

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed street closure on Saturday, September 27 and Sunday September 28, 2008, Vesey Street between North End Avenue and West Street by the Tunnel to Tower Run

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by Tunnel to Tower Run for Saturday, September 27 and Sunday, September 28, 2008 during the hours of noon to 5 PM, subject to the following condition:

- The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 40 Broad Street, liquor license for 40 Broad Commercial, LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Liquor License for Broad Commercial LLC at 40 Broad Street, 2nd Floor, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 6 a.m. to 2 a.m. seven days a week, with bar service from 9 a.m. to 2 a.m. seven days a week, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music only, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 12,500, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by 40 Broad Commercial LLC, at 40 Broad Street, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 30 West Street, liquor license for Osteria Casano LLC

WHEREAS: The committee sent the applicant a letter of invitation to the address contained in the applicant's correspondence to CB#1 signifying its intent to file a liquor license application, and

WHEREAS: The letter from the applicant did not supply a phone number or email address, which is very unusual, and the letter sent to the applicant by CB#1 was returned undeliverable, and

WHEREAS: The applicant did not appear before the Financial District Committee for review, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 asks that the State Liquor Authority suspend judgment on the liquor license application for Osteria Casano LLC located at 30 West Street until proper review by the Community Board.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposal for newsstand at southeast corner of Beaver Street and Broadway

WHEREAS: A new newsstand has been proposed for the southeast corner of Beaver and Broadway, and

WHEREAS: This location is a congested sidewalk in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: This area is heavily impacted by reconstruction work, and

WHEREAS: This location has a very high level of foot traffic and the addition of this newsstand would further add to the already congested conditions, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 rejects this application for a newsstand on the southeast corner of Beaver Street and Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Sea Glass Carousel

WHEREAS: The Battery Conservancy has served the Lower Manhattan community since 1995 as a catalyst for rebuilding and revitalizing the Battery, and

WHEREAS: The Conservancy honors and preserves the heritage of the Battery by rebuilding the park's landscape in a manner that is mindful of its historic past, and

WHEREAS: The Conservancy is creating *SeaGlass*, a Carousel at the Battery that combines art, science and technology to enchant people of all ages through movement, light, sound, and

WHEREAS: The aquatic theme of the carousel and surrounding pavilion recalls New York's first Aquarium that was located in Castle Clinton from 1896 to 1941, and

WHEREAS: The carousel and pavilion are designed by the architecture firm of weisz + yoes architecture, a firm that incorporates the need for environmental conservation and sustainability into its work, and

WHEREAS: George Tsypin Opera Factory is responsible for the production concept and design of the ride, and

WHEREAS: \$8 million in public funds have been raised, thus far, to construct *SeaGlass*, Carousel at the Battery, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 fully supports the building of *SeaGlass* Carousel at the Battery, as a welcome amenity for children and people of all ages in Lower Manhattan, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The SeaGlass Carousel will provide amusement and education for all who visit the Battery and help to make the Battery a super-star destination in New York City's constellation of one-of-a-kind wonders, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 commends Warrie Price and all members of her staff responsible for this wonderful new Lower Manhattan attraction and looks forward to working with her on future improvements in and around the Battery.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Liquor License Application for Vidhan Foods at 60 Pearl Street

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Liquor License for Vidhan Foods at 60 Pearl Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 11 a.m. to 9 p.m. seven days a week, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music only, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 1,240-1,300, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by Vidhan Foods at 60 Pearl Street, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 13 Worth Street, LPC application for rooftop addition

WHEREAS: The application is to build a one-story, 8-foot rooftop addition in the Tribeca West Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The applicant's architect is known to the committee for presenting appropriate, contextual designs with this design being of minimal impact and sensitive use of ribbed aluminum and clear glass materials, and

WHEREAS: The addition is only visible from the east because of the vacant lot. The exterior building height of the addition is 11 feet to 13 feet above the sloped roofline. The interior building height is built to the minimum internal height of 8 feet allowed by code, and

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to remove the highly visible boiler flu, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted that the once the vacant lot is developed, the addition would not be visible and commended the applicant and architect for a sensitive, appropriate and contextual design and clear presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 95 Franklin Street, LPC application for exterior ramp for handicapped access

WHEREAS: The application is to install an ADA-compliant ramp of 44 inches in width, and

WHEREAS: The ramp will be below the base plinth of the building and use diamond plate and 1 ½-foot railings painted black, and

WHEREAS: The ramp and end stairs will be set against building, ensuring that there is no area for garbage to accumulate, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Building 293 – Super 8 Motel within Governors Island Historic District –
LPC application for demolition of non-contributing structure

WHEREAS: The proposal calls for the demolition of a former Super 8 Motel on
Governors Island, and

WHEREAS: The Colonial Revival structure, built in 1986, is not only non-contributing
to the Governors Island Historic District, but is virtually a parody of it,
and

WHEREAS: The only question the Community Board has is whether this building can
be torn down fast enough, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the Landmarks Preservation Commission to approve this
application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 74 Hudson Street – LPC application for new one story building for retail use

WHEREAS: This application calls for the construction of a new, one-story retail building on what is currently a parking lot in the Tribeca West Historic District, where a height covenant restricts any structure to a maximum of approximately two stories, and

WHEREAS: The proposed taxpayer would be located in the heart of the Tribeca historic districts—indeed in the heart of Tribeca itself, at the western terminus of Worth Street—and would turn the corner to Hudson Street, across from such splendors as 60 Hudson Street (a.k.a. the Western Union Building) and the former New York Mercantile Exchange, and

WHEREAS: The building and its stores would be of glass and steel-beam construction, and

WHEREAS: The design would be more appropriate in a strip mall in Queens—No, that denigrates Queens; perhaps a strip mall in New Jersey, and

WHEREAS: This proposition is a complete travesty, one deserving a stake driven through its heart, one requiring the wearing of a garlic necklace for protection, and

WHEREAS: How many ways are there to express the Community Board’s dread of this scheme? How many ways to say it is absolutely out of the question? Now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 begs, beseeches and urges the Landmarks Preservation Commission to throttle, dispatch and reject this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 38-44 Laight Street, LPC application to legalize placement of rooftop equipment

WHEREAS: The sponsor for this building illegally installed rooftop HVAC equipment five years ago that the Condo Board discovered when they applied to install a rooftop fence to screen the equipment and make a roof garden, and

WHEREAS: The Committee previously reviewed and approved the application for the roof-fencing addition, which was denied by LPC solely because of its illegal installation. If LPC legalizes the rooftop equipment, the applicant will return to the committee and re-present.

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has no objection to the Landmarks Preservation Commission legalizing placement of the rooftop equipment.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

(1 of 3)

COMMITTEE VOTE: 2 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

PUBLIC VOTE: 0 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 250 West Street, LPC application for façade restoration, rooftop addition and new cornice

WHEREAS: This is a complicated, multi-part application, the effect of which would more easily transform 250 West Street – at the northeast corner of Hubert and West Streets, within the Tribeca North Historic District -- into a residential property, and

WHEREAS: The building, altered a number of times, nevertheless maintains its monumental scale and character as an example of late 19th Century industrial architecture, and

WHEREAS: The proposal, by David Chipperfield Architects, has been presented and considered in five parts:

- 1) A new main entrance;
- 2) “Townhouse” entrances;
- 3) North façade courtyard cutout with new windows;
- 4) Cornice replacement; and
- 5) A rooftop extension, and

WHEREAS: Besides these items, the applicant also wishes to replace all windows with tilt-and-turn, claiming that the originals were a similar variant, and says their trim will have a “bronzish”-colored tint, as will all other exterior metallic trim, although the exact color and material have yet to be chosen, and

WHEREAS: Regarding Item #1, the current main entrance was given an unfortunate post-Modern redesign in 1987 and the applicant would replace it with a double bay surmounted by a glass-topped metal canopy, a design improvement which the Community Board approves, and

WHEREAS: Regarding Item #3, the application requests the removal of a huge, 78-foot across by 38-foot deep chunk from the northern, Laight Street side of the building. Currently appearing as a stucco lot-line façade, the alteration would provide a courtyard for light and air, and allow the floor-to-area ratio necessary to erect the proposed rooftop addition. This highly visible cut-out would be finished in a manner similar to the existing pale stucco. It seems more like an indentation than a cut-out, and would not disturb the nature of the historic district. The Community Board does not object to this element of the plan, and

WHEREAS: Regarding Item #4, the beautiful, original cornice is now gone, and an aluminum parapet added. The applicant asks to replace this with a Modernist cornice, distantly suggestive of the lost original, hazily “bronzish” and constructed of metal which will not require painting or high levels of maintenance. After much discussion, the Community Board agreed to this component, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the new entrance and the north façade courtyard cut-out and the new cornice.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 2 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE: 0 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
(2 of 2)

RE: 250 West Street, LPC application for façade restoration, rooftop addition and new cornice

WHEREAS: This is a complicated, multi-part application, the effect of which would more easily transform 250 West Street – at the northeast corner of Hubert and West Streets, within the Tribeca North Historic District -- into a residential property, and

WHEREAS: The building, altered a number of times, nevertheless maintains its monumental scale and character as an example of late 19th Century industrial architecture, and

WHEREAS: The proposal, by David Chipperfield Architects, has been presented and considered in five parts:

- 1) A new main entrance;
- 2) “Townhouse” entrances;
- 3) North façade courtyard cutout with new windows;
- 4) Cornice replacement; and
- 5) A rooftop extension, and

WHEREAS: Regarding Item #2, 10 new “townhouse” doors would be carved into the façade, leading to 10 new maisonette-style “townhouses” configured into the structure’s floor plate. Five double-width, stoop-like exterior steps would rise from the street to provide “townhouse” access, accommodating two “townhouse” front doors per case, and

WHEREAS: An areaway will be added along the Hubert Street property line, where it transitions to the sidewalk, the railing for which will sit on a curb or plinths, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board finds that this scheme is unacceptably invasive and destructive, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject the part of the application relating to the “townhouses” as inappropriate to the Historic District.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 2 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE: 0 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
(3 of 3)

RE: 250 West Street, LPC application for façade restoration, rooftop addition and new cornice

WHEREAS: This is a complicated, multi-part application, the effect of which would more easily transform 250 West Street – at the northeast corner of Hubert and West Streets, within the Tribeca North Historic District -- into a residential property, and

WHEREAS: The building, altered a number of times, nevertheless maintains its monumental scale and character as an example of late 19th Century industrial architecture, and

WHEREAS: The proposal, by David Chipperfield Architects, has been presented and considered in five parts:

- 1) A new main entrance;
- 2) Townhouse” entrances;
- 3) North façade courtyard cutout with new windows;
- 4) Cornice replacement;
- 5) A rooftop extension, and

WHEREAS: Regarding Item #5, the plan calls for the construction of a large rooftop addition. Looking like a series of metal boxes, some mesh-covered, and described as “bouncing around” the top of the structure, the extension would be 20 feet at its highest above the existing roof , and the remainder would be 18 feet higher, and

WHEREAS: The addition would be visible, from every view corridor, and this controversial part of the application split the Community Board committee evenly, with half favoring it strongly and half objecting just as strongly, therefore the applicant was invited to present to the full Board, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation
Commission approve the roof top addition.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Community Board #1 resolution in support of the states bill expanding temporary disability insurance to cover family and medical leave

WHEREAS: The United States is the only industrialized country not to have any paid family leave benefit in connection to the birth or adoption of a child, despite American workforce demographics having changed significantly over the past several decades with women entering the workforce in increasing numbers and many households with children having two full-time working parents as a matter of necessity, and

WHEREAS: National policies have failed to adjust accordingly by requiring workplace policies that support the needs of working parents and their families, and the United States is alone among developed countries—and virtually alone in the world—in its failure to support working parents and their families through flexible time and leave policies and government-backed solutions are crucial to better assist working families and support adults with family responsibilities, and

WHEREAS: California and Washington States already provide paid leave for parents of newborn and newly adopted children, and in the case of California, also for employees caring for a seriously ill family member under the State Disability Insurance program, and

WHEREAS: In 2007, New York State Senator Tom Morahan (R–38th District) and Assemblywomen Cathy Nolan (D – 37th District) introduced legislation that would amend the state’s workers compensation law and establish a family temporary care leave insurance program within the state’s disability benefits program to provide up to twelve weeks of benefits to employees who take time off to care for a family member with a serious health condition or bond with a new child; and includes domestic partners under the definition of family member, and

WHEREAS: In October, 2007, the New York City Council introduced Resolution 1076 in support of a state bill to provide for the payment of disability benefits to employees who take family leave, either to bond with a child under the age of one, or to care for a sick relative, and

WHEREAS: With so many workers in New York City unable to afford the loss of even a day's pay, employee leave must be compensated in order to best help adults meet their family needs, and it is essential that workers not be forced to compromise their families' economic security in order to take time off from work to care for a family member and government should lead by example, offering broad based work-life protections and paid leave benefits to all workers, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recognizes the need for employees with family responsibilities to take time off from work following life changing events and that the leave must be paid in order to provide all working families with the opportunity to take time off without sacrificing their financial well being, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the New York Legislature to pass the TDI expansion bill and provide wage replacement for family leave, making leave a more affordable choice for New York families.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 118 Nassau Street application for transfer of liquor license for 118 Rest On Nassau Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant, 118 Restaurant on Nassau, Inc, d/b/a Regan's Pub, proposes to operate an on-premise liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a restaurant with bar, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the restaurant is 2,000 sq. ft, and the public assembly capacity is 61, and the number of tables is 7 with 28 seats, and the bar has 18 seats, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open from 11 am -10 pm, and

WHEREAS: There will be background jukebox music only, and

WHEREAS: There is no application for a sidewalk café at the present time, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the transfer of a liquor license for Regan's Pub at 118 Nassau Street subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Proposed sidewalk closure June 12 – June 14, 2008, Park Row between
Beekman and Ann Street by J & R Music World

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by J
& R Music World for June 12 to June 14, 2008 during the hours of 9 AM
to 6 PM, subject to the following condition:

- The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Proposed sidewalk closure August 21 – August 24, 2008, Park Row
between Beekman and Ann Street by J & R Music World

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by J
& R Music World for August 21-August 24, 2008 during the hours of 9
AM to 6 PM, subject to the following condition:

- The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Mayor's Office Policy on Movie Shoots in Seaport Area

WHEREAS: CB #1 is already on record asking the Mayor's Office of Film to institute a moratorium on film shoots in the Seaport area of CB1 until the end of major construction projected to be 2010, and

WHEREAS: The Mayor's Office of Film has not complied with the community's request for said moratorium, and

WHEREAS: Film shoots continue unabated causing extensive air/noise pollution, negative impact on the quality of life of residents and businesses of CB#1, and

WHEREAS: The film shoot on March 19th at the intersection of Frankfort and Pearl Streets resulted in extreme traffic congestion on Pearl, Frankfort, Gold, Spruce, Peck Slip, and all other adjoining streets in our area, so as to make access and egress of ambulances to and from NY Downtown Hospital, access and egress of Engine #6 of the FDNY, daily movement both of vehicle and pedestrian traffic close to impossible, creating emergency conditions in the area, and

WHEREAS: Early in the evening of March 18th six large metal construction plates were placed in the intersection of Frankfort and Pearl Streets as part of the film shoot and such plates not secured with proper asphalt cushioning resulted in constant noise as vehicles rolled over them all night into April 19th and such noise caused residents hours of sleeplessness, and

WHEREAS: A similar film permit granted by the Mayor's Office of Film for Gold Street, already plagued by major construction obstacles, resulted in film trucks and trailers parked blocking crosswalks at Ann and Gold Street while said vehicles kept motors running all day creating excessive air pollution, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 adamantly insists that the Mayor's Office of Film enforces a moratorium of all filming permits within the geographic boundaries of Seaport District of CB#1 until 2010 subject to review for extension on 1/1/2010.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 443 Greenwich Street, application for Section 74-711 Special Permit for conversion to residential and/or hotel use and a physical culture establishment in an existing seven-story building with proposed one-story addition in an M1-5 Zoning District

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a special permit pursuant to section 74-711 of the Zoning Resolution in order to provide waivers of use restriction, rear yard equivalent requirements, height and setback regulations and rooftop recreation space requirements, and

WHEREAS: The proposed use is consistent with the proposed Tribeca North Rezoning adopted by CB #1 on February 26, 2008, and

WHEREAS: Concerns of the CB #1 Landmarks Committee regarding scale and height of the rooftop addition and bulkhead were addressed by the Landmarks Preservation Commission in the Certificate of Appropriateness issued on July 30, 2007, and

WHEREAS: Free health club membership will be provided to tenants in exchange for the waiver of rooftop requirements, and

WHEREAS: The alley and courtyard will be used for deliveries and drop-offs if excess traffic arises, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends approval of a special permit to allow conversion of 433 Greenwich Street for residential and/or hotel use and a physical culture establishment.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 90 Chambers Street
Application for Restaurant Wine License
Lekker Stuk LLC d/b/a Your Asian

WHEREAS: The applicant, Lekker Stuk LLC, proposes to operate an on-premise liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a restaurant, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the restaurant is 1,500 sq. ft, and the number of tables is 14 with 50 seats, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open from 8:00 am to 11:00 pm on weekdays and 8:00 am to midnight on weekends, and

WHEREAS: There will be background recorded music only, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that they will apply for a sidewalk cafe, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for Your Asian at 90 Chambers Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 183 Duane Street
Application for Wine and Beer License
Tokyo Bay Enterprises d/b/a Tokyo Bay Japanese Restaurant

WHEREAS: The applicant, Tokyo Bay Enterprises, proposes to operate a wine and beer license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a restaurant, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the restaurant is 600 sq. ft, and the number of tables is 4 with 26 seats, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open from 11:30 am to 11:30 pm, and

WHEREAS: There will be background recorded music only, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that they will not apply a sidewalk cafe, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for Tokyo Bay Japanese Restaurant at 183 Duane Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 85 West Broadway
Application for On Premises Liquor License
Sologne LLC d/b/a Jour et Nuit Restaurant

WHEREAS: The applicant, Sologne LLC, proposes to operate an on-premise liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a restaurant with bar located within the Smith Hotel as an independent tenant, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the restaurant is 6000 sq. ft, and the number of tables is 25 with 90 seats, and the bar is 600 square feet with 4 tables and 15 seats, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open from 7:00 am to 2:00 am, and to return in one year for reconsideration to remain open until 4:00 am on weekends, and

WHEREAS: There will be background recorded music only, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that they will apply not apply for a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant promises to work with the hotel and neighborhood to ensure that parked or idling cars will not become an issue in front of premises, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for Sologne LLC.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed sidewalk closure on Saturday, June 21, 2008, Church Street
between Franklin Street and White Street by The Tank

WHEREAS: This is part of New York Day, and

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by
The Tank for Saturday, June 21, 2008 during the hours of 4 PM to 8 PM,
subject to the following condition:

- The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE: 3 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for License Renewal
Wings Inc. d/b/a Atomic Wings
311 Broadway

WHEREAS: The applicant, Wings Inc, has applied to SLA for renewal of a license, and

WHEREAS: The current license has expired, and

WHEREAS: The original license granted by SLA is for a wine and beer license and the establishment is serving liquor, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes renewal of the license application for Atomic Wings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Dog Run in Tribeca Section of Hudson River Park

WHEREAS: Many dog runs in New York City have an option for an area for smaller dogs, and

WHEREAS: The dog run in Tompkins Square Park is one of the best managed in the City and is a good example of a how to integrate a small dog area into the design, and

WHEREAS: Offering the option of a small dog run area often helps keep small dogs safe from larger dogs and larger dogs safe from smaller dogs that may be overly rambunctious, aggressive or dominant, leaving them slightly more at risk for injury, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that a portion of the new dog run located in the Tribeca section of Hudson River Park have the option of separate areas for small and large dogs within it, if space allows.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Adherence to City of New York code policy as it relates to the building of the new World Trade Center

WHEREAS: The Port Authority of New York & New Jersey (“Port Authority”) has never been subject to the construction and noise code requirements (the “Code Requirements”) of the City of New York Department of Buildings (“DOB”), Fire Department of New York (“FDNY”) or Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) in connection with construction projects on properties owned by the Port Authority, and

WHEREAS: The World Trade Center, which was destroyed on September 11, 2001, was not required to be constructed in compliance with Code Requirements and, as a result, was potentially less safe than other structures constructed in compliance with Code Requirements and violations were identified in the 2005 National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) federal WTC collapse investigation (specifically the stairwell requirement and the testing of fireproofing that insulates the steel), and

WHEREAS: The DOB has completely overhauled the Building Code and unveiled a new Building Code, to go into effect in July 2008, that will completely revolutionize and alter the way new construction projects are undertaken in the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: The new Building Code came about partially as a by-product of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and were written with a primary focus on life safety issues, efficiency and sustainability, which are all of deep concern to those in this community and to anyone who will ultimately work in, live near or visit the new buildings at the World Trade Center, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 calls on both houses of the New York State Legislature to pass legislation to require the Port Authority, as soon as is practical, to comply with all applicable Code Requirements and in the meantime calls on the Port Authority to voluntarily comply with such Code Requirements on all projects taking place at the World Trade Center site, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 asks Mayor Michael Bloomberg, New York State Governor David Paterson and New Jersey Governor Jon Corzine to ensure that the WTC complex be required to be constructed under the legal jurisdiction of the City of New York, with appropriate Certificates of Occupancy being issued, and including ongoing building and fire code enforcement, security, and police protection.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 22, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH AND EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: PS 89, PS 150 and PS 234 Overcrowding

WHEREAS: A school overcrowding crisis is underway in Lower Manhattan that threatens the quality of education for all of our children, and

WHEREAS: Lower Manhattan schools must prepare for even more enrollment growth due to the rapid growth of the residential population of Community Board 1 (CB #1) that has occurred in recent years and is expected to continue in coming years, and

WHEREAS: The number of New Yorkers under 5 years of age has increased more than 25 percent since 2000 (*courtesy New York Magazine March 24, 2008, "Kindergarten Crop" by S. Jhoanna Robledo*), creating an increase in the city's school-age population that, if not addressed with new schools, will create massive overcrowding in the city's public schools, and

WHEREAS: Lower Manhattan is the fastest growing part of New York City, and it is estimated that the population of Lower Manhattan will have increased by over 45% in just two years, between 2006 and 2008, and no new schools have been built or opened in Lower Manhattan since the opening of P.S. 89 in 1998, and

WHEREAS: No new Lower Manhattan schools are now expected to open until September, 2010, and

WHEREAS: As part of the development of Site 5B and 5C, the City of New York promised that a *new* Lower Manhattan school would be opened by September 2008 to alleviate overcrowding due to these two new developments and other new Lower Manhattan construction, and

WHEREAS: This new school, the Beekman Street School, will not open until Sept. 2010, at the earliest, and may be delayed until Sept. 2011, and

WHEREAS: The new school planned for Site 2B, 55 Battery Place, is also not scheduled to open until Sept. 2010, and

WHEREAS: The City of New York has allowed and encouraged many new, large developments in Lower Manhattan that have overstrained the capacity and resources of our Downtown schools P.S. 89, P.S. 150, and P.S. 234, and

WHEREAS: We must ensure that students are not allowed to attend a new school until all potentially hazardous construction has been completed, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 joins the parents and PTAs of P.S. 89, P.S. 150, and P.S. 234 in urging the City to work with Lower Manhattan Schools to find an interim solution to overcrowding caused by this great enrollment growth, and to provide the funds to implement this solution, and to provide a long term solution to address the large increase in the school-age population of Lower Manhattan by funding and building needed new schools, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Any overcrowding solution should keep students within CB #1 and within their neighborhood and not require them to commute outside of their schools' zoning area, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: All proposed solutions within either P.S. 89, P.S. 150 or P.S. 234 must allow our schools to retain educationally essential areas, including their gymnasiums, art rooms, science rooms and computer rooms, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The CB #1 Youth & Education Committee will continue to explore ways to address the overcrowding crisis in Downtown schools and expects to review potential solutions at its meetings in coming months in a collaborative effort with the parents and PTAs of P.S. 89, P.S. 150, and P.S. 234.