

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 225 Liberty Street (World Financial Center), application for a liquor license for
TBD

WHEREAS: The applicant, Hanover Ventures Market Place, LLC, is applying for a liquor
license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to close outdoor seating and service at 11:00 p.m.
Sunday through Thursday and 12:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 28,600 square feet, including a dining area of
8,082 square feet with 119 tables and 361 seats and a bar area of 772 square feet
with 2 tables and 65 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café
license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as
schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this
establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-
premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a liquor license alteration to
Hanover Ventures Market Place, LLC at 225 Liberty Street *unless* the applicant
complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Maintain Funding and Operations of the Lower Manhattan Construction Coordination Center (LMCCC)

WHEREAS: By resolution dated June 17, 2003, Community Board 1 (CB1) unanimously approved The Coordinated Construction Act for Lower Manhattan to coordinate and facilitate construction, reduce costs, and promote safety and fairness in connection with the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan following the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS: In November 2004, LMCCC was established by executive orders issued by New York Governor George E. Pataki and New York City Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg and charged with coordination and general oversight of all Lower Manhattan construction projects worth more than \$25 million south of Canal Street; and

WHEREAS: Since then, CB1 has unanimously supported and reiterated its support for the extension of the Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center (LMCCC) through the peak construction period pursuant to numerous resolutions (including January 24, 2012; September 27, 2011; March 22, 2011; January 25, 2011; June 22, 2010; December 15, 2009; June 19, 2007) and testimony at various hearings (including a testimony to the City Council on April 23, 2012); and

WHEREAS: The execution order for the LMCCC is currently scheduled to terminate December 31, 2013; and

WHEREAS: There are more than 75 large unfinished public and private projects, and infrastructure constructions projects in Lower Manhattan including the World Trade Center Site, Street Improvements (including trunk water mains reconstruction), Transportation, Parks, Residential Growth, Hotels and miscellaneous including:

Public Building (4)
Memorial Museum
Vehicular Security Center
PATH Hub/Oculus
WTC 1

Commercial (4)

WTC 4

WTC 3

50 Varick Street

90 Water Street

Hotel (16)

99 Washington Street

22 Thames Street

100 Greenwich Street

133 Greenwich Street

24 John Street

95 Henry Street

30 Fletcher Street

6 Platt Street

32 Pearl Street

49 Ann Street

125 Canal Street

154 Madison Street

170 Broadway

6 Water Street

50 Bowery

9 Orchard Street

Residential (22)

19 Park Place

37 Warren Street

84 White Street

93 Worth Street

56 Leonard Street

73 Worth Street

443 Greenwich Street

11 North Moore Street

7 Harrison Street

12 Warren Street

10 Hubert Street

15 Leonard Street

87 Chambers Street

460 Washington Street

290 West Street

180 Broadway

113 Nassau Street

90 Lafayette Street

371 Broadway

70 Pine Street

33 Beekman Street

350 Broadway

Mixed Use (1)

86 Canal Street

Public Infrastructure (7)

MTA Fulton Center

Peck Slip

Chambers Street

Hudson Street

9A/West Street

South Street Reconstruct

Worth Street

Street (6)

WTC Streets

Fulton St. Crossroads

Brooklyn Bridge

Fulton Phase 2

Broadway 1

Warren Street

Parks (6)

East R Waterfront 2

East R Waterfront 3

Battery Park (Carousel)

James Madison Park

Collect Pond Park

Water St. Streetscape

Miscellaneous (9)

Dey Street Steam Leak

17 Hubert Street

John Street

299 Broadway

50 Broadway

1 Chase Plaza

140 Fulton

Exchange Place

Battery Park Bikeway

WHEREAS: There are more projects to be expected such as PIER 17, WTC Performing Arts Center, 50 West Street, WTC 2 and WTC 5 – and the NYPD’s World Trade Center Campus Security Plan (which selected 2019 as the analysis year for the environmental analysis for their Draft Environmental Impact Statement); and

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center currently has air monitoring stations at four locations; the LMCCC provides essential environmental monitoring of PM2.5 and PM10; and

WHEREAS: The LMCCC monitors trucking logistics and estimates the average trucking demand at 6,000 trucks per month for 2014; and

WHEREAS: The New York City Community Air Survey Results from Year One Monitoring 2008-2009 stated that Lower Manhattan has one of the highest concentrations of NO2 in New York City. The American Lung Association State of the Air 2012 report gave our area a “D” for ozone and a “C” for particulate air pollution for 24-hour; and

WHEREAS: The Broadway 1 Reconstruction will commence this summer and will limit traffic through our major south throughway to one lane; completion of the reconstruction is estimated through late 2017; and

WHEREAS: The LMCCC provided essential coordination in the aftermath of Superstorm Sandy and will continue to provide coordination for Sandy-related repairs and reconstruction, including the South Ferry Station; and

WHEREAS: The unprecedented construction and development in Lower Manhattan shows no sign of abatement in the near future, with many stalled construction sites now going back online; and

WHEREAS: The termination of the LMCCC would be devastating for residents, local businesses, property owners and developers; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 strongly calls upon Governor Cuomo, Mayor Bloomberg and our local elected officials, along with the LMCCC funding partners – The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Federal Transportation Authority, Metropolitan Transportation Authority and the New York State Department of Transportation – to adequately fund and extend the operations of LMCCC for a minimum of three years with an option to renew in order to minimize adverse impacts from the continued large volume of construction projects that are still in progress; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: It is crucial that the LMCCC be fully functioning and funded by its funding partners – and independently as it was intended – by whatever means necessary so that the adverse effects of construction can be minimized during the years of large scale construction projects of varying degree that impact the community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Establish Comprehensive Southern Manhattan Flood Protections

WHEREAS: Funds were recently allocated to study the ongoing flood and storm damage in areas that were impacted by Hurricane Sandy in the North Atlantic Division of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This is a large geographic area from Virginia to Maine; and

WHEREAS: Between Lower Manhattan, Brooklyn and the New Jersey Coast, there is a high concentration of national assets, many of which are iconic and irreplaceable; and

WHEREAS: The damage estimates for this area due to Sandy was in the tens of billions of dollars; and

WHEREAS: Due to extreme climate change, “State Tells Investors That Climate Change May Hurt Its Finances” (The New York Times, March 26, 2013),

“... The warning, which is now appearing in the state’s bond offerings, comes as Mr. Cuomo, a Democrat, continues to urge that public officials come to grips with the frequency of extreme weather and to declare that climate change is a reality... The state determined that observed effects of climate change, such as rising sea levels, and potential effects of climate change, such as the frequency and intensity of storms, presented economic and financial risks to the state... The warning about climate change first appeared in the fine print of Mr. Cuomo’s budget proposal in January, and was reported on Tuesday by Bloomberg News. It notes that recent storms ‘have demonstrated vulnerabilities in the state’s infrastructure, including mass transit systems, power transmission and distribution systems, and other critical lifelines.’ The warning adds, ‘Significant long-term planning and investment by the federal government, state and municipalities will be needed to adapt existing infrastructure to the risks posed by climate change;’” now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 calls upon the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and relevant stakeholders to conduct a thorough feasibility study on flood protections for Southern Manhattan; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the initiatives for increasing resiliency in Southern Manhattan outlined in within Mayor Bloomberg's report for a "Stronger, More Resilient New York" and requests that these initiatives be studied by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, including:

- Southern Manhattan Initiative 1: Create an implementation plan and design for an integrated flood protection system for remaining Southern Manhattan areas
- Southern Manhattan Initiative 2: Conduct a study for a multi-purpose levee along Lower Manhattan's eastern edge to address coastal flooding and create economic development opportunities
- Coastal Protection Initiative 6: Raise bulkheads in low-lying neighborhoods to minimize inland tidal flooding
- Coastal Protection Initiative 21: Install an integrated flood protection system in Lower Manhattan, including the Lower East Side; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the following statement in The Special Initiative for Rebuilding and Resiliency Report Section on Strategy: Improve coastal design and governance, Initiative 27 P. 64:

“Continue to work with the USACE to complete its comprehensive flood protection study of New York Harbor

The USACE is required by statute to conduct a comprehensive study to address the flood risks of vulnerable coastal populations in areas that were affected by Sandy. This study is a unique opportunity to guide Federal investment designed to reduce the future risks of climate change to the region. The recent experience in Louisiana has shown this type of study requires robust local partnership to ensure success. To this end, the City will call on the USACE to: expedite its comprehensive study of flood protection in New York City as a key element of its own comprehensive study; and ensure that the comprehensive study translates into projects ready for Congressional authorization. To ensure that all of the foregoing measures are taken, OLTPs, working with DCP, DPR, NYCEDC, DEP, and the New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT), will lead the City's collaboration with the USACE in the development of its study. By statute, the USACE must deliver this comprehensive study to Congress by January 2015.”

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 22 Park Place, application for a liquor license for RA 22 Park Place Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Bits and Bites

WHEREAS: The applicant, RA 22 Park Place Enterprises Inc. d/b/a Bits and Bites, is applying for a restaurant liquor license for 22 Park Place; and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation of this establishment are 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The restaurant has a square footage of 9,668; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant beer license to RA 22 Park Place Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Bits and Bites at 22 Park Place *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 32 Broadway, application for a liquor license for 32 Bakery Corp. d/b/a Stir Cafe

WHEREAS: The applicant, 32 Bakery Corp. d/b/a Stir Cafe, is applying for a restaurant beer and wine license for 32 Broadway; and

WHEREAS: The proposed closing hour of this establishment is 10 p.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The restaurant has a square footage of 2,412 with 23 tables and 102 seats; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant beer license to 32 Bakery Corp. d/b/a Stir Café at 32 Broadway unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 40 Broad Street, application for a liquor license for ZA Restaurant Management LLC d/b/a TBD

WHEREAS: The applicant, ZA Restaurant Management d/b/a TBD, is applying for a restaurant liquor license for 40 Broad Street; and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation of this establishment are 12 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. Sunday through Thursday and to midnight on Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The restaurant has a square footage of 15,000 with 60 tables and 294 seats including the dining, bar and kitchen areas; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant beer license to ZA Restaurant Management d/b/a TBD *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 54 Pearl Street, application for a sidewalk cafe license for Broadwater and Pearl Associates, d/b/a The Porter House at Fraunces Tavern

WHEREAS: The applicant, Broadwater and Pearl Associates, d/b/a The Porter House at Fraunces Tavern, is applying for a sidewalk cafe license for 15 tables and 30 seats at 54 Pearl Street; and

WHEREAS: The application was presented at the Financial District Committee meeting on June 5, 2013 and members stated that it would enliven the area as well as benefit the restaurant; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 approves the sidewalk cafe license for Broadwater and Pearl Associates, d/b/a The Porter House at Fraunces Tavern at 54 Pearl Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: BSA Cal. No. 109-13-BZ
80 John Street, application for Board of Standards and Appeals Special Permit pursuant to Section 73-36 of the New York City Zoning Resolution to allow a new health club

WHEREAS: The applicant, 2nd Round KO LLC, is seeking to operate a physical culture establishment in 5,319 square feet on the ground floor of an existing 26-story (plus cellar) mixed residential and commercial building, and

WHEREAS: The establishment would focus on boxing and combat sports, and would also provide services and equipment for traditional weight training and cardiovascular fitness, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are from 5AM to 11 PM Monday through Friday and 8 AM to 6 PM on Saturdays and Sundays, and

WHEREAS: The establishment would maintain the mixed-use character of the Financial District neighborhood and provide a desirable service to area residents and employees of nearby businesses, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 recommends that the Board of Standards and Appeals approve the request for a special permit for a physical culture establishment at 80 John Street for 2nd Round KO LLC.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 5 Beekman Street, Application for rooftop addition and storefront replacement

WHEREAS: 5 Beekman Street (aka 3- 9 Beekman Street, Temple Court Building and Annex) is an individually designated Landmark building commissioned by Eugene Kelly, designed by architects Silliman and Farnsworth. The design employs Queen Anne, neo-Grec and Renaissance Revival motifs, and is one of New York City's oldest surviving, essentially unaltered "pre-skyscraper" tall office buildings, and

WHEREAS: Although the interior of this building is not a designated landmark, it features an extremely rare example of an approximately two hundred square foot atrium rising 9 stories, covered by a large pyramidal skylight, ringed with gallery walkways at each floor, and

WHEREAS: The proposed rooftop addition is limited to housing of equipment related to fire protection of the atrium, and most of the other mechanical equipment that will serve this building is to be housed in an adjoining proposed new building on a non-landmarked site, and

WHEREAS: This committee finds the proposed rooftop addition design to be minimal and well considered, and finds the proposed storefront replacement to be in keeping with the historic design of the building, and

WHEREAS: This committee furthermore applauds the commitment made by the owners and design team to restore this building's unique and special interior as fully as possible while making minimal changes as required for safety and fire protection, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan recommends that Landmarks Preservation Commission accept this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 15 Jay Street, application for removal of fire escape

WHEREAS: The application is to restore the front façade and remove the fire escape, and

WHEREAS: The façade will be fully restored with new 2-over-2 wooden painted windows, and

WHEREAS: The building dates from 1918 and the fire escape was added later, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission
approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 378 Broadway, application for storefront renovation and signage

WHEREAS: The application is to alter the storefront to this high rise built in 1989, and

WHEREAS: The new storefront would be of clear glass, and

WHEREAS: The lighting would be large goose-neck lights to illuminate the store signage from 10pm to 8am, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the new design was an improvement to the building, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 60, 62 ,64-66 White Street, application for roof top addition and window replacement

WHEREAS: The application is to fully refurbish these marvelous buildings that were connected internally in the 1980's but look today almost as they did when built in 1869 by William Garner in the Tribeca East Historic District – which comprise the last few streets/buildings that are yet to be renovated - and as such require extra special attention, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has retained experienced, highly qualified advisors to convert 60 – 62 as residential units and 64 -66 for office use, and

WHEREAS: The front façade will be carefully restored and cleaned with new wooden windows appropriately painted to read as 2-over-2, and

WHEREAS: The non-original fire escape will be removed, and

WHEREAS: The store fronts will be carefully restored throughout all four buildings to match an original metal base between the original cast iron columns, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant for their careful and sensitive work on the facades, and

WHEREAS: The single story roof addition – whilst designed to minimally meet building code at 10' internal ceiling height is highly visible from a very important front façade view of 60 - 62 encasing the wonderfully expressive domed decorative cornice, and

WHEREAS: The roof addition is further heightened by two very large cooling towers and two large bulk head elevator over-runs, and

WHEREAS: The materials for the roof addition are appropriate – clad in box-framed wooden panels, the cooling towers and elevator bulkheads need more careful design work, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked if there had been studies done to reduce the visibility of the elevator bulkheads and cooling towers – and was initially told nothing could be done, and

WHEREAS: The Committee reminded the applicant that when Beyer Binder Belle was face with the same challenge at City Hall and when the Committee insisted on more work being done – it was pleased that BBB came back with smaller cooling towers being placed in a larger number of locations to remove the visibility – the Committee requested this applicant do the same – which they agreed to do, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked why the elevator bulkhead could not be reduced in size – noting that the top floor and penthouse was to be developed as a duplex unit, and

WHEREAS: The Committee suggested the applicant stop the elevator in the duplex and an internal staircase be incorporated to access the roof addition – which the applicant agreed to do, and

WHEREAS: A number of neighbors spoke about their worries over noise from the huge cooling towers and loss of air and light to their building across the backyard, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt reducing the size of the cooling units would help – but also asked the applicant to introduce noise baffling techniques – which the applicant agreed to do, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt by removing the elevator bulkhead on the residential units this would help reduce the loss of air and light for the neighbors, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application on the following conditions: 1 the cooling towers be redesigned by using more - but much smaller - units – see City Hall restoration for a recent appropriate modification; 2 the smaller less visible cooling units be baffled to reduce noise for the neighbors; 3 the elevator terminate inside the duplex for the residential unit to remove the visibility on the cornice.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 70 Lafayette Street, Application for modification of storefront

WHEREAS: 70 Lafayette Street (aka 70-76 Lafayette Street, the Ahrens Building), an individually designated landmark commissioned by liquor merchant Herman F. Ahrens, designed by architect George H. Griebel, and constructed in 1894-95, is a distinctive example of the Romanesque Revival style as adapted to steel frame construction, and

WHEREAS: The building is an early example of the use of steel framed construction in New York City, and this new construction method permitted large window and storefront openings, and this building in particular is an example of the challenge architects faced at the time of how to adapt historic architectural styles to "dress" these steel skeletons of modern commercial buildings, and

WHEREAS: The fragments of remaining historic storefront infill consisting of wood-framed show windows, bulkheads and trim are in poor condition and exceed current building code limitations for projection beyond the street line, the original transom areas are covered with signage and the existing corner pier is boxed in, and

WHEREAS: The proposed modification to the storefronts will replace existing non-historic infill with a new storefront design based on the original design, including transoms with no signage, but modified to comply with current building code, and will expose the original historic corner pier, and

WHEREAS: A proposed roll-down gate to secure the building entrance during non-business hours, while unfortunate, is understood to be necessary for protection of the property, and will be of a grille (not solid) type material, and

WHEREAS: The proposed modifications taken together represent a significant improvement to the current storefront conditions, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan recommends that Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 4 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 15 In Favor 11 Opposed 8 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 174 Duane Street, application for roof top addition and storefront replacement

WHEREAS: The application is to renovated the storefront and add a single story roof addition to this four story wonderful building the heart of the Tribeca West Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The storefront will be set back from the façade to accompany the modern design of the buildings neighbor number 172, and

WHEREAS: The materials for the storefront will be painted steel and large clear glass single panes, and

WHEREAS: There was no signage proposal for the building, and

WHEREAS: The single story roof additional will be constructed of corten and clear glass and lowered into the ceiling to reduce its visibility, and

WHEREAS: The roof addition will be highly visible from the east façade – primarily because of the low – 2 story building at number 172, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that his friend owned number 172 and that LPC had approved a 3 story addition to number 172 in 2009 and work has begun to build the addition, and

WHEREAS: The Committee review the DOB site and was not able to find an approved permit, and

WHEREAS: There was much discussion within the Committee as they had rejected the application for the addition to number 172 in 2009 – although it was approved by LPC, and

WHEREAS: The Committee could not reach consensus, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission reject the application because if a permit were not issued on number 172 by 2014 the addition at 174 would be highly visible which was not considered appropriate by a majority of one from the Committee Members present.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 60 Collister Street, application for two roof top pergolas

WHEREAS: The applicant asked for the application to be held-over by the committee and
LPC, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission
holdover the application as requested by the applicant and directs the applicant to
the Committee for consideration when they are ready to proceed with the
application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PERSONNEL

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Authorization of offer of employment to new CB1 Director of Land Use and Planning

WHEREAS: Michael Levine has resigned his position as Director of Planning and Land Use at Community Board 1 (CB1) but will remain on the CB1 payroll until July 5, 2013 to receive all the vacation time that he accrued and did not use during his tenure at CB1; and

WHEREAS: CB1 will not be able to replace Michael Levine with a new Director of Land Use and Planning, until he is no longer on the CB1 payroll because there are no additional funds available for this purpose; and

WHEREAS: The Personnel Committee of CB1 conducted a diligent search for an Administrative Staff Analyst to replace Mr. Levine, and received approximately 35 applications; and

WHEREAS: The Personnel Committee selected Diana Switaj from the six applicants who were interviewed; and

WHEREAS: Diana Switaj's resume is available on request to the office by any CB1 member; and

WHEREAS: CB1 has offered to Diana and she has accepted a position as CB1 Director of Land Use and Planning beginning on July 8, 2013, until which time she will continue to work as an independent contractor and be paid from CB1's privately raised funds; and

WHEREAS: The terms of the offer to Diana Switaj will be stated in a letter conveying an offer of employment and this letter will be made available on request to the Community Board 1 office beginning June 26, 2013; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 hereby authorizes the hiring of Diana Switaj as Director of Planning and Land Use with a start date of July 8, 2013.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	13 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: N 130331 ZRY
Flood Resilience Text Amendment

WHEREAS: The Department of City Planning is proposing a zoning text amendment to encourage flood-resilient building construction throughout designated flood zones, and

WHEREAS: The proposal is needed in order to remove regulatory barriers that would hinder or prevent reconstruction of storm damaged properties, as well as to enable new and existing buildings to comply with new, higher flood elevations issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as well as new requirements in the Building Code, and

WHEREAS: Beginning in January 2013, FEMA issued updated advisory flood maps which included higher flood elevations and a larger 100-year flood zone, containing roughly twice as many buildings as before, and

WHEREAS: On January 31, 2013, the Commissioner of Buildings issued an emergency rule modifying the New York City Building Code to match New York State standards for flood protection, requiring buildings to protect to a level one or two feet higher than the FEMA-designated flood elevation, depending on the building type, and

WHEREAS: The implication of new flood maps and code changes is that more buildings should adhere to flood-resistant construction standards, and that buildings will need to be elevated several feet higher than previously practiced for new construction, and

WHEREAS: On January 31, 2013, the Mayor issued emergency Executive Order No. 230 (the "EO"), which suspended zoning height limitations that would have prevented buildings from rebuilding to more flood-resilient standards, and

WHEREAS: Similarly, the proposed Flood Resilience Text Amendment modifies zoning to enable flood-resilient construction, and

WHEREAS: The proposed Flood Resilience Text Amendment applies primarily to single-family dwellings in the outer boroughs as opposed to commercial high-rises such as those in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The following issues are addressed by the zoning text amendment:

1. Measuring building height with respect to flood elevations: All building heights would be measured from the flood-resistant construction elevation, allowing buildings to elevate to the new standards while maintaining the same allowable building envelope.
2. Accommodating building access from grade: Due to new flood resilient construction standards, first floors will typically be elevated higher above grade than buildings constructed previously in flood zones. This results in issues related to building access, including, stairs, ramps, and lifts for persons with disabilities. The proposal would exempt interior stairs; ramps and elevators from floor area, with a cap based on the elevation of the lowest floor as well as allow more flexibility to accommodate longer stairs and ramps. It would also allow deployable flood panels and lifts for persons with disabilities within required yards, open areas, and courts as permitted obstructions.
3. Locating mechanical systems above flood levels: Protecting mechanical equipment from flood damage is often the first step to take in retrofitting for flood resilience, but current zoning limits the options that property owners have to retrofit and to design new buildings with mechanical equipment above flood levels. The proposed amendment would:
 - a. For all buildings, other than one- or two-family homes, allow mechanical systems within required rear yards, provided they are screened or enclosed, and within the same bulk envelope permitted for other rear yard obstructions
 - b. In flood zones, allow elevator, stair and mechanical bulkheads for apartment buildings that exceed height limits
 - c. Enlarge envelope for permitted obstructions on roofs to accommodate mechanical space that would have been located in cellars
 - d. For existing buildings, allow an alternative solution that maintains the maximum 20% lot coverage, but allows greater height
4. Accommodating off-street parking above grade: Regulations regarding curb cuts, front yard parking, and front yard plating would be modified to allow parking to be relocated on site, either in front of the building or in a side lot ribbon.
5. Accommodating ground floor use requirements: Compliance with Building Code's flood-resistant standards may result in the loss of useable ground floors for existing buildings. The proposed amendment would allow building owners to add an equivalent amount of space above the flood-resistant construction elevation (FRCE) within the building envelope, where the ground floor is wet-flood-proofed in compliance with the Building Code's flood-resistant standards.
6. Improving streetscape: The proposal includes incentives to promote usable ground floors and enhance the streetscape by allowing maximum building heights to be measured from 10' above curb level and by encouraging transparency by allowing greater building height, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 recognizes the need to be more resilient in the face of future storms and sea-level rise and therefore conditionally accepts the proposed zoning text change as long as it does not place unnecessary burdens on small businesses and residents, and conforms to the Special Initiative for Rebuilding and Resiliency (SIRR) report, and

BE IT
FUTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 encourages the New York City Department of City Planning to continue its efforts to further secure buildings located in Lower Manhattan, which suffered severely as a result of flood surges from Super Storm Sandy.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: New York City Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NYC HANES)

WHEREAS: NYC HANES community-based health survey conducted by the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) provides an overall picture of New York City's health; and

WHEREAS: This summer the Health Department and the CUNY School of Public Health will randomly select 3,000 New Yorkers in all five boroughs to participate in NYC HANES; and

WHEREAS: NYC HANES has been granted a "Certificate of Confidentiality," which means that under federal law they cannot be forced, even with a court order, to disclose any information that may identify someone; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports NYC HANES and encourages all selected residents to participate in this important study.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Summer partial street closures in the Seaport

WHEREAS: Merchants in the Seaport neighborhood are intending to submit an application to the Mayor's Street Activity Permit Office for a multi-weekend event intended to assist in revitalizing businesses that are still recovering from Superstorm Sandy; and

WHEREAS: The proposed event is to take place between September 7, 2013 and October 19, 2013, between the hours of 11:00am and 9:00pm on Saturdays; and

WHEREAS: The event is to take place on Front Street between Beekman Street and Dover Street, Water Street between Dover Street and Peck Slip, and Peck Slip between South Street and Water Street; and

WHEREAS: During the event, sidewalks and streets would be closed in order to allow establishments to utilize space on sidewalks and for pedestrians to walk through the streets; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: In the interest of revitalizing businesses devastated by Superstorm Sandy, Community Board 1 does not oppose the proposed street closures under the condition that it is generally supported by local residents and businesses once outreach is conducted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: East River Blueway Plan

WHEREAS: The Office of Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer in collaboration with the Office of New York State Assemblymember Brian Kavanagh and other partners, with funding provided by the New York State Department of State, has commissioned a team led by WXY Architecture + Urban Design to develop the East River Blueway Plan, a community- based waterfront planning initiative; and

WHEREAS: The project will consider Manhattan’s East River waterfront between the Brooklyn Bridge and East 38th Street. This stretch of Manhattan’s shoreline faces challenges that prevent greater waterfront public access; and

WHEREAS: One of the Blueway priority projects includes the “Brooklyn Bridge Beach” which is intended to provide “public access to a natural beach beneath the historic bridge, creating a spectacular viewing area with terraced seating”, as well as launching space for kayaks and a concession stand; and

WHEREAS: The beach area under the Brooklyn Bridge has been long neglected and is currently unsafe and inaccessible to residents; and

WHEREAS: The Office of Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer and partners are currently seeking funding for implementation of the East River Blueway Plan; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the “Brooklyn Bridge Beach” component of the East River Blueway Plan and requests that this area be studied and reactivated in order to allow residents to safely access the beach and engage the waterfront.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 230 Canal Street, application for a liquor license for 230 Rest. Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant, 230 Rest. Inc., is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of liquor service of this establishment are 8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday; The hours of operation of this establishment are 8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 3,300 square feet, including a dining area with 34 tables and 144 seats and a bar area with 25 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not play live music and the establishment's windows will remain closed; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment, but since the location is not in a primarily residential area, we have no objections to the liquor license extending until 4:00am; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 230 Rest. Inc. at 230 Canal Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 450 Washington Street, application for a sidewalk café license for Fika

WHEREAS: The applicant, Pachanga Inc., has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk café license for 14 tables and 56 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not operate the sidewalk café past 10:00 p.m.; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for Pachanga Inc. at 450 Washington Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 363 Greenwich Street, application for a sidewalk café license for American Cut

WHEREAS: The applicant, LDV Greenwich LLC, has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk café license for 6 tables and 12 seats; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for LDV Greenwich LLC at 363 Greenwich Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 35 Avenue of the Americas AKA 6 York Street, application for a sidewalk café license for AOA Bar & Grill

WHEREAS: The applicant, 268 West Broadway LLC, has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk café license for 8 tables and 16 seats; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for 268 West Broadway LLC at 35 Avenue of the Americas.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 369 Greenwich Street, re-application for a sidewalk café license for Benvenuto Café

WHEREAS: The applicant, MVNBC Corp., has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk café license for 6 tables and 12 seats; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for MVNBC Corp. at 369 Greenwich Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 317-319 Greenwich Street, application for a sidewalk café license for Salaam
Bombay

WHEREAS: The applicant, Salaam Bombay Inc., has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk café
license for 7 tables and 13 seats; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for Salaam Bombay Inc. at 317-319
Greenwich Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: 52 Walker Street, application for a liquor license alteration for M15

WHEREAS: The applicant, KNH Enterprise LLC, is applying for a liquor license alteration;
and

WHEREAS: The alteration accommodates renovations to the establishment's kitchen; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 4:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 4,500 square feet, including a dining area of
9,000 square feet with 10 tables and 50 seats and a bar area of 500 square feet;
and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café
license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as
schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this
establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-
premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a liquor license alteration to KNH
Enterprise LLC at 52 Walker Street *unless* the applicant complies with the
limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: 339 Broadway, application for a liquor license for Mon Cher

WHEREAS: The applicant, Mon Cher Market, Inc., is applying for a wine and beer license;
and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 24/7; and

WHEREAS: The hours of liquor service of this establishment are 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 4,183 square feet, including a dining area of
4,183 square feet with 10 tables and 40 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café
license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as
schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this
establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with
on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a wine and beer liquor license to
Mon Cher Market, Inc. at 339 Broadway *unless* the applicant complies with the
limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: 114 Franklin Street, application for a liquor license for Tutto il Giorno

WHEREAS: The applicant, Tutto il Giorno NYC LLC, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The hours of liquor service of this establishment are 12:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 3,470 square feet, including a dining area of 1,170 square feet with 21 tables and 85 seats and a bar area of 580 square feet with 4 tables and 36 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to include appropriate sound proofing as needed; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a liquor license to Tutto il Giorno NYC LLC at 114 Franklin Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: 349 Greenwich Street, application for a liquor license for Tutto il Giorno

WHEREAS: The applicant, Tombo Enterprises, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 11:00a.m. to 11:30 p.m.; and

WHEREAS: The hours of liquor service of this establishment are 11:00a.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 2,800 square feet, including a dining area of 1,700 square feet with 30 tables and 140 seats and a bar area of 200 square feet with 8 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to include appropriate sound proofing as needed; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a liquor license to Tombo Enterprises at 349 Greenwich Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 25, 2013

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Support of the Manhattan Borough Resolution in support of the GED® Campaign
 to Finish

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the attached resolution that endorses the GED®
 Campaign to Finish.



WHEREAS, the GED® exam is being phased out in New York as the primary pathway to a high school equivalency (HSE) diploma. Starting in January 2014, New York will use a new HSE exam that will phase in higher standards and computer-based testing; and programs and students need support to prepare for this major transition.

WHEREAS, New York City's current FY2014 budget plan includes no city funds for DYCD's community-based adult literacy services, which risks closing classroom doors to nearly 7,000 New Yorkers who want to earn a high school equivalency diploma and improve their literacy skills and employment prospects.

WHEREAS, New Yorkers who have passed some but not all sections of the GED® exam must finish the exam in 2013, or they will lose their earlier scores and have to start over with the new test in 2014.

WHEREAS, the Fund for Public Advocacy, with a coalition of partners, has launched the GED® Campaign to Finish to reach and engage 3,000 young adults who have begun the GED® preparation and testing process and support successful 2013 GED® completion for at least 2,000 individuals.

WHEREAS, adult literacy programs offer a high return on investment: for less than \$1,000 per student, adult learners become more prepared for the workforce, parents can better help children in school, young adults get back on track to educational and career advancement, and immigrants gain English language skills; and every high school credential earned generates \$324,000 in net benefits for the city.

WHEREAS, the need for adult literacy services in New York City is tremendous, with 1.2 million adults without a high school credential; 1.8 million adults lacking English proficiency; and 170,000 young adults ages 16 to 24 who are neither in school or working. The new federal policy of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) is expected to further increase demand for adult literacy as an estimated 16,000 applicants in New York City strive to meet the educational criteria;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Manhattan Borough Board supports adult literacy education in New York City; and calls on Mayor Bloomberg and the City Council to reach a FY2014 budget agreement that restores \$5.2 million for DYCD's Adult Literacy programs, \$1.5 million for the City Council's Adult Literacy Initiative, \$4.0 million for the Immigrant Opportunities Initiative, and funds Speaker Quinn's Adult Education DACA Initiative to expand adult education program seats.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that (Borough) Community Board (#) supports the 2013 GED® Campaign to Finish and calls on City agencies and partners to connect eligible New Yorkers to GED® preparation programs and testing to maximize the number of GED® passers before the changes in 2014.