

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 331 Greenwich St., South Beach Bar, application for a Cabaret License*

WHEREAS: Mr. Mark Shariati, the applicant has advised CB #1 that he is abandoning the project due to "economic hardship", however, the Department of Consumer Affairs is unable to confirm this, and the application remains active there, and

WHEREAS: As a result of a request for an inspection by the Community Board, the Department of Buildings issued a violation and "stop work order" to the premises for "work in progress without a permit", and

WHEREAS: The Community Board has requested that the NYS Liquor Authority reconsider the "conditional license" it granted to South Beach Bar arguing that the prohibition against a license being issued within 200 ft. of a school (Early Childhood Center, 324 Greenwich St.) may have been ignored in this instance, and

WHEREAS: The 331 Greenwich St. building is in the heart of Tribeca the home of thousands of residents, across the street from the huge Independence Plaza North residential complex and the Washington Market Community Park, surrounded by residential lofts and in close proximity to two public schools, the Early Childhood Center and PS 234, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 strenuously urges the Department of Consumer Affairs to deny the application by South Beach Bar for a Cabaret License at 331 Greenwich St.

*For ratification, the resolution of the Committee was submitted to the DCA to meet its deadline for CB #1 comment.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 25 Hudson St., Amadeus, Application for a Cabaret License*

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented to CB #1 that his reason for making the application for a Cabaret License is to expand the capacity of the catering business which he currently operates in conjunction with his restaurant, Cafe C, near Columbus Circle (his intention being to relocate both businesses to 25 Hudson St.), and

WHEREAS: The applicant characterizes the catering business as primarily serving corporate accounts for holiday parties, with heaviest demand in the months of November through January, however the business operates year-round, and

WHEREAS: While CB #1 has no problem with and would not oppose a business at the location which operates exclusively as a restaurant; however, the Board is concerned that the catering portion of the business involves large parties which frequently include live band music and dancing into the early morning hours, and

WHEREAS: Les Poulets a restaurant/club, which currently holds a Cabaret License (capacity 400) is located on the ground floor of the same building, and its operation already results in loud music and noise emanating from inside and outside the club until 2 or 3 AM, and the accompanying rowdy and lawless behavior of patrons in the streets surrounding Les Poulets, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 believes that the introduction of another cabaret (capacity 210) in the cellar at the same location will only exacerbate an already untenable situation, and unduly further burden neighborhood residents, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges the Department of Consumer Affairs to deny the application by Cafe Comedy Inc., for a Cabaret License at 25 Hudson St.

*For ratification, the resolution of the Committee was submitted to the DCA to meet its deadline

for CB #1 comment.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 219 West Broadway, El Teddy's, application for an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe (#839083)

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application (#839083) by Teddy's International, Inc., for an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe, subject to same conditions included in CB #1's recommendation for approval (5/9/89); namely, due to its location in a residential neighborhood, the applicant will cease operation of the sidewalk cafe no later than 10:00 PM, Sunday through Thursday and midnight on Friday & Saturday.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: REPOhistory's "Lower Manhattan Street Sign Project"

WHEREAS: REPOhistory is a New York-based multi-ethnic collective of visual and performance artists, writers and teachers, founded in 1989 to explore new ways of representing history, and

WHEREAS: Its name is derived from the image of "repossessing history" and the collective works to reclaim the past and re-present it as a multi-layered, living narrative that includes the untold stories of the poor, of women, of African-Americans and Native-Americans, Asian-Americans and Latinos, of gays, lesbians and others who have been marginalized or disenfranchised, and

WHEREAS: REPOhistory's proposed inaugural project is "The Lower Manhattan Street Sign Project", a series of 35 silkscreened signs, 18 by 24 inches, to be installed primarily on lamp posts in Lower Manhattan's Financial District in June, and to remain for 5 months, and

WHEREAS: REPOhistory's signs will highlight people, sites and events that are downplayed or omitted from the official historical record, and

WHEREAS: The installation of signs will be accompanied by performances, walking tours and informational brochures, and

WHEREAS: The proposed installation already enjoys the support of The New York Historical Society, The Municipal Art Society, the Lower Manhattan Cultural Council and various other arts organizations throughout the City, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 endorses and strongly recommends that the NYC Department of Transportation (and other agencies as required) approve the 5 month (June - November, 1992) installation of "The Lower Manhattan Street Sign Project".

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SOCIAL SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE*:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	16 In Favor	3 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: NYC Human Rights Commission, proposed diminishing of powers

WHEREAS: Mayor Dinkins has proposed the elimination of the investigative and adjudicatory powers of the NYC Human Rights Commission, and proposes to transfer these powers to the State Division of Human Rights, and

WHEREAS: The NYS Division of Human Rights does not protect the rights of lesbians and gay men and aliens, both documented and undocumented, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has long advocated the protection to the fullest extent of the human rights to all people, and

WHEREAS: Incidents of bias-related crimes are on the rise throughout New York City, and

WHEREAS: Bias-related crimes are often directed at lesbians and gays and aliens, or persons perceived to be aliens or lesbians or gay, and

WHEREAS: The NYS Division of Human Rights has no power to protect the rights of lesbians and gays and aliens (there is no existing State, anti-bias legislation to protect these persons, whereas in NYC there is) while the City's Human Rights Commission does, and

WHEREAS: The NYC Human Rights Commission has been an invaluable leader in protecting rights not only in bias related incidence, but in employment as well, and

WHEREAS: Both, the City's Human Rights Commission and the State's Division of Human Rights are already overburdened and have huge backlogs of cases pending, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 adamantly opposes any cuts to the NYC Human Rights Commission or the transfer of its powers to the State Division of Human Rights, which does not recognize the universal rights of all people.

*Resolution also supported by 3 Public Members.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 353 Greenwich St., Apres Scott's, application for an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application for a an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe (5 year renewal) by Apres Scott's at 353 Greenwich St., subject to the same conditions under which CB #1 recommended its original approval (7/31/90), namely:

1. The cafe will not generate loud music or noise.
2. The sidewalk cafe will not serve patrons wishing to drink alcoholic beverages only (i.e, without food)
3. The hours of the sidewalk cafe will be Sunday through Thursday until 10 PM and Friday and Saturday evenings until midnight
4. CB #1 will mediate any disputes which may arise because of the cafe.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Percent for Art Commission on the New White Street Detention Facility

WHEREAS: A mural by artist Richard Hass, consisting of seven panels tracing the history of immigration to the Lower East Side, is being criticized by members of the Hispanic Correction Officers Association, and

WHEREAS: The mural was commissioned by the Department of Cultural Affairs (DCA) Percent for Art Program in 1985 and installed in 1989 on the Baxter Street side of the White Street Detention Facility; and prior to its being commissioned the project received the endorsement of CB #1 because, in part, it created a gateway between the Civic Center and Chinatown neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: It was Richard Hass' expressed intention to "illustrate overlapping cultures at a site adjacent to the 'melting pot', immigrant communities of the Lower East Side, and with respect to the panel in question, it was never meant to be a "depiction of an 'Hispanic Community', nor a reflection of the accomplishment of the Hispanic people", and

WHEREAS: While acknowledging that he is "sympathetic to the Hispanic Correction Officers and the discomfort they feel regarding the mural", Luis R. Cancel, Commissioner of DCA has defended the mural saying: "This mural is not about accomplishments of the immigrant groups who have come to New York, but their struggles. Art is not always about hopes. Sometimes it is about memories, including painful ones. The contemporary problem pictured in the panel in question, homelessness and derelict vehicles are regrettably part of our present urban reality", and

WHEREAS: There is a certain tragic irony in the current situation in that, the same freedoms: freedom of expression, freedom from censorship, which brought many of the immigrant groups depicted in Richard Hass' mural panels to this country are now being compromised by being called into question by their descendants, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT:

Community Board #1 reiterates its support of Richard Hass' mural at the White Street Detention Facility; the DCA's Percent for Art commission there, and strenuously urges any and all parties to reject any move to have the mural (or the specific panel under criticism) removed, painted over or otherwise altered.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SOCIAL SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	19 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: City Council Intro. #2 and Intro. #16

WHEREAS: City Council Intro. #2, "The Tobacco Product Regulation Act" would:

- Eliminate out of package sales (loosies)
- Require tobacco vendors to be at least 18 years of age
- Require tobacco purchasers to show proof of age
- Require schools to be tobacco-free
- Require counter ads on City property, and

WHEREAS: Intro. #16 would require the Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA) to remove tobacco advertising from all transit property, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 supports and calls on the City Council to adopt Intro. #2 and Intro. #16.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Thomas Paine Park, proposed temporary installation

BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 recommends that the Department of Parks and Recreation approve the proposed temporary installation (6 months May-Nov., 1992) by Nancy Cohen in Thomas Paine Park, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The Community Board's recommendation given contingent upon the artist's securing the required liability insurance prior to installing the artwork.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & CULTURAL AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Tribeca Park, proposed temporary installation

BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 recommends that the Department of Parks and Recreation approve the proposed temporary installation (6 months May-Nov., 1992) by Daniel Wurtzel in Tribeca Park.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT & ENVIRONMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: New York City/the Economic Development Corporation (EDC) East River Docks Proposal for years 1992 thru 2002

WHEREAS: NYC/EDC has proposed a short term or interim plan to improve the East River Waterfront described as the "East River Docks", which includes Piers 9,11,13 and 14 the marginal street as well as the bulkhead areas, and

WHEREAS: A long term (10 year) plan was conceptually described for the same waterfront area, and

WHEREAS: Other areas of the East River Waterfront between Pier 14 and the Brooklyn Bridge require serious attention in planning and repair, including Pier 15 and the Fulton Fish Market buildings, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 agrees with EDC's stated short term plans to improve public access to the waterfront, promote an esplanade where feasible, improve signage and other public amenities to provide a safe environment for visitors to these piers during 1992 and 1993, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The EDC should give priority to improvements which provide for public safety, such as small element railings along the bulkhead and pier edges made accessible to the public as well as improved lighting in these areas, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The tour bus parking/staging area presently sited under the FDR Drive, south of Pier 15 be maintained as is, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The EDC host a meeting of representatives of CB#1, the South Street Seaport

Corp., the Fulton Fish Market, the Manhattan Borough President's Office, City Councilmember and local community groups to discuss the long term needs and uses of the East River waterfront from Pier 9 to the Brooklyn Bridge.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT & ENVIRONMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	19 In Favor	6 Opposed	4 Abstained

RE: Pier #32 Hudson River - Proposal for miniature golf course, snack bar and transient boat dockage

WHEREAS: Pier #32 (Canal St.) is closed to the public due to its deteriorated condition and is within the bulkhead area presently under lease to this same applicant (Mayor Parking), and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to repair approximately 175 feet of the pier from the bulkhead outward, meeting Army Corp. of Engineers and NYS/DOT requirements which would allow safe public access to the proposed miniature golf course, and

WHEREAS: The proposed miniature golf course will provide open public access along the entire bulkhead area as well as along the north and south edges of the repaired section of the pier, and

WHEREAS: The applicant and NYS/DOT agree to have all permits and repairs in force and completed prior to opening the facility, and this should include a survey of the embayments on both sides of the pier to determine if small boats can safely navigate these waters, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves this proposal and urges the applicant to:

1. Provide 8 foot wide public access walkways along both the bulkhead and along the length of the pier when repaired.
2. Railings along the waters edge should comply with NYS/DOT or NYC Parks Department guidelines for waterfront railings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT & ENVIRONMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE: TABLED FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

RE: "Comprehensive Manhattan Waterfront Plan" of the Manhattan Borough
President

WHEREAS: The creation of this plan provides a new and refreshing approach to the
planning process for Manhattan's waterfront which has been allowed to
deteriorate over the past 45 years, and

WHEREAS: The "Plan" represents the views, needs and thoughts of a very broad base of
groups, individuals and organizations, emphasizing public access and minimal
privatization, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 participated on the working Task Force which did much of the research
supporting this plan, and

WHEREAS: This "Plan" is open for revision and comments, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 endorses the scope, structure and contents of this "Plan" with the
following comments and changes:

1. This plan should emphasize a 100% Federal waiver and support uses which
do not require loss of this waiver.
2. In references to the Westside Waterfront Plan, the Manhattan Borough
President should not endorse this plan as though it were finalized, as we see
it evolving even after a successor agency is established, based on strong
Community Board involvement.
3. One Page 67, item 4 - please state specific objectives in "we believe that
immediate steps must begin toward the implementation of the objectives of
the Westside Waterfront Panel's Report".

4. One pages 5 and 29 - the plan should encourage a cooperative effort to include the NYC Economic Development Corporation, the South Street Seaport Corp., the Fulton Fish Market, the Manhattan Borough President's Office, CB #1 and local community groups to address the planning needs of the entire East River Waterfront from Pier #9 to the Brooklyn Bridge, (see pg. 30 for master plan concept).
5. The "Plan" should address a waterfront use policy favorable to open access and competition for such limited waterfront resources as the Battery and the Hudson River at 42nd Street. Such resources should be made available to all operators interested in such access.
6. The esplanade must not become a new barrier to pier access. In plans for parks and other uses for the bulkhead areas, access to the piers must be designed "open" to support potential waterborne uses. Esplanade plans must not preclude the waterborne access piers provide. Any zoning changes must allow for waterborne commerce on all existing piers.
7. Public access must include public access from the water, i.e., public boat access. The waterways are public ways; they were the original access to this island. The concept of a "Town Dock" should be included in zoning changes and lease language as a "Town Dock Option" which provides this capability as a public amenity, much the same as with plazas and vest-pocket parks, as concerns buildings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION & UNIFORMED SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Consolidation of Fire Marshal bases

WHEREAS: The number of fire marshals has been reduced from 331 to 170 in the last 4 years, and proposed new funding cuts would bring the number of marshals to 83 Citywide, and

WHEREAS: As of March 1, 1992 the Manhattan and Queens bases of the Bureau of Fire investigations were closed and operations consolidated to bases in the Bronx and Brooklyn, thus leaving the Borough of Manhattan without a dedicated fire marshal base, and

WHEREAS: Simultaneously with this consolidation, the FDNY instituted a "Caseload Prioritization Program" (CPR), which according to the Fire Department "...may delay responses to suspicious fires in certain instances due to longer travel time", and

WHEREAS: CB #1 understands that discussions are currently underway among the FDNY, Office of the Mayor and City Council to increase the depleted ranks of Fire Marshals and to restore the Manhattan Fire Marshals' base on West 45th Street, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that the number of Fire Marshals be increased at least to the pre-existing 170 number, and that the Manhattan base on West 45th St. be reopened.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Borough President Funding of CB #1 Projects

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President's Office (MBPO) has direct control over part of the City's capital and expense budget - close to \$22 million in FY 1992 - to spend on Manhattan projects and programs, and

WHEREAS: The MBPO also allocated over \$500,000 in "Safe Streets, Safe City" funds in FY 1992 for Manhattan projects, and

WHEREAS: Our knowledge of the MBPO's funding submissions indicate that only \$18,000 of these funds was directed to programs in CB #1 and \$15,000 of that amount went to the Borough of Manhattan Community College (BMCC) to purchase new computers, and

WHEREAS: Clearly every community district in Manhattan has many unmet needs which could greatly benefit from this funding and there should be an effort to insure greater equity in the distribution of these precious funds, and

WHEREAS: There is also a sense on this Board and in this community that the MBPO could and should be more sensitive to and supportive of the needs of this community, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon the MBPO to do greater outreach to the Community Boards in preparing its own list of funded capital and expense budget items, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The MBPO revise its funding policies so that each Community Board district receives a reasonable percentage of the available funds, perhaps no less than 5% of the total for each district, and

BE IT

FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The MBPO give serious immediate consideration to funding some of these items recommended by CB #1:

1. Seaport safety issues (pier railing, smooth sidewalks).
2. Fencing, asphaltting the easement behind PS 234.
3. Fencing, tools for PS 234 garden area on Greenwich St.
4. Repair damaged cobblestone streets.
5. Repair bulkhead, apron adjacent to pier 9-14 so that an esplanade can be created.
6. Extend library hours for evening and weekend hours -- create youth homework assistance program.
7. More funds for local youth/senior/arts programs.
8. Restore funds for additional sweeper runs (Sanitation).
9. Provide funds for next phase of bus terminal study, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 urges that both the Community Board and the MBPO take steps to improve our working relationship in order to bring about progress on issues of concern to our community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER/SEAPORT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 250 Water Street Park

WHEREAS: The area south of the Brooklyn Bridge and east of Broadway is virtually devoid of any park space, and

WHEREAS: This area already contains thousands of residents and the Department of City Planning is seriously considering incentives which would permit up to 6000 additional residential units in existing buildings, and

WHEREAS: Clearly, existing and projected residents need adequate park space which would also benefit local workers and visitors, and

WHEREAS: The 250 Water St. site is the only available large site in this area to satisfy this need, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has selected this site (subject to final approval by Deputy Mayor Fife) for a third water tunnel distribution chamber and if it is so developed approximately 20% of it would have to be utilized as a park, and

WHEREAS: The DEP budget also already includes sufficient funds to both acquire the entire site and to build a park on it and additional funds (\$5 million) could come from the planned de-mapping of Collect Pond Park, and

WHEREAS: It would appear that the owner of the site, Milstein Properties, might be very receptive to selling the site to the City now because the current real estate glut and recession makes it virtually impossible to develop the site for many years to come, and

WHEREAS: This proposal to create a park on the 250 Water St. site has already been endorsed by Borough President Messinger, Councilmember Freed, the Parks Department, the South Street Seaport Museum, Seamen's Church Institute and local residents, now

THEREFORE
BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:

CB #1 strongly endorses the conversion of the 250 Water St. site to a city park,
and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT:

CB #1 urges that Deputy Mayor Fife immediately authorize DEP to obtain this site for its water tunnel distribution chamber and set in motion the subsequent design and construction of a park for the site.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SOCIAL SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	19 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: State Funding for the Health Systems Agency (HSA) of New York City

WHEREAS: The New York City HSA's efforts over the years is clearly demonstrated in its involvement in primary care, substance abuse, AIDS planning, and long term care which have resulted in development of strategies that continue to make a significant impact on the creation and implementation of City and State health policy, and

WHEREAS: The recently adopted NYS budget does not include funding for the HSA of New York City and the seven other regional health planning bodies in New York State, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 encourages the NYS Senate and the NYS Assembly to pursue their recently announced intention to secure funding for the HSA of New York City and the seven other New York State HSA's at a minimum level of \$4.5 million for FY '93, for in this environment of difficult choices, the benefits of active local health planning bodies far outweigh the modest investment they require.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY, PARKS AND YOUTH

COMMITTEE VOTE:	13 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Proposed Design for Battery Park City Sites 23 and 24.

WHEREAS: Battery Park City's sites 23 and 24 bounded by North End Avenue, Warren and Murray Streets, are to be developed as an interim park, for a 5 to 10 year period, and

WHEREAS: Battery Park City has retained the landscape architectural firm of Heintz/Ruddick to design the park, and

WHEREAS: Heintz/Ruddick has met several times with the Battery Park City, Parks and Youth Committees to design this space in consultation with the Community Board, and

WHEREAS: Various proposals regarding design and layout, which included athletic fields and events space, have been presented, and

WHEREAS: Various surface options including Natural Turf, Synthetic Turf, Stabilized Earth, and Clay have been considered, and

WHEREAS: Heintz/Ruddick has determined that within its budget (\$1 million) a combination of sod and clay is the most cost-effective surface option, and

WHEREAS: The final design layout will included two Little League baseball diamonds, a softball field, and a football/soccer field (slightly smaller than regulation), all of which will overlap, and

WHEREAS: The layout will still allow for performance events at the south end of the park, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board will establish a task force to develop and oversee the programs, operations, use, and maintenance of the park, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the proposed design, layout, and surface plan by

Heintz/Ruddick for the interim park at Sites 23 and 24 in Battery Park City, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The Community Board requests the purchase of tarpaulins (tarps) and the installation of flood lights by the BPCA which will allow for better maintenance and greater use of these ballfields.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: HOUSING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	18 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained*

* *C. Delaney for Cause*

RE: Renewal of the Loft Law

WHEREAS: Article 7C was passed in 1982 by the State Legislature to normalize relations between owners and tenants of residential lofts, and to provide for the legalization of these lofts, and

WHEREAS: In spite of earlier reluctance, owners and tenants of interim multiple dwellings (IMDs) are legalizing at an increasing rate, and

WHEREAS: More and more, responsible owners and tenants (as represented by ACPO and Chambers-Canal Civic Association, on the one hand and LMLT, on the other) do not want Article 7C to expire, and

WHEREAS: The prompt renewal of Article 7C will send a welcome signal of support to those groups named above, who have begun working constructively to resolve their differences by negotiation, and

WHEREAS: The prompt renewal of Article 7C will allow owners and tenants to concentrate on the difficult problems of implementation, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges upon the State Legislature the prompt renewal of Article 7C, S7639/A10582, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the formation, in conjunction with CB #2, of a subcommittee where owners and tenants can work to resolve problems of legalization.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 16 Hudson Street, Application (revised) for a Certificate of Appropriateness (C of A) for a new storefront and signage

WHEREAS: On February 11, 1992 CB #1 recommended unanimously that the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) approve, a design for the proposed storefront, and

WHEREAS: The LPC subsequently did not approve the design, and

WHEREAS: The current proposed design addresses to the Community Board's satisfaction the objections raised by the LPC namely:

1. Proportion: by adjusting the height of the lower level to make it taller, including large doors (8') and columns between panels made narrower.
2. Simplicity of design: by reducing the color scheme to a single color (grey), addition of more glass replacing solid panels in the previous design.
3. Streetscape: horizontal elements have been made more continuous with facades of neighboring buildings, and

WHEREAS: The LPC staff has advised the applicant and architect that they will recommend the current proposed storefront design and signage for approval by the Commission, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the LPC to approve the above referenced application for a C of A at its April 28, 1992 meeting, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 thanks LPC Chairperson Laurie Beckelman and other Commission members and staff for their special assistance in guiding the applicant towards

what appears to be an acceptable design.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: One Exchange Plaza, application for a Cabaret License

BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 recommends that the Department of Consumer Affairs approve the application by Morgan Williams Restaurant for a Cabaret License at One Exchange Plaza, a/k/a 55 Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER/SEAPORT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 170-180 John Street, Proposed awning and signage

WHEREAS: CB #1 recently approved the design of a new building at 178-180 John St., and

WHEREAS: The owner of this property and the adjacent building (170-178 John St.) now proposes the installation of new awnings, a mural, a sign and lighting, and

WHEREAS: The architect has already modified his proposal to accommodate the changes suggested by the committee to make these additions truly compatible with the character of the South Street Seaport Historic District, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports and recommends the approval of the new awnings proposed at 170-176 John St. and the new mural, sign and lighting at 178-180 John St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: P.S. 234 Garden Area

WHEREAS: CB #1 strongly supported the creation of a bus lay-by and garden area on Greenwich St. in front of PS 234, and

WHEREAS: Margaret Hoeffel, a PS 234 parent, has in consultation with the Principal and other parents prepared a preliminary design for planting this garden area, and

WHEREAS: The plan calls for a variety of shrubs, flowers, herbs and vegetables and is intended to allow school children (including handicapped) to play an active role in its planting and maintenance, and

WHEREAS: The interim garden will be built and maintained by the community, except there is a request that the City (which owns the property) pay for and install a four foot high steel bar fence around the garden areas, and

WHEREAS: Such a fence would be compatible with the existing school fence surrounding the playyard, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 applauds and endorses the preliminary design of a school garden in front of PS 234, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls on the Economic Development Corp. to immediately install the requested steel bar fence around these garden areas, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 agrees to play a continuing, active role in the final design and implementation of the garden and to help identify an organization to be responsible for the maintenance of the garden.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Greenmarket on Greenwich Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 recently recommended the relocation of the Greenmarket when the Washington Market Park is enlarged in conjunction with the Greening of Greenwich St. project, and

WHEREAS: The Greenmarket is recommending that its new site be on the east side of Greenwich St. north of Harrison St., now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 endorses the eventual relocation of the Greenwich St. Greenmarket to the area north of Harrison St., and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that the Economic Development Corp. and architect Lee Weintraub work closely with the Greenmarket to insure that the redesign of Greenwich St. takes full account of the special needs of the Greenmarket at its new location.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SITES 5B/5C SUB-COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Community Use of Easement Area

WHEREAS: We have been approached by a coalition of neighborhood schools, parents, children and organizations with a proposal requesting temporary use of the small piece of unfenced, unused City land lying immediately west of PS 234 adjacent to Site 5C to provide a simple play space for neighborhood children, and

WHEREAS: This land is mapped as an easement meaning that there are no development plans for this site by the City, and

WHEREAS: This small piece of land is currently lying unused and unmaintained by the City and the neighborhood has offered to undertake the expense of providing the improvement and maintenance if the City is not able to help, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the City take advantage of this neighborhood proposal by authorizing temporary use of this easement area by the community until it is needed for any reason by the City, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: We urge the City to act quickly and help in any way it can so that children, rather than rats and dogs, are playing on it by this summer.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION & UNIFORMED SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Chambers/West St. At Grade Crossing

WHEREAS: Vollmer Associates has prepared a design for an after at-grade pedestrian crossing at Chambers and West St. in anticipation of the fall opening of the new Stuyvesant H.S., and

WHEREAS: The design incorporates most of the recommendations made by CB #1 when it considered this matter along with the Tribeca Bridge, and

WHEREAS: One feature not included is a traffic light at the West/Warren St. intersection, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly recommends that a traffic signal be installed at West and Warren Streets as part of this proposal, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Signage be installed to direct pedestrians towards the south side of Chambers St. when crossing West St. at-grade because this pedestrian crossing is safer than the north side crossing.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

APRIL 14, 1992

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BUSINESS RELATIONS, TRANSPORTATION AND
UNIFORMED SERVICES AND WASHINGTON
MARKET

BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained

RE: Overzealous enforcement in Tribeca

WHEREAS: Numerous Tribeca businesses, property owners and residents feel that the Departments of Sanitation (DOS) and Transportation (DOT) have issued numerous unnecessary tickets in the area, and

WHEREAS: It appears that many traffic and sanitation enforcement agents use no discretion in issuing summonses and are merely intent on fulfilling their mandate to write as many tickets and collect as much money as possible for City coffers, and

WHEREAS: DOT tow trucks have apparently towed vehicles at 9 PM when local parking regulations allow parking on the streets after 7 PM, and

WHEREAS: This degree of intense enforcement does not occur in most other parts of the City, and

WHEREAS: The City has made no effort to acknowledge the dramatic land use changes in Tribeca which make many regulations and summonses unnecessary (i.e., parking at a loading dock in areas in which there are no businesses), now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon the DOS and DOT to immediately cease their intense, unnecessary and mindless policy of issuing every conceivable (and frequently inconceivable) summons in Tribeca, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 suggests that the following streets are already known to contain very few businesses so the traffic regulations should be immediately modified to allow more on street parking and traffic agents should be advised not to issue tickets to vehicles parking at business loading zones where none really exists:

1. Washington St. between Watts and Canal (Southwest side)
2. Washington St. between Watts and Desbrosses (West side)

3. Watts St. between Greenwich and Washington (South side)
4. Desbrosses St. between West and Washington (Northeast side)
5. Greenwich St. between Desbrosses and Watts (454 Greenwich St. especially
- West side)

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 as well as Tribeca business and residential groups would like to work quickly and cooperatively with representatives of DOS and DOT to survey Tribeca in order to establish new and more relevant signage and regulations to govern this area.