

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 5 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 32 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 255 Vesey Street, new application for a sidewalk café license for Blue Smoke 102 North End Ave LLC, d/b/a Blue Smoke

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a new unenclosed sidewalk café license for 12 tables and 28 seats; and

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 approves the new sidewalk café license for Blue Smoke 102 North End Ave LLC, d/b/a Blue Smoke located at 255 Vesey Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 5 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 32 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 255 Vesey Street, alteration application for on-premise liquor license to extend to the sidewalk café for Blue Smoke 102 North End Ave LLC, d/b/a Blue Smoke

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for an alteration of their existing liquor license to extend to their new sidewalk café; and

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 approves the alteration of the restaurant liquor license to extend to the new sidewalk café for Blue Smoke 102 North End Ave LLC, d/b/a Blue Smoke located at 255 Vesey Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 54 Pine Street, application for a beer and wine license for Pine Gourmet Café Inc.,
 d/b/a Taz Cafe

WHEREAS: The applicant, Pine Gourmet Café Inc., d/b/a Taz, is applying for a beer and wine
license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 6:00 AM until 8 p.m.
Sunday through Thursday and 8:00 AM until 6:00 p.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is approximately 3,000 square feet with a
public assembly capacity of 48; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are buildings used primarily as schools,
churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this
establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-
premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to Pine
Gourmet Café Inc., d/b/a Taz, at 54 Pine Street *unless* the applicant complies with
the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 84 William Street, application for a beer and wine license for Taz Cafe

WHEREAS: The applicant, 84 William Street Café Ltd, d/b/a Taz, is applying for a beer and wine license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 6:00 AM until 8 p.m. Sunday through Thursday and 8:00 AM until 6:00 p.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is approximately 2,500 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 50; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 84 William Street Café Ltd, d/b/a Taz, at 84 William Street unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 123 Washington Street, application for alteration of a hotel restaurant liquor license for BLT Grill NYC LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, 123 Washington Street, d/b/a BLT Grill NYC LLC is applying for alteration of a hotel restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The extension would enable the establishment to serve liquor on part of an outdoor plaza adjacent to the restaurant; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the granting of an application for alteration of a hotel restaurant liquor license to BLT Grill NYC LLC at 123 Washington Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 83-85 Greenwich Street, application for a sidewalk cafe license for Tajin Restaurant Corp.

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a sidewalk café license for 10 tables and 20 seats; and

WHEREAS: The owner of the restaurant appeared at the Financial District Committee meeting on April 5, 2012 to present plans for outdoor tables and chairs, and committee members did not identify any adverse impacts to pedestrians or neighbors; and

WHEREAS: This establishment has been in business for several years and has not been the subject of any complaints to Community Board 1; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not object to the application for a sidewalk café license for Tajin Restaurant Corp. located at 83-85 Greenwich Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 6 Murray Street, application for transfer of tavern liquor license and request for support for extension of hours of service for Manhattan Proper Concepts LLC, d/b/a The Manhattan Proper

WHEREAS: The applicant, Manhattan Proper Concepts LLC, d/b/a The Manhattan Proper, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 11:00 AM until 2 a.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is approximately 1,475.25 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 74; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music with DJ; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to close the establishment's sidewalk-facing windows and doors no later than 6 p.m. every day; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to station a staff member outside the sidewalk entrance from 6 p.m. until closing on weekend evenings to assist with security and minimize potentially disruptive noise; now

WHEREAS: The applicant has made a commitment to soundproof the premises so that neighbors are not disturbed by noise from within the establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the application for transfer of a tavern liquor license and request for support for extension of hours of service by Manhattan Proper Concepts LLC, d/b/a The Manhattan Proper unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Support for the Battery Family Comfort station

WHEREAS: The Battery is the largest public open space in Lower Manhattan, a vital resource to the area's population and a great benefit to the neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: CB1 has in the past recognized the Conservancy's efforts to revitalize The Battery as a great benefit to the neighborhood with its growing population of families with children, and has made the Conservancy's mission to rebuild The Battery a priority, and

WHEREAS: The Battery Conservancy has submitted a request for \$2,000,000 to the New York City Council for capital funding to reconstruct the Battery Family Comfort station, and

WHEREAS: The Battery is becoming a major destination with its new family-friendly attractions in the southern end of the park, including Battery Urban Farm, SeaGlass carousel, and the new Playspace, and

WHEREAS: Battery Urban Farm is educating annually thousands of young student farmers, teachers, and parents about growing and eating healthy food, placing increasing demands on its existing facilities, and

WHEREAS: SeaGlass and Playspace will draw even greater numbers of children, adults, and tourists to delight in the wonders of these adventurous attractions, and

WHEREAS: The addition of the new comfort station will serve this increasingly large group of families and other park users and provide much needed services in a high use area. The rehabilitation and expansion of the existing park structure will transform it into an inviting, clean, and beautiful facility, enhancing the visitor experience for the millions of local residents, students and teachers, workers, and tourists who visit The Battery annually, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 hereby endorses the Conservancy's efforts and specifically its request for \$2,000,000 to the New York City Council to reconstruct the Battery Family Comfort station.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: HOUSING

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 2 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 5 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 40 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Judge's ruling against stabilization of Independence Plaza North

WHEREAS: Independence Plaza is a large former Mitchell Lama development containing housing for 1,331 families and was one of the last sources in CB1 of affordable housing; and

WHEREAS: The J-51 program is designed to preserve affordable housing developments, such as Independence Plaza, for a fixed time period and to preserve the rights of families to rent their homes at affordable rates until vacancy, unless notified of the exhaustion of J-51 benefits, in exchange for tax benefits; and

WHEREAS: Independence Plaza received J-51 tax benefits beginning in 1998 that were not scheduled to end until 2012; and

WHEREAS: Independence Plaza was permitted to withdraw from the Mitchell Lama program in 2004 before its J-51 benefits were exhausted, and without giving notice to any tenants of the date when benefits were scheduled to be exhausted; and

WHEREAS: As a result of Independence Plaza's withdrawal from the Mitchell Lama program in 2004 with J-51 benefits still in place, the 1,331 families living in Independence Plaza should have had the right to remain rent regulated until they vacate; and

WHEREAS: The owner of Independence Plaza, Stellar Management, has refused to comply with the obligation to treat Independence Plaza as rent regulated after withdrawing from the Mitchell Lama program; and

WHEREAS: The Appellate Division, First Department recently decided that all of the apartments at Independence Plaza could lawfully be deregulated without regard to the J-51 benefits in place as of 2004; and

WHEREAS: The decision of the Appellate Division, First Department will allow thousands of similarly-situated tenants to lose their protection against arbitrary rent increases and will threaten them with eviction; and

WHEREAS: The tenants of Independence Plaza are seeking permission to appeal to the Court of Appeals, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 declares that the issues implicated in the Independence Plaza appeal are of critical importance to the operation of the J-51 program and the future ability of the City of New York to maintain regulatory protections for tenants in other income-qualified developments as they become entitled to cease to participate in other affordable housing programs and warrant consideration by the Court of Appeals.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 28 Water Street, application for existing flagpole

WHEREAS: This application is in response to a violation issued for a non-approved flagpole bearing a non-compliant Subway restaurant banner, and

WHEREAS: The applicant, who purchased the store with the flagpole already installed, is unfamiliar with Landmarks Preservation Commission procedures, and has agreed to determine what constitutes legal signage and return to the Community Board with a compliant design, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1, Manhattan requests that the Landmarks Preservation Commission hold over this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 46 Laight Street, application for new storefront

WHEREAS: This application is being presented because the previous architect misrepresented the actual structure of the columns along the façade, and

WHEREAS: In consequence, new transoms will be eliminated, and

WHEREAS: Existing rust and green trim will be painted a more appropriate black, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks Committee of Community Board #1, Manhattan asks for Procedure 1088 compliance, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1, Manhattan recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 105 Chambers Street, application for replacement of windows and façade restoration

WHEREAS: This application, for 105 Chambers Street, in the TriBeCa South Historic District, calls for alteration of the windows on the building's eastern façade in order to facilitate conversion of floors two through four from commercial to residential usage, and

WHEREAS: The program includes replacement of two-over-two with four-over-four double-hung windows across the lower three courses, all with painted wooden frames; as well as the elimination of the existing ugly ventilation louvers with windows; the realignment of one window, and the addition of another, and

WHEREAS: The proposed configuration is more pleasing than the current condition, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1, Manhattan recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 11-15 Leonard Street, application for seven story building and two-story bulkhead

WHEREAS: The application is to demolish the existing one story garage buildings within the TriBeCa West Historic District and build a new nine story building with "bulkhead," and

WHEREAS: The building has a 15' easement to the eastern wall making for an important side wall elevation, and

WHEREAS: The previously approved CB#1 and LPC application from 2007 has been disregarded, and

WHEREAS: The application is for a new modern design with a steel and glass base, channel glass shaft, metal capital and the base contains four large glass and steel garage doors and a central apartment building door – with a large overhanging metal and glass awning, and

WHEREAS: The channel glass shaft facade has a metal frame around the edge of the entire building with large glass protruding frame windows, and

WHEREAS: The side wall above the ground floor easement is a solid grey brick wall – with only three fenestrations, and

WHEREAS: The two story penthouse – described as a “bulkhead” on the application - is set back to meet code, but is enormous, and

WHEREAS: The penthouse is clad in grey metal, with huge clear glass windows with metal safety beams across the entire façade and side, and

WHEREAS: The building is 118' high and is built out except for 2,800', and

WHEREAS: The two story “bulkhead” penthouse has an additional stair bulkhead – which makes the entire building 128 feet, and

WHEREAS: The penthouse is highly visible from within the Historic District, and

WHEREAS: There are nine chimneys for non-wood burning fireplaces, and

WHEREAS: The adjoining buildings are three stories to the west, six stories with a single story penthouse to the east, and

WHEREAS: The applicant provided 18 letters of support, including one from the applicant's architect, who pointed out that he is also a local resident, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was given a letter from an attorney retained by residents of neighboring buildings strongly against the application, particularly regarding the structure's height, and

WHEREAS: The Committee heard testimony from six neighbors speaking firmly against the application, particularly its height, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the building was not appropriate for the historic district because: of the bulk, the stark nature of the channel glass design of the façade, the four garage entrances at street level, the dullness of the grey brick side wall without fenestrations, the two story – highly visible – penthouse, the metal cladding of the penthouse, the stark steel and glass awning, and

WHEREAS: The Committee and most of the speakers especially objected to the project's oversized and clumsy massing, and

WHEREAS: The Committee reminded the applicant of the need to comply with Procedure 1088 if a design was approved – especially the giving of notice to and protecting of adjoining buildings foundations, and

WHEREAS: The Committee allowed the developer to speak to address the complaints – he commented that the building was as-of-right, would not result in any new curb cuts, he was not prepared to consider the points raised by the Committee or neighbors, but intended to present the existing application to LPC on April 24 – the day of the Community Board meeting, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject the application

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 464 Greenwich Street, application for sidewalk platform restoration and alteration

WHEREAS: The application to restore the building was made and approved by CB#1 and LPC in 2010, and

WHEREAS: The new application is to restore the original glass vault lights that were discovered during the renovation of the non-original concrete step and sidewalk, and

WHEREAS: The concrete would be removed to expose the original vault, and

WHEREAS: If the original vault could be restored with new glass lights it would be, and

WHEREAS: If the original vault was beyond repair – which was likely – it would be entirely replaced with a new heavy cast iron with clear glass lights, and

WHEREAS: A new step would be needed once the old concrete was removed - this would be constructed only in a 5' section of the building – by the door, and

WHEREAS: The application was also to install a basement swimming pool which would require underpinning of the adjoining buildings, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant for proposing to restore or replace the original cast iron vault, and

WHEREAS: The Committee reminded the applicant of the need to comply with Building Code Procedure 1088 – especially the giving notice to and protecting of adjoining buildings foundations, which the applicant agreed to do, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 12, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 78 Franklin Street, application for new entry door and ADA ramp

WHEREAS: The application is to replace the non-original entry door and build an ADA ramp,
and

WHEREAS: The applicant wishes to follow a precedent for the entry door from the adjoining
building, and

WHEREAS: The applicant presented two designs for the entry door – one with an 11’ door and
single glass transom – one with an 8’6” door and double glass transom, and

WHEREAS: The ADA ramp is made from metal and is simple in design, and

WHEREAS: The materials are appropriately painted wood, clear glass and painted metal for
the ramp, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the design with the larger door and single clear glass transom
was most appropriate – which the applicant agreed to present to LPC, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the
application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: NEW BUSINESS

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Community Board 1's support for Int 0834-2012, the New York City Council legislation to amend the New York City charter, in relation to convening the New York City panel on climate change regularly, for the purpose of producing a report on climate change adaptation in New York City

WHEREAS: On April 24^h 2012, the Community Board 1 considered and debated the attached legislation from the New York City Council; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports Int 0834-2012 from the New York City Council.

Int. No. 834

By Council Members Gennaro, Brewer, Fidler, Gonzalez, James, Koppell, Koslowitz, Lander, Levin, Palma, Rose, Wills and Rodriguez

A Local Law to amend the New York city charter, in relation to convening the New York city panel on climate change regularly, for the purpose of producing a report on climate change adaptation in New York city.

Be it enacted by the Council as follows:

Section 1. Legislative findings and intent. The Council finds that in order to increase the effectiveness of New York City measures intended to prepare for and alter the course of adverse climate change impacts on New York City's critical infrastructure and vulnerable citizens, and consistent with the spirit of PlaNYC 2030 and the New York City Climate Protection Act, Local Law 22 of 2008, the New York City Panel on Climate Change should be institutionalized. The Council also finds that global climate models predict that temperatures, precipitation, sea levels, and extreme weather events will increase dramatically, even in the next ten years. The Council further finds that a significant number of variables, including but not limited to an increase in temperatures, can have an immutable effect on New York City's future and that identifying and gauging these variables can also inform and dictate our intelligent response to climate change. Finally, the Council finds that New York City will be benefited by permanent, periodic updates on current climate change data, trends, and projections, and analysis on how this information poses new and various risk scenarios concerning critical infrastructure and vulnerable citizens. Therefore, the Council finds that it is in the best interests of the City to convene the New York City Panel on Climate Change regularly, for the purpose of producing a report on Climate Change Adaptation in New York City.

§2. Section 20 of the New York city charter is amended by adding a new subdivision j to read as follows:

j. New York city panel on climate change. 1. There shall be a New York city panel on climate change whose members shall include but not be limited to, climate scientists and experts, academics, and private sector practitioners, including legal, insurance, and risk management experts, who shall be appointed by the mayor.

2. i. The panel shall meet at least once every two years for the purpose of developing climate change projections for New York city and tools to assist the city's climate change adaptation task force, or any other applicable department or office, in implementing procedures, actions, and programs to address current and future impacts on critical New York city infrastructure, and on vulnerable citizens.

ii. For purposes of this subdivision, the term "vulnerable citizens" shall mean individuals or communities especially susceptible to, and unable to cope with, adverse climate change impacts including persons age sixty or older, women, children, persons with disabilities, and the poor.

3. No later than one year after the panel first convenes and every second year thereafter, the panel shall prepare and make public a report of its findings on climate change data, predictions, and the current and projected impacts on infrastructure and vulnerable citizens. The report shall include, at a minimum:

i. Observed climate. Current findings on trends in temperature, precipitation, sea level changes, and extreme weather events.

ii. Indicators and monitoring. Discussion and analysis of indicators to monitor for climate change data, which shall include, but not be limited to, the Earth's carbon cycle, global and regional sea level, changes in polar ice, and advances in climate science and technology.

iii. Future data predictions. Predictions of future temperature, precipitation, sea level changes, and extreme weather events, identifying their probability of occurrence and the factors that influence any uncertainties in each prediction.

iv. Climate risk factors and infrastructure impacts. Generalized climate variables prioritized by considerations of the potential impacts to New York city's critical infrastructure

and vulnerable citizens, which shall include, but not be limited to, temperature-related impacts, precipitation-related impacts, and sea level rise-related impacts.

v. Climate change scenarios. Descriptions of plausible future climate conditions in New York city based on future data predictions and response strategies based on current or possible adaptation plans, taking into account factors such as, but not limited to, population growth, and technological and land-use changes.

vi. Adaptation plan assessment. Analysis and assessment of the New York city climate change adaptation task force's, or any other applicable department or office's, active or adopted adaptation plans in light of the panel's current findings and predictions on climate change data and impacts.

§3. This local law shall take effect one hundred eighty days after enactment, except that the director of the office of long-term planning and sustainability shall take such measures as are necessary for its implementation, including the promulgation of rules, prior to such effective date.

LS # 3184 SS

2/29/12 2:35 p.m., 3/12/12 2:56 p.m.;3/20/12 3:41 p.m. , 4/6/12/ 10:48 a.m.; 4/10/12 9:42 a.m.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport – Pier 17, request for one-time alteration of hours for Beekman Beer Garden

WHEREAS: The applicant, Lisa Cannistraci on behalf of the Ali Forney Center has requested a one-time alteration that would enable the Beekman Beer Garden to operate and serve liquor from 9:00 pm to 4:00 am on Saturday, June 23, 2012, and

WHEREAS: The one-time alteration is for a charitable event, and

WHEREAS: Community Board One has had no complaints about the operation of Beekman Beer Garden, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One has no objection to the grant of a one-time change in the method of operation to allow the Beekman Beer Garden to operate and serve liquor from 9:00 pm to 4:00 am on Saturday, June 23, 2012.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 1 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 42 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Request for Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg to publicly release the outside review of changes to the 911 System, formally called the Emergency Communications Transformation Project

WHEREAS: Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg's administration has made significant changes to the 911 system that have become controversial as the cost has escalated by as much as \$1 billion; and

WHEREAS: Mayor Bloomberg commissioned an outside review of the 911 system changes, formally called the Emergency Communications Transformation Project, by Winbourne Consulting, a technology firm in Arlington, Va., that has been involved in the project since 2004; and

WHEREAS: It has been reported in the press that the outside review is critical of the much-delayed, significantly over-budget 911 emergency dispatch system; and

WHEREAS: Significant questions have been raised about the accuracy of public statements made by members of Mayor Bloomberg's administration about the revamped 911 system, such as the contention by Uniformed Firefighters Association President Steven Cassidy that response times by firefighters have increased and not declined as claimed; and

WHEREAS: The results of the Mayor's coordination of emergency services could have significant consequences, as the Bloomberg administration has argued that improved response times may justify controversial changes such as eliminating firehouses, which Community Board #1 has consistently opposed; and

WHEREAS: A full disclosure of the review of the revised 911 system would allow New Yorkers to better understand the results of the changes to the emergency response system and whether they make us safer; and

WHEREAS: The safety of residents in Lower Manhattan is at issue, as we have been attacked twice by terrorists in recent decades and emergency response time is critical to our safety; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 demands that Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg immediately release the Emergency Communications Transformation Project report so that all New Yorkers can understand the results of changes made to the emergency response system and can work together to develop a system that will keep us as safe as possible.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 6 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 2 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 32 In Favor | 2 Opposed | 4 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Community Board 1's support for the Manhattan Borough Board's resolution on the NYS DREAM Act (A06829B/S4179B) and NYS DREAM Fund (A08689)

WHEREAS: On April 18th 2012, the Quality of Life Committee of Community Board 1 considered and debated the attached resolution from the Manhattan Borough President; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the attached resolution from the Manhattan Borough Board calling on the State of New York to pass the NYS DREAM Act and NYS DREAM Fund.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN

SCOTT M. STRINGER
BOROUGH PRESIDENT

**MANHATTAN BOROUGH BOARD RESOLUTION
IN SUPPORT OF
PASSAGE OF THE NEW YORK STATE DREAM ACT AND DREAM FUND**

WHEREAS, America is a nation of immigrants and New York City is sustained by our immigrant past, present and future; and

WHEREAS, New York City is home to the largest population of immigrants of any city in the U.S.; well over three million foreign-born residents comprise nearly 40 percent of New York City's total population; and immigrants compose over 40 percent of the city's workforce and are essential to our economic output and stability; and

WHEREAS, over 30 percent of Manhattan's residents are foreign-born and a number of the borough's community districts are majority foreign-born; and

WHEREAS, the State of New York and the nation as a whole could benefit from passage of DREAM legislation including the NYS DREAM Act (S.4179-b/A.6829-b) and NYS DREAM Fund (A. 8689); and

WHEREAS, the federal DREAM Act Legislation has failed to pass; and

WHEREAS, the States of Texas, California, New Mexico and Illinois have voted to sign DREAM legislation into law; and

WHEREAS, the State of New York must move to pass the NYS DREAM Act and NYS DREAM Fund in order to enable our youth to maximize their potential and make valuable economic and social impacts in our communities, strengthening our ability to compete and thrive in a global economy and society; now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Manhattan Borough Board applauds Senator Perkins and Assemblymember Linares for the introduction of the New York State DREAM Act;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Manhattan Borough Board applauds Assemblymember Moya for his introduction of the New York State DREAM Fund;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Manhattan Borough Board offers full support for the DREAM legislation introduced in the State Assembly and State Senate that will open doors for higher education and civic engagement to all students in New York State regardless of their immigration status;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Manhattan Borough Board urges passage of the New York State DREAM legislation.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 1 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 35 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Street permit application for Summerfest on Park Row by J & R Music World on Thursday June 14, 2012 to Saturday, June 16, 2012 from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Thursday June 14, 2012 to Saturday, June 16, 2012 from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by J & R Music World for Summerfest on Park Row on Thursday June 14, 2012 to Saturday, June 16, 2012 from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 1 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 35 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Street permit application for Musicfest on Park Row by J & R Music World on Thursday, August 23, 2012 to Saturday, August 25, 2012 from 9:00 am to 7:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Thursday, August 23, 2012 to Saturday, August 25, 2012 from 9:00 am to 7:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by J & R Music World for Musicfest on Park Row on Thursday, August 23, 2012 to Saturday, August 25, 2012 from 9:00 am to 7:00 pm, on Park Row sidewalk between Ann Street and Beekman Street subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: April 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 1 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 35 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Request for the New York City Economic Development Corporation to provide the South Street Seaport Museum with a metes and bounds determination so that it may exercise its right to generate income by leasing property for retail use

WHEREAS: On October 5, 2011, the Museum of the City of New York (MCNY) signed an agreement with New York City Economic Development Corporation (EDC) and the Department of Cultural Affairs that provided initial funding for MCNY to assume management responsibility for the South Street Seaport Museum (SSSM) for a period of one year, with a possible extension to 18 months, to test the long-term economic feasibility of MCNY's continued role at the Seaport; and

WHEREAS: SSSM's relationship with EDC is governed by a series of lease agreements in conformance with the urban renewal plan laid out by the City Planning Commission in 1968; and

WHEREAS: Recently, Howard Hughes Corporation (HHC) obtained from EDC its metes and bounds, allowing HHC to enforce its property lines to move encroaching vendors off their property under the FDR drive; and

WHEREAS: As a result of the HHC's enforcement of its metes and bounds determination, many vendors have moved to the area adjacent to SSSM under the FDR drive; and

WHEREAS: The SSSM has asked that CB#1 help them acquire their metes and bounds determination so that it may enforce its property line and generate revenue to help sustain the museum economically, similar to the HHC; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 requests that the New York City Economic Development Corporation provide the South Street Seaport Museum with a metes and bounds determination that will allow it to enforce its property line and generate much needed funds for cultural programming by the lease of its property for retail use.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 5 In Favor | 3 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 1 In Favor | 2 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 35 In Favor | 3 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Application # C120179 ZSM
84-86 White Street, application for special permit under section 13-561 of the Zoning Resolution to permit attended accessory parking garage with 22 spaces

WHEREAS: This application is for a special permit pursuant to Section 13-561 of the Zoning Resolution (the “Special Permit”) to allow a 22-space accessory parking garage (“Accessory Parking Garage”) in a new, 13-story as-of-right residential development located at 84-86 White Street (the “Building”), and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that the as-of-right development would have approximately 33 condominium dwelling units and the proposed garage would be mostly located below grade on the ground floor and the cellar level and would have a total of 22 spaces, utilizing 8 double car stackers, and

WHEREAS: Access to the proposed garage would be provided via a 20 foot curb cut on White Street and one vehicle elevator within the proposed garage, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that in this area of Manhattan off-street parking is permitted for 20 percent of the units in a new residential building and, accordingly, the 33-unit as-of-right building would be permitted only 7 accessory parking spaces as-of-right based on the number of residential units, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that the 55-space parking lot currently on the site will be lost and that a larger accessory parking garage than that permitted as-of-right is necessary to accommodate the parking demand generated by residents of the Building, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented and warranted to the Tribeca Committee that (a) the Accessory Parking Garage will be operated strictly in accordance with the Special Permit, (b) the Accessory Parking Garage will be used only for accessory parking and only for parking by residents of the Building and not by any member of the general public, (c) neither the applicant, the owner nor any operator of the Accessory Parking Garage will apply for or obtain a license from the Department of Consumer Affairs to operate the Accessory Parking Garage as a transient

parking facility, and (d) the Accessory Parking Garage will not under any circumstances be operated as a transient parking facility, and

WHEREAS: The applicant acknowledged and agreed that any breach of the foregoing representations and warranties by the applicant or the owner or operator of the Accessory Parking Garage would be non-contestable grounds for termination of the Special Permit by the New York City Department of City Planning, and

WHEREAS: The applicant also acknowledged and agreed that any temporary or permanent certificate of occupancy for the Building will specifically state that the Special Permit is for accessory parking only by residents of the Building, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 recommends that the City Planning Commission approve the request for a special permit under section 13-561 of the Zoning Resolution to permit an attended accessory parking garage with 22 spaces subject to the limitations, restrictions and agreements set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 5 In Favor | 3 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: BSA Cal. No. 70-12-BZ
78 Franklin Street, request for Board of Standards and Appeals Section 73-36
Special Permit to allow the operation of a Physical Culture Establishment

WHEREAS: The applicant, Aqua Studio NY LLC, is seeking to operate a health club in a 5-story mixed residential and commercial building, and

WHEREAS: The establishment will be a boutique fitness club with a pool and fitness classes with a reception area, pool, massage area, restrooms and showers, and locker-room facilities occupying 1,750 square feet on the ground floor, 1,686 square feet of cellar area and 689 square feet of sub cellar area, and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of the health club will be 7:00 am to 10:00 pm during the weekend and 9:00 am to 8:00 pm on weekends, and

WHEREAS: This use will not impair the essential character of the surrounding community and is intended to serve the rapidly growing population in Lower Manhattan, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 recommends that the Board of Standards and Appeals approve the request for a special permit for a physical culture establishment at 78 Franklin Street to be operated by Aqua Studio NY LLC.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 8 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: Street permit application for Real Stories Gallery Foundation F/O 36 Laight Street between Varick Street and Hudson Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Sunday, July 1, 2012 from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm f/o 36 Laight Street between Varick Street and Hudson Street, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by Real Stories Gallery Foundation on Sunday, July 1, 2012 from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm, f/o 36 Laight Street between Varick Street and Hudson Street subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 8 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 353 Greenwich Street, renewal sidewalk café license application for MaryAnn's 353 Mex. Inc

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of the unenclosed sidewalk café license for 15 tables and 36 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to maintain a minimum clear path of eight feet between the outer limit of the café and any object near the curb, including curbstone; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 approves the renewal of the sidewalk café license for MaryAnn's 353 Mex Inc. located at 353 Greenwich Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 8 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 102 Franklin Street, application for a restaurant liquor license for Eating and Drinking, LLC d/b/a All Good Things

WHEREAS: The applicant, Eating and Drinking, LLC d/b/a All Good Things, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 6 p.m. to 1 a.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to return to Community Board #1 in January, 2013, with a request for an extension of operating hours; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 4,400 square feet, including a dining area of 700 square feet with 11 tables and 24 seats and bar area of 200 square feet; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music using 3-4 small speakers; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant liquor license to Eating and Drinking, LLC d/b/a All Good Things at 102 Franklin Street unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 8 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 450 Washington Street, application for a restaurant liquor license for Pachanga Inc. d/b/a Fika

WHEREAS: The applicant, Pachanga Inc. d/b/a Fika, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. Sunday through Thursday and 10 a.m. to 9 p.m. on Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 3,000 square feet, including a dining area of 700 square feet with 12 tables and 40 seats and bar area of 150 square feet with 5 seats; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music using small 40 watt speakers; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant liquor license to Pachanga Inc. d/b/a Fika at 450 Washington Street unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 1 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 3 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 1 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 313 Church Street, application for a restaurant liquor license for 313 Restaurant LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, 313 Restaurant LLC, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 12 p.m. to 4 a.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 4,300 square feet with 35 tables and 143 seats, as well as 15 bar stools; and

WHEREAS: The applicant reached out to neighbors and presented signed petitions in favor of a 4 a.m. closing time; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music only on a small speaker system; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 313 Restaurant LLC at 313 Church Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 43 Murray Street, application for a tavern liquor license for Woodrows Management LLC, d/b/a pending

WHEREAS: The applicant, Woodrows Management LLC, is applying for a tavern liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 8 a.m. to 1 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 8 a.m. to 2 a.m. on Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 2,400 square feet, with the First Floor and Basement Floor being approximately 1,200 square feet each. The total public assembly capacity is 220, including a dining area of 982 square feet with 14 tables and 52 seats and bar area of 968 square feet with 3 tables and 36 seats; and

WHEREAS: There will be background music only; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to Woodrows Management LLC at 43 Murray Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| COMMITTEE VOTE: | 7 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| PUBLIC MEMBERS: | 4 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |
| BOARD VOTE: | 38 In Favor | 0 Opposed | 0 Abstained | 0 Recused |

RE: 325 Broadway, application for a restaurant liquor license for 325 Broadway Café, LLC d/b/a Arome Café

WHEREAS: The applicant, 325 Broadway Café, LLC d/b/a Arome Café, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 3,000 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 60, including a dining area of 1000 square feet with 15 tables and 30 seats; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music using only; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 325 Broadway Café, LLC d/b/a Arome Café at 325 Broadway *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: CB1 endorses the Campaign for Children and urges Mayor Bloomberg to support childcare and after-school programs in his Executive Budget

WHEREAS: The Mayor’s FY13 Preliminary Budget puts 47,000 children at risk of losing their child care and after-school program; and

WHEREAS: This is the 5th straight year of cuts to both child care and after-school, and the newest cuts in the FY 13 Preliminary Budget, combined with the current Early Learn NYC and Out-of-School Time RFP’s, would mean that more than 90,000 children will be left without care since 2009; and

WHEREAS: Both child care and after-school programs provide children with critical educational opportunities that pave the way for future success, and kids who attend these programs do better in school, are more likely to graduate, and have lower incidences of violence, drug-use and teen pregnancy; and

WHEREAS: Child care and after-school programs allow working parents to keep their jobs, and without access to these programs, working parents will be forced to make potentially unsafe arrangements for their children in order to keep their jobs; and

WHEREAS: Lower Manhattan has the fastest growing residential population in New York City with many families that would be affected by these cuts; and

WHEREAS: These cuts endanger the survival of after-school programs at CB1 schools, including I.S. 89; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One endorses the Campaign for Children, a partnership of The Emergency Coalition to Save Child Care and the NYC Youth Alliance; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One calls on Mayor Bloomberg to take the steps necessary to support the childcare and after-school systems in his Executive Budget by restoring

\$104 million to the Administration for Children's Services for childcare and \$66 million to the Department of Youth and Community Development for after-school programs.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 6 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: CB1 requests that the New York City Council lower the grade level for compulsory education.

WHEREAS: Kindergarten is a valuable and necessary preparation for all children as they transition to attending school daily; and

WHEREAS: Compulsory education in New York City currently begins at first grade; and

WHEREAS: Currently, Kindergarten is not mandatory for New York City children and is offered only as an option; and

WHEREAS: According to DOE, 6,000 5-year-olds – or roughly eight percent of eligible children – did NOT attend Kindergarten last year in New York City; and

WHEREAS: The vast majority of these children – many of whom come from disadvantaged and low-income families – are the most in need of early education and enter first grade with no prior school experience, thereby starting their school years at an unnecessary further disadvantage; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One urges the City Council to pass a bill lowering the minimum grade level that public schools must provide from first grade to kindergarten, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One requests that the Department of Education be required to provide kindergarten school seats in our zoned schools.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Request for the Department of Education to establish a stand-alone Pre-K in
Community School District 2

WHEREAS: New York State established a program in 1997 to assist local school districts in
providing free prekindergarten to 4-year-olds; and

WHEREAS: Although participation in this universal prekindergarten program is voluntary,
localities choosing to take part in the program must make pre-k available to all 4-
year-olds in their respective districts; and

WHEREAS: In New York City, the Board of Education phased in the pre-k program in each of
its 32 community school districts over a four year period that began in the school
year 1998-1999; and

WHEREAS: One of the benefits cited for prekindergarten programs is their potential to raise
academic achievement by providing children more instructional time in school,
particularly at an age when they are actively acquiring language skills; and

WHEREAS: In Community School District 2, all of the public schools have insufficient
classroom space to house universal pre-K classes; moreover, demands for
universal pre-K space are competing with concurrent demands from the
Department of Education's (DOE) goal of reducing average class sizes; and

WHEREAS: In March, 2012, 99 Downtown families opened letters saying their 4-year-old
children were waitlisted for kindergarten at their zoned school; and

WHEREAS: CB1 zoned schools are also experiencing a lack of classrooms for their 4th and 5th
grade classes; and

WHEREAS: Schools zoned for Community Board 1 include five classrooms designated to Pre-
K, including one at P.S. 89, two at P.S. 276, and two at P.S. 397; and

WHEREAS: Each classroom designated to pre-K has a morning and afternoon session of 15-18
students, making the total number of students served approximately 165; and

WHEREAS: P.S. 276 received 400 applications for pre-K last year, including 150 applications from zoned students; and

WHEREAS: Community District 2 needs new space to house universal pre-k to relieve overcrowding in Community Board One's elementary school buildings; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 calls on the New York City Department of Education to establish a pre-K center in Lower Manhattan to free up much needed classroom space in CB1's zoned elementary school buildings, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 suggests that the Tweed Courthouse be an option for a temporary location for the pre-K center in September 2012, until a more long-term solution is implemented.