

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY & FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	11 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 19 Rector Street

WHEREAS: The State of New York plans to lease about 85,000 square feet at 19 Rector St. for the purposes of:

- 1) Monitoring 200 parolees (individuals convicted of one or more felonies) required to be at the premises each day, and
- 2) Servicing an undisclosed number of persons previously denied welfare benefits (the form of welfare assistance was not known to representatives of the State or the landlord), and

WHEREAS: By an 18 to 1 vote on November 21, 1995, this Board angrily rejected the City of New York proposal for "HANAC" to process 150 home relief clients per day at the same building (a copy of such resolution is attached hereto), and

WHEREAS: Those two activities are now conducted at 80 Centre St. and it appears there is no valid reason to move them, and

WHEREAS: State officials acknowledged at a meeting on July 10, 1996 that they had made absolutely no effort to advise elected officials, the nearby businesses interests (including the Alliance for Downtown NY), Battery Park City residents or this Community Board of any such plans and such officials were vague and evasive and lacked significant knowledge at such meeting, and

WHEREAS: The proposed location is grossly damaging to the Downtown Manhattan Revitalization Plan of Mayor Guiliani, Governor Pataki, the City and State Legislators, particularly by discouraging construction of or conversion to residential uses, and significantly adverse to the security and quality of life of the 7000 present (and many more future) residents of Battery Park City (some of whom live less than 400 feet from this site), now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

- 1) CB #1 adamantly objects to the selection of 19 Rector St. for both or either such purposes and demands that the lease not be consummated
- 2) CB #1 adamantly objects to the total lack of notice by the State officials to any of the interested persons and entities
- 3) CB #1 urges that all efforts be made to insure that these uses remain at 80 Centre St. and, if that is impossible, a location be found not damaging to the important plan for Lower Manhattan's Revitalization nor any significant current or planned residential areas
- 4) CB #1 pledges to use all the expertise of its members and staff to assist the State Office of General Services and the Parole and Social Welfare officials to accomplish professionally and quickly the goals expressed in item 3 above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: NY Waterways and the Utilization of Pier 17 Open Spaces

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 is on record in support of maximizing maritime uses, public open spaces and view corridors along the East River waterfront, and

WHEREAS: During the design process for Pier 17 the Community Board and others made a major issue of maximizing open space and objecting to additional obstructions of any kind on the pier, which is within the South Street Seaport Historic District, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is also on record expressing its strong support for the continued economic support and well-being of the South Street Seaport Museum, and

WHEREAS: The NY Waterways Lunch Boat ferry service as well as the ticket booth and floating dock were established without sufficient consultation with CB #1 or the Landmarks Preservation Commission, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is greatly concerned that the South Street Seaport Museum believes that its income will be adversely impacted by the new Waterways Lunch Boat ferry operation, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is also concerned about additional diesel fuel emissions from the Waterways ferry, maritime safety in the crowded Pier 17 slip, lack of docking space for historic vessels and tall ships, and structural damage to the pier, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Consistent with our historical positions, CB #1 opposes the establishment and installation of the new NY Waterways ticket booth in the public open space on Pier 17, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests documentation from NY Waterways assuring the Community Board that the issues of fuel emission, maritime safety and docking space for tall ships have been addressed and properly resolved with the necessary approval of appropriate authorities, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 insists that this temporary NY Waterways Lunch Boat permit not be extended, altered or renewed without consultation with CB #1, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges that the South Street Seaport Museum and Seaport Marketplace Inc. agree to have both the short-term and long-term issues raised by this dispute mediated by a committee of the following concerned parties:

- South Street Seaport Museum
- Seaport Marketplace Inc.
- Community Board #1
- Landmarks Preservation Commission
- Economic Development Corporation, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 insists that the EDC work collaboratively with the above parties to resolve this matter.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: URBAN PLANNING AND DESIGN

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Coordinated Street Furniture Franchise

WHEREAS: A ULURP application has been submitted by the NYC Department of Transportation, Division of Franchises, Concessions and Consents, for a twenty-year City franchise contract for the construction, maintenance, operation and display of advertising on street furniture (franchise structures) on a City-wide basis including:

- 3,300 minimum - 3,500 maximum bus stop shelters (2,850 at present)
- 30 minimum - 100 maximum automatic public toilets (3 locations at present)
- 430-500 newsstands (330 at present)
- An unspecified number of public service structures (PSS) ie. newspaper dispensers, bicycle racks, public pay telephones, information kiosks, litter bins etc. (6 at present), and

WHEREAS: CB #1 applauds the City's effort to better manage, control and maintain sidewalk furniture (newsstands, public toilets, bus shelters, public service structures etc.), and

WHEREAS: CB #1 believes that many areas of the City, including ours, is beset by unsightly street furniture which interferes and obstructs pedestrian traffic, and

WHEREAS: The proposed Coordinated Street Furniture Franchise is far too long in duration (20 years), now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 approves the proposed Coordinated Street Furniture Franchise only if the following changes are made:

- 1) Establish a limit in consultation with each effected Community Board regarding the number of furniture franchise structures in any Community Board district and a distribution control with neighborhoods and blocks
- 2) Reduce the 20 years term of the franchise with interim renewal periods to permit better control of the accountability of the franchise

- 3) Allow for special coordination with BIDs and organizations responsible for street maintenance (e.g., Friends of Greenwich St.) with regard to neighborhood streetscape designs
- 4) Ban illuminated signs in historic districts or adjacent to designated landmark structures
- 5) Add strong maintenance enforcement to revoke the permit if the franchise structure becomes full of graffiti or damaged
- 6) The City, in consultation with CB #1 must undertake a review of the appropriateness of the number and siting of existing as well as new street furniture structures
- 7) No street furniture sited absent the express approval of CB #1
- 8) If this is a non-exclusive franchise contract, then the number of franchise structures must be limited to the maximum number of street furniture structures specified on this ULURP application number C 960543 GFY.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: The Bond Hotel, 125-131 Chambers Street

Issue to review: Complete restoration of the facade to original conditions including metal balconies, porch and cornice.

WHEREAS: CB #1 is supportive of this level of restoration on this important building in the neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 feels that this building has been inappropriately renovated in the past by the same ownership, and

WHEREAS: The applicants presentation at the committee meeting was significantly inadequate to understand all but the general nature of the restoration proposed, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC hold over all action on the application until the Owner or Applicant appears before this committee with proper documentation, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Given the committee's reaction to the inappropriate illuminated sign proposed the Applicant agreed to remove the vertical illuminated sign and return to the painted signage on both facades of the building.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 25 Hudson Street

Issue to review: Installation of canopy and handicapped ramp on the Duane St. facade as well as the restoration of the storefront on Hudson St. facade.

WHEREAS: The committee found the architectural character of the building to be one of Tribeca's more significant, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the exterior handicapped ramp and restoration of the storefront was appropriate as presented, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC approve the application for this work only if the following revisions are made:

- The proposed entrance canopy is not appropriate and obscures, and seriously detracts from, the architectural features of the existing entrance and should be removed from the proposal.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 361 Greenwich Street

Issue to review: New storefront and residential entrance to accommodate change in ground floor tenant.

WHEREAS: The committee found the design, as proposed, to be appropriate, and complementary to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC approve the application for this work.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 463-469 Greenwich Street

Issue to review: Fire escape extensions and new stairs required for legalization of existing loft dwellings as well as a new storefront replacement master plan.

WHEREAS: The committee found the design, as proposed, to be appropriate, and complementary to the Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC approve the application for this work.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: GNC Store at 253 Broadway

Issue to review: Legalize existing facade renovations and signage.

WHEREAS: The Owner or Applicant did not show up at the committee meeting, and

WHEREAS: The committee feels that this is an important, individually landmarked building in the neighborhood, which was renovated without review, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC hold over all action on the application until the Owner or Applicant appears before this committee.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Stone Street Historic District (Coenties Alley to Hanover St.)

Issue to review: Replacement of road bed with granite blocks and sidewalks with bluestone paving with granite curbs. The project will involve new street lighting.

WHEREAS: The committee fully supports the LPC/DOT in its current efforts to restore this architecturally significant street, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the proposed work to be appropriate, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC approve and fully support the restoration of this street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	8 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: 25 N. Moore St. (Tribeca Historic District)

Issue to review: Proposed conversion of the building to loft units from the sixth to sixteenth floors and the construction of a new as-of-right extension to the top of the building containing approx. 9,500 sqft of area transferred from the removal of floors three and five. In addition, the second and fourth floors of the building are to be converted to a movie theater and the ground floor to use group six retail/commercial space. New double hung windows will be installed (1 over 1), as well as a new ground floor storefront and apartment entrance.

WHEREAS: The committee heard significant testimony from the public with regards to this project, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the incorporation of double hung windows, in lieu of the previously approved casement/hopper configuration, to be a significant improvement to the appropriateness of the conversation, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt the penthouse to be generally appropriate and in keeping with previously approved roof top additions on the block, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 found the design to be generally appropriate to the District character and recommends that LPC approve the application as submitted, now

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Due to the July CB #1 calendar, the actions or recommendations of the Tribeca land use committee, which shall meet the evening of the 23rd of July with regards to the theater, shall not be available for the LPC public hearing. We request that the LPC hold open the record for these comments.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: Pier 17 South Street Seaport

Issue to review: Renovation and legalization of a prefabricated ticket booth.

WHEREAS: The committee heard testimony concerning the legal status of the current ticket booth vis a vis the public open space at Pier 17, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the design, as existing and as proposed, to be inappropriate with the Maritime nature of the pier architecture, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC disapprove the application to legalized the ticket booth as submitted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS, FINANCIAL DISTRICT &
ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	12 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	2 Opposed	2 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: Castle Clinton

Issue to review: Installation of an interim tent cover for summer use of the interior space for performances.

WHEREAS: The committees fully supports the Conservancy for Historic Battery Park in it's current efforts to restore the architecturally significant structure and return it to productive public use, and

WHEREAS: The committees found the proposed work to be appropriate, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends LPC approve and fully supports the proposed project.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: URBAN DESIGN AND PLANNING, BATTERY PARK CITY
AND TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 11 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained

BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained

RE: Hudson River Park Concept Plan

WHEREAS: A park along the Hudson River waterfront will be an asset to CB #1 and the entire City of New York, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 recognizes that the Battery Park City Authority has provided a segment of the waterfront park with its public esplanade, and that the marginal street segment of HRPC's project adjacent to Battery Park City is fundamentally different from the rest of the waterfront park, and

WHEREAS: The public esplanade of BPC is at present dangerously overcrowded with the conflicting usage of pedestrians, bikers, skateboarders and rollerbladers, and

WHEREAS: The State is undertaking to build a bikeway along Route 9A at considerable expense to the taxpayers, and

WHEREAS: Pier A and Battery Park are areas with high volumes of usage which will connect to the proposed Hudson River Park and are logical locations for additional public facilities and amenities, and

WHEREAS: The community based programs on Piers 25 and 26 have been very successful and popular with the Lower Manhattan community, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has previously been involved in the preliminary park planning, and has been assured by the Hudson River Park Conservancy and the consultants, Quennell Rothschild Associates/Signe Nielsen, that CB #1 will continue to be involved in modifications to the Preliminary Concept Plan in the next several months, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly supports the Hudson River Park Concept Plan with the following significant concerns:

- 1) The view corridors of the Hudson River from the upland neighborhoods should not be obstructed with "Beacons", plantings, or any other structures.
- 2) The community has expressed concern regarding the vertical Beacons and their appropriateness in certain locations. Park entrances and maritime elements should reflect the diversity and scale of adjacent park uses, be sensitive to the historic character of each neighborhood, represent Hudson River maritime history, and be compatible with surrounding architecture.
- 3) The proposed water taxis should be addressed in the scoping phase document in order to address their impact on water, air and noise pollution and wake.
- 4) There should be further clarification of the pedestrian crossings along Route 9A in relation to the park design, specifically concerning safety.
- 5) The active recreation (tennis courts) between Warren and Murray Streets should be retained, as well as the children's play area, dog run, community gardens and lawn between Albany St. and West Thames Street.
- 6) There should be active as well as passive recreation on Pier 25.
- 7) There should not be a connecting pedestrian bridge between Piers 25 and 26.
- 8) The term, "anchorage" in reference to Pier 25, should be changed to "moorings" to more accurately reflect the type of boating for this pier.
- 9) The building footprints and deck cut-away on Pier 26 should be restudied in terms of their location and size.
- 10) In the Tribeca area, swimming access to the Hudson River, i.e., a beach, should be explored.
- 11) Park concessions, monuments, toilets, kiosks, or other destination facilities throughout the limits of the proposed HRPC parklands contiguous to Battery Park City between Chambers St. and Battery Pl. should be eliminated.
- 12) An appropriately scaled design element should be located at the southernmost point of the Hudson River Park, immediately adjacent to Battery Park, that would serve the functional purpose of funneling pedestrian, bike and rollerblader traffic north into the Hudson River Park, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that HRPC and NYSDOT coordinate their efforts to direct bikers and rollerbladers to utilize the Route 9A bikeway and dissuade them from entering the BPC esplanade through the Hudson River Park, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly supports the concept of community based operations on Piers 25 and 26.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	22 In Favor	10 Opposed	1 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: Movie theatre at 25 N. Moore Street

WHEREAS: A movie theatre has been proposed for the Atalanta Building at N. Moore and Varick Streets, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has heard many serious concerns from community residents with regard to this proposed theatre, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is nonetheless on record as supporting conceptually a movie theatre within the CB #1 area, and

WHEREAS: The movie theatre use is as-of-right for this location as a use group 8A use, and

WHEREAS: We anticipate the opportunity to comment again when this is referred to us by the City Planning Commission, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports residential use in this building, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 demands that the developer and movie theatre operator undertake a thorough review of the potential impact on this neighborhood including but not limited to:

- 1) entering/exiting through doors on Varick St. and impact on N. Moore St.
- 2) high estimates of number of people exiting the theatre at various times and days
- 3) traffic, specifically automobiles and taxis blocking Varick St. and N. Moore St.
- 4) security/policing outside the building and in the surrounding area
- 5) sanitation
- 6) other environmental issues

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Skate AID Event

WHEREAS: Lifebeat has requested to sponsor an event on September 7th on Pier 25 and the Bikeway/Walkway to raise funds for AIDS related organizations, and

WHEREAS: The sponsor has promised to have the areas policed, cleaned and insured and to keep bikeway open for public use, and

WHEREAS: Approximately 1800 rollerbladers will participate in this event and while there will be amplified sound equipment Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources shall control volume level and keep it to a minimum, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the use of the HRPC bikeway for this event and urges that Manhattan Youth (the permit holder of Pier 25) work with Lifebeat to have their rollerblading exhibition on Pier 25 from 12 PM - 3 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Pier 25 and 26 stabilization

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests NYSDOT to implement the following option for the stabilization of Piers 25 and 26:

- 1) Restore "base area" of Pier 25, plus areas 3 and 4.
- 2) Restore currently-used area of Pier 26.
- 3) Wrap pilings under currently-used area of Pier 26.
- 4) Use remaining portion of money to wrap as much of Pier 25 as possible, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the currently-used railing be continued throughout the pier, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the two easternmost wharf drops on the north side of pier 25 not be redecked, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests NYSDOT to look for ways to save money on other areas of the pier and to allocate enough additional money to wrap the pilings on the base area of Pier 25 up to bent 68.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	

RE: Dennises' application to renew sidewalk cafe

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application by Dennises' Restaurant located at 137 Franklin Street for the renewal of their sidewalk cafe.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: *TABLED*

RE: Bubby's Pie Co. application for a sidewalk cafe

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application by Bubby's Pie Co. located at 120 Hudson Street
to legalize their sidewalk cafe.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH

RE: Funding Cut for Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources Inc.

WHEREAS: The youth programs offered by Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources Inc. (MYRR) represent the only free City-funded youth programs in our district and have for many years provided hundreds of local children with an excellent array of activities, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board recently learned that this program, which has been beset by other City budget reductions in recent years, had its budgetary allotment cut by 60% for this current fiscal year by City Councilmember Kathryn Freed, and

WHEREAS: Information derived from a meeting with Councilmember Freed indicated that she herself suffered a reduction of 38% of her "discretionary" funds and no reduction in her "youth" funds both of which have been used to fund MYRR, and

WHEREAS: This information does not appear to explain why MYRR was cut by 60% at a time when other programs are receiving additional funds from the Councilmember, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is also disturbed that neither MYRR nor the Community Board received any advance notice of this budget cut prior to its implementation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 wishes to express its discontent with the very sizeable budget reduction imposed on MYRR by Councilmember Freed, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests a detailed explanation as to why this worthy and popular program suffered such a disproportionate reduction in its funding, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls on the Councilmember to actively use all her resources to assist MYRR in identifying and obtaining alternate funding for this important and vital youth program.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	22 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Nassau Street Mall Reconstruction

WHEREAS: The Nassau St. Mall is in a serious state of disrepair with many bricks loose or missing and with repairs made with inappropriate, non-matching materials, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has been on record for several years urging the reconstruction of the Nassau St. Mall, and

WHEREAS: The Nassau St. Mall Association, after consultation with the Alliance for Downtown NY Inc., is proposing to reconstruct Nassau St. from Spruce St. to Maiden Lane with maroon colored asphalt featuring a special paving pattern at the intersections, and

WHEREAS: This project, which is to also include new lighting fixtures, is expected to cost \$350,000 which is in hand thanks to funding from Borough President Messinger and City Councilmember Freed, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board has been assured that the colored asphalt can and will be maintained when street repairs or utility work is necessary, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the expenditure of \$350,000 for the repair/reconstruction of Nassau St. between Spruce St. and Maiden La. utilizing maroon colored asphalt and new lighting fixtures, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the NYC Dept. of Transportation to move forward with this much needed road work as soon as possible.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	2 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 169 William Street

WHEREAS: The owners of 169 William St., a vacant lot, are seeking authorization from the Department of City Planning to operate a 50 space attended parking lot at this location, and

WHEREAS: The parking lot would have its entrance and exit on Beekman St. and would provide four stacking/queuing spaces inside the lot, and

WHEREAS: This block is already often congested since it contains only one moving lane and accommodates a large existing parking facility a few feet west of 169 William St.,
now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the 169 William St. parking lot authorization for a five year term provided that the City eliminates the 4-5 authorized parking spaces on the southside of Beekman St. just west of William St. and uses this lane for vehicles queuing to enter the parking facility.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Proposed OTB operation at Moran's Bar and Grill

WHEREAS: Off Track Betting's Senior Director of Community Relations just today (July 11th) very briefly informed this committee of its intent to incorporate betting operations at Moran's Bar & Grill, located at 250 Vesey Street (aka Four World Financial Center), and

WHEREAS: We have had no opportunity to responsibly examine any plans, documents or other criteria related to the OTB/Moran's proposal, and

WHEREAS: Many of those in the Battery Park City community who have any knowledge of the OTB/Moran's plan have expressed great concern regarding impacts on the community, and

WHEREAS: Moran's historically has not been a responsive neighbor, often operating with disregard of its impact on its environs, and

WHEREAS: The Battery Park City Authority has reportedly not been consulted on any level regarding the proposal, and

WHEREAS: Based upon the limited information we have, we believe this proposed use may be inappropriate for this location as it may be inconsistent with the character of the surrounding community, and

WHEREAS: Serious questions have been raised regarding OTB's right under New York State Law to conduct betting operations within existing restaurants, and

WHEREAS: We do not wish to categorically condemn the project nor ignore community concerns regarding this proposal, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 insists that OTB, Moran's and Olympia & York (Moran's landlord) do not enter into any lease or other agreement related to this proposal without prior full

consultation with the Community Board, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that OTB immediately provides to CB #1 a legal opinion clarifying OTB's right to conduct betting operations within existing restaurants.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: St. Andrew's Plaza Food Court

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Transportation - Division of Franchises has put forth a draft RFP for a permanent food court at St. Andrew's Plaza, and

WHEREAS: The RFP requires 14 types of food as has been the case in the past with temporary food courts at this location, and

WHEREAS: The original RFP called for 7 food kiosks but 5 kiosks have been eliminated at the request of courthouse representatives and replaced with 9 push carts, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the permanent food court RFP at St. Andrew's Plaza as prepared by DOT, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests an opportunity to review the specific design aspects of the food court prior to Art Commission review, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 expects the City to insure vendor compliance with stringent provisions for cleanliness, removal of garbage, and cleaning all spills and debris and for fire safety pertaining to propose gas on the pavement at the plaza.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 30, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

BOARD VOTE: 29 In Favor 2 Opposed 4 Abstained

RE: River Project Trailer

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves change in previously approved proposed temporary office structure for The River Project on Pier 26 from previously proposed trailer to a smaller modular steel shed for office functions plus smaller trailer for library functions with revised location siting.