

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION AND CONCESSIONS COMMITTEE

RE: Privileged Parking in CB #1

WHEREAS: Privileged parking on city streets interferes with the cleaning of streets, deliveries to buildings and access to the CB #1 area for residents and the general public, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is well served by public transportation, including railroads, ferries, subways, buses, taxi-cabs and livery vehicles which provide direct, timely, and economical transportation to other parts of Manhattan, Staten Island, Brooklyn, Queens, The Bronx, Westchester Co., Long Island, New Jersey, Connecticut, and La Guardia, Kennedy and Newark International Airports, and

WHEREAS: Many Federal, City and State agencies are located in office buildings, within CB #1, which are a short walking distance from each other within the Civic Center area, and

WHEREAS: The widespread use of commuter vehicles within CB #1 causes, daily traffic congestion and air pollution which negatively effects its residents, workers and visitors, and

WHEREAS: The alienation of street lands for privileged commuter parking is a costly and undesirable alternative to the use of public transportation, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Mayor issue an Executive Order eliminating all privileged parking areas within CB #1, and further direct that all city-owned vehicles under the Mayor's jurisdiction shall use off-street parking facilities for overnight and weekend storage.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	23 In Favor	2 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Payback Waiver Request, CB #1 Worksheet

WHEREAS: Ben Green, Chairman of the Payback Waiver Committee of the Advisory Board of the Hudson River Park Conservancy, has requested that all three affected Community Boards supply to his Committee information necessary for it to complete the drafting of a Payback Waiver Request for Federal monies received for the purchase of the property originally intended for the former Westway project, and now planned for the construction of the new Hudson River Waterfront park, as envisioned by the Final Report of Westside Waterfront Panel, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is on record as supporting the preparation and submission of a Payback Waiver Request, and thus wishes to be helpful to this Committee in completing its work, and

WHEREAS: We are assured that any details contained in said Payback Waiver Request do not "lock in" CB #1 to any specific elements of the Park's design in the CB #1 area, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 authorize the Payback Waiver Committee of the HRPC Advisory Board to utilize the attached work-sheet of detailed elements of park usage within the CB #1 area in its preparation of a Payback Waiver request, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Our support of this payback waiver request reflects our continued opposition to any commercial development (e.g. hotels, residential and commercial development, amusement parks) within the confines of the CB #1 area of the westside waterfront park, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: We strongly caution that any potential park designation legislation be as restrictive as possible to prevent these unwanted uses.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION AND CONCESSIONS COMMITTEE

RE: On-Street Accessory Parking

WHEREAS: The NYS Motor Vehicle Law contains provisions for special parking areas for people with disabilities and defines Emergency Services, and

WHEREAS: The Zoning Resolution of the City of NY contains provisions for permitted and required accessory off-street parking spaces in order to provide parking spaces off the streets, to reduce traffic congestion caused by parking on the streets, to prevent substantial amounts of traffic from circulating in and parking on residential streets surrounding commercial centers and thereby promoting and protecting public health, safety and the general welfare, and

WHEREAS: The Zoning Resolution of the City of NY, which requires approval of the NY City Council, a legislature body, defines a "Street" as "A way designed or intended for general public use...", and

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Transportation, a non-legislative body, has circumvented the intention of the Zoning Resolution of the City of NY, by designating accessory on-street parking spaces which are not designed or intended for general public use, and which increase traffic congestion caused by parking on the streets, and which cause substantial amounts of traffic to circulate in and park on residential streets surrounding commercial centers thereby endangering the public health safety and the general welfare, and

WHEREAS: The City Council of the City of NY, a legislative body, approves changes and modifications to the Zoning Resolution which regulates accessory parking, and

WHEREAS: The Zoning Resolution does not contain provisions for on-street accessory parking, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: The NYC Department of Transportation cease and desist from designating accessory on-street parking until such time as appropriate provisions are included in the Zoning Resolution of the City of NY, and

BE IT
FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The NYC Department of Transportation immediately remove all signs designating reserved accessory parking on all public streets in New York City, except for parking for people with disabilities and for emergency service vehicles as defined in the NYS Motor Vehicle Law.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Beach Volleyball, Pier 25

WHEREAS: Mr. Veselko Buntic proposes to operate a not-for-profit beach volleyball operation on Pier 25, and

WHEREAS: There is currently no lease holder for Pier 25, pending a future proposal process conforming to CB #1's request for water-dependent uses for this pier, and

WHEREAS: Mr. Buntic proposes to maintain two courts for free play by individuals and community groups, and has offered to provide coaching for beginning players, while also operating a fee-for-membership league for competitive-level players utilizing a third court, with collected fees to be used for equipment, construction and maintenance costs and maintenance personnel, if needed, and

WHEREAS: Mr. Buntic has approached HRPC regarding a month-to-month non-rent paying agreement to run this operation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves this proposal for the summer of 1994 contingent upon HRPC providing oversight of this operation with regard to the issues of public safety, insurance, sanitation, and noise reduction, and provided that Mr. Buntic continue to provide completely free play on at least two courts, and that he reach out to the community to make members of the community aware of the opportunity to participate in this program; and contingent upon Mr. Buntic's proceeding with not-for-profit in-corporation, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 emphasizes that we continue to insist on full community access to all parts of Pier 25, and continues to abide by its previous resolutions regarding this pier, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 reserves the right to re-consider its support for this operation beyond the summer of 1994.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: COMBINED TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS
AND TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET
COMMITTEES

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE: 20 In Favor 1 Opposed 9 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to restore 416-424 Washington St., construct a 1 story addition; and build a hotel tower on the adjacent merged zoning lot

WHEREAS: This is a continuation of an earlier presentation, and the current proposal is for a 21 story tower, plus mechanical penthouse (233 ft. to top of the penthouse; 247 ft. to top of elevator bulkhead and water tower), and to restore the existing warehouse (adding an additional floor), and

WHEREAS: The "Tribeca West Historic District Manual" (p. 26, and as will be included in manuals for the 3 other Tribeca historic districts) states THAT: "It is recommended that a new building stay within the range of heights found within its immediate streetscape.", and

WHEREAS: The primary impact of the proposed new hotel tower on the historic character of the Tribeca North Historic District - in which the existing former warehouse building lies and the proposed tower would abut - is its "overtowering" height, and

WHEREAS: No decorative modification of the appearance of the proposed hotel tower will abrogate the visual impact of its height, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is already on record (resolution of 10/19/93) expressing its concern about land-use issues such as zoning (FAR and use), traffic, social services, etc., now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission disapprove the application, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 reserves the right to comment in detail about its concerns regarding land use (zoning, use, traffic, security, etc.) impacts at future hearings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Restoration of Department of Youth Services' (DYS) funding to community based organizations (CBO's)

WHEREAS: CB #1 has already gone on record in opposition (resolution of 5/17/94) to the 60% budget cuts to its YDDP funding, evening and weekend funding, and city initiatives funding, and

WHEREAS: These cuts are disproportionate to the overall shortfall of the City's, budget and CB #1 faces a far larger percentage reduction in funding compared to any other community board district, and

WHEREAS: The May '94 CB #1 resolution outlines clearly the lack of other youth services in our area and the absence of any recreation agency, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 applauds the efforts of the NYC Council, as represented in its budget package, to restore DYS funding, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The Mayor and City Council restore DYS funding in categories that go to community based organizations, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: If cuts are not substantially restored, with any remaining cuts made in an equitable basis, Council members should vote against the proposed budget.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 135 West Broadway to plant one tree

WHEREAS: There is no sidewalk vault or driveway at this location and the purposed street tree would not obstruct any traffic signs, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 wishes to encourage the planting of street trees, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as submitted, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: It is CB #1's belief that applications filed with LPC for street tree plantings should be reviewed and authorized by LPC staff as "Certificates of Minor Work".

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 1 White St. to construct a new stair bulkhead to roof

WHEREAS: The applicant wishes to use the roof of his own building, and

WHEREAS: The parapet will be of metal pipe and black plastic mesh netting, and

WHEREAS: The addition will not be visible directly across the street, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as submitted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 250 West St. to install a new cooling tower on roof

WHEREAS: The building requires an additional cooling tower and the applicant cannot site the new cooling tower toward the middle of the building because of other existing bulkheads, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as submitted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 44 Hudson St. to legalize the reglazing of existing and ransom window at 2nd floor

WHEREAS: Three of the original glass panels were destroyed prior to landmark designation and the applicant replaced all 4 windows with clear glass and retained the existing frames, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to paint the existing metal gate and maintain it graffiti-free, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application as submitted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 58-60 Reade St. to legalize an awning sign

WHEREAS: This application is solely for the smaller yellow awning on the first bay, and

WHEREAS: The applicant maintains that the awning can be remounted on the previous retractable hardware, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has no objection to the applicant remounting the awning on the retractable hardware which was on the building for the last 50 years.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) for Certificate of Appropriateness for 109 West Broadway (Delphi Restaurant) to legalize existing ramp on the first floor leading to the existing restaurant on Reade St.

WHEREAS: In addressing the requirement to provide handicap-access to the restaurant, the applicant installed a simple handrail made of steel piping into the sidewalk painted fire engine red; and installed a ramp covering its surface with terra cotta tiles, without first obtaining the required permits, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has no objection to the application as long as the handrail is painted a neutral color and the sidewalk ramp is of concrete.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BUDGET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	23 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Independent Budget Office (IBO) Funding

WHEREAS: The creation of an Independent Budget Office (IBO) is mandated by the revision of the NYC Charter approved by the voters in 1989, and

WHEREAS: The IBO is intended to serve the City's Community Boards, Council Members and Borough Presidents with independent and non-partisan budget analysis and revision, including the impact of budgetary action upon neighborhood services, and

WHEREAS: The 1989 Charter modeled the IBO after the Congressional Budget Office, which is widely respected for saving tax dollars and promoting more efficient government, and

WHEREAS: The IBO would make an important contribution at this time of fiscal crisis in the City, helping to root out government waste and foster cost-effective programs, and

WHEREAS: Many Council Members, the five Borough Presidents through their representative Fernando Ferrer, a wide range of civic and community groups and other elected officials have called on the City to establish and fund this office as required by law, and

WHEREAS: The State's highest court, the NYS Court of Appeals, ruled on March 22nd that the City must "comply with the IBO provision in the City Charter to give meaning to the intention of the people as expressed through their vote", now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 joins with CB #4-M - and the other supporters of the measure identified above - in calling on Mayor Giuliani and City Council Speaker Vallone to respect the rule of law and the will of the voters by establishing and funding the Independent Budget Office in the City's Fiscal Year 1995 budget.

NOTE: Resolution as adapted from and submitted to CB #1 for its consideration by CB #4-M.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER AND
EAST RIVER WATERFRONT AD-HOC COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Zoning Text Change, Special Manhattan Landing Development (MLD) District (N 930137 ZRM) and Amendment to the Brooklyn Bridge Southeast Urban Renewal Plan (C 940503 HUM)

WHEREAS: Development of the East River Waterfront is currently guided by the 1973 Special Manhattan Landing Development district (MLD) and the similarly outdated Brooklyn Bridge Southeast Urban Renewal Plan both of which anticipated the development of a mixed-use project featuring 8 million square feet of office space, 6,400 housing units, open spaces, community facilities and transportation improvements in the area adjacent to and in the district, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 recently spent many months drafting a rational proposal (resolution of 1/25/94) to redevelop the existing piers which had unanimous support from all segments of the Lower Manhattan community and which should be the basis for the redevelopment of the East River waterfront, and

WHEREAS: The current zoning provisions are obsolete and make no provisions or allowances for the type of small-scale redevelopment of the existing piers and waterfront which the Community Board is unanimously on record supporting, and

WHEREAS: The proposed text change is primarily intended to allow low-scale, less than 2 FAR development with certain water-dependent and water-enhancing uses on waterfront blocks in the East River. Such developments would be exempt from the existing use and urban design controls of the MLD district but would be subject to the waterfront public access and visual corridor requirements of the recently adopted waterfront zoning regulations. The Urban Renewal Plan amendment will permit developments containing water-dependent and water-enhancing uses less than 1 FAR to be exempt from the special urban design controls of the Urban Renewal Plan but which must still conform to the controls set forth in the MLD, and

WHEREAS: The proposed MLD text changes would also: (1) delete the requirement of a mandatory arcade and a cornice line at the 45 foot front wall height for any structure to be built at 250 Water St. and (2) allow the Whitehall Ferry Terminal to be rebuilt over the existing Battery Park Underpass and modify the district boundary to include the entire Whitehall Ferry Terminal and Peter Minuit Park, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the proposed zoning text change and urban renewal plan amendment because we object to many of the allowable uses which would be permitted, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 would support a text change and plan amendment which permits only the types of small-scale water-dependent and water-enhancing uses and public space allowances outlined in our 1/25/94 resolution, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly opposes the 250 Water St. text changes which would only facilitate development which this Community Board has repeatedly rejected and continues to support development of this site as a park, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the proposed MLD text changes intended to facilitate the Whitehall Ferry Terminal reconstruction unless the administration rescinds their decision to allow automobiles back into the ferries and terminal, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly recommends that the City promptly eliminate the Special Manhattan Landing Development District, the Brooklyn Bridge Southeast Urban Renewal Plan and the Special South Street Seaport District and allow for the development of the East River waterfront in accordance with CB #1's recommendations set forth in the resolution of 1/25/94 and revise the zoning of the South Street Seaport Historic District.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE COMMITTEE

RE: Maintenance of Sidewalk Newsstands

WHEREAS: Having a newsstand is a revocable privilege granted by the City, and

WHEREAS: As Section 2-64, Art Commission Review, of the "Newsstand Guidelines" of the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) already states that materials of which sidewalk newsstands are constructed should be "durable, stain and graffiti resistant and easy to clean." And prior to issuing a license renewal, "DCA shall first determine that the newsstand is good repair and free of graffiti and advertising...", and

WHEREAS: Section 20-231.j. of the New York (City) Administrative Code already states that "the licensee shall make reasonable efforts to maintain the cleanliness of his or her newsstand", and

WHEREAS: There is blatant disregard with respect to maintaining newsstands free of graffiti, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB # 1 urges the DCA (and other departments with jurisdiction) to:

1. enforce existing sidewalk newsstand licensing procedures and regulations;
2. expand the current licensing renewal procedure to allow complaints by citizens to be used as a criteria and measure of to what extent a newsstand operator has maintained her/his stand free of graffiti throughout the period authorized by the license;
3. send to operators, before the renewal period commences, a flier advising them to remove all graffiti from their stands.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Selection of Ken Smith, Landscape Architect to serve as Community Design Liaison (CDL) to CB #1

WHEREAS: The Hudson River Park Conservancy (HRPC) has allocated monies for the hiring of a landscape architect to act as Community Design Liaison (CDL) for each of the four segments of the planned Hudson River Waterfront Park, and

WHEREAS: Said CDL shall act as each community's representative in interpreting the Parks' design as executed by the Conservancy's Master Design Consultant (MDC) team and communicating it to the community, and expressing the community's needs and desires to the MDC team, and

WHEREAS: The Tribeca/Washington Market Committee interviewed all ten firms which applied to fill the CDL position for CB #1; and the committee was impressed with the qualifications, enthusiasm and communications skills of Tribeca resident, Ken Smith, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 selects Ken Smith, Landscape Architect to serve as CB #1's Community Design Liaison for the proposed Hudson River Waterfront Park.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Municipal Building

WHEREAS: The Department of General Services is proposing to install 14 metal gates to restrict access to the Municipal Building, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the LPC deny the Certificate of Appropriateness application to install these gates which we believe to be excessive, unnecessary and inappropriate, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 suggests that alternative and far less intrusive solutions to the security concerns of the City can be put into place including better lighting, hiring of security guards and the placement of a red light to show when the subway entrance is closed.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Liz Claiborne

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the Certificate of Appropriateness application to modify the signage at 133 Beekman St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 16 1/2 Dover St.

WHEREAS: The upper floors of this 5 story building, which houses the Bridge Cafe and is otherwise empty, are rapidly deteriorating and in imminent danger of collapse, and

WHEREAS: This small structure has a floor plate measuring 20' x 20' which makes it virtually unmarketable, and

WHEREAS: The applicant maintains that even substantial structural repairs would not provide for an adequate safety factor for occupancy above the first two floors, and

WHEREAS: The owners of the building and the Bridge Cafe wishes to maintain this popular restaurant at this location but regrettably cannot afford to restore the upper floors, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to remove the top three floors of 16 1/2 Dover St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION AND
CONCESSIONS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	23 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: South Street Seaport Historic District, On-Street parking regulations proposal

WHEREAS: Problems with on-street parking in and around the South Street Seaport Historic District (SSSHD) are complex, chronic and longstanding and are, in part the result of:

- inadequate signage and street markings and the fact the SSSHD lies within the "Blue Zone" are sources of confusion not only for motorists but also for traffic enforcement agents and police officers who enforce the parking regulations
- operation of the Fulton Fish Market
- "privileged parking" by NYPD personnel (particularly those assigned to 1 Police Plaza) which makes parking nearly impossible for others
- South Street Seaport tourists, and

WHEREAS: Members of the community have presented CB #1 with a plan which, in a comprehensive manner, proposes to address problems associated with on-street parking in the SSSHD and vicinity, and

WHEREAS: The community's proposal attempts to address not only residents' interests but those of businesses, and tourists as well, and

WHEREAS: In the judgment of CB #1 the specific measures set forth in the proposal seem to have been arrived at diligently and are reasonable, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the NYC Department of Transportation study and whenever possible swiftly implement all of the recommendations set forth in the "South Street Seaport Historic District Street Parking Regulation Proposal" hereto appended.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 21, 1994

BOARD VOTE: 23 In Favor 2 Opposed 2 Abstained

RE: World Trade Center Cooling System Modification

WHEREAS: There are many unanswered questions of significant concern with reference to the impact on the environment, the substructure of the landfill itself, the structural integrity of the Gateway Plaza buildings and the health, welfare and safety of the residents of and visitors to Battery Park City, and

WHEREAS: There are no plans for mitigation of any potential negative impacts, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that before the BPCA grants any approval for the above referenced project to go forward, and before the Port Authority awards any contract, that a full SEQR review and public hearing be held.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - PAYBACK WAIVER WORK SHEET

LOCATIONS	PROPOSED USE
Pier 25	Public recreational activities (walking, sitting, path to bike or skate to end of pier).
	Seating, shaded areas, children's play area, comfort station, open play area, picnic area, small boat access, pier fishing.
	Continuation of park-long esplanade at western edge of Route 9A. (walkway, bikeway, green space). Active recreation space.
Land Between Pier 25 and 26	Continuation of park-long esplanade.
	Active recreation space.
Pier 26	Marine research facility. Educational exhibits related to Ecological Park and other topics.
	Small boat storage. Existing buildings will be adapted. Mooring of historic vessels.
	Active recreation space.
Land Between Pier 26 and 34	Ecological Park. Plantings of native riverside flora and other examples of local vegetation and wildlife.
	Examples of a variety of restored wetlands and near-shore habitats.
	Continuation of park-long esplanade. Active recreation space.
Pier 34	Public recreational activities (walking, sitting, path to bike or skate to end of pier).
	Continuation of park-long esplanade.
	Active recreation space.