1	Transportation Planning Committee Item #: 6	
2		
3	Margaret Forgione	
4	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
5	NYC Department of Transportation	
6	59 Maiden Lane, 35 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
7	New York, NY 10038	
8		
9	Dear Manhattan Borough Commissioner Forgione:	
10		
11	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) supports the NYC Department of Transportation's	
12	(DOT) efforts to adjust and make permanent the redesign Gansevoort Plaza and Chelsea	
13	Triangle to improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety and creates a more rational traffic	
14	pattern. We appreciate your outreach to CB4 while still in the preliminary design phase	
15	of the project and providing us with traffic and accident data and analysis completed by	
16	DOT staff.	
17		
18	CB4 is disappointed that CB4's long-term request to move the public Plaza area further	
19	west adjacent to 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue western sidewalk between West 14 <sup>th</sup> and West 15 <sup>th</sup> Street is	
20	deemed infeasible. Since several of the changes DOT is considering may make this	
21	feasible, we request reconsideration. We request also that the new design be much	
22	greener than the current one, require minimal maintenance and that the existing bike lane	
23	be converted to a protected one, consistent with the rest of 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. Proper signage	
24	will be critical to streamline the traffic.	
25		
26	The study includes the area between Gansevoort Street to the South, West 16 <sup>th</sup> Street to	
27	the North between 9 <sup>th</sup> and Hudson Avenues. Since the initial 2006 interim redesign and	
28	2009 enclosed bicycle lane installation this area has seen substantial changes and become	
29	a major both daytime and nighttime destination. The increased pedestrian, bicycle, and	
30	vehicle traffic creates new safety, traffic (and related noise) issues.	
31		
32	There are several elements of DOT's initial proposal we find appealing and hope can be	
33	pursued. These include the extension of the Chelsea Triangle approximately 8' further	
34	south on W. 14 <sup>th</sup> Street and adding 6' wide bulb outs on both the south east and	
35	southwest corners of the Chelsea Triangle at West 14 <sup>th</sup> Streets. Using pavers on the west	
36	branch of 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, west of the Triangle will slow traffic and give a more pedestrian	
37	feel to this section. We also support the proposal, which we understand CB2 endorses,	
38	to forbid right turns onto West 14 <sup>th</sup> Street from Northbound 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, since this will	
39	reduce the delays these turning vehicles cause to southbound traffic.	
40		
41	We are disappointed CB4's long-term request to move the Triangle area further west to	
42	be an extension of the west side 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue sidewalk between West 14 <sup>th</sup> and West 15 <sup>th</sup>	
43	Street is deemed infeasible due to the extra traffic pattern and potential pedestrian safety	
44	issues created from having the right turns from Southbound 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue onto West 14 <sup>th</sup>	
45	Street while the pedestrian crossing is further west.	
46		

- 47 In March 2009 CB4 wrote:
- 48 49

"On a related issue, we propose that DOT consider restricting right turns from Ninth Avenue onto West 14<sup>th</sup> Street. We request volume counts to assist in informing this

Avenue onto West 14<sup>th</sup> Street. We request volume counts to assist
 decision. We request that this be considered for several reasons:

- 52
- Our observations show that most cars going south on Ninth Avenue access the West
   Side highway by turning right at West 15<sup>th</sup> Street. Most of the traffic turning west at
   14<sup>th</sup> Street, travels to Washington Street and could reach the same destination by
   turning right further south on Hudson Street (Gansevoort, Jane, and Bethune Streets).
   Considering the current state of congestion on the dedicated turn lane west of the
   plaza, restricting the right turn should have a minimal impact, and,
- It is our understanding that the pedestrian conflict created by the vehicles turning
   right on West 14<sup>th</sup> Street was one of the reasons the DOT did not locate the Plaza next
   to the western sidewalk during this temporary phase. This change would eliminate
   that conflict."
- 63

As DOT explores moving westbound traffic to West 15<sup>th</sup> Street (from West 14<sup>th</sup> Street)
and removing north bound right turns we hope the traffic flow we suggested in 2009 can
be investigated. We request that when DOT returns to CB4 before finalizing the design,
they include a fuller analysis of the westbound movements at the west branch of 9<sup>th</sup>
Avenue and 14<sup>th</sup> Street and explain more fully whether moving the Plaza area west may
now be feasible.

- 70
- There are several other recommendations we ask to be integrated into the new design:
- Plant as many trees and greenery on the Chelsea Triangle as feasible. In
   particular on the eastern side, a hedge or bushes should be installed to deter
   pedestrians from jaywalking. If possible include an area for community
   gardening.
- Create landscaping that can be maintained at very low cost for example
   Incorporate fixed city benches and include automatic drip watering system to
   reduce on-going maintenance costs;
- Incorporate fixed city Benches.
- Convert the bicycle lane between West 14<sup>th</sup> and West 15<sup>th</sup> Street to a protected one, with a separate pedestrian North/South crossing time on 14<sup>th</sup> Street, from 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue left turning vehicles onto West 14<sup>th</sup> Street, as the DOT considers and removing 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northbound right turns. A few delivery spaces should be maintained for businesses.
- Consider a Split Phase or an LPI to make the west pedestrian crossing of 15<sup>th</sup>
   Street at 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue safer. As more traffic is directed to use this route to reach the
   highway, there will be significantly more pedestrian conflicts with the heavy
   pedestrian flow going to the rezoned and soon to be enlarged Chelsea Market, the
   Apple store and historic Gansevoort Plaza area.

91	• Consider a Split Phase or an LPI to make the south pedestrian crossing of 9 <sup>th</sup>
92	Avenue at 16th Street safer. The increased popularity of Chelsea Market and this
93	corridor of 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue make crossing and safety improvements crucial. We also
94	anticipate increased traffic along West 16 <sup>th</sup> Street related to the expansion of
95	Chelsea Market.
96	• Improved signage north of West 17 <sup>th</sup> Street to inform drivers of the fork ahead
97	and their directional options just north of West 16 <sup>th</sup> Street. The current signs close
98	to the division point creates delays as cars seek to figure out which side to drive;
99	• Place no-honking signs along 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue near West 15 <sup>th</sup> Street to encourage
100	increased ticketing and enforcement;
101	• All intersections should include accessible audible street signals to enable
102	handicapped accessibility;
103	
104	Again, we appreciate the re-evaluation and proposed improvements for the permanent
105	construction of Chelsea Triangle and Gansevoort Plaza and look forward to continued
106	dialogue with DOT.
107	
108	Sincerely,
109	

1	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 7
2		
3	November 7, 2012	
4		
5	Margaret Forgione	
6	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
7	NYC Department of Transportation	
8	New York, NY 10038	
9		
10	Dear Manhattan Borough Commissioner Forgione:	
11		
12	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) requests that the	
13	remove the recently installed 2 hour parking meters alo	ong the west side of 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
14	between West 40 <sup>th</sup> Street and West 59 <sup>th</sup> Street and alon	
15	Street and 59 <sup>th</sup> Street. This would enable a return to the	
16	after 7pm previous to the installation of the enclosed bi	cycle lanes.
17		
18	We have received a petition signed by 2,000 Broadway	
19	businesses. A coalition of the Unions representing perf	
20	workforce brought the need for the free untimed parkin	
21	local musicians, performing artists and workers have be	-
22	years as affordable parking, made convenient since 7pr	
23	required arrival time for evening performances and the	
24	available. In addition to the cost - \$9 for two hours - the	
25	the ability of the musicians and actors, who typically have	
26	lasting 3 to 4 hours, to use these spaces. The musicians	
27	instruments. This seriously limits their transportation o	ptions.
28		
29	The unions who have requested this change include:	
30		
31	Actors' Equity Association (AEA)	
32	American Federation of Musicians, Local 802 (AFM)	$\mathbf{L}_{\text{and}} = 19022 (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T})$
33 34	Association of Theatrical Press Agents and Managers, Dramatists Guild	Local 18052 (IATSE)
34 35	International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, I	International (IATSE)
35 36	Makeup Artists Hair Stylists Union Local 798 (IATSE)	
30 37	Motion Picture Projectionists, Operators. Video Techni	
38	Allied Crafts, Local 306 (IATSE)	icialis, Theatheat Employees &
30 39	Society of Stage Directors and Choreographers (SSD&	C)
40	Service Employees International Union, Local 32BJ Th	
41	Theatrical Protective Union Stage Hands, Local 1 (IAT	
42	Theatrical Wardrobe Union, Local 764 (IATSE)	SL)
43	Treasurers & Ticket Sellers Union, Local 751 (IATSE)	
44	Untied Scenic Artists Local 829 (IATSE)	
45		
46	In addition, Tenant PAC has also endorsed the restoration	on of free evening parking.
-	······································	

- 47 In addition, the community has not been sufficiently consulted for such a unique change:
- 48 While NYC DOT had mentioned in its February 27, 2012 response to our questions that
- 49 metered parking "may" be added we had anticipated, as is customary, further discussion
- 50 before their installation. In addition DOT had indicated that any such change would only
- 51 occur after bicycle lane installation. As it stands the bicycle lane is not yet installed. No
- 52 other enclosed bicycle lanes installation in Manhattan included such a change in evening 53 parking regulations. 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue is not unique, as it is not generally considered part of the
- 53 parking regulations. 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue is not unique, as it is not generally considered part of the 54 business district, certainly not when it comes to traffic studies, to midtown pedestrians
- 55 plans, or to other improvements, so there is no basis for singling out this avenue for such
- 56 a change.
- 57
- 58 Finally there is no community need for this change. There are no deliveries after 7 p.m.
- and most of restaurant goers come by foot and public transit. There is not a need forturnover.
- 61 This Community Board actively supported the enclosed bicycle lanes and was pleased by
- 62 the results of most of our negotiations with DOT regarding their placement. However,
- 63 given DOT's policy of changing parking regulations as little as possible when installing
- 64 enclosed bicycle lanes, we consider this change unwarranted and don't feel our working
- artist community, should be penalized gratuitously. We strongly object to this change in
- 66 evening parking regulations and request that NYC DOT remove these parking regulations67 for after 7 pm as soon as possible.
- 67 68
- 00 69
- 69 Sincerely,
- 70 71

1	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 8
2 3	November 7, 2012	
4		
5	City Council Speaker Christine Quinn	
6		
7	Dear Speaker Quinn:	
8		
9	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) supports City Council Ir	
10	the structure for administrative violations for Street Vendors fro	
11	each violation to increasing fines for each violation issued for the	
12	this change increases the fairness of the fine system while enable	0
13 14	recidivists. We also support the efforts to simplify the currently administrative requirements for Street Vendors.	very confusing
14	administrative requirements for Street Vendors.	
16	Intro 435 is part of the legislative agenda of the Street Vendor F	Project which includes
17	over 700 Street Vendors. Intro 435 already has 32 City Council	
18	been supportive of street vendors in our community, though bal	1 0
19	about street clutter and vendors located in illegal and inappropri-	
20		
21	There are over 10,000 street vendor licenses and 3,000 permitte	-
22	York City. Street Vendors have been a regulated part of the New	
23	1691. A 2006 survey of street vendors found that 83% were for	0
24	remainder were veterans (who receive a priority for licenses). T	
25	2006 was approximately \$7,500 and estimated at \$13,000 today	
26	200 to 250 days a year and almost 9 hours a day. 88% were the	
27 28	their families. In addition to being part of the street life of New part of the entrepreneurial spirit of New York City.	Fork City, vendors are a
20 29	part of the endepreneural spirit of New Tork City.	
30	As has been the case generally for small businesses, the city has	s increased tickets for
31	administrative violations for street vendors. Last year over 40,0	
32	often for minor offenses such as being an inch off on placement	
33	clearly displaying their license. The current law increases the fin	nes for each violation a
34	vendor receives - Intro 434 changes the increase schedule to be	based on each fine for the
35	same offense. We believe this is fairer than the current system	and enables enforcement
36	to focus on recidivists.	
37		
38	We also support current City Council efforts to simplify the ad	
39 40	- making distances from building entrances, for example, unifo	-
40 41	restrictions similar for each type of vendor (currently it differs b Though not currently proposed, we also would support making	
42	stops (25') uniform with the distance to subway entrances (curr	
43	propose 25'). We also would propose that the rules clarify, simil	2

- furniture, that a minimum of 8' clearance for pedestrians be permitted. 44 45

- 46 We are, however, concerned that some of the current proposals may be too restrictive –
- and we would propose they be modified. Specifically, there is a proposal to not permit
- 48 vendors within 20' of residential and commercial building service entrances (the current
- 49 restriction just relates to building entrances) and in front of Hospital No Standing Zones.
- 50

However most service entrances are rarely used during typical vending hours and most Hospital No Standing zones are unrelated to any need for emergency or access-a-ride access. We would propose instead, that any owner could notify DCA that it wants such a restriction and put a plaque near the service entrance/hospital that states "No vending within 20." This would enable owners to easily have those restrictions if they desire while not overly restricting vending locations. We are also concerned that a proposal for no vending in taxi stands is too general and should be within approximately 40' (two car lengths) of the sign.

- 58 59
- 60 We also support proposals to increase the availability of information of Street vendor
- 61 fines and rules and regulations, as well as proposals to gradually convert carts to electric,
- rather than gas, operations (as long as the city provides reduced rate loans or grants toenable conversion).
- 64

We appreciate that the City Council is seeking to tackle reforming and simplifying overly complicated administrative requirements for Street Vendors as it seeks to balance the important need to preserve this valuable entryway for New York City entrepreneurs and street life while addressing the increased street clutter.

- 69
- 70 Sincerely,
- 71
- 72

1 2	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 9
- 3 4	November 7, 2012	
5	Margaret Forgione	
6	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
7	NYC Department of Transportation	
8	59 Maiden Lane, 35 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
9	New York, NY 10038	
10	·	
11 12	Dear Manhattan Borough Commissioner Forgione:	
13 14 15	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) requests a protected crossw approximately mid-block between 8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenues. More speci would be located on a line between the Port Authority Entrance/Ex	fically, this crosswalk
15 16	West 40 <sup>th</sup> Street and the Fairfield Inn & Suites entrance on the sou	
10	The owners of the 4 hotels on that block, all clustered near the pro	
18	originated this request. The hotel owners also received the support	
19	originated this request. The noter owners also received the support	of the Fort Authority.
20	We support this request to improve pedestrian safety on this block.	The pedestrian traffic
21	has increased significantly on this street with the opening of four t	1
22	the last few years. Since the tourists are frequently carrying luggag	
23	Authority and may not walk to the Avenue corners to cross, we fee	•
24	increase pedestrian safety.	
25	Further many tourists cross in the middle of the block to avoid the	serious pedestrian
26	congestion on the south side of W. 40 <sup>th</sup> Street near 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue due	
27	Parole Center for Manhattan, the subway entrance, street vendors a	and the normal volume
28	of commuters	
29		
30	Our observation is that vehicular traffic is frequently backed up alo	6
31	the day and early evening and a protected crosswalk would thus no	
32	We propose the crosswalk be protected by a striped speed bump, a	stop sign or a yellow
33	blinking signal.	
34		
35	Thank you for your consideration.	
36		
37	Sincerely,	
38		
39 40		
40		

1	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 10
2		
3	Ms. Margaret Forgione	
4	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
5	New York City Department of Transportation	
6	37 Maiden Lane Water Street	
7	New York, New York 10038	
8		
9	Re: Audible Crosswalk Installation to Accommodate Senior Co	ommunity in 10 <sup>th</sup> , 9th,
10	8 <sup>th</sup> Aves at 50 <sup>th</sup> & 51 <sup>st</sup> Streets	
11		
12	Dear Commissioner Forgione:	
13		
14	Manhattan Community Board 4 would like to request the installa	ation of several audible
15	crosswalks. The Encore Senior Center is located at 239 West 49	
16	and low-cost meals to hundreds of senior citizens daily within	Community District 4.
17	Specifically, there is a significant community of senior citizens	
18	View Terrace which encompasses 747 10 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and the surrour	
19		0
20	Everyday hundreds of senior citizens brave dangerous intersectio	ns on Tenth, Ninth and
21	Eighth Avenues in order to receive their meals and additional sen	
22	have also been raised citing the particular safety concerns of sen	
23	vision and blindness. Manhattan Community Board 4 therefor	re respectfully requests
24	that the Department of Transportation install audible crossw	
25	intersections, as feasible:	
26		
27	10 <sup>th</sup> Avenue at 50 <sup>th</sup> & 51 <sup>st</sup> Street	
28	9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue at 50 <sup>th</sup> & 51 <sup>st</sup> Street	
29	8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue at 50 <sup>th</sup> & 51 <sup>st</sup> Street	
30		
31	Manhattan Community Board 4 commends the Department of Tran	nsportation for its
32	sustained commitment to pedestrian safety and looks forward to w	orking together on this
33	issue.	
34		
35	Sincerely,	
36		
37	Cc: Encore Senior Center	
38		

1 2	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 11
- 3 4	November 7, 2012	
5	Jonathan Mintz	
6	Commissioner	
7	Special Application Unit	
8	Department of Consumer Affairs	
9	42 Broadway, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
10	New York, N.Y. 10004	
11		
12	RE: Proposed newsstand, Southwest corner West 34th Street a	nd 11 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
13	(License #1447360)	
14		
15	Dear Commissioner Mintz:	
16		
17	Manhattan Community Board #4 approves the proposed newsstand	d on the south side of
18	West 34 <sup>th</sup> Street, approximately 20 feet west of 11 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. The a	applicant's brother and
19	father appeared at the public hearing to present their proposal and	the applicant appeared
20	at the full Community Board meeting. The location across the stre	et from the Javitts
21	Convention Center and the recently relocated Mega-bus stop and r	near future High-Line
22	entrance/exit and Hudson Yards West is appropriate for a newsstar	nd. The location meets
23	both Community Board and DCA requirements for a newsstand lo	cation.
24		
25	We also note that the applicant's father was recently approved for	a newsstand on 9 <sup>th</sup>
26	Avenue near West 17 <sup>th</sup> Street. We have been assured that both the	father and daughter
27	will be working full time at their respective newsstands - and thus	welcome the family to
28	the neighborhood.	
29		
30	Sincerely yours,	
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38	v	