

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

RE: CB #1 review of Application for Certificate of Appropriateness (C of A) in the Tribeca North, South, East and West Historic Districts

WHEREAS: Since the designation of the Tribeca North, South and East Historic Districts in December 8, 1992, (Tribeca West Historic District May 7, 1994) CB #1 has seen a steady increase in the number of applications for C of A to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for these districts, and their consideration by CB #1, and

WHEREAS: In acknowledging the Board's responsibility to preserve and support the integrity of these historic districts which it had long sought to create, and specifically to assure the thorough and diligent review of all C or A applications in these districts, the Board Chairperson in September 1993 established the Tribeca/Historic Districts Committee, and

WHEREAS: Since its establishment, the Tribeca Historic Districts Committee has reviewed and forwarded resolutions to the full Board 24 applications for C of A, and

WHEREAS: Reflecting the growing knowledge and expertise of committee members about the landmarks regulations and requirements in the tribeca historic districts, and their and the committee chairperson's interest in arriving at consensus in their recommendations, the votes of these applications in committee have nearly always been unanimous; and the full Board in its votes has concurred with the committee's recommendations in all instances, and

WHEREAS: As a result of very full agendas, frequently including very complex issues requiring lengthy discussion, at full Board meetings of the last several months, consideration of C of A applications has been rushed and perfunctory, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 authorizes the Tribeca Historic Districts Committee to make review by the full Community Board, without recommendations to the LPC on its behalf in the matter of all applications for a C of A to the LPC within the Tribeca North, South, East and West Historic Districts, except:

1. When, in-consultation with the District Manager, the Chair of the Tribeca Historic Districts Committee deems an application to be of sufficient

significance or controversy as to be warrant consideration by the full Community Board, or

2. Upon the request of any (1) member of the Tribeca Historic Districts Committee that an application (C of A) be presented for consideration by the full Board, (Such request shall prevail and not be subject to a second or vote by the Committees), and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Such authorization shall remain in effect until and subject to extension, after evaluation by the Board, after June 30, 1995.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 1 Worth St., application for Certificate of Appropriateness

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to install a matching window in the same linear row of lot line windows, and

WHEREAS: The storefront renovations improve the Worth St. facade by adding wooden doors, glass transoms and appropriate trim, and

WHEREAS: The open "garage door space" is being reduced in size and part will be restored to a storefront, and will be kept graffiti free, and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to install a metal chimney up the entire height of the building on the West Broadway facade alongside the existing brick chimney, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the application be approved by the Landmarks Preservation Commission on the condition that the metal chimney be enclosed by matching brick; and the Board encourages the applicant to remove all graffiti from the parking lot wall.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

BOARD VOTE: 18 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained

RE: Hudson River Waterfront Amenities

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 Calls upon NYS Department of Transportation to fully fund the amenities package which was presented to and approved by the community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: 355 Greenwich St., Spartina, application for Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application by Spartina Restaurant for an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe with 28-32 outdoor seats, provided that management cooperate with the residents with regard to noise, public safety and sanitation and that CB #1 shall re-examine the issues if problems should arise, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 asks that the hours of operation for this cafe be limited to 11 PM weekdays and 12 AM weekends (Fri. & Sat. nights) and that the doors to the restaurant be kept closed after these hours.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Parking at Tribeca Waterfront

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon NYS Department of Transportation and the Hudson River Park Conservancy to limit parking leaseholders on the Tribeca waterfront to only the area specified by their leases, and that those agencies also take steps to eliminate any unauthorized bus, limousine and automobile parking and/or standing and Sheriff's Department tow activity, in the waterfront area.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION AND CONCESSIONS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Accessory on-street parking

WHEREAS: The Community Board has investigated the manner in which city streets within the district are being used, and

WHEREAS: There are numerous ongoing and long-standing complaints from the general public about accessory on-street parking, specifically, employees of governmental agencies parking, and in many instances, "taking over" city streets in CB #1 with vehicles often utilized for mere commuting, and as a consequence seriously interfering with:

- residents and visitors' ability to park on streets
- the Department of Sanitation's ability to clean streets
- the making of deliveries to commercial and residential buildings
- access to the area by the general public, and

WHEREAS: In addition to the problems described above, use by employees of governmental agencies of unnecessary vehicles on city streets contributes to traffic congestion and air pollution which endangers public health and adversely impacts the quality of life, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 believes that city streets are intended for general public use, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: As a matter of public policy, no city, state or federal agency shall be authorized to designate accessory on-street parking spaces or privileges not available to the general public, except for parking for people with disabilities and for emergency service vehicles, as defined in the NYS Motor Vehicle Law, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The NYC Department of Transportation, the NYC Police Department and all other city, state and federal governmental agencies engaging in this practice shall cease and desist, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: All agencies having jurisdiction shall immediately remove all signs from city streets which authorize accessory on-street parking not available to the general public, except for those signs which apply to people with disabilities and emergency service vehicles, as defined in the NYS Motor Vehicle Law.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 31-33 N. Moore St., application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to restore the windows to their original configuration (2 over 2), and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to restore the storefront to masonry piers, cast iron columns, and wood and glass doors, and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes no exterior roll down gates, and

WHEREAS: The existing bulkhead will be reduced in height and relocated towards the east, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the plans as submitted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Maintenance of Sidewalk Newsstands

WHEREAS: Having a newsstand is a revocable privilege granted by the City, and

WHEREAS: As Section 2-64, Art Commission Review, of the "Newsstand Guidelines" of the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) already states that materials of which sidewalk newsstands are constructed should be "durable, stain and graffiti resistant and easy to clean." And prior to issuing a license renewal, "DCA shall first determine that the newsstand is in good repair and free of graffiti and advertising...", and

WHEREAS: Section 20-231.j. of the New York (City) Administrative Code already states that "the licensee shall make reasonable efforts to maintain the cleanliness of his or her newsstand", and

WHEREAS: There is blatant disregard with respect to maintaining newsstands free of graffiti,
now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB # 1 urges the DCA (and other departments with jurisdiction) to:

1. enforce existing sidewalk newsstand licensing procedures and regulations;
2. expand the current licensing renewal procedure to allow complaints by citizens to be used as a criteria and measure as to what extent a newsstand operator has maintained her/his stand free of graffiti throughout the period authorized by the license;
3. send to operators, before the renewal period commences, notification advising them to remove all graffiti from their stands.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: City Council Intro. 157, Public Drinking Legislation

WHEREAS: The consumption of alcohol in public places, i.e., streets, parks, sidewalks, parking lots, stoops, etc., is evident in many areas of the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: The consumption of alcohol in public places affects the behavior of those drinking the alcohol, whether individually or in groups, often becoming a public nuisance, and

WHEREAS: Many of the bias-related incidents involve perpetrators who have been or are in the act of consuming alcohol on the streets, and

WHEREAS: The current Administrative Code of the City of New York does not impose a sufficient fine to deter public drinking, and

WHEREAS: The NYC Council is considering a local law, Intro. 157, to amend the Administrative Code of the City to increase the fine for public consumption of alcohol to \$250.00 from the current \$25.00 for consumption of alcohol in public places, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the City Council's proposed amendment (Intro. 157) to the Administrative Code, Subdivision E of paragraph two of section 1-125, in relation to increasing the fine to \$250.00 from the current \$25.00 for consumption of alcohol in public places.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Proposed noise abatement legislation

WHEREAS: Excessive noise from discos, clubs, boomboxes, motorcycles and vehicles with air-horns, etc., continues to be a major quality of life problem within CB #1, and

WHEREAS: Such noise adversely affects the quality of life of many residents and causes certain health hazards, including sleep deprivation and fatigue, and

WHEREAS: The noise problem continues due to both the lack of sufficient laws to prohibit many excessive noise sources and the lack of enforcement of existing laws, and

WHEREAS: Mayor Giuliani has stated his strong interest in and concern for improving the quality of life for all New York City residents, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB # 1 endorses the proposed noise abatement legislation as put forward by CB #2-Manhattan (hereto attached), and including the friendly amendment as proposed by CB #4-M, and to include vehicle horns.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: 20-26 N. Moore St., application to the City Planning Commission for a Minor Modification (N 940555 ZCM)

WHEREAS: The applicant is requesting a Minor Modification to allow the renting of 1,629 sq. ft. of ground floor space in a former garage at 26 N. Moore St. as a loft dwelling, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has presented documentation demonstrating that the building owner has made a good faith effort to rent this space for a mandated (conforming) use at fair market rental, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the City Planning Commission approve application N 940555 ZCM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained*
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained*

* Nancy Owens for cause

RE: 67 Hudson St., application to the City Planning Commission for the disposition of one city-owned property (C 940416 PPM)

WHEREAS: The Department of General Services has proposed the sale of a commercial condominium (1,283 sq. ft.) at the cellar of 67 Hudson St., now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the City Planning Commission approve application C 940416 PPM, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly requests that the City not sell this unit for a use which would in anyway create a noise, sanitation or congestion nuisance to the community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 26, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	12 In Favor	14 Opposed	4 Abstained

RE: Interim Bike and Pedestrian Path

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 commends the Hudson River Park Conservancy for the construction of the interim bicycle and pedestrian path and calls upon NYS Department of Transportation to fully fund the amenities package which was presented to and approved by the community.