

Ethics lights the way to good government

The Ethical Times

A Publication of the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board



Quinn Haisley, Editor

Outside Business Ventures

by
Alex Kipp

Question: I've worked full time at a big City agency for about ten years. One of my work buddies is buying a restaurant. He wants me to join him as his business partner. As a City employee, can we own a restaurant, or is that a conflict of interest? Is this something we can get waiver for?

Answer: Owning a restaurant is not a violation of the conflicts of interest law *per se*, but there are plenty of things to watch out for. First, do you need a waiver? Probably not. Waivers are granted by the Board in cases where a particular interest you want to have or a thing you want to do violates the letter of the conflicts of interest law, but not its spirit. Normally we think of waivers happening when you want to own a business or hold a job with a private entity that does business with the City. Here, unless your proposed restaurant is going to contract with City agencies to cater City events, or is in the middle of some big litigation against the City, it's probably not "doing business" with the City. (Being inspected by the Health Department or paying City taxes normally do not rise to the level of "doing business" with the City.) So, in this case no, you probably don't need a waiver to own the restaurant, as long as neither of you has to communicate with any City agency. However, if your agency has an internal regulation that requires you to report any sort of outside job, you must report this restaurant ownership. Not all agencies have this internal regulation, so check with your boss or your agency counsel to find out.

So, let's assume you either already have reported it to your agency or you don't have to. What are the things to watch out for?

The conflicts of interest law is designed to prevent questions of public servants' integrity that can arise when a public servant's public duties and private interests get mixed together. So, in the most elementary sense, if you want to avoid any conflicts of interest problems, make sure you don't do any mixing of those two worlds. Below, I set forth some examples of how people in circumstances similar to your own have slipped up:

Time and Resources:

Quite simply put, don't use City time or resources to pursue any part of this business venture. No calls on a City phone, no emails on a City computer, no document storage on a City hard

drive, no City photocopying; you get the idea. This is a bright-line rule with no wiggle room, which is to say, making 5,000 copies on a City copier for your restaurant is a lot worse than making 5 copies, but they're both violations. And the larger point to remember is that it's pretty easy to see 5 copies creep up to 5,000 over a short period of time. Hence the zero-tolerance rule.

What about your workplace? That's also a City resource. You should not promote your business to your City subordinates or your City contacts (that is, the private individuals you interface with as a part of your City job). And no menus in the reception area or the break room.

Subordinates:

This is a pretty obvious notion, although we do see violations that turn on this issue from time to time. Your subordinates at your City job are not your personal servants. And while you can tell them what to do, in terms of the work they do for the City, you can't get them to do private work related to your restaurant for you. This means you don't have them run errands for your restaurant, and it also means you don't hire them to wait tables for you, even if they want to. In fact, you can't enter into any financial relationship with a superior or a subordinate. This means if either you or the buddy you want to invest with gets promoted to a supervisory position over the other, one of you is going to have to sell your share or transfer to another City job. It also means that you can't cater private events for people either above you or below in the chain of command at your City agency.

Representing Your Restaurant:

Let's say your restaurant receives a Sanitation summons or a Health Code violation and you want to contest it, or you want to apply for a sidewalk café license. All of these situations will likely require some contact with City agencies. You can't be the person to handle those contacts. If you want to represent your restaurant in its contacts with the City, you need permission from your agency head, and then a waiver from the Conflicts of Interest Board.

That's basically it. As I said, the most elementary rule is put up a wall between your "City job" world and your "private

restaurant" world and keep it there. If some potential for crossover seems unavoidable and you're not sure what to do, give the Legal Advice Unit of the Conflicts of Interest Board a call, and they can confidentially advise you on how to proceed. Call 212-442-1400 between 9am and 5pm, Monday through Friday and ask to speak to the Attorney of the Day. You can also visit the COIB website by going to www.nyc.gov/ethics.

Alex Kipp is Director of Training and Education for the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board

This article originally appeared in The Chief Leader

Recent Enforcement Cases

► The Board fined an HPD Administrative Staff Analyst \$1,250 for participating in a sou-sou savings club with her HPD coworkers. The participants in the sou-sou included two of the Administrative Staff Analyst's HPD subordinates. A sou-sou is an informal saving and loan club where members agree to contribute an equal monetary share at certain intervals to a common fund, forming a pool of money that is then dispersed as a lump sum payment to one designated member each round. The process repeats until everyone in the group receives the lump sum payment.

► A DOE teacher has agreed to pay the Board a \$250 fine for borrowing a total of \$1,500 – \$1,000 in 2007 and \$500 in 2009 – from his superior, an Assistant Principal.

► The Board and DOHMH concluded a joint settlement with a Supervising Public Health Advisor in the Bureau of Health Insurance Services

who made an unauthorized duplicate of an official DOHMH parking placard and altered it so that it appeared that it had not expired, in order to enable him to park his personal vehicle without receiving parking tickets. As a penalty, the Supervising Public Health Advisor agreed to pay a \$1,250 fine to DOHMH and to forfeit accrued annual leave in the amount of \$500, for a total penalty valued at \$1,750.

► The Board and DOHMH concluded a joint settlement with a Supervising Public Health Advisor in the Division of Disease Control, Bureau of STD Prevention and Control, who made an unauthorized duplicate of an official DOHMH parking placard and altered it so that it appeared that it had not expired, in order to enable him to park her personal vehicle without receiving parking tickets. The Supervising Public Health Advisor also used an official City parking placard, to be used exclusively in City vehicles, to park her personal vehicle without receiving parking tickets. She agreed to pay a \$2,000 fine to the Board.

► The Board issued a public warning letter to a Department of Probation Parole Officer for using a DOP vehicle, which he was authorized to use solely as a means of transportation to his City job, on two separate occasions to pick up his daughter at her school and drive her to his home.

► The Board and the DOHMH concluded a joint settlement with a Public Health Sanitarian in the Division of Environmental Health, Bureau of Food Safety and Community Sanitation, who, since he began working at DOHMH, had a second job with each of the firms that provided health care services on Rikers Island, both of those firms having business dealings with DOHMH. Starting in

May 2012, through September 2012, at which time he resigned his second job, the Public Health Sanitarian conducted monthly inspections on behalf of DOHMH in the medical facilities run by his private employer at Rikers Island. For these violations, the Public Health Sanitarian agreed to pay a \$1,500 fine to the Board and a \$2,500 fine to DOHMH, for a total financial penalty of \$4,000.

*Interested in more information?
Get in touch with COIB's Training & Education Unit to arrange a class in Chapter 68 for you and your staff.*

Contact Alex Kipp, Director of Training, at kipp@coib.nyc.gov

**The New York City
Conflicts of Interest Board
2 Lafayette Street, Suite 1010
NYC 10007**

**Phone: 212-442-1400
Fax: 212-442-1407
TDD: 212-442-1443
www.nyc.gov/ethics**

A searchable index of all the COIB Enforcement Dispositions and Advisory Opinions is available courtesy of New York Law School here:

**[http://www.nyls.edu/centers/
harlan scholar centers/
center for new york city law/
cityadmin library](http://www.nyls.edu/centers/harlan_scholar_centers/center_for_new_york_city_law/cityadmin_library)**

