

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Relocation of Tribeca Greenmarket

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the relocation of the Wednesday Tribeca Greenmarket to the south east corner on Greenwich St. at Harrison St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Liquor License application for TSR at 13-17 Laight St.

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the granting of a Liquor License to The Screening Room located at 13-17 Laight St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
EXECUTIVE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Illumination of the Holland Tunnel vent shaft

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the submission of an application for ISTEA transportation enhancement program funds for the restoration and illumination of the Holland Tunnel vent shaft.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
EXECUTIVE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Dry Cleaning plant at 39 N. Moore St.

WHEREAS: The Community Board expresses its strong opposition to the use of "Perc" dry cleaning machines in any residential buildings, and

WHEREAS: There is confusion over whether the plans filed for 39 N. Moore St. (Use Group 6) conforms with the existing Certificate of Occupancy, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Environmental Protection requires an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in situations where a change in the zoning is discretionary such as a certificate from the City Planning Commission for a minor modification to allow Use Group 6 retail on the ground floor, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that DEP not grant a permit to this establishment until either an EIS is performed for the retail use or the plans are approved under a conforming use group (which conforms with the existing Certificate of Occupancy), and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the City Council to upgrade and strengthen NYC's regulations for dry cleaning establishments and to prohibit any establishments using "Perc" from locating in or immediately adjacent to residential buildings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
EXECUTIVE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Proposed landmark designations for:
City Bank - Farmers Trust Co. Building - 20 Exchange Pl.
Beaver Building - 82-92 Beaver St.
J & W Seligman & Co. Building - 1 William St.
Delmonico's Building - 56 Beaver St.

WHEREAS: The committee supports the Landmarks Preservation in its current and future efforts at landmarks designation of as many as possible of architecturally significant buildings in Lower Manhattan which are not currently protected, and

WHEREAS: The committee found the proposed buildings to be of significant architectural character to warrant designation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC proceed with their designation, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC review the possible interior lobby designation of the City Bank-Farmers Trust 20 Exchange Pl.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
EXECUTIVE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 143-147 Franklin St., new storefront, entrance doors, rolling gate and signage band

WHEREAS: The committee found the design, as proposed, to be appropriate and commended the applicant for the adaptation and exterior treatment of the elevator to provide handicapped access as well as the use of interior, open mesh, roll down gates, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that LPC approve the application for this work.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: GREENING OF GREENWICH ST.

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
EXECUTIVE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Fund Allocation for Greenwich Street Project

WHEREAS: Members of the committee have met on two occasions with the Economic Development Corporation (EDC) and OMB in an attempt to resolve the financial issues surrounding the "Greening of Greenwich Street" project in an effort to get the project back on track following the reduction in funding from +\$9,000,000 to \$1,100,000, and

WHEREAS: At the first of such meetings the Committee submitted a "conceptual" plan of a scaled back project for the sole purpose of discussion and preliminary budgeting by EDC, and

WHEREAS: At the second of such meetings EDC reported that the budget for the submitted "conceptual" plan would be \$2,800,000 and also presented an even further scaled back version utilizing traffic islands and planters which was budgeted at \$1,400,000, and

WHEREAS: At the same meeting EDC confirmed the existence of the additional \$2,000,000 "Bank of New York" fund for improvements to Greenwich Street and their intentions to spend at least \$1,300,000 of this fund for improvements, sculptures, landscaping etc. for the one block of Washington Street between Barclay and Vesey (as reviewed and approved by CB #1 last year), now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has worked hard to adjust the scope of the Greening of Greenwich Street project to absorb the 80% reduction in funding and still achieve our safety and design goals and CB #1 feels that the further scaled back version of the "Greening of Greenwich Street" project utilizing traffic islands and planters as proposed by EDC is not appropriate and does not achieve these minimum functional goals, and

BE IT

FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 feels that, in light of the \$8,000,000 reduction in the "Greening of Greenwich Street" project and the new fiscal environment in the City, the utilization of \$1,300,000 for the one block of Washington Street between Barclay and Vesey project is inappropriate and since this project has not yet been sent out to bid that EDC and OMB review the allocation of these funds and the possible combination of the two funds into one fund, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that EDC and OMB work with CB #1 to determine the appropriate allocation and utilization of these funds for the overall betterment of the Greenwich Street corridor from Vesey Street to Hubert Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	0 Opposed	8 Abstained

RE: 101 Maiden Lane, proposed HRA Employment Center

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the siting of a 3200 s.f. HRA employment center at 101 Maiden Lane.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT AND ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	4 Abstained

RE: Temporary Improvements for Pier A Plaza

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the design put forth by landscape architect Ken Smith for temporary improvements to the Pier A plaza.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 16, 1996

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Battery Park Concession

WHEREAS: The proposed phone card concession adds nothing to the comfort or well-being of Battery Park visitors, and

WHEREAS: Battery Park is already overrun with concessions, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has frequently stated its opposition to additional unnecessary commercial ventures in Battery Park, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the proposed phone card concession cart at Battery Park.