PUE	BLIC BOARD MEETING OF
	CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
	August 8, 2012
	10:00 AM
	40 Rector Street - 2 nd Floor
	New York, NY 10006
	DANIEL D. CHU, ESQ., CHAIR
	JOAN M. THOMPSON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
	PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA:
	1. Call to Order
	2. Adoption of Minutes
	3. Report from the Chair
	4. Report from the Executive Director
	5. Committee Reports
	6. Old Business
	7. New Business
	8. Public Comment

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT WERE:
2	DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID
3	JAMES DONLON, ESQ.
4	YOUNGIK YOON, ESQ.
5	JULES MARTIN, ESQ
6	JANETTE CORTES GOMEZ, ESQ.
7	TOSANO SIMONETTI
8	RUDOLPH LANDIN
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	THE CHAIR: Alright, let's call this meeting
3	to order. The first order of business is the
4	adoption of the July 2012 minutes. Do I hear a
5	motion?
6	BISHOP MITCHELL TAYLOR: I make a motion
	THE CHAIR: Is there a second?
9	MS. JANETTE CORTES-GOMEZ: I second.
10	THE CHAIR: All those in favor of adoption
11	MR. DONLON: I have one correction in the
12	minutes on page 12 and 13. My name is spelled
13	incorrectly, so I'd like to get that corrected.
14	THE CHAIR: Okay. I'm sure there's no
15	objections to that.
16	THE CHAIR: Let's take a vote then. All
18	those in favor of adoption of the July 2012
19	minutes, say "Aye."
20	IN UNISON: Aye.
21	THE CHAIR: Any objections? Any
22	abstentions? The minutes of July 2012 are
23	adopted. I'm going to report now on a recent
24	promotion. On July 27, the Board's search committee
25	composed of six board members representing all
1	three types of board members interviewed four
2	finalists for the position of Deputy Executive
3	Director of Investigations. We had some very

4	good candidates. After very difficult
5	consideration and deliberations, the Board
6	committee did unanimously come to a conclusion
7	and promoted Mr. Denis McCormick to the
8	position of Deputy Executive Director of
9	Investigations. Mr. McCormick replaces the
10	current Deputy Executive Director of
11	Investigations, Laura Edidin who
12	will now be going over to the Administrative
13	Prosecution Unit as its Chief Prosecutor. Let
14	me tell you a little bit about Mr. McCormick.
15	He brings a very substantial amount of
16	managerial and investigative experience. He is
17	a CCRB success story. He's been with the agency
18	for well over 14 years now, and he has pretty
19	much worked up the ranks. He started back in
20	1996 as an investigator, was promoted to
21	Supervisor in 1998, I'm sorry, Assistant
22	Supervisor in 1998. In 2001, he was promoted to
23	Supervisor, and ultimately Manager in 2005.
24	Since 2005, he has been the Manager of
25	investigative team two, and also had the
1	additional duties as the Director of
2	Investigative Policy. Mr. McCormick holds both
3	a bachelor of arts as well as a master's degree

4	in criminal justice from SUNY Albany. And he
5	was also accepted into a thematic study
6	program at John Jay College for those who
7	identify with reading and writing. I want
8	to congratulate Mr. McCormick, and I want to
9	thank him for his many years of service to the
10	agency. And I also want to thank Ms. Edidin,
11	who will now leave and head our APU unit. Since
12	our last board meeting in July, we have hired and
13	we've conducted a lot of interviews for the
14	outstanding vacancies. The vacancies are all
15	posted on our website. Many still remain, but
16	we are in the process of filling those vacancies
17	very expeditiously, and I want to thank the
18	staff for taking time out of their busy
19	schedules to really make a push to get these
20	hires completed. In particular, right now we
21	are still hiring. We're in the final round of
22	hiring for the Deputy Chief Prosecutor for the
23	APU unit, as well as the prosecutorial
24	positions. Since the last board meeting, we
25	have made 13 hires, in terms of new
1	investigators. We've also hired a Policy
2	Analyst and a new member of our MIS unit. I
3	welcome all those people to our agency. I've

4	been informed that we're still recruiting for
5	investigative positions, and I'm informed also
6	that a new training class will begin at the end
7	of August. So, it's likely that we'll have a
8	second training class of additional investigators,
9	as well as the APU prosecutors, as soon as that
10	round of hiring is completed. Also, since our
11	last board meeting in July, the Law Department
12	and the Mayor's Office of Operations have been
13	working on certifying the rules changes that
14	were necessitated by the expansion of the APU.
15	We expect that certification to be completed in
16	September. At that point, the Board will do a
17	final review of the changes based on drafts that
18	we submitted, and we expect that a public
19	hearing will be held sometime in October.
20	Finally, the Board released its annual report.
21	The press release of the report as well as the
22	statistical appendices can be found online. And
23	I'm also informed that with the help of our
24	newly hired policy analyst, the mid-year report
25	is already in the drafting stage, so hopefully
1	we'll get that out in the very near future.
2	Alright, that's my report, and I'm now going to
3	turn the floor over for the report from the

4	Executive Director.
5	MS. THOMPSON: Good morning. The monthly
6	statistics for the month of July, the CCRB
7	received 573 complaints in July 2012. This is
8	39 more complaints than it received in July
9	2011, and a seven percent increase in the month-
LO	to-month complaint activity. Year to date,
L1	complaint activity has decreased by five
L2	percent. From January through July 2012, we
L3	received 3,432 complaints, which is 190 fewer in
L4	the same period last year, when there 3,622.
L5	The board closed 2,996 cases between January and
L6	July of 2012. The substantiation rate is 13
L7	percent, which is six percentage points higher
L8	than the same period in 2011, when the
L9	substantiation rate was seven percent. Year to
20	date, the board substantiated 104 cases, an
21	increase of 27 cases from the 77 cases it
22	substantiated in January to July of 2011.
23	The truncation rate is 65 percent, which
24	is a three-point increase from 2011 when the
25	year to date truncation, (cough) excuse me, when the

1	year to date truncation rate was 62 percent.
2	30 cases were substantiated in July 2012. These
4	cases involved 38 subject offices. Year to
5	date, the CCRB has resolved 221 cases through
6	its mediation program. The number of
7	cases resolved by the Mediation Unit is
8	approximately 21 percent of the total number of
9	cases resolved by the CCRB. The agency's docket
10	at the end of July stood at 2,821 cases. 94
11	percent of our open investigations were filed
12	within the last year, and 62 percent were filed
13	within the first four months of 2012. Of the
14	open cases, 625 are awaiting panel review or 22
15	percent of the docket. 1,945 cases are being
16	investigated, and 251 cases are in the mediation
17	program. By date of incident, 19 cases in the
18	CCRBs open docket are 18 months and older. This
19	0.7 percent of the open docket. One case is on
20	DA hold; seven cases are pending board review,
21	and seven cases were filed months after the date
22	of incident. In three cases, the delay has no
23	apparent justification, and in one case, the
24	officer is on military leave. In June 2012, the
25	Police Department closed 13 substantiated cases.

1	Year to date, the department has closed 122.
2	This is a disciplinary action rate of 80
3	percent. The department did not impose
4	discipline against 24 officers. From January to
5	June of 2012, the department decline to
6	prosecute rate was 11.5 percent.
7	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Joan. Moving on to
8	old business. Are there any committees that
9	have anything to report?
10	BISHOP TAYLOR: Yes, Chair. I have a report
11	on the Outreach Committee. We have successfully
12	completed our second round of graduates from the
13	CCRB Ambassadors Program, and was very
14	successful this year again. And we had, after
15	the spring cohort twenty-five finished, they
16	continued on, did trainings for other youth, the
17	young people that would carry on. And we have
18	the graduation ceremony on August 30.It will be held
19	in Long Island City. Director Thompson will be
20	there. Hopefully, the Chair will be there as well.
21	It's been a really good experience because the kids learn
22	how to de-escalate incidents. They also are involved in
23	training other young people how to interact with
24	police officers and how, and what their rights
25	are, how to posture themselves. And so, it's

1	really been a great program in collaboration
2	with the CCRB. And Dawn Fuentes has done a
3	fabulous job in coordinating all of that. So,
4	we're really excited about that. I think the
5	number this year-Dawn, what's the number that we
6	have graduating for the ambassadors on the $30^{\text{th}}$ ?
7	MS. FUENTES: There are 25.
8	BISHOP TAYLOR: 25, so that's great.
9	THE CHAIR: That's tremendous.
10	BISHOP TAYLOR: And of course, they learn
11	leadership skills and mediation.
12	THE CHAIR: And my question is, I know that
13	some of these people will actually come back and
14	be teachers for the future ambassadors. Is
15	that right?
16	BISHOP TAYLOR: Yes.
17	THE CHAIR: So again, it's peer-on-peer.
18	BISHOP TAYLOR: It's peer-to-peer training, so
19	these 25 will be actively involved and have been
20	involved in training of the young people as well
21	already.
22	THE CHAIR: And I think you've also
23	mentioned to me in the past that a very high
24	percentage of these people end up going on to
25	college.

1	BISHOP TAYLOR: 92 percent.
2	THE CHAIR: That's amazing, that's great.
3	Thank you. Any other committees making reports?
4	Yes, Commissioner Simonetti.
5	MR. SIMONETTI: Joan, the number of cases
6	resolved by mediation, did you say 21 percent?
7	I heard the number 21 percent.
8	MS. THOMPSON: 21 percent of the total
9	number, yes, that's correct.
10	MR. SIMONETTI: Resolved by mediation?
11	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
12	MR. SIMONETTI: Okay, very impressive. One
13	other thing. In the list of 19 cases that are
14	over 18 months old, interestingly to note that
15	only one is caused by a DA hold, which is very
16	surprising because if you look back historically
17	at that list of cases over 18 months, that
18	always comprised the vast majority of the cases.
19	So, just wondering what's happening. Are they
20	getting quicker at getting the cases back to us,
21	or taking the hold off the cases so we can
22	investigate them, which would be good? Just
23	interesting, or are they not taking as many
24	cases? Also, when you look at the list of cases
25	over 18 months old, and if you look at the ones,

1	particularly number 3, 9, 10, 11, and 12, I
2	know those cases were reported upwards of a year
3	after the actual incident occurred. But then if
4	you look at the date of the report, you'll see
5	that one was reported in March of 2011. And the
6	other cases were reported in January of 2011,
7	which means from the date of the report, the 18
8	months has expired. I'm just wondering why. Is
9	there some reason for that? If they still had
10	an opportunity to get the cases completed, but
11	then they even blew statute .If that was the new SOL
12	date, they blew the statute of limitation with
13	those dates. Do you follow me?
14	MS. THOMPSON: Yes. I will have to look it up
15	and see. I will get back to you.
16	THE CHAIR: That's a good point. Let's
17	figure out what's going on there. Anything
18	further, Tony? No?
19	MR. SIMONETTI: No, thank you.
20	THE CHAIR: Anything further from your side?
21	Seeing no additional old business. Is there any
22	new business at this time? We now turn to
23	public comment, and although it's not on the
24	list, I will now turn the floor over Mr.
25	Christopher Dunn.

1	MR. DUNN: Okay. Good morning. Why don't
2	I pick up where Tony left off? I've been saying good
3	things about the 18-month and older cases, but it seems
4	like you are going in the wrong direction, DA holds is
5	only one case. I recall they were the lion's share. There
6	are a lot of cases 18 months and older and most of them
7	seem to have no justification, so somebody slipped
8	this month .The mediation numbers, I'm
9	confused about this. Another report indicates
10	that year to date 14 percent of cases have
11	gone through mediation, but maybe I'm
12	misunderstanding that part I'd be quite
13	surprised if 20 percent of the total number of cases were
14	mediated. look at the CCRB disposition table,
15	the-
16	THE CHAIR: Marcos
17	MARCOS: There are two different ways to
18	calculate mediation-wise, mediation as a
19	percentage of total closures. But we hadn't
20	started to calculate that way since the
21	beginning of the year, and this is
22	the first time we have reported that way. It's a
23	much better, accurate description is the cases
24	that are not actually resolved by the CCRB.
25	That means full investigations and mediations,

1	not cases because we don't have an
2	opportunity to resolve those cases. So, what we
3	are calculating is the cases the
4	investigator mediation, what is the percentage
5	of cases that aren't mediated. So, mediation
6	constitutes 21 percent of cases that aren't
7	resolved by the CCRB.
8	MR. DUNN: Okay. Now, just to be clear, the
9	table in this month's report.
10	MARCOS: It's seven percent, because it's of
11	total cases
12	MR. DUNN: That's of total cases. So, the
13	number that Joan is reading is not from the
14	report-
15	MARCOS: It is not from that report. It's from
16	the first two columns, full investigations plus
17	mediations; and, 20 percent of that number is
18	mediations.
19	MR. DUNN: Okay. I don't know if it's okay
20	to be asking Marcos, but is 20 percent, as
21	reported by Joan, a significant change in the
22	past number? Is that just another clear-cut way
23	of calculating because you never make
24	MARCOS: We have seen an increase in the
25	number of cases that the board refers to

1	the mediation program. I think you have seen
2	also that increase in the docket. So, the resolution
3	rate is higher, obviously, because both the
4	number of cases that we refer and the number
5	of them that we can definitely get to in the -
6	- at the end of the process have increased. So,
7	this is hard work . We have made more
8	referrals than at any point in history in the last
9	two years through the mediation program.
LO	The Board has expanded some allegations,
11	as you know. The Board has aggressively
12	pursued mediation for many more
L3	cases. And the single reason for that is,
14	normally cases, once they are forwarded to the
L5	Mediation Unit, the unit has been more
L6	successful in keeping those cases within the
L7	mediation program. The other thing is that we have
L8	a higher rate in the number of civilians and officers
L9	that accept mediation. So, the civilians'
20	rate is slightly higher than it has been in
21	the past by five or six points, and the officers'
22	acceptance rate is also higher than it has been
23	in the past, in the last two years. So, if you
24	compare the last two years to the past, yes,
25	there is an increase in the cases that are

1	successfully resolved through the mediation
2	program.
3	MR. SIMONETTI: I think from a statistical
4	point of view, if 70 percent of the cases are
5	going truncated, and I think the decision was
6	made at the beginning of this year to use the
7	fully investigated cases as the baseline to
8	determining the percentage for mediated cases.
9	Is that correct?
10	MARCOS: Right.
11	MR. SIMONETTI: So, that's why that number
12	jumps off the page, because 70 percent are still
13	being truncated?
14	MARCOS: The decision was made because these
15	are the cases in which you have an opportunity,
16	for the most part, to have an interaction with a
17	civilian. When you interview the civilian, you have a
18	contact with him or her, and, therefore, have a
19	verified statement, or a statement from the
20	civilian stating that they want to mediate a
21	complaint. In many truncations, you don't have that
22	opportunity to ask. So, it makes more sense for us to
23	use that base as the basis of the resolution rate.
24	THE CHAIR: And just a correction. I think
25	the truncation rate is 65 percent.

1	MR. DUNN: 65 Okay. Well, what that
2	illustrates is concern that I have. I
3	I understand your interest in mediation, and I
4	accept mediation , you end up with a smaller
5	and smaller set of number of cases that are
6	actually fully investigated. As the truncation
7	rate goes up, the mediation rate goes
8	up. And I just want to reiterate a concern that
9	that is the way you get to the bottom of
LO	what's happening on the street. And you get a
L1	smaller and smaller picture of that is actually
12	happening. can capture the way to think about some of the
L3	other issues that, I think, we're trying to
14	start thinking about. Okay. The other thing
L5	that I noted in the report is the dupe rate
L6	seems to be going back up. And it was 11 percent,
L7	it's 23 percent this month. I was just
L8	wondering what cases are those. I would
L9	have thought, particularly with the close
20	cooperation with the department , we would see
21	that dupe rate going down and down. I feel like
22	you are starting to go backwards so I just you to pay
23	attention to that. And the Ambassadors program,
24	there has been discussion in the past about
25	there being some written report about the

18

1	Ambassadors program. Is that something that
2	is coming?
3	BISHOP TAYLOR: Yes, we're going to do so.
4	Yes.
5	MR. DUNN: We like that program,
6	but I personally would like to see, and possibly
7	you would, something in writing about the actual
8	contact ambassador program.
9	BISHOP TAYLOR: Yes, okay
10	MR. DUNN: Alright. Now the annual report, it
11	finally came out. It's unfortunate, I think, that it
12	came out when it did. It's late, first week
13	in August. I'm not suggesting that was
14	anyone's intent, but it's unfortunate that
15	that's what happened. The single most
16	significant thing that I see which was
17	primarily in the press release is the
18	number of stop and frisk complaints going down.
19	And I realized that no one knows for sure why
20	that is so. However, given the stop and frisk
21	complaints, stop and frisks 14 percent last
22	year. And given that there seems to be a lot of
23	unhappiness out in the community about stop and frisk, it
24	is hard to believe that the numbers went down,
25	because police officers last year were much

1	friendlier, and as such that complaints
2	were not coming in. A far more
3	likely explanation, and what you should be
4	concerned about and what we are concerned about,
5	is that the public is simply losing confidence
6	in the CCRB and its complaint process, It's just
7	hard to believe that complaints could have gone
8	down for no reason . And I think that it
9	is not unrelated to the truncation rate. The
10	fact that you were at 65 percent truncation
11	rate, I think, is alarming. That's two thirds
12	of the cases that never make it to having a
13	complete investigation. And I think that it
14	doesn't take long for the word to get out and
15	people to realize that coming here, whoever is
16	here, is useless .This isn't going to help. And l
17	just hope that that is something that you pay
18	attention to; policy analysis and the truncation
19	rate practices. And I'm hoping
20	that there will be more attention as well.
21	That's what I have to say. Thank you
22	very much.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Seeing no other
24	people seeking to make public comment, we're
25	going to break into Executive Session. Thank

1	you	all	for o	coming.		
2			[END	RECORDING	3]	
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

1	CERTIFICATE
2	The prior proceedings were transcribed from
3	audio files and have been transcribed to the
4	best of my ability.
5	Signature:
6	
7	
8	Richard Pollak
9	Date: July 22 nd , 2012
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	