

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Benny's Express

WHEREAS: Benny's Express, a fish handling processing warehousing operation, moved from the Fulton Fish Market to 458 Washington St., a warehouse building on the N/W/C of Washington and Watts Streets, on May 19, 1996, and

WHEREAS: This location is in the M1-5 zone (Zoning Resolution IV, Chapter I, Section 41-11) where processing of fish (as evidenced by the appearance of new boxing materials used to re-crate seafood) is a Use Group 18 and is not allowed in this area, and

WHEREAS: Section 42-20 requires that any... building which is used for any use in Use Group 17 (fish markets) or Use Group 18 (fish processing) "shall comply with each and every performance standard governing noise... vibration... odorous matter...", and

WHEREAS: There have been numerous letters of observations and complaints from residents and businesses in the area concerning:

- odors: putrid fish smell;
- traffic: a great number of tractor-trailers and other trucks arriving from 10 PM till 8 AM, at times taking up the whole street;
- use of public streets: forklifts unloading and loading pallets of fish using the sidewalk and streets well beyond their building lines thus blocking traffic and hampering public use;
- noise: the noises and vibrations of refrigerated truck engines idling; as well as the noises of forklifts loading and unloading throughout the night;
- exhaust fumes and soot: with the added traffic comes added pollution;
- health and sanitation: pallets of fish are transferred from refrigerated trucks to the unrefrigerated warehouse as well as the sidewalks and streets for hours throughout the night. Melted ice and fish residue gathering in puddles and left stagnating with no attempt to wash, thus creating a stink throughout the neighborhood;
- rodents: a great number of rodents are seen scurrying to and from that corner, and

WHEREAS: The above conditions are direct results of this operation, and

WHEREAS: The City has issued dozens of violations to this establishment based on these conditions since May 19th, 1996, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the City agencies not allow this operation to continue at this location or anywhere in the district.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: 60 Hudson St. (Western Union Building)

WHEREAS: Air conditioning and emergency generators in 60 Hudson St. have increased the overall noise to an unacceptable level that adversely affects the quality of life for those living and working in nearby buildings, and

WHEREAS: These emergency generators are powered by diesel engines which emit noxious fumes and particulate matter that pose serious health hazards, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon the owner (Hudson Telegraphic Assoc.), managing agent (William Real Estate) and the tenants of 60 Hudson St, as well as the Dept. of Environmental Protection, the Dept. of Buildings, the Landmarks Preservation Commission and other appropriate City agencies to:

- reduce the overall level of noise generated by equipment housed in 60 Hudson St. to 45 decibels or less;
- eliminate emission of all diesel and toxic fumes and other potential pollutants;
- eliminate all street-level emissions and exhaust;
- ensure that the best, most effective equipment is installed on all generators and air conditioners, including hospital-quality mufflers, baffles, filters and other noise-reducing and emission-reducing devices, and replace old, noisy or polluting equipment with state-of-the art quiet generators and air conditioners;
- institute a routine, regularly scheduled maintenance program that ensures equipment remains in top operating condition;
- ensure daily inspection of all equipment to identify and eliminate squeaks, screeches and other sounds;
- issue community alerts of the generator testing schedule to surrounding buildings and the local press;
- limit generator testing to weekdays from 9 AM to 5 PM;
- institute a moratorium on installation of additional generators and air conditioning units;

- review laws regulating noise emissions and generation of electrical fields to ensure that they are appropriate for residential neighborhoods, and revise or enforce these laws as appropriate;
- ensure that the Western Union Building is in full compliance with its landmark designation.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	2 Recused

RE: Parking lot concession at Piers 25 and 26, lease violations

WHEREAS: Piers 25 and 26 are now operating and supplying Lower Manhattan with much needed recreational and educational opportunities, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is concerned that the new parking lot operator adjacent to these Piers has made public access very difficult and has made the area more dangerous for pedestrians, particularly at Laight St. and at Canal St., and

WHEREAS: The parking lot operators have illegally parked vehicles in front of Piers 25 and 26, which HRPC and DOT have set aside for the Pier permittees for public and delivery access, and have been thoroughly uncooperative and belligerent when efforts have been made to resolve this issue, and

WHEREAS: The parking lot operators have also far exceeded the 45 foot wide parking area which their lease allows them and have illegally relocated jersey barriers forcing pedestrians to walk in a narrow path adjacent to speeding cars, and

WHEREAS: Deliveries have been delayed by both the absence of signage for Piers 25 and 26 and by the lot operators telling delivery trucks that they don't know where Pier 25 is and sending these trucks away, and

WHEREAS: The lot operators have put up a large number of unnecessary and inappropriate signs in what is to be a waterfront park, and

WHEREAS: These operators have insulted State officials and the operators of the piers while expressing their open hostility towards these designated waterfront uses, and have even padlocked a bathroom paid for by the Downtown Boat House and The River Project, and

WHEREAS: Large groups of children are scheduled to use these piers during the summer in addition to current users, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges HRPC and NYS DOT to take immediate steps to resolve these extremely serious problems with their parking lot lessee and to specifically insure that:

- 1) The hostile and uncooperative attitude of the lessee and his staff must cease.
- 2) The boundaries of the lot must be clearly identified and marked by State officials with necessary signage and barriers to insure that pedestrians and non-parking lot vehicles can safely and easily access the piers from the north. A single six foot lane for this purpose is inadequate.
- 3) Illegal signage must be removed.
- 4) That the access areas be removed from the lease of the parking lot operators, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: HRPC and NYS DOT revoke this permit and seek a new lessee if these problems and violations are not immediately resolved.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 279 Church Street

Issue to review: Construction of a 20 ft. high roof top addition of approx. 1700 sq. ft. on an existing 5 story building.

WHEREAS: The committee found the design to be generally appropriate to the District character, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve the application with the stipulation that the application revise the following details of the project before approval to begin construction is given:

- We felt that the proposed front window is not appropriate and a more typical double-hung window be installed in alignment with those below.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 27-29 N. Moore Street/22-28 Ericsson Place

Issue to review: Proposed restoration of 27-28 N. Moore St. and conversion of 55 loft units with retail and commercial space on the bottom floor. In addition, the applicant proposes to construct a new as-of-right extension to the top of the building approx. 20 ft. in height containing 20,000 sq. ft. of area transferred from a light shaft to be created. This new addition shall be set-back from the facades on both streets. New windows will be installed (2 over 2), as well as new ground floor storefronts.

WHEREAS: The committee found the design to be generally appropriate to the District character, however, detailed materials, colors, lighting, storefronts or window types were not presented for review, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve the application with the stipulation that the applicant return with further details of the project, as listed above, for review before approval to begin construction is given.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 145 Hudson Street

Issue to review: Proposed restoration of 145 Hudson St. and conversion to 70 loft units with retail and commercial space on the bottom four floors. In addition, the applicant proposed to construct a new as-of-right building on the lot directly west of the above building (Hubert St.) totalling 96,000 sq. ft. with 22 new loft dwellings and retail/commercial space on the bottom three floors.

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the size of the building proposed will make it a prominent building in the District, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the design to be generally appropriate to the District character, however, detailed materials, colors, lighting or window types were not presented for review, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve the application with the stipulation that the applicant return with further details of the project, as listed above, for review before approval to begin construction is given.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 233 Broadway, Woolworth Building

Issue to review: Installation of grills in existing window openings.

WHEREAS: The committee found the design to be generally appropriate to the District character, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve the application with the stipulation that the application revise the following details of the project before approval to begin construction is given:

- We felt that the location and extent of the proposed vents should be fixed by an approved master plan of the work.
- We felt, based upon problems with similar installations in the District, that louvers that can provide some level of acoustic control be mandated and that the details of the connections to these louvers be reviewed for sound transmission.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 25 Broadway

Issue to review: Installation of canopy, handicapped ramp, new entrance door on the main Broadway facade as well as the enlargement of the service door on the Morris St. facade.

WHEREAS: The committee found the architectural character of the building to be one of Downtown's more significant, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that certain of the proposed renovations were not appropriate to the character of the building, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC not approve the application for this work and mandate the following revisions before approval:

- We do feel that the exterior handicapped ramp is appropriate as presented as well as the revisions to the service door.
- We recommend that a specific main entry door type be presented and reviewed before any approval is granted.
- We felt that the proposed entrance canopy is not appropriate and obscures, and seriously detract from, the architectural features of the building, such as the deep entry recesses and rhythm of the arcade elements.
- We felt that banners on the existing flag poles would provide a more appropriate solution to the desired signage.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: City Hall Park

Issue to review: At the City Hall Park entrance plaza the replacement of six 15 ft. high flag poles with two 25 ft. high flag poles and the installation of two new 45 ft. high flag poles at the southern end of the park as well as the removal of four 15 ft. flag poles within the park.

WHEREAS: The condition of the park sidewalks, benches, railings and lawns are so poor, and

WHEREAS: Some conditions, which have resulted from poor maintenance in the park, are serious safety hazards, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: While CB #1 does not disapprove of the installation of the flag poles we feel that the money, if available, should be more wisely spent on other maintenance aspects of the park before flag poles are changed.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: City Hall Park #6 Subway station (currently closed)

Issue to review: Restoration of existing, closed, subway station and conversion into a Transit Museum.

WHEREAS: The committee fully supports the Transit Authority in its current efforts to restore the architecturally significant station, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the proposed work to be appropriate, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve and fully support the restoration of this station.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 122 Hudson Street

Issue to review: Waterproofing existing sidewalk

WHEREAS: The Owners, Applicants or their representatives did not show up at the committee meeting, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the sidewalks are important elements of the district, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC hold over all action on the application until the Owner, Applicant or their representatives appear before this committee.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	11 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	3 Opposed	6 Abstained

RE: Citywide Retail and Industrial Zoning Text Change

WHEREAS: In 1976 a Special District was created in Tribeca because there was a large residential live/work population in a Manufacturing zone, and

WHEREAS: In 1996, Tribeca North is a rapidly growing residential (conversion) neighborhood which has spawned many new entrepreneurial job opportunities in emerging industries, enabled the sensitive restoration of historic structures without government subsidy, and generated property and income taxes for NYC, and

WHEREAS: This Citywide zoning text change is a plan "to encourage the growth of the City's retail and manufacturing sectors", and

WHEREAS: City Planning has recognized that Tribeca North is not an appropriate area for large retail and other commercial uses, and

WHEREAS: Tribeca North is adjacent to Canal St. and the Holland Tunnel entrances and exits, and intersections along Canal St. are Federal Hot Spots with exceptionally high levels of "small particulate matter air pollution" (PM 10), and

WHEREAS: A negative environmental impact would occur by allowing 20,000 sq. ft. retail space on narrow streets in Tribeca, Soho, and Noho; i.e., increased traffic congestion and grid-lock on already heavily trafficked streets as the result of the movements of destination retail shoppers and diesel-powered delivery trucks unable to negotiate right turns, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon City Planning to recognize that Northern Tribeca is a special mixed-use district and not a typical manufacturing district for the purposes of this initiative, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Any zoning changes which would apply to Northern Tribeca should nurture and protect the unique evolutionary development of this mixed-use neighborhood, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the following specific changes in the zoning text amendment to apply to the LMM Special District:

- 1) Limits on use groups which are incompatible with the mixed use district (Refer to section 111-103, 6/28/95, of Article XI - Special Purpose Districts.) Prohibit completely use group 18 (Industrial uses which either involve hazards to public safety and/or generate a great deal of traffic. Prohibit use groups 8A on side streets. Prohibit completely use group 12A (Entertainment and amusement uses, including discos). Museums and non-commercial art galleries should be allowed.
- 2) Reduce the maximum size of retail to 5,000 sq. ft.
- 3) No combining of buildings or zoning lots on any floor, for retail uses over 5000 sq. ft.
- 4) Special permit with community review be required for all bars and restaurants; no bars and restaurants over 5,000 sq. ft. in size.
- 5) No backyard extension; no rooftop cafes.
- 6) Advertising signs be prohibited and business signs be restricted.
- 7) Elimination of the square-foot maximum for live/work residential conversion, and
- 8) All cellar or basement square footage must be included in the calculations for the maximum square footage of retail in one zoning lot.
- 9) Special permits be required for hotels, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 is outraged that an Environmental Impact Statement was determined to be not necessary for the proposed changes, and urges that before any changes are approved, an EIS be conducted, and that such EIS must include a traffic impact study and a study of the likelihood of an increase in the levels of small particulate matter air pollution (PM 10's).

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Four Season Gourmet Deli, 83 West Broadway, application for sidewalk cafe

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application by Four Season Gourmet Deli for a sidewalk cafe.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: URBAN DESIGN AND PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	13 In Favor	8 Opposed	5 Abstained

RE: Indoor commercial establishments with games

WHEREAS: The Department of City Planning is proposing a zoning text amendment relating to commercial establishments that provide games, and

WHEREAS: Currently no more than four accessory games are allowed, this amendment would allow an unlimited number of coin operated amusement devices ("games") in indoor commercial establishments in C2, C3, C4, C6, M1 or M 2 Districts, and

WHEREAS: Such games would be accessed through the commercial establishment only and may only occupy no more than 25 percent of the floor area of the commercial establishment, and

WHEREAS: This amendment would require a special permit and the use would not be as-of-right , and

WHEREAS: The special permit would require Community Board review and the City Planning Commission's approval, and

WHEREAS: If City Planning finds the number of games allowed by the size of the facility may have an adverse impact on the community, it may restrict the number of permitted games and their location within the establishment, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the zoning text amendment provided there is a time limit of five years for the special permit, at which time the applicant may reapply, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: In lower density zoning districts that are primarily residential, such as Tribeca, there be greater restrictions on the floor area occupied by games.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	44 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Pier 17 Railings

WHEREAS: CB #1, the Seaport Community Coalition and others have long identified a safety problem with the railings around Pier 17, particularly for young children, and

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President's Office has allocated \$55,000 to permanently modify the existing railings to make them safer, and

WHEREAS: EDC has now brought forth a railing design which properly addresses the safety concerns, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the metal mesh railing panel additions as designed by EDC and urges the City to proceed with their permanent installation at Pier 17 as soon as possible.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	9 Opposed	6 Abstained

RE: NY Waterways "Lunch Boat" Ferry

WHEREAS: NY Waterways has initiated a new excursion ferry service around NY Harbor which stops at Pier 17 and at the World Financial Center, and

WHEREAS: This service was established without sufficient consultation with the South Street Seaport Museum (SSSM) or CB #1 which operates its own excursion ferry service from the South Street Seaport, and

WHEREAS: The SSSM, which is one of Lower Manhattan's significant cultural institutions, derives over 10% of its income from their Circle Line ferry operation and would be severely hurt if that income stream is jeopardized, and

WHEREAS: The Waterways ticket booth on Pier 17 was established without the proper consultation with nor the approval of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, CB #1 or the SSSM,, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is also concerned about additional diesel fuel emissions from the Waterways ferry, maritime safety in the crowded Pier 17 slip, lack of docking space for tall ships, and a flurry of leafletting and other advertising on the Pier for the new ferry service, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 insists that the City shut down the new NY Waterways "Lunch Boat" Ferry service into Pier 17 unless it can be operated in a manner which is not harmful to the South Street Seaport Museum and this community, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 is most distraught that this service was established without any proper and necessary consultation with CB #1, LPC or the SSSM and demands that this service remain suspended and the ticket booth removed until full and proper

consultation has taken place, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: EDC must employ a far better system of coordinating projects at the Seaport which insures that the interests of the Museum, the Rouse Corporation, and the community are respected and properly balanced.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	20 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 30 Wall Street, BSA application to legalize physical culture establishment

WHEREAS: The NY Sports Club has applied to legalize the operation of a physical culture establishment at 30 Wall St, and

WHEREAS: This use will have no adverse impact on the area and be beneficial to local workers and residents, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the approval of this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	44 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Rodents in Lower Manhattan

WHEREAS: There continues to be a serious rodent problem in CB #1, particularly in Tribeca and Battery Park City, and

WHEREAS: This problem is most evident along Greenwich St. in the vicinity of PS 234, Washington Market Park and Independence Plaza, and in Battery Park City along the esplanade and at the Mercantile Exchange and Port Authority construction sites, and

WHEREAS: This problem can only be properly resolved if all the property owners and institutions clean up and bait the area, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges all the local Greenwich St. and Battery Park City retailers, schools, parks and property owners to initiate an immediate and coordinated clean-up and baiting campaign to address this serious rodent problem.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 18, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	43 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Foley Square Subway Entrance

WHEREAS: In conjunction with the redesign of Foley Square, the Parks Department and Transit Authority are proposing to close two existing subway stairways at the Chambers St. (J & M lines) station and replace them with a single large entrance at Centre and Duane Streets, and

WHEREAS: The new 20' wide stairway will provide more than adequate capacity for subway riders and enable the Parks Department to provide additional usable open space at Foley Square, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the proposed closing of the two existing subway entrances at Foley Square and the creation of a new 20' wide stairway/entrance at Centre and Duane Streets for the Chambers St. station.