Dear Mr. Ettricks,

The current proposal to remove !0 West End Ave and neighboring buildings from District 6 and put them into District 3 is of great concern to the citizens of this area.

I urge you and the city council to keep us within the existing district parameters. Any changes will be harmful, They will detract from our ability to influence major development that will occur directly across the street from our building at the Riverside Center, This project entails major changes to our neighborhood and we must continue to have a voice.

sincerely, Amy Jalbert

From:	<u>Sarin, Aradhana</u>
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Cc:	; <u>"Sharma, Sunny"</u>
Subject:	Re-Districting in upper west side
Date:	Saturday, January 05, 2013 1:07:49 PM

Hello Jonathan,

Wish you a Happy new year!

I am writing to you as a resident at 10 West End Avenue (@59th street and 11th Avenue). I have been informed recently of the City Council's re-districting of the area where my building falls.

I would like to express my discontent with this proposal and think that it is unfair. I and all the residents at 10 West End consider ourselves to be part of the Upper West Side community and want to remain connected and influential to decisions that are made regarding developments around our building, which for some reason fall in a different district (District 6). I support Council speaker Christine Quinn's decision to stop this and agree that it is unfair and this division of district lines is random and without basis.

I hope that you will consider the views of the residents in this area and re-evaluate your decision to re-district and keep 10 West End Avenue building within District 6.

Thank you,

Aradhana Sarin, M.D. Resident, 10 West End Avenue

Hearings

From: Sent: To: Subject: Coalition for a Livable West Side Tuesday, January 08, 2013 9:09 AM Hearings Re districting

The Coalition for a Livable West Side is opposed to carving out a 2-block section - WEA to Amsterdam Avenue, West 59th Street to West 61st Street from District 6. The Riverside Center development - a new development that will take 15 years to complete and should not be included in District 6.

Thank you, Batya Lewton President

From:	<u>Nam, Brian</u>
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	Re-District
Date:	Friday, January 04, 2013 5:38:17 PM
Attachments:	image001.png
Importance:	High

Hi Jonathan,

My name is Brian Nam and I am a resident of 10 West End building at 10 W. End Ave, New York NY 10023. I've came across the news that our location will be re-district to District 3 from District 6. I strongly discontent with this proposal and really do not understand the purpose or the benefit for the public or the city by doing this. Our building is parallel to the ongoing development site across the street which is considered as District 6. If we were to be re-district, under common sense, the development site should be re-district as well. Can you please give me background of why this is being done?

Brian Nam, CPA I Audit Manager



WeiserMazars LLP 135 West 50th Street New York, NY 10020

(Email) www.weisermazars.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this communication may be privileged, confidential and protected from use and disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, or responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the message

and deleting it from your computer. Thank you for your cooperation. WeiserMazars LLP

Please consider the environment before printing this document

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Dear Mr. Ettricks,

I am writing to you ask that you reconsider our proposed districting. Rather than district 6, we are being considered for district 3 which would put us in a completely different district as our neighbors. The Riverside South development is currently under construction across the street from us and if we were put into district 3, it would put us at a distinct disadvantage as we would not have any influence in what is happening in our neighborhood.

Please keep us in district 6 along with the rest of the Upper West Side.

Thank you.

Brian Register 10 West End Avenue, New York, NY 10023

www.bryanregister.com

From:	Carol Tizes
То:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	City Council Re-Districting of 10WEA
Date:	Saturday, January 05, 2013 8:46:45 AM

We strenuously object to the proposed redistricting of 10 West End Avenue. 10 WEA continues to belong in District 6 with other members of the 10023 zip code family. Any change (i.e. to District 3) smacks strongly of gerrymandering and would distort the concept of districting. By determining who gains and who loses by this proposed measure of expedience, it is clear that this measure would further *inflate the strength of special interests.*

Therefore, we are appreciative that City Council Speaker Christine Quinn and other Council members have been supportive of efforts to stop the unfair process that would have the Districting Commission restructure district lines to our detriment.

Ettricks, Jonathan

From: Sent: To: Subject:

Friday, January 04, 2013 3:57 PM Ettricks, Jonathan The 10 West End Avenunue Condominium - City Council Re-

Dear Jonathan, I heard that the city is reviewing the district where our condominium belongs to and moving our building from district 6 to district 3. It is a very unfortunate diecision and I cannot understand why is our building being remove while the new development just accross the street to the west is still included in district 6. Removing our building would leave us with no voice on the issues that directly affect the community we live in. Please reconsider this arbitrary decision.

David Costa

New York, NY 10023 Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

NYC Districting Commission

Attn: Jonathan Ettricks

Dear Jonathan,

As a resident of 10 West end ave building I would like to object the proposal to redistrict our building and selected neighboring buildings from District 6 (which covers the Upper West Side) and push us into District 3 (which runs from Hell's Kitchen all the way down to Canal St.) while, the not even developed buildings, across the street will remain in District 6. As a resident of the building I would like to participate in the decisions that impact my direct neighborhood. Moving our building in the other district would take away our ability to improve our neighborhood. I hope this e-mail will help you to reconsider your prior decision in the upcoming hearing this Monday.

Sincerely,

--

David J. Poiman DMD, FAGD New York Center for Esthetic & Laser Dentistry 57 west 57 st. Suite 605 New York, NY 10019 www.nylaserdentist.com ph. (212)371-1414 Dear Mr. Ettricks,

I am a resident at 10 West Avenue, Apt. 12H and I wanted to take this opportunity to register my disappointment and discontent with the new proposal for the redrawn district lines effecting District 6 area (which covers the Upper West Side).

As a resident of 10 West End Avenue, I am appalled that you would exclude the 10WEA building from District 6, and the unfair treatment to our building. I cannot find a reason to explain why a building located at the beginning of West End Avenue (between streets 59th and 60th) will be excluded from District 6.

I would appreciate your immediate reconsideration of this unfair and illogical proposition and would implore you to keep this building in it's current District (D #6).

All the best,

Francisco Sempere

10 West End Ave,

New York, NY 10023

This e-mail and/or attachments have been prepared and issued by

This message and its contents is not and should not be considered to be a commitment of to provide financing, deliver any service or to provide any other type of undertaking of any kind. The information provided in this communication is for discussion purposes and is not binding on This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail and any attachments from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version. This message is provided for informational purposes and should not be construed as a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments.

Dear Mr. Ettricks,

I am a resident at 10 West Avenue, Apt. and I wanted to take this opportunity to register my disappointment and discontent with the new proposal for the unfairly redrawn district lines, effecting District 6 area (which covers the Upper West Side).

As a resident of 10 West End Avenue, I am appalled that you would exclude our building. I will have to put up with all the noise pollution and stress of the construction that will take place in the Riverside Center over the next 5 years and would not be able to express my point of view with regards what is happening across the street from my apartment.

I would appreciate your immediate reconsideration of this unfair and illogical proposition and would implore you to include 10 West Avenue within the boundaries of the new Riverside Development.

Yours sincerely

Ignacio Campillo

10 West End Ave,

New York, NY 10023

This e-mail and/or attachments have been prepared and issued by

This message and its contents is not and should
not be considered to be a commitment of to provide financing, deliver any
service or to provide any other type of undertaking of any kind. The information
provided in this communication is for discussion purposes and is not binding on
This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the
individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate,
distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you
have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail and any attachments from
your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as
information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete,
or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or
omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail
transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version. This
message is provided for informational purposes and should not be construed as a
solicitation or offer to buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments.

From:	
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	Redistricting of 10 WEA!!
Date:	Monday, January 07, 2013 2:21:15 PM

Please put 10 West End Avenue (59th to 60th St and WEA) and area back in District 6. For reasons unknown, it is proposed to be moved to District 3.

It makes no sense to carve this small area out of District 6 when the areas surrounding this carved out piece extend to 57th and 58th Street in front and back of building - and remain in District 6.

Construction that will be on going for years across the street remains in District 6. We must remain in the same District. District 6.

Thank you for your help! Jane Russell -10 West End Ave 917-

Sent from my iPhone

From:	<u>JEE EUN LIM</u>
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	Re-district of district 6
Date:	Friday, January 04, 2013 7:39:29 PM

I am a unit owner at 10 W End Ave, New York NY 10023. I discontent with City's recent re-district in my area. It really was not my intent to be another district when I was purchasing the unit here. It almost appears that couple of blocks were purposely excluded from District 6 for some reason. Could you kindly explain the background of this re-district effort?

Thank you.

Ettricks, Jonathan

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bellantoni, Karen < Friday, January 04, 2013 3:55 PM Ettricks, Jonathan

Dear Jonathan Ettricks,

We just received notice of the proposed district change for our building, it's absurd to move buildings that are clearly on the UWS and move them to another district. I have been a resident of the UWS for 25 years and to even acknowledge a building on West End Avenue not be included in District 6 is unheard of until now.

Thank goodness for Christine Quinn speaking out, how can you separate the streets, riverside south should be considered New Jersey.

Best, Karen

From:	Kosanna Poon
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Cc:	; Eamon Early; Kosanna Poon
Subject:	NYC District Line Proposal
Date:	Sunday, January 06, 2013 9:20:46 PM

Jonathan,

I am a resident and owner in the 10 West End Avenue building. I am writing in response to a letter I received from my building management informing me of the recent city re-districting proposals. Looking at the proposed re-districting (http://209.156.236.68/dist/nycdistricting.html), it is unclear to me why the city blocks from 58th street to 61st street between Amsterdam and West End Ave are singled out and are proposed to be excluded from the Upper West Side district, while these same blocks west of West End and east of Amsterdam remain in UWS district. It seems to me that it only makes sense to draw this district line separating District 3 and 6 straight across to include these blocks in District 6.

In addition, as you may be aware, currently the Riverside Center buildings are in progress. My understanding is that this development is envisaged to be a giant complex including residence, hotel, school, retail, car dealership, supermarket, etc. This re-districting proposal is detrimental to my building as the Riverside Center buildings being developed across the street would remain in District 6 while we would not, and thus we would lose our governmental voice to impact the development there.

I will be unable to attend the meeting tomorrow evening but I hope that you understand gravity of the situation for those of us who live on these blocks (58th to 61st; Amsterdam to WEA) and reconsider the re-districting proposal.

Thank you for your attention, --Kosanna Poon 10 West End Ave.

From:	Lauren Putrino
То:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	NYC Districting Commission - Distric 6
Date:	Monday, January 07, 2013 10:34:10 AM

Dear Mr. Jonathan Ettricks,

I am currently a resident at 10 West End Ave (Between 59th and 60th) I am writing to ask that you please add our building into district 6. It seems odd to just cut our building out when the District line includes 57th and 58th. As you know the Riverside Center buildings being developed across the street would remain in District 6 while we would not, and thus we would lose our governmental voice to impact the development there. The developers have already started to make changes, swapping out architects and the esthetic look of some of the originally planned buildings. In addition it would cut us off from having access to the first new public school to be built in the neighborhood in decades, P.S./I.S. 342.

Thank you for you consideration Lauren Putrino

LEWIS S SMOLER, DMD, AND CAROL NOVAK, CSW

10 WEST END AVENUE APARTMENT 4 B NEW YORK, NY 10023

NYC Commission on Redistricting 253 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, NY 10007 Tuesday, January 8, 2013

To: jettricks@districting.nyc.gov

Attention: Jonathan Ettricks

Subject: Redistricting of 10 West End Avenue, Condominium An augmented reiteration of my three minute presentation to your Commission on Monday evening 1/7/2013

Dear Commission members.

I do not envy your commission's redistricting task. I want to thank all of you for the difficult work that you must perform.

I just found out about redistricting yesterday from from an e-mail received from our managing agent after returning from an out of state trip. Having been on the Condo Board for the last year during the period the hearings had started, I was surprised to hear about them for the first time on Saturday past.

That said, I felt it very important to attend tonight and make my thoughts known to you:

- I purchased my fourth floor i bedroom apartment in 2007 with the understanding that it was located in a District called the "West Side." My apartment is at 10 West End Avenue between 59th and 60th Streets.
- 2. I was told that across the street was an eight acre parking lot that was was zoned for a four story TV Studio building and would be built by Excell.

3. I bought a unit on the fourth floor so we could have a view of the Hudson River from the apartment which is on the North West corner of the building and, would be where my wife and I could live into very old age, the building was designed according to ADA requirements. I am seventy-five years old. The value the apartment represents my children's patrimony.

First the City rezoned the lot across the street and is now allowing high rise buildings to be built which will block our view and sunlight. This construction has commenced on what is now the third largest construction project, exceeded in size only by the WTC site and the Rail Yards Project.

- 3. Now you plan to take our building out of the District 6 ("West Side") and put us into District 3 which puts us into the area next to us which is the largest collection of automobile dealerships and garages in Manhattan, including BMW, VW, Mini, Lexus, Land Rover, Mercedes Benz, etc., as well as a large Mini Storage building and many other unrelated commercial enterprises that do not offer any amenities to people living in our area.
- 4. We will no longer have a a right to input as to how the construction project across is being handled--it is only eighty feet away.
- 5. By moving the District boundary one city block, you are removing us from District 6 which has a sense of commonality, cohesiveness of interests, a neighborhood that makes sense, and places us in a district, one of the largest in Manhattan, with which we have nothing in common.
- 6.
- 7. The City has, by re-zoning the construction site across the street and will, by re-districting our building, destroy a large portion of the intrinsic value of the largest investment I have every in my life, made.

If you stood in front and faced 10 West End Avenue, a beautiful new (built in 2007) 34 story building, and looked to your left, you would see our neighborhood as an integrated, sensible, understandable whole. That is the District we now belong in. Just to your right is John Jay College's new building and across the street, the Con Ed Power Plant, the beautiful old IRT Power Facility, a large Beaux Art building which some day will be landmarked and be put to use in the way Faneuil Hall in Boston is now configured. Just beyond, there are all the automobile dealerships, and garages in Manhattan, as well as hardware/construction supply businesses. It makes no sense transferring our building part of District 3. It belongs where it is, District 6.

If your concern is balancing population, be aware that the construction project across from us will not be completed until 2021. The Rail Yards Project, which is in District 3 will be ready in only a few years and will add the population you might be looking for in order to find the balance your Commission is mandated to attain. Putting our building into District 3 would be like placing a redwood tree in the middle of the pine barrens. We would truly be displaced.

Please reconsider your proposed re-districting of 10 West End Avenue Condominium--leave it where it is, in District 6.

Sincerely yours,

Lewis S. Smoler, DMD

Carol Novak, CSW

Tuesday, January 8, 201310 West End Avenue, 4B New York, NY 10023 District 6

loosemolar@msn.com

carolnovak16@hotmail.com

I

Mr. Ettricks,

Good afternoon.

I am a homeowner at the 10 West End Avenue Condominium (59th and West End). I am writing with regard to the proposed redistricting plans. I am concerned that according to the proposed plan, our building will no longer belong to the Upper West (District 6). Furthermore, since the Riverside Center buildings being developed just across the street from our building will remain in District 6, I will be unfairly losing my governmental voice in influencing this development as a result of the redistricting plan. I hope that the Districting Commission will take this into consideration and retain our building in District 6.

Regards,

Marisol A Im

New York, NY 10018

Phor	ie
Fax	
Mobi	le
Ema	

Protect our environment - please only print this if you have to!

This E-mail is confidential. It may also be legally privileged. If you are not the addressee you may not copy, forward, disclose or use any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please delete it and all copies from your system and notify the sender immediately by return E-mail.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be timely, secure,

error or virus-free. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions.

SAVE PAPER - THINK BEFORE YOU PRINT!

Dear Mr. Ettricks,

I am concerned about the redistricting proposals. My building, 10 West End Ave., would be switched from District 6 to District 3. Does this mean that children in our building would have to go to school in District 3?

Thanks for the information.

Marta Black 10 West End Ave.

Dear Mr Ettricks

I am a resident and owner at 10 West End Avenue and I am deeply disturbed by your new plans to redistrict our building. We bought off plan in 2006/2007 and at that point you decided to re-zone our building so that it was longer possible for our children to attend **and the point you decided to re-zone our**, which is known to be the best Public School in the area. Our only option within the public sector was which is effectively deregulated.

10 West End Avenue were very active in discussions with the developers at the Riverside complex and effectively lobbied for a school in our district. Clearly this was in reference to the proposed plan to increase the residential buildings at the Riverside complex, but it was also in response to residents at 10 West End Avenue having no option other than to consider private education.

I urge you to please to re-consider the re-districting proposal and allow our children to attend a decent school that they are zoned for.

Yours sincerely

Nicole Worth 10 West End Ave,

Sent from my iPad

Hearings

From: Sent: To: Subject:

Steven Gavios Friday, January 04, 2013 3:42 PM Hearings; Ettricks, Jonathan City Council Redeistricting

Dear Mr. Ettricks,

I recently learned that there has been a proposal to re-district District 6 of the City Council, which would basically carve out my building the street from us would remain in District 6. I'm not sure why this arbitrary line was proposed, but it would be very awkward for us to be working with one Council Member while everyone around us works with another. This is particularly true as Riverside Center is currently being developed, and we have had an important community role in this regard throughout this process. It would seem to me that either 59th Street or 57th Street all the way across is a much more natural boundary line for District 6.

Please do whatever you can to let us stay in District 6 with our neighbors, and let us know if there's anything that we can do to further the cause.

This note is meant for the Manhattan hearing of the NYC Districting Commission to be held on January 7, 2013.

Thank you very much for your help. Steven Gavios

From:	Tige Stading
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Cc:	Brian Younger
Subject:	District 6
Date:	Friday, January 04, 2013 4:46:19 PM

Please allow 10 West End Avenue to remain in District 6. We need to be allowed to voice our opinions about Riverside Center, which will remain in District 6. Clearly, the approval for Riverside has been a contentious process to date, which is all the more reason that we should have some ability to voice potential concerns over the five new buildings being developed right in front of us.

Thank you,

Tige Stading & Brian Younger 10WEA,

From:	Vincent Deleo
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Date:	Sunday, January 06, 2013 12:08:16 PM

I am wholeheartedly against the plan to remove my building and others from District 6 It would isolate us from important changes in our immediate neighborhood. Please do not allow this to happen.

VINCENT DELEO

10 WEST END AVENUE

NYC 10023

This message and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from printing, copying, forwarding, saving, or otherwise using or relying upon them in any manner. Please notify the sender immediately if you have received this message by mistake and delete it from your system.

From:	<u>Zhi Liu</u>
To:	Ettricks, Jonathan
Subject:	about re-districting 10 West End Ave Building to district 3
Date:	Friday, January 04, 2013 5:51:56 PM

Dear NYC Districting Commission,

I am currently owning an apt in the 10 West End Ave building. I heard that The New York City Council has been undergoing an effort to re-district the City Council districts of NYC. Their original plan had removed 10WEA and selected neighboring buildings from District 6 (which covers the Upper West Side) and pushed us into District 3 (which runs from Hell's Kitchen all the way down to Canal St.).

I also learned that the Riverside Center buildings being developed across the street would remain in District 6 while we would not. I would strongly feel that this action could be harmful and unfair to the residents in our building, as we would lose our governmental voice to impact the development there.

I really appreciate your effort in making the best plan for the whole city. At the same time I sincerely hope that my opinion could be taken into consideration in the re-districiting plan.

Thanks and best regards, Will