 6 seats.

**RESOLUTION****Date: June 2, 2015****Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues****Re: 570 Amsterdam Avenue (West 87th – 88th Streets.)****Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present***Committee: 7-0-0-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** new application #4150-2015-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Mermaid 88, LLC, d/b/a The Mermaid Inn, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 15 tables and 34 seats.

**RESOLUTION****Date: June 2, 2015****Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues****Re: 210 West 94th Street (Broadway – Amsterdam Avenue.)****Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present***Committee: 6-0-0-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** new application #5245-2015-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Levantino, LLC, d/b/a Vino Levantino, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 6 tables and 14 seats, conditioned on the restaurant will not playing amplified music.

**RESOLUTION**

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2580 Broadway (West 97th – 98th Streets.)

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** new application #4241-2015-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 2580 Broadway, Inc., d/b/a Earth Cafe, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 8 tables and 16 seats.



RESOLUTION

Date: June 2, 2015

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2672 Broadway (West 102nd Street.)

Full Board Vote: 27 In Favor 5 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** new (*pre-existing*) application #CA1472635 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Mexican Festival NYC, LLC, d/b/a Mexican Festival, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 19 tables and 56 seats, conditioned on restaurant staff not playing loud music in the morning.