

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED

RE: **Parking Permit for Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources**

WHEREAS: The PS 234, the Early Childhood Center and PS/IS 89 after school programs serve over 350 working families. Because of the nature of our community, facilities are scattered for this program, and

WHEREAS: These children go to different activities transported by the Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources after school van to such places as the swimming pool in Battery Park City, Chelsea Piers and Southbridge Towers, and

WHEREAS: There is no parking for this van in front of PS 234 but there are special parking privileges all around the neighborhood for other vehicles. This van has been ticketed daily and could cost the after school program thousands of dollars a year, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 calls upon the City of New York to designate parking for this van whether it be by special permit, through the Community Assistance Unit, or by permit to the van, or by designating part of the street near PS 234 for Board of Education parking.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

- RE: **Plaque on the Manhattan Anchorage of the Brooklyn Bridge commemorating the site of the first Presidential Mansion**
- WHEREAS: The foot of Cherry Street used to be at Franklin Square, the intersection of Cherry Street, Pearl Street, Frankfort Street and Dover St., and
- WHEREAS: When The Brooklyn Bridge was built the buildings at the foot of Cherry St. were demolished to make room for the Manhattan Anchorage, and
- WHEREAS: The first Presidential Mansion, occupied by George Washington from April 23, 1789 to February 23, 1790, was located at 1 Cherry St., where the Manhattan Anchorage now sits, and
- WHEREAS: Cherry St. has been completely eliminated from the Brooklyn Bridge north to Catherine Slip, and
- WHEREAS: On April 30, 1899 the Mary Washington Colonial Chapter of The Daughters Of The American Revolution erected a 2' x 2' brass plaque on the Manhattan Anchorage of the Brooklyn Bridge commemorating the site of the first Presidential Mansion at 1 Cherry Street, and
- WHEREAS: The plaque has been neglected for decades and is inaccessible to the public, and
- WHEREAS: Imminent construction of six new arches under the existing Franklin Square Truss (the support steelwork located where the Bridge intersects Pearl St.) will make the plaque more inaccessible, if not completely obscure the plaque from public view, and
- WHEREAS: Community Board #1 is concerned that an important and meaningful marker for our country, and one that connects our community with our unique history will be forgotten, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 recommends that the plaque be re-positioned to a place that befits its historic importance nearby the Manhattan Anchorage and where it is accessible to the public, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 urges the NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission to contact the controlling agencies and interested parties to implement the re-positioning of the plaque.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 26 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **DEP noise enforcement at 60 Hudson Street**

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 has long-standing concerns about noise as a quality of life issue, and

WHEREAS: The noise and emissions created by air conditioning equipment and emergency generators in 60 Hudson Street (Western Union Building) have been cited as particularly problematic issues, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Environmental Protection has created a special task force to measure noise and emission levels generated by equipment housed at 60 Hudson St., document the scope of this problem, and issue citations for equipment that violates the existing noise code, and

WHEREAS: The constant major construction attendant to the installation, operation and servicing of the equipment housed at 60 Hudson St., compounds the problems, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 commends and thanks DEP for taking a positive step toward getting this problem resolved, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT: CB #1 also commends World Com, which has taken positive action to relocate and upgrade its equipment to comply with the community's request, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 again calls upon the owner (Hudson Telegraph Associates), managing agent (Williams Real Estate), and other tenants of 60 Hudson St. to reduce the overall level of noise generated by equipment housed in 60 Hudson St. to 45 decibels or less and take other measures outlined in our resolution of June 18, 1996, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 requests all permitting agencies take into consideration the impact on the community, and consult with the Community Board before issuing any non-emergency permits applicable to 60 Hudson St. and the surrounding area, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 calls upon the City Council to amend the noise code and related laws regulating noise, emissions and generation of electrical fields to protect the health and quality of life for those living and working in New York City.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BUDGET

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 23 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Capital and Expense Budget Requests for Fiscal Year 2000**

BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 approves the budget requests for our district as prioritized on the attached.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **179 Franklin St., application to install new windows**
 48-50 White St., application to construct elevator bulkhead
 329 Greenwich St., application to modify storefront

WHEREAS: The committee unanimously agreed that because the Owners, Applicants or their representatives did not show up at the CB #1 committee meeting, that CB #1 recommend that Landmarks Preservation Commission hold over all action on the applications until the Owner, Applicant or their representatives appear before this Board, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission take the above recommended action with regards to these applications.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **45 Nassau Street/55 Liberty Street, application is to legalize signs, awnings and other commercial space installations**

WHEREAS: A presentation was made by the engineer and restaurant operator, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the presentation lacked historical data to support the proposed facade items, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the material presented to be incomplete and hard to understand, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission hold over or not approve the application for the work as proposed until a complete presentation, with some historical material, is prepared and presented.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED

- RE: **415 Greenwich St., application to construct rooftop addition, install storefronts and canopy, and restore the facade**
- WHEREAS: A presentation was made by Roy Gee of Emery Roth Associates, and
- WHEREAS: The committee found the intentions presented to carefully restore and rehabilitate the facades and cornice to be highly commendable and fully supports the proposed work, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the louvers in the ground floor storefront were not appropriate and should be changed to a more appropriate material, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that, based upon the information provided, the rooftop extension would not be highly visible from the street, and
- WHEREAS: Some members of the committee felt that the bland materials selected for the rooftop extension detracted from the subtle elegance of the building while others felt that they were appropriate for their simple utilitarianism, and
- WHEREAS: The committee found the overall design, as proposed, to be appropriate, to the Historic District, now
- THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as presented, and
- BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT: The Landmark Committee of CB #1 has requested the Tribeca Committee to review the second part of this application at their November meeting.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED

BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED

- RE: **140 Franklin St., “Delta Marine” application to construct rooftop addition**
- WHEREAS: A design presentation was made by John Peachy, architect for the project, and
- WHEREAS: The committee, at the request of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, reviewed this application again following additional notice to the immediate community, and
- WHEREAS: Members of the immediate community were contacted and participated in the discussion of the project, and
- WHEREAS: The committee found the intentions presented to carefully restore and rehabilitate the front facade, the replacement of the 4 over 4 windows in wood, and the new storefront to be highly commendable and fully supports the proposed work, and
- WHEREAS: The committee continued to feel that the rooftop extension proposed was appropriate in relation to the size, massing and context of the existing building, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the massing and materials of the proposed penthouse did not detract from the building, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the rooftop extensions, except for the cooling tower enclosure, would not be highly visible from the near-by streets, and
- WHEREAS: The committee felt that the cooling tower enclosure, while visible from the near-by streets, would add significant acoustic protection for near-by lofts from the large cooling equipment, and that the architects use the copper cladding would also help mask views of this large machine from for near-by lofts, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the design to be appropriate, to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:

Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the work as presented.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **170 Duane St., application is to install new lot line and rear windows**

WHEREAS: A presentation was made by Colin Cathcart, architect of the project, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the existing front, back and side facade casement windows to have been installed in recent times (pre-designation) and to not be in character with the majority of windows in the Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The proposed windows are in keeping with the current windows, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the design of the proposed windows to be appropriate, to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application for the work as proposed.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED
BOARD VOTE: 23 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED

RE: **Whitehall Ferry Terminal artwork**

BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board #1 approves of the conceptual design put forth by artists Dennis Adams and Ming Ray for Whitehall Ferry Terminal as presented, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The Community Board requests that the artists come back to the Community Board as final plans for the siting of the pieces evolve.

98.res.oct.98

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1998

BOARD VOTE: 22 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED

RE: **Funding of Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources Program**

WHEREAS: Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources (MYRR) is the only City funded youth program serving our district, and

WHEREAS: MYRR sponsors a wide range of popular and well-run youth programs serving hundreds of children in CB #1, and

WHEREAS: MYRR allocates an extraordinary percentage of its budget towards scholarships for needy kids, many of whom live outside our district, to attend its after school program, and

WHEREAS: MYRR has seen its City funding reduced in recent years due to budgetary problems and CB #1 receives by far the smallest budget allocation from the City's Department of Youth and Community Development of any Community Board, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is the fastest growing residential area in the City with a rapidly expanding youth population which deserves programming funds from the City just like every other part of NYC, and

WHEREAS: The Borough Presidents Office, under the auspices of Andrew Stein, David Dinkins and Ruth Messinger, always provided generous support to MYRR's popular youth program, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has learned that the Borough President's Office has now decided to totally eliminate the \$22,000 in funding which MYRR has been receiving in recent years from the MBPO, and

WHEREAS: The MBPO funds very few, if any, other local programs in our district which serve our residential population, and

WHEREAS: This is even more troubling in light of the MBPO's very generous funding of Borough of Manhattan Community College in our district which has a very poor record in terms of allowing local residents to utilize its programs and facilities, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 is extremely dismayed by the decision of the MBPO to defund MYRR, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 calls on the Manhattan Borough President to **fully** restore the funding for this most popular and successful program serving hundreds of kids from CB #1 and beyond.

98.res.oct.98