1	Public Board Meeting
2	Of the Civilian Complaint Review Board
3	Wednesday, September 11, 2013
4	10:00 a.m.
5	40 Rector Street - 2nd Floor
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	DANIEL D.CHU,ESQ., CHAIRMAN
9	TRACY CATAPANO-FOX, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
10	
11	
12	Public Meeting Agenda:
13	
14	1. Call to Order
15	2. Adoption of Minutes
16	3. Report from the Chair
17	4. Report from the Executive Director
18	5. Committee Reports
19	6. Old Business
20	7. New Business
21	8. Public Comment
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT WERE:
2	Dr. Mohammad Khalid
3	James Donlon, Esq.
4	Youngik Yoon, Esq.
5	Tosano J. Simonetti
б	Bishop Mitchell G. Taylor
7	Janette Cortes-Gomez, Esq.
8	Daniel M. Gitner, Esq.
9	Rudolph Landin, Esq.
10	Alphonzo A. Grant, Esq.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	3
1	[START RECORDING]
2	MS. JANETTE CORTES-GOMEZ: In the absence of
3	Dan Chu, I will be chairing today's meeting. So
4	I will be calling this to order. We'll begin by
5	accepting the August 2013 minutes. Do I hear a
6	motion?
7	MR. TOSANO J. SIMONETTI: Make a motion to
8	accept.
9	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Do I hear a second?
10	DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID: Second.
11	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: All in favor?
12	ALL: Aye.
13	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Any abstentions? Again,
14	in the absence of Mr. Chu, there will not be a
15	report from the Chair, so I now turn to the
16	Executive Director.
17	MS. TRACY CATAPANO-FOX: Thank you very
18	much. It's a pleasure to have you. Good
19	morning, everyone. This month, the CCRB
20	received 516 complaints in August. This is 74
21	fewer than the same period of 2012, when we
22	received 590 complaints, and it is a 12.5
23	decrease in activity for that period.
24	From January to August of this year, the
25	CCRB has received 3,576 complaints within its

jurisdiction. That is a 10% decrease in complaint activity when compared to the same period from last year. The total intake at the agency including complaints within our jurisdiction and those we refer out has declined by 32%.

7 In August, the board closed 336 cases. In the first eight months of this year, the board 8 9 closed 1,367 cases, and substantiated 213. That 10 is a substantiation rate of 16% of fully 11 investigated cases. The agency truncation rate 12 was 67%, which is four points higher than in the 13 first eight months of 2012, which at that time was 63%. 14

15 In July, the mediation unit closed 45 cases 16 involving 57 officers. 25 of those were closed 17 as mediated, and 32 were closed as mediation 18 attempted. Our agency docket at the end of August stood at 2,826 cases. This is a 2% 19 20 increase over our open docket from July, which at that point was 2,771. 90% of our open 21 22 investigations were filed within the last year, and 66% were filed in the last four months. 23 Of our open cases, 714 are awaiting panel 24

25 review. That's 25% of our docket. 1,837 are

still being investigated, and then 275 are in
 our mediation program.

By the date of incident, ten cases are in 3 4 our open docket that are over 18 months, as compared to 12 in August of 2012. That is 0.3% 5 6 of our open docket. Of those cases, two cases 7 are a DA hold. Three cases were filed months after the date of incident. Four cases are 8 9 pending panel review. And one of those cases 10 was reopened.

In July, the New York Police Department closed 13 substantiated cases involving 19 officers. They did not impose discipline against five officers. Year-to-date, the disciplinary action rate is 60%, and the decline to prosecute rate is 27%.

In cases in which the department pursued charges and specifications, the conviction rate was 82%, which included officers pleading guilty and officers who were found guilty at the disciplinary trial. The guilty after trial rate is 64%.

In terms of what we're doing within the agency, I'm very excited to report that our outreach program is moving forward. We did a

1 training last week. We had about 15 of our 2 investigators and staff that are going to be doing training and going out into the community 3 in the fall. That is a significant portion of 4 our staff, and I appreciate their hard work 5 6 because they still have heavy inventory, despite 7 their willingness to volunteer their time. So I thank them for that, and I thank the Bishop for 8 9 all of his hard work on that. And we're moving 10 forward. 11 MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Thank you. Now, are 12 there any committee reports? 13 MR. SIMONETTI: I have a question as to Tracy, you said we had closed 1,367 cases in the 14 15 first eight months? 16 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yeah. 17 MR. SIMONETTI: So that would be, what, 18 about 170 cases a month? About 170, right? 165? 19 20 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yeah. 21 MR. SIMONETTI: I was just wondering. You 22 know, that's less than two panels a month 23 because we've been presently getting 100 cases a panel, and is it indicative of something 24 25 happening with the panels?

7
MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Actually, I think you're
going to start seeing more with the panels
because now that we're fortunate to have Mr.
Gitner, and I think we're just about up to full
speed, we're able to get more cases to more
panels. I think a lot of it, we're still
dealing with the issues with Hurricane Sandy,
and I think a lot of the eight months that
you've seen this year was a result of
metrics and just trying to get our cases
moving so that we can get them to the panel. So
while that average might seem low, I don't think
it'll be something you'll see in the future. I
think you'll see much higher.
MR. SIMONETTI: Because I see the caseload
went up again, even though it's up slightly.
It's up about a little less than 100 cases. I
mean, with the dramatic decrease in cases, we're
carrying in a larger docket, and I get concerned
aboutI thought that we'd be able to put out a
fairly good dent in this docket by now. You
know, with Sandy a year behind us almost, that
we'd be able to be doing that.
MS. CATAPANO-FOX: I think the panels,
fortunately or unfortunately, are going to start

1	8
1	seeing a lot more cases and a lot more panels
2	because now our investigations already
3	MR. SIMONETTI: [interposing] Are you
4	saying the number of cases that we're going to
5	receive?
б	MS. CATAPANO-FOX: No, we will keep our
7	cases consistent, but I think we will have more
8	panels to address the cases in the future.
9	I think in the beginning of the year, we had
10	the panels we had based upon the logistics of
11	just getting cases to you and getting our panels
12	together so that we had all the cases ready for
13	you. I think that that's something that now has
14	been addressed.
15	I think a lot of those issues with Sandy
16	have been resolved. I know cases are out of
17	investigation are now ready to get to you. It's
18	something we'll look out for the next four
19	months of this year, but I think it will be aI
20	think you'll see a big difference.
21	MR. SIMONETTI: I would just encourage my
22	colleagues that we should be aware of it, you
23	know, and that we should try and stay on top of
24	those panels and do them in a timely fashion.
25	BISHOP MITCHELL G. TAYLOR: Outreach.

## MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yeah.

2 BISHOP TAYLOR: I just want to say with outreach, Tracy, thank you so much for being so 3 4 involved and so proactive with outreach because for the agency, I think one of the most 5 6 important points for us is visibility, and so 7 talking to many of the investigators that attended the outreach meetings, I think we have 8 9 people that are really excited about this, that 10 are on the team, that are here. And looking at 11 things like creating the CCRB app to kind of 12 neutralize continued barriers for people to make 13 complaints, the social media network, when I 14 think about the ambassadors program that we're 15 launching now with the youth. But maybe the 16 adult ambassadors program, where you have - -17 navigators in the boroughs that kind of act as ambassadors, you know, for the agencies. 18

So I think that I'm really excited that outreach has become more of a priority, and I was discouraged that the city council didn't increase us to have more lines for outreach. I think that was really a tremendous disappointment, but I'm hoping now with the change of the administration and whatnot, maybe

1 there'll be some more focus on this agency and 2 the importance of outreach and us getting out. And the board members that were already 3 4 expressed that they want to be more involved with outreach efforts. So that's something that 5 I think that all of us at the agency should be 6 really vested in, you know, outreach. 7 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: I agree. 8 9 BISHOP TAYLOR: People don't know that we're 10 here and what we do, then what we do doesn't 11 really serve the public adequately. 12 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: I agree, and I have to 13 thank our Deputy Executive Director, Brian Connell [phonetic], for spearheading a lot of 14 15 this. He's been working very hard. Our 16 assistant, Chrissy Bowers [phonetic], works very 17 hard in terms of updating our programs, getting 18 us moving forward. And again, the investigators and the volunteers. I can't say enough great 19 20 things about the people who have shown an 21 interest, given us ideas, talking about social 22 media, talking about apps, finding ways so that 23 people see us as an avenue for information and 24 quidance. 25 MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Now, we don't have

1	11
1	Commissioner Liston here. I'm not sure if
2	Laura wanted to speak on APU?
3	MS. LAURA EDIDIN: Thank you. In Mr.
4	Liston's absence, I just wanted to give you a
5	little update about the APU docket. So far, the
6	unit has been assigned 93 cases, and 10 of those
7	have come into the unit since the last board
8	meeting. And in terms of the kind of cases
9	we're seeing, it's very similar to what we had
10	last time in that about half of them originated
11	with a stop and frisk, and a little less than
12	20% started with a car stop, and then again, the
13	next most popular category was improper entry of
14	a dwelling. So that's pretty consistent with
15	what we've been seeing all along.
16	And right now we have four trials that are
17	calendared before the end of the year, and I
18	expect we'll have a few more put on the calendar
19	before the year is up. Thank you.
20	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Thank you. Any other
21	committee reports? Seeing none, any old
22	business? Any new business?
23	MR. SIMONETTI: The status of the move to
24	the new location. I'm just concerned that
25	there's some priority put on the fact that

certain units would be moving over before 1 other units, let's say, because my concern is 2 that, again, the docket, that we do it in a very 3 4 orderly transition to the new location, and that won't impact on the docket somehow. 5 That the 6 cases keep coming out on time to the panels, and 7 hopefully us members of the panel will do them in a timely fashion so we get this docket down. 8 9 I think we're in an opportune time to get it down significantly. 10 11 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Well, I have to comment 12 Denise Alvarez [phonetic], our case managing 13 unit director who also in charge of our panel. She does a great job of really getting things 14 15 moving, making sure the panels are prepared, and 16 have everything they need. So I'm very confident that she's going to ensure that the 17 move will be seamless, and that there will be no 18 19 issue. 20 But I'd like to ask Deputy Executive 21 Director Brian Connell to just give us an update on our move to 100 Church. 22 23 MR. BRIAN CONNELL: There's - - to the move is December 1. That's the earliest you can move 24 We'll be out in - - moving as well. He's 25 in.

- moving, and we are each picking a weekend 1 over which we'll move the entire agency. So we 2 will not be moving piecemeal in terms of the 3 4 units. So we expect to be packed up and moved on the Friday and hopefully up and running by 5 6 that Monday. There will be very little downtime 7 involved in transitioning from one location to the other. 8 9 MR. SIMONETTI: So all the things that are available to the people here, particularly the 10 11 investigators, I mean, how do we guarantee that on day one of the move, if it's going to move, 12 13 it's going to happen like that, you're going to move everybody in one lump sum over a weekend. 14 15 Are we guaranteed that everything is in place at 16 the other place? We're not going to run into 17 any glitches or anything? MR. CONNELL: No, the department - - , they 18 have to provide the infrastructure, - -19 20 infrastructure - - us moving. 21 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Tony, everything will be 22 there before the people get there. So the idea 23 is that the place will be up and running before 24 we--25 MR. SIMONETTI: But is staff going to have

	14
1	an opportunity to look at the thing, to make
2	sure that it's working?
3	MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Absolutely.
4	MR. CONNELL: advance will be open to
5	the architects and the builders. And one
6	has made that foundation, will go in with -
7	- in different locations with our service to
8	make sure that will be able to operate here
9	in advance of anyone moving over.
10	MR. SIMONETTI: Having moved from several
11	locations to new locations, the best laid plans,
12	you know, go awry. I just want to see if we can
13	build in some safeguards to ensure us that
14	everything is in place and everything is in
15	order.
16	By the way, another question. I know this
17	building at one time had a problem, an
18	infestation with bedbugs. Any problems over at
19	the other building? Have they experienced any
20	difficulties with that?
21	MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Not to our knowledge.
22	The good thing about the move is that we're
23	moving into a brand new, fresh space. So
24	virtually, it was a blank canvas that is now
25	being made for us. So the cityit's a problem

s, it's a problem in many t I assure you that we are doing can to avoid that problem in the NETTI: Unpleasant topic to talk
can to avoid that problem in the
NETTI: Unpleasant topic to talk
NETTI: Unpleasant topic to talk
've had it in some
ANO-FOX: On a positive note, the
bigger, where we have the meetings,
the ventilation and the air will
NETTI: I'm just thinking about the
ting on currently.
ANO-FOX: You don't want us to
you?
IETTI: This would be a haven for
ave people taking that into
. We have an ergonomics person
to look at all that?
ANO-FOX: We have an expert coming
e a week ago to the group, to our
g about the issues and answering
just giving us more information.
IETTI: I mean, moving to the
might as well try to do it in the
way.

16
BISHOP TAYLOR: Tony, I think it's
offensive that you want to leave our bedbugs
here. I mean, why wouldI mean, that's not
right. Everyone has to go. Can I ask another
question, too?
MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Of course.
BISHOP TAYLOR: I think that's a very good
point in terms of programmatically, in terms of
the infrastructure. I'm sure that you guys have
a move-in schedule with dates, like we're going
to do this battery of tests this week after the
infrastructure's in, and then we're going to do
it this week, and then by that weekend that
we're going to move, there's no ambiguity
whether or not we can plug and play for Monday
because we did these battery of tests. So you
have a schedule for that, right?
MR. CONNELL: Correct, yes. There are
weekly meetings that are happening now, every
Wednesday. Where we keep abreast of the
construction once we know they have laid
that infrastructure, we know we can go in
BISHOP TAYLOR: But December 1st is the
move-in date, so you can work backwards. Okay.
Can I ask another question?

1 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Anything. So what is the--what do you 2 BISHOP TAYLOR: think the biggest bottleneck is with the 3 4 caseload? Where do you think that--where is it getting stopped up? 5 6 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: That's a good guestion. 7 I think that the investigators try so hard to do such a phenomenal job in getting the cases to 8 9 the panel, to making sure that they are fully 10 and completely investigated, all the cases, and 11 that's what we want. So in terms of the board, 12 we want to make sure that we give you your 13 cases, that they are fully and completely investigated, including all interviews of all 14 15 parties, and that is not always an easy process. 16 You know, there's a bureaucracy that exists 17 in terms of how we handle our cases and how 18 different agencies we work with, and everyone does their best to move things along, but in the 19 20 reality that you see, we have thousands of 21 cases, and our investigators, they work all day 22 and all night, and I can't say enough great 23 things about them to really make sure that the cases, that they know the law, that they know 24 the facts, and that they give it to you in the 25

best possible--

1

BISHOP TAYLOR: So I guess that's the general. If someone could possibly look at where it's actually--where's the biggest concentration of stops. Not stop and frisks, but I mean stops in the process here. You know what I mean?

MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Our inventories are very 8 9 high. I'll be honest with you, I think that one 10 of our biggest problems is staff in terms of 11 numbers. If we were able to increase the number 12 of investigators, that would go a long way for 13 giving more reasonable inventory so that they can fully investigate. It's hard to have an 14 15 inventory and get out to the field and get out 16 and do the legal analysis and the reports that 17 we ask of our investigators, but it's necessary.

You know, these are people's lives, these are investigations that are important and crucial to being as close to perfect as we can. And they take it very seriously.

22 So if in a perfect world I could have more 23 staff, that would always be--I think it's every 24 agency's answer. Outreach, investigations, all 25 of the bureaus.

19
BISHOP TAYLOR: So I guess I understand,
but I guess my question kind of leads to we can
find where the actual bottleneck is in that
particular staffing tree, and say if we did more
in this area, this would alleviate that, you
know? Just I think it's worth a study at least
to actually qualify, you know, where the
bottleneck is, and if we did this, then this
would alleviate it. If we had, like, 20 more
investigators, if we did this, that. You know
what I'm saying?
MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Absolutely.
BISHOP TAYLOR: I think that it's worth at
least knowing.
MR. SIMONETTI: I get including in it, if
you're doing some kind of a study, I think
included in that should be the fact that on the
date that you give the panel out, when the panel
is sent out via email to us members, and then
how long does it take on average for usnot on
average, but by each panel, how long does it
take before we finalize those 100 cases and get
dispositions back in.
MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Absolutely.
MR. SIMONETTI: I mean that may beyou may

	20
1	find there may be some delay there.
2	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Any other ? Hearing
3	none, we'll go to public comment. And I believe
4	we only have Chris Dunn.
5	MR. CHRIS DUNN: Tony, what's going on, man?
6	You've turned into the crazy uncle of the CCRB.
7	I love it last week, it's bedbugs this
8	meeting.
9	MR. SIMONETTI: I just had my 80th birthday,
10	so I got to celebrate.
11	[Applause]
12	MR. DUNN: I love it. All right. I don't
13	have much to say. I wanted to raise one thing,
14	which wasn't mentioned, which was our good
15	friend, Inspector Bologna [phonetic], and the
16	fight about his appearance or nonappearance.
17	There wasn't any discussion about that. Is
18	there any public report to be made about the
19	status of that?
20	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: That is a situation
21	that's pending in the court right now. A motion
22	was filed by his attorney in Manhattan Supreme
23	Court, and we have to appear in October.
24	MR. DUNN: Okay. And are you guysthis is
25	the law department? Who's representing whom on-

I	
1	_
2	MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: The law department.
3	MR. DUNN: The law department's
4	representing? Okay. Okay. I did want to say I
5	give the SU [phonetic] credit for publicizing
6	the issue around that. I think it's important
7	that you speak out about that, and that was a
8	good thing to do. I mean, this appeared a
9	little late given timing, but it's the right
10	thing to do. One thing it did raise, though, is
11	as I understand it, that case broke the statute
12	of limitation because it was on DA's hold, and
13	Tracy, this long precedes you, but we've had
14	lots of discussion about DA holds which arose in
15	the I think, years ago. I didn't understand
16	why that case was put on a DA hold. It's
17	certainly not on a DA hold for the length of
18	time that it apparently was. So cases, and
19	that's fine. I'd like to know what the policy
20	basis was for that case being on the DA hold
21	because that was a pepper spray. I think it was
22	an assault case. I think the DA made a pretty
23	good decision on that, and maybe I'm wrong about
24	that, but
25	MALE VOICE: It was in April of this year.

1 It was April of this year that they released 2 it. MR. DUNN: Was it April? Okay. 3 Sorry, 4 here's the issue that came up when we were talking about this in the context of the - -5 6 shooting some time ago, and a lot of DA holds. 7 If the DA hold, of course, is an act of discretion on your part, and I have reason to 8 9 understand that there are these types of cases 10 where the potential of criminal charges 11 suspicion is serious, and - - . A shooting is in that category. I would not have thought that 12 13 this would've been in that category. The 14 discussion we had several years ago, at least I 15 was saying to the board, given the number of DA 16 holds that were breaking statute of limitations, 17 we should be looking at the policy and trying to draw some distinctions between when to apply DA 18 holds or put it more specific, when to accept a 19 20 request from the District Attorney's office to 21 honor a hold and when not to. I just don't 22 think--I don't think just because the DA says you have a case that we're considering. 23 Those things can go on forever. That you should 24 25 automatically say okay, we're not - - . I do

1 think loss - - statute of limitations here is significant. - - what's the point of this 2 because the statute of limitations has expired, 3 4 and we've - - that you are right to be saying what we do is different and independent of 5 6 whether or not you impose discipline for it or 7 not, but I do think this is an opportunity to actually go back and request that you look again 8 9 at the DA hold policy to the extent that you are 10 honoring all DA holds regardless of, number one, 11 circumstances that you've - -

12 Okay. On the subject of things that I often 13 repeat myself on, I was hoping this problem was going to go away. It seems not to be, which is 14 15 the dupes. You still keep having a higher 16 percentage of dupes, and there are two concerns 17 with that. One, I think that we thought that 18 those were going to disappear because Laura and her team were going to be in the business of 19 20 deciding how the cases got prosecuted or not, 21 and secondly, there have been enough back and 22 forth between the agencies about the dupes that 23 whatever was behind the dupes that the department was deciding on was getting resolved. 24 25 That seems not to be the case. There is

	21
1	rate, and the department says regular, we do
2	it because investigations are not up to snuff,
3	or they are saying, as they testified at
4	trial, there's a between the police officer
5	or the complaint. We always credit the police
б	officer's story. Whatever's going on there,
7	solved because you can't have a quarter of the
8	cases
9	Then something that's more in your
10	territory, you mentioned Tracy the truncation
11	rate is up, by what I think is a not
12	inconsequential percentage. And I don't know
13	where things are in terms of trying to get a
14	handle on what's going on with the truncation
15	rate, but I said it before. I'll say it again.
16	I know people on the board are conscious of it.
17	It's a huge problem. You can't have 6% of your
18	cases that are not getting investigated, and
19	there's something wrong there.
20	And then the final thing I wanted to say,
21	which is just an observation. There's going to

be a regime change in the city administration.
- - something really weird happening, Joe Lhotta
is not going to be the mayor, and somebody who
is a little more - - is going to be the mayor,

and I think that the CCRB is going to be a city agency that's going to see a lot of change relatively soon, and I would just urge to all of you, the time cannot come soon enough when this agency starts getting more aggressive in terms of police oversight.

7 The world that you live in is going to change. Some of you may not be here six months 8 9 from now, for whatever reasons, but I think the 10 CCRB definitely gets to be a very different 11 dynamic. It presents a lot of terrific 12 opportunity. You've got a new executive 13 director who's trying to do things aggressively, and I just hope you take advantage of that over 14 15 the course of the next six months. Thank you. 16 MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: And although you didn't 17 sign in, I believe you walked in late, Mr. 18 Brown. MR. JOHN BROWN: My question is this. 19

Hello, everybody. This is going to be my last time coming down here because I've just gotten frustrated. How you doing, Ms. - - ? Okay? Hi, everybody. I just want to say, it's been two-and-a-half years, and I still don't know what happened to my son. Two-and-a-half years.

	26
1	The identification was one thing. You all
2	been proposing questions. I see that. When I
3	propose a question, I don't get answers. Like,
4	I'm shouted down. This is my question. Mr.
5	Taylor, I say this. We've got pictures of all -
6	My son was assaulted by an undercover
7	officer. There's uniformed officers, and
8	there's undercover officers. Do you all have
9	pictures of the undercover officers, yes or no?
10	That's my question. I'm asking you, do you
11	have
12	BISHOP TAYLOR: You're asking me the
13	question?
14	MR. BROWN: Yes, because I said Mr. Taylor
15	all the time when I come here. I've gotten into
16	this. I've asked. Do you all have pictures?
17	Not of the uniformed officers. Of undercover
18	officers. Do you all have pictures of them as
19	they look now? That's my question.
20	BISHOP TAYLOR: I mean, you know. You
21	raised the issue that we don't haveyou look
22	different from last time you were here last
23	month.
24	MR. BROWN: I had a suit. That's what it
25	was, but my face is the same. Now, you still

27
didn't answer the question. This is what I'm
talking about. Wait, , don't keep doing
this to me because you issued a statement last
month saying about this computerized Get
it together now.
MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: You issued a statement saying
you were going to get a computer sketch artist
and all this other stuff.
MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: I believe a suggestion
was made.
If a suggestionis it not made, or is it
made? Are you making a suggestion, or have you
all made a suggestion to the police department
that this is what's going to happen? Is that
what's going on?
MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: We are lookingas we've
spoken before, Mr. Brown, we have pictures of
all NYPD officers. Your question is whether we
have them undercover. I can't answer that.
MR. BROWN: That is whattwoit took me
this long. I've been coming here. You know how
long I've been coming here? And when my son
first came here two-and-a-half years ago, you
all showed him pictures that don't depict the

1	28
1	way the officers look now. I've been telling
2	you all this for years now. It's like, if
3	you're going in a book to look for who assaulted
4	you, and they look different than the way that
5	they look on the night that they did it, right
б	or wrong, the pictures have to be updated, and
7	what I'm saying is that I can't get an honest
8	answer of when the pictures were taken of
9	undercover officers. Not regular officers,
10	undercover because that's who did this to my
11	son.
12	What this means is that if the officers
13	that's in uniform, he becomes an undercover
14	officer, his appearance changes. He grows a
15	beard usually. He has longer hair. He looks
16	different. How can you my son in to look at
17	pictures. The pictures didn't have anyone with
18	a person that he described, so how is that a
19	good identification?
20	Don't you see that if a person changes the
21	way that they look, that officer, and he's
22	promoted within that five year spanbecause I
23	got two different answers. I got five years,
24	three years. But if he's promoted or he'sor
25	he changes the way that he looks drastically,

1 he's on the street. If he commits a crime, no 2 one can identify him because you all don't have 3 pictures that look the way he looked the night 4 when he did this.

5 MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Mr. Brown, we absolutely 6 understand, and we've heard you each and every 7 time, but at this point, we can only move 8 forward with all that you have said, with all 9 that you have--

10 MR. BROWN: So I'm saying, what is it that 11 you're going to do about this situation because 12 this isn't the first time that you all heard me 13 say this. Then you all brought up the thing about, well, we're thinking about--we got to 14 15 have a sketch artist help out. We're going to 16 have computer this, that and the other. If you 17 had pictures of the officers, then you don't 18 need that. That's what I'm trying to say. If you got the pictures of officers the way that 19 20 they look when they do these--when they're--when 21 they're undercover, whatever it is, why would 22 you need a sketch artist? Why would you need a 23 computer something if you got pictures of them? 24 You don't have the pictures, man.

25 MS. CORTES-GOMEZ: Thank you. If there's

		30
1	nothing further, at this time we're going to	
2	break for five minutes and go into executive.	
3	[END RECORDING]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	The prior proceedings were transcribed from
3	audio files and have been transcribed to the
4	best of my ability.
5	
6	Signature Kayla Jakan
7	Date <u>September 16, 2013</u>
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	