

**§ 1-06 Summary of Written and Oral Comments Received
Public Hearing June 2, 2011**

No.	Name	Affiliation	Comments
1.	Ted Partin	Residential Tenant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service of the application upon all affected parties places an undue burden on tenants seeking coverage.
2.	Rachel Fuentes	East Williamsburg/ Bushwick Loft Tenants Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coverage applications should be excluded from the newly proposed service requirements. • Loft Board should provide an instruction sheet to tenants for filing coverage applications. • Loft Board should provide a summary of the changes made to the application process for those tenants who are already familiar with the existing rules, and disseminate the summary at locations that are likely to reach potential applicants. • Loft Board should provide a different avenue than serving notice of the application for an early point of contact between the Loft Board and potential IMD tenants. • Registration forms should include an informational sheet that must be served on all tenants in the building.
3.	Viktoriya Kravetz	East Williamsburg/ Bushwick Loft Tenants Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service of applications by the applicants will make it more expensive for tenants to apply for coverage. • Receiving a letter that is addressed from the Loft Board is likely to give more legitimacy and urgency to an application than would receiving a neighbor's letter to a joint mailbox. • Service requirements will make tenants' first exposure to the Loft Law more complicated and legalistic.
4.	Doreen Gallo	DUMBO Neighborhood Alliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loft Board should maintain responsibility for service of tenants' coverage applications. • Loft tenants already have issues with their privacy. • Tenants and owners will pay more attention to a notice from the NYC Loft Board, rather than a tenant in the building. • There should be more community outreach in all 5 boroughs by the City Council members.
5.	Tony Jones	Residential Tenant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service only by mail places undue administrative burden and expense on tenants. • The proposed service requirements create a disincentive to list all affected parties on the application. • Loft Board should provide easily accessible guidance on how to complete and serve an application.
6.	Bill Nogosik	Residential Tenant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tenants do not have the specialized knowledge of service procedures. • Loft Board is an official agency which is more likely to get proper response. • Loft Board should use the "KISS" approach to the application process - Keep it simple son – so that everyone has an opportunity to apply.
7.	Evan Feldman	North Brooklyn Tenant Task	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes shifting service requirements onto the applicant.

		Force	
8.	Ryan Kuonen	Neighbors Allied for Good Growth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes shifting service requirements onto the applicant because Loft Board mail looks more official to loft tenants, who are already wary of each other.
9.	Megan Jolly	Residential Tenant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that service is an administrative burden. She filed a coverage application 5 minutes before the Loft Board closed, pursuant to a Housing Court case. If she had to serve each affected party prior to filing with the Loft Board, would have missed her Housing Court deadline.