

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC/REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 12 IN FAVOR 4 OPPOSED 2 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
PUBLIC VOTE: 1 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 21 IN FAVOR 14 OPPOSED 1 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: Liberty Bond application for Site 19B in BPC and 10 Liberty Street

WHEREAS: Tax exempt Liberty Bonds were created following the 9/11 attack as part of the federal government's effort to revitalize Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The developers of two residential buildings, one at Site 19B in BPC and the other at 10 Liberty Street have applied for Liberty Bonds to finance the construction of their buildings, and

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan real estate market was severely impacted by the events of 9/11 and when combined with the downturn in the economy, we have an extremely difficult environment for building without government assistance, and

WHEREAS: The building at 10 Liberty Street was enthusiastically approved by Community Board #1 on October 23, 2001 and the Community Board has also approved the zoning of Battery Park City's north neighborhood which allows for the residential construction slated for Site 19B, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 would have preferred that additional housing units be set aside for people unable to afford to pay market rate, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 wishes to be supportive of private development initiatives which are critical to the revitalization of Lower Manhattan, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the NYS Housing Finance Agency (HFA) approve the Liberty Bond applications put forth by Site 19B in Battery Park City and 10 Liberty Street, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 wishes to make clear that this approval should not be considered a precedent and we intend to consider future applications on a case by case basis, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that the HFA and all other funding sources insure that future developments have a greater mix of affordable housing in them.

02res.sept.17

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **1 Broadway, application to enlarge the existing rooftop penthouse**

WHEREAS: The applicant wishes to enlarge the existing penthouse that was added to the Washington Building (1882) in the major remodeling that took place in 1921, and

WHEREAS: The new work would result in a very large, visible and inappropriate existing cooling tower being removed and replaced with a new tower that would set back and not be visible at all, and

WHEREAS: The new penthouse would be 25' high of which 9' would be visible from the front elevation, and

WHEREAS: The committee felt that the architect has addressed the visibility issue well in the Committee's mind by using materials and colors that will greatly reduce the impact of the enlargement, and

WHEREAS: The materials were considered appropriate, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the LPC approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

BOARD VOTE: 21 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **64 N. Moore Street, application to construct a rooftop addition**

WHEREAS: The applicant returned to present as the Committee had requested, and

WHEREAS: The applicant confirmed that his client was prepared to build the new parapet wall from second hand brick as the Committee had requested, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The Committee requested that LPC work with the applicant to reduce the height and bulk of the proposal.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC/REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 17 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
PUBLIC VOTE: 1 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 33 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 3 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **CB #1 recommendations for the redevelopment of the World Trade Center site and Lower Manhattan**

WHEREAS: CB #1 appreciates the efforts of LMDC and the Port Authority on the redevelopment of the World Trade Center site, and

WHEREAS: LMDC has begun to explore alternative plans that expand the scope of redevelopment beyond the 16-acre site to all of Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: Future planning must take into account the public interest and the need to revitalize Lower Manhattan and the rest of New York City, and

WHEREAS: Priorities for redevelopment should be established based on a vision of the future of Lower Manhattan that recognizes and balances the immediate and long-term needs of the community and the needs of the people who live, work, and visit Lower Manhattan, and should not be driven solely by financial or legal obligations, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls on LMDC and the Port Authority to articulate a clear and compelling vision for the redevelopment of Lower Manhattan. Priorities should be based on the needs and concerns of all “stakeholders” who have a vested interest in the future of the World Trade Center site and Lower Manhattan, especially those who live and work in the community. This vision should respect and build on the history of Lower Manhattan and New York City, honor the memory of those who died on September 11, 2001 and in 1993, incorporate the lessons of these tragedies, and recognize that the site of the World Trade Center has important symbolic value to the entire world. Whatever is built should become a well-integrated and inspirational part of the adjacent neighborhoods and the fabric of New York City.

LMDC must ensure that redevelopment will revitalize all of Lower Manhattan, not just the 16-acre site and immediate vicinity. A primary goal should be development of a vibrant economy and a livable and dynamic mixed-use community. This should include office and residential buildings, affordable housing, educational facilities, schools that can

accommodate local children, ample outdoor recreational space and parks, libraries and museums, a performing arts and cultural center, a full-service community center, street-level retail space, and an improved and revitalized waterfront, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

CB #1 proposes the following priorities for programming and planning the redevelopment of the World Trade Center site and all of Lower Manhattan.

1. Infrastructure and Transportation

Prompt redevelopment and improvement of the transportation and communication infrastructure to meet both immediate and future needs are crucial to the survival and future growth of Lower Manhattan. The capacity of Lower Manhattan to remain a world-class business and residential center, as well as a tourist and visitor destination, depends on the rapid restoration and improvement of the infrastructure. All current and future Federal funds set aside for improvements to the transportation system should be used exclusively in Lower Manhattan. The infrastructure should include:

- An Intermodal Transportation Hub that connects New York City subways and commuter rail lines. All underground transportation in Lower Manhattan should connect to a major terminal at or near Fulton Street and Broadway.
- Access to NYC and Newark airports via public transit to benefit workers, visitors, and residents.
- A Managed Street Plan to address effectively the integration of all Lower Manhattan neighborhoods, reduce pollution, alleviate congestion, and ensure accessibility and livability for residents, workers, and visitors without adversely affecting surrounding neighborhoods. A full assessment of our historic streets along with current and future pedestrian and vehicular patterns must lead to recommendations for the following improvements:
 - ◆ *East/West connections* providing a free flow from river to river, including connections through the World Trade Center site.
 - ◆ *A parking plan* that meets the needs of residents, visitors, and local businesses without detracting from the character of Lower Manhattan or the pedestrian experience.
 - ◆ *Movement and staging of emergency and service vehicles* to serve the safety needs of everyone.
 - ◆ *An underground garage* that can accommodate the large number of tourist and commuters busses in Lower Manhattan.

- A Redesigned West Street that improves the connection between Battery Park City and the rest of Lower Manhattan. Any proposed changes to West Street should be evaluated carefully in terms of cost, potential benefits, and disruption to adjacent communities, particularly Battery Park City.
- A Redundant Communication Infrastructure that provides a level of reliability superior to that of any other central business district. The current underground fiber-optic network, which is one of the densest in the country, should be rebuilt as a redundant ring and combined with a new wireless contingency system.
- The Second Avenue Subway as part of a citywide integrated transportation plan. This project should remain a citywide priority and be funded separately.

2. **Economic Core of Lower Manhattan**

As the third largest central business district in America, Lower Manhattan is the global leader in capital markets and financial services and serves as an economic engine for the entire northeastern United States. The “Wall Street” brand is one of the strongest in the world and the financial services industry is one of the largest revenue sources of New York City. Leveraging and growing these historic strengths is key to revitalizing Lower Manhattan. The redevelopment of the World Trade Center site and vicinity must focus on rebuilding and growing the financial district. Every effort should also be made to attract and support a wider range of industries.

Particular attention should be focused on the retention, stabilization, and attraction of small and medium-sized businesses that support and are an integral part of the residential and larger business community. Every effort should be made to ensure the economic vitality of a broad range of businesses throughout the rebuilding process.

3. **Revitalizing Community**

New facilities and additional services will attract and retain residents and businesses and make Lower Manhattan a far more attractive and exciting place to live, work, and visit.

- A Performing Arts Complex and Cultural Center should contain several theatres and performance spaces of varying sizes and uses – small and large, indoors and outdoors. The Center should showcase a wide range of local, national, and international artists and performing art organizations and offer free as well as paid events. Major institutions as well as smaller, local cultural organizations should have access to these spaces.

- A Downtown Community Center should offer programs for residents and workers in Lower Manhattan, including a wide variety of cultural, recreational, and educational programs, lectures, and performances. This facility should offer activities for those of all ages during the day, evening, and weekends.
- Schools should be adequate for the needs of all Lower Manhattan neighborhoods. The demand that will be met by the new Millennium High School demonstrates the need to have a comprehensive plan to serve all the children of Lower Manhattan. Advanced planning for the educational needs of current and future residents of all ages is vital and cost-effective.
- Retail options and a diverse mix of retailers are essential to the vitality and economic life of the community. Retail development should address community concerns and focus on creating ground floor/street level retail, including large and small retailers that meet the needs of those who live, work, and visit Lower Manhattan. We must also do everything possible to support existing retailers.
- Residential Development should be an integral part of any plan for the redevelopment of Lower Manhattan and must be accompanied by adequate supporting services, including schools, parks, recreational areas, and cultural facilities. Building construction should not unreasonably displace current residents, for example those residing at Liberty and Cedar Streets. Plans should also provide for housing that is affordable to those who work in the neighborhood, including police, fire, and other emergency service personnel, teachers and school system employees.

4. The World Trade Center Site

The World Trade Center site is the center of Lower Manhattan and an important link to all the surrounding neighborhoods. CB #1 believes that planning for the World Trade Center site should include:

- A Memorial that serves as a symbol of hope and remembrance. The memorial should be developed through an open design competition, reflect the history and future of the site, and be integrated into the community.
- World-Class Design and Architecture by multiple architects developed through design competitions open to architects worldwide. The designs individually and as a whole must reflect our greatest notions of urban life. They must not only function harmoniously, but be sufficiently imaginative to serve as a legacy for future generations. The architecture should be deft in bulk and massing; it should reweave our glorious skyline and demonstrate our highest aspirations and hopes for New York City.

- Safety and Security considerations should be addressed in the design program to ensure that new construction meets or exceeds NYC’s fire, building, and safety code and regulations and incorporates adequate measures for emergency evacuation and security. For example, street level security measures should be integrated into building design to avoid the future need for barriers that detract from design and impede access as well as incorporating evacuation protocols.
- Environmentally Responsible Development should be a guiding principle in the redevelopment of Lower Manhattan to support a sustainable urban community. All development should be subject to environmental review procedures and comply with all building codes. In addition, new buildings and all renovations should be required to take full advantage of new “green” technologies to create healthier habitats, limit consumption of fossil fuels, and reduce toxic emissions and particulates. Measures should be adopted to mitigate the effects of construction on nearby residents and businesses. At a minimum, all construction vehicles and equipment should have catalytic converters and should use low-sulfur fuel.

5. Waterfront Revitalization and Access

The East River Waterfront Plan developed by Community Board # 1 and the Alliance for Downtown New York should be integrated into any redevelopment plan. This calls for renovation of several dilapidated piers, more open space, a sweeping Eastside park, and an elevated walkway along the East River. Plans for the Hudson River Park, the waterfront at Battery Park and Governors Island must also be fully integrated with development of the Eastside waterfront, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

There should be ongoing and continued community involvement and input, and that LMDC and Port Authority should seek CB #1’s review at all stages of planning and development for the World Trade Center site and Lower Manhattan.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC/TRANSPORTATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

BOARD VOTE: 26 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **Construction of new fan plants at West Broadway and Park Place and at Albany and Washington Street for the 1/9 line**

WHEREAS: The MTA presented to CB #1 plans for the construction of two new fan (vents) plants for the 1/9 line, the first to be located at Albany Street and Greenwich Street and the second to be located at Park Place and West Broadway, and

WHEREAS: These fan plants are necessary for fresh air circulation on the recently restored 1/9 line and for the future 1/9 station at the World Trade Center Site, and

WHEREAS: These major construction projects which will be approximately 22 months in duration and will cause some disruption to the surrounding neighborhood. The MTA has agreed to work closely with the Community Board residents and businesses to schedule construction so as to cause as little disturbance as possible, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has no objection to the fan plants construction at Park Place and West Broadway and at Albany and Greenwich Streets.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 21 IN FAVOR 1 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **321 Greenwich, application to install a rooftop addition**

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to construct a penthouse addition, of which the front facade will be almost entirely glass, with lead-coated copper mullions, and the new sidewalls will be of stucco and masonry, and

WHEREAS: The applicant did not have a sample of the type of brick he intends to use on the northern (visible) sidewall, and

WHEREAS: The committee believes that the color of the new northern sidewall elevation should be an exact match with the existing sidewall, the texture of the new material being sufficient to distinguish the new from the original, and

WHEREAS: Most of the new construction will be set back 18 feet from the existing eastern facade, and the rest will set back a total of 26 feet, all behind the existing five-foot high parapet, and

WHEREAS: Nevertheless, the addition will be highly visible in the head-on perspective from Washington Market Park, and

WHEREAS: The program also calls for a new elevator bulkhead and a new chimney stack, the latter enormously visible, and

WHEREAS: The committee urges the new chimney stack to be moved as far west as possible, a task the applicant stated is quite feasible, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: The committee recommends that the LPC approve this application, after reviewing the new brickwork and specifying the relocation of the new chimneystack.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 IN FAVOR 2 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 21 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **395 Broadway, application to install new windows and exterior grill
for a/c unit**

WHEREAS: The applicant wishes to install a new window, and add an air conditioner grill to the north of the window, in the building's rear facade, and

WHEREAS: This lot-line facade is punctured with many and various penetrations, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the Landmarks Preservation Commission has already given its tacit approval to this proposal, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Since this is such a minor request, the committee recommends LPC approval of this application, but wishes to note that such approval may set an unfortunate precedent for future requests from other occupants of the building.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE : 7 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 25 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **87-89 Chambers Street, application to install new storefront,
projecting box signage and awning**

WHEREAS: The applicant is creating a master plan for both storefronts, and is restoring the storefronts to their original condition, and

WHEREAS: The buildings extend through the block to Reade Street, and both Chambers Street and Reade Street facades will match, with black painted metal and cast iron, and

WHEREAS: The committee does not think the extensive use of anodized metal infill within the new storefronts matches the quality of the rest of the program, and

WHEREAS: The existing flagpole will remain, but all other current signs will be removed, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has designed new signage to consist of four-inch high logos on the awning skirts and eight-inch raised letters on a signboard across the storefronts, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 applauds the efforts to restore these buildings, provided that the anodized metal is replaced with wood.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

BOARD VOTE: 20 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 3 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **388 Broadway, application to construct two rooftop additions and to install a door at the ground floor of the Cortlandt Alley facade**

WHEREAS: Since it is the committee's understanding that the Landmarks Preservation Commission has already ruled on the issue of the two rooftop additions as of "no effect," the committee will deal with that part of the application only if so instructed by the LPC, and

WHEREAS: 388 Broadway – including its Cortlandt Street facade – is a relatively significant building within the Tribeca East Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The applicant returned to the committee with some of the materials missing from his earlier presentation, but still missing a coherent design, and

WHEREAS: Specifically, what design program is apparent makes no sense within the building's historic context, *viz.* proposed art deco-style lights, and

WHEREAS: As before, and despite the modesty of this part of the application, elements for which the applicant requests approval have yet to be designed, *viz.* the new molding across and beyond the proposed doorway, and

WHEREAS: The committee reiterates that an exterior staircase already added to the Cortlandt Street frontage, apparently within the last two years, seems never to have been reviewed by the LPC, and

WHEREAS: Without wishing to demean the applicant, the committee suggests that he grasp the application process firmly and articulate his ideas, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The committee recommends that the LPC reject this application, and require a master plan for the Cortlandt Street facade (not all of which has to be built) before further consideration of this proposal, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The LPC looks into the legality of the new, existing staircase on Cortlandt Alley.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

BOARD VOTE: 20 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 3 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED

RE: **127 Hudson Street, application for a new storefront and ramp for handicapped access**

WHEREAS: This application to modify 127 Hudson Street for the programmatic desires of Citibank, its new tenant, calls for the addition of a new exterior handicapped-accessible ramp, facade color changes, and signage, and

WHEREAS: The assemblage of derelict buildings – ruins, really – restored and reconstructed a few years ago as “The Northmoore,” under the guidance of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, includes 127 Hudson Street, and is a significant addition to the Tribeca West Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The success of original LPC decisions and approval is evident in the success of the finished product, and

WHEREAS: The committee objects to virtually every element of the proposed alterations, to a space that has not even been occupied yet since the reconstruction, and

WHEREAS: Specifically,

- There is no need for the cumbersome exterior ramp, since the architect agrees that plenty of room exists for an interior A.D.A.-accessible lift, and
- The trim colors should be not be black, but rather the “Tribeca green” the LPC specified previously and that trims this facade currently, and
- The proposed signage should be radically changed, so as not to obscure the beautiful transom glass and arches, which work so harmoniously with the other two storefronts on the block. This change might include painted window signage or some other contextual solution, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The committee recommends that the LPC reject this application as presently constituted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 21 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **415 Broadway, application to install flag pole**

WHEREAS: The applicant did not appear before the committee, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The Landmarks Preservation Commission holds over this application until the applicant makes its presentation before the Landmarks Committee of Community Board #1.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 27 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 1 RECUSED

RE: **313 Church Street, liquor license application for M. Dee Inc.**

WHEREAS: The applicant will operate a restaurant for 73 people with 23 tables and 60 seats which will include a bar not to exceed 14 seats, and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation will be noon until 11:30 PM Sunday – Thursday and noon until 12:30 AM Friday-Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not have live music, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to locate the ventilation system as not to interfere with the surrounding buildings, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have all architectural plans signed off by the Department of Buildings and a new issued Certificate of Occupancy issued prior to submission to the SLA, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not be seeking a sidewalk café or a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have an indoor refrigerated garbage area, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the SLA approve a liquor license for M. Dee Inc. at 313 Church Street, for two years with the above agreed upon conditions of operation to be included in the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 26 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **Security closure of Park Row to vehicles**

WHEREAS: The street closures put into effect on the periphery of Police Headquarters following 9/11 have greatly inconvenienced local residents and commuters, and

WHEREAS: Park Row has been an important local thoroughfare accommodating TA buses as well as local and commuter traffic, and

WHEREAS: The City cannot expect Lower Manhattan communities to recover and thrive in an environment which makes local transportation so difficult, and

WHEREAS: Over a year has passed since the 9/11 tragedy and while the vast majority of streets closed due to that event have long since re-opened, Park Row and other streets surrounding Police Headquarters have not, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls on the NYPD and the City of NY to re-open Park Row, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the City sponsor a public hearing on the Park Row street closure and on any and all futures street closure under consideration.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
PUBLIC VOTE: 2 IN FAVOR 0 OPPOSED 0 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED
BOARD VOTE: 22 IN FAVOR 4 OPPOSED 4 ABSTAINED 0 RECUSED

RE: **LMDC residential grant and BPC grant program**

WHEREAS: The residents of Battery Park City were displaced from their homes by the events of 9/11/01 and for months lacked vital services and had limited access to their neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: The Battery Park City Authority, recognizing the severity of the impact of 9/11/01 on its residents, did announce on 2/11/02 a Disaster Relief Package for residents who suffered through that time, and

WHEREAS: The LMDC has announced and implemented a Residential Grant Program solely to retain and attract residents to Battery Park City. Both the LMDC and the BPCA acknowledge that their respective programs have different objectives and are not in conflict with each other, and

WHEREAS: We recognize the fine work of the BPCA, LMDC, Governor Pataki and Speaker Sheldon Silver in crafting these programs. Only the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York has withheld approval of the Battery Park City Authority's program showing a lack of concern for the residents of Battery Park City, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 calls upon the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York to immediately approve the Disaster Relief Program announced by the Battery Park City Authority, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Both the LMDC and the Battery Park City Disaster Relief Program should be implemented and be mutually exclusive.