

1 Public Board Meeting
2 Of the Civilian Complaint Review Board
3 Wednesday, November 13, 2013
4 10:00 a.m.
5 40 Rector Street - 2nd Floor
6 New York, NY 10006
7
8 DANIEL D. CHU, ESQ., CHAIR
9 TRACY CATAPANO-FOX, ESQ., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
10
11
12 Public Meeting Agenda:
13 _____
14 1. Call to Order
15 2. Adoption of Minutes
16 3. Report from the Chair
17 4. Report from the Executive Director
18 5. Committee Reports
19 6. Old Business
20 7. New Business
21 8. Public Comment
22
23
24
25

01 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT WERE:

02 Dr. Mohammad Khalid

03 James Donlon, Esq.

04 Youngik Yoon, Esq.

05 Daniel D. Chu, Esq.

06 Tosano J. Simonetti

07 Bishop Mitchell G. Taylor

08 Tracy Catapano-Fox, Esq.

09 Janette Cortes-Gomez, Esq.

10 Daniel M. Gitner, Esq.

11 Rudolph Landin, Esq.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01

02 MR. DANIEL D. CHU: No gavel, but good
03 morning, everyone. Thanks for coming to the
04 meeting. I'm going to call it to order. First
05 order of business is the adoption of the October
06 2013 minutes. Do I hear a second on the
07 adoption?

08 MR. TOSANO SIMONETTI: Second.

09 MR. CHU: All those in favor
10 of adopting the October 2013 minutes, please say
11 aye.

12 ALL: Aye.

13 MR. CHU: Any objections? Any
14 abstentions? The October 2013 minutes are
15 adopted.

16 Well, we had a meeting this morning of the
17 operations committee. Some of you were in
18 attendance for part of it. We ran short on
19 time. We had about six issues to cover. We
20 covered about four, so the most important issue
21 perhaps that was discussed this morning at the
22 operations meeting was the formation of an
23 investigations committee, and that's going to be
24 a standing committee to support and assist the
25 investigations division with any legal questions

01 they have, and to work with them on strategies
02 in terms of resolving issues that may occur in
03 some of the more high-profile issues or issues
04 where you're having difficulties let's say
05 securing the attendance of witnesses and members
06 of the service and things of that nature.
07 We also spoke further about the truncation
08 issues where truncation is kind of a big,
09 amorphous term, and going forward, when we do
10 have a programmer back, we'd like to explore
11 something similar to what we did with the
12 withdrawals. With the withdrawals, there was a
13 breakdown as to the reasons for the withdrawals.
14 Some of them would be on the advice of counsel.
15 Some people lost interest in the case, and
16 similarly, we're looking to do something similar
17 for the truncation rate.
18 As you all know, the truncation sometimes
19 stems from an unwillingness on the part of the
20 complaining witness to go forward. So while
21 we're probably going to keep that terminology
22 around for the time being because that's what
23 people are used to seeing, we're going to break
24 that down into more precise sub categories
25 because it really does seem to be inaccurate to

01 say that the agency is truncating or somehow
02 abbreviating or curtailing a case when in many
03 instances, it's not out of our choice. And the
04 sad reality is that it's people that don't want to go
05 forward, and notwithstanding the fact that I
06 know now you guys have the five and two rule.
07 You guys make about five calls, and send two
08 letters. We're unable to proceed, so that's
09 something we talked about.

10 Let's see. The other thing that was
11 discussed was the ability to reopen cases. I'm
12 not going to go too much into that, but that's
13 more in regard to some of the cases that have
14 been substantiated and then gone to APU with
15 certain issues that need to be resolved. Up to
16 this point, the people that are empowered to
17 reopen cases would be the complaining witness and
18 the police officers.

19 We voted on a resolution to allow the board
20 to do that when it's necessitated by the
21 discovery of any new evidence or any kind of new
22 meaningful and material revelations. That's
23 what I have to say with regard to the meeting
24 this morning. I'm now going to turn to Tracy
25 Catapano-Fox, our Executive Director, to give

01 you her report.

02 MS. TRACY CATAPANO-FOX: Good morning,

03 everyone.

04 ALL: Good morning.

05 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: The monthly statistics

06 for the agency are the agency are as follows.

07 In October, the agency received 514 complaints,

08 which is 86 fewer than it received last year in

09 the same timeframe, when we received 600

10 complaints. So overall, that's a 14% decrease

11 for that period. From January to October of

12 this year, the board received 4,569 complaints

13 within its jurisdiction. This is an 11%

14 decrease in the complaint activity in comparison

15 to the same period from last year.

16 Our total intake including complaints within

17 our jurisdiction and those we referred out

18 declined by 29% year to date. In October, the

19 Board closed 516 cases. In the first ten months

20 of this year, the Board has closed 1,713 fully

21 investigated cases and substantiated 254. That

22 leaves us with substantiation rate of 15% of

23 fully investigated cases. I hate to use

24 the word now after this morning's meeting, but our

25 truncation rate was 55%. This is five points

01 higher than in the first ten months of 2012 when
02 the rate was 50%.

03 The mediation unit in October successfully
04 mediated 19 cases involving 24 officers, and an
05 additional 35 cases were closed as mediation
06 attempted involving 46 officers.

07 The agency's docket at the end of October
08 stood at 2,678 cases. That is a 3% decrease of
09 the open docket from September when we were at
10 2,774 cases. 91% of our open investigations
11 stem from complaints filed within the last year,
12 and 66% were filed within the last four months.

13 Of our open docket, 621 are awaiting panel
14 review. That's 24% of our docket. 1,823 are
15 being investigated, and 226 cases are currently
16 in the mediation program.

17 By date of incident, 12 cases in our open
18 docket are 18 months or older as compared to 15
19 in October of 2012. That is 0.4% of our open
20 docket. Three of those cases are on DA hold,
21 four cases were filed months after the date of
22 the incident. Two cases are pending panel
23 review. Two cases there was an investor delay,
24 and one case has been reopened. In September,
25 the NYPD closed 11 substantiated cases involving

01 16 officers. They did not impose discipline
02 against 11 officers. They declined to prosecute
03 five officers, and the statute of limitations
04 expired in cases involving six officers. From
05 January through September of this year, the
06 disciplinary action rate of the NYPD is 57%, and
07 the decline to prosecute rate is 29%. In cases
08 in which the NYPD pursued charges and
09 specifications, the conviction rate was 81%.
10 This includes officers who pled guilty as well
11 as officers who were found guilty at the
12 disciplinary trials. The guilty after trial
13 rate is 60%.
14 That's the monthly statistics. In terms of
15 the agency activity, we are still set to move to
16 100 Church. No timeframe has changed. We're
17 still mid-December, that's what we're looking
18 at, and the construction is still ongoing. So
19 expect that the next board meeting will still be
20 here. We were able to get administrative judge
21 Barry Kamins yesterday, who came to give a
22 lecture on search and seizure for our
23 investigators and our APU staff, as well as
24 other staff members, and I think that was well
25 received. I truly appreciate him giving us the

01 time and attention to talk to us about this
02 important topic.

03 Thank you to Bishop Taylor again, of course,
04 for our outreach program. With your support and
05 enthusiasm, we're doing even more outreach, and
06 our investigators have now been fully trained
07 and staffed, and they've been going out into the
08 field and doing all types of outreach programs
09 throughout the community. I think everyone's
10 really enjoying it, so I thank you for that.

11 Other than that, I do want to mention that
12 two of our employees ran in the New York City
13 Marathon, Chris Duerr and Lisa
14 Cohen. They did a great job, and they're still
15 here, so I'm very impressed.

16 MR. CHU: Well, I think, Tracy, in
17 addition to your duties as the executive
18 director, a little birdie told me you ran it as
19 well, so congratulations to you, too.

20 MR. SIMONETTI: Of the 660 cases that are
21 awaiting panel review, do we know how long the
22 oldest panel is awaiting review, how many months
23 or how many weeks?

24 MR. CHU: Marcos, would you have those
25 numbers? If not, can you provide those for

01 Commissioner Simonetti at a later time?

02 MR. SIMONETTI: Of the cases awaiting
03 review, 660 cases. Do we know how long the
04 oldest panel is awaiting review? How many
05 months or what number of the panel?

06 MS. DENISE ALVAREZ: We're all caught up, so
07 everything that has gone up is already scheduled
08 to meet within the next few weeks. So nothing
09 is outstanding.

10 MR. SIMONETTI: We had nothing before panel
11 ten?

12 MS. ALVAREZ: No.

13 MR. SIMONETTI: Okay. I would just
14 encourage board members to get those things done
15 because if we can get this docket down, I mean,
16 we used to have a docket of around 1,200 cases.
17 That would be great, you know? And then it
18 gives the investigators more time to look at the
19 real serious matters. So I would encourage us
20 to get those cases done.

21 MR. CHU: Absolutely.

22 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Thank you, Chair.

23 MR. CHU: Thank you. Let's move on to
24 committee reports. I already spoke about the
25 operations committee. Do we have any other

01 committees that need to make a report this
02 morning?

03 MR. JAMES DONLON: Well, reports and
04 recommendations committee briefly, the semi-
05 annual draft is out, and the committee is
06 reviewing it and hope to move it onto the next
07 step. Marcos, there are I think--are the
08 graphics and all that, I mean the charts and all
09 that, they're done I think, right?

10 MR. MARCOS SOLER: Right, the charts are
11 done. The text is done. Once it's reviewed,
12 we will briefly send it to the policy
13 department for any comments on the data or any
14 of that, for verification purposes. But at the
15 same time, we will send it to the vendor, who
16 puts the layouts while we wait for comment from
17 the police department . While working on laying out
18 the product, and the police department will be
19 incorporated into that process, and hopefully
20 we'll have it here ASAP.

21 MR. DONLON: Thank you.

22 MR. CHU: Go ahead.

23 MR. SOLER: And also what we did in order to
24 be more proactive in this, we have already laid
25 out a schedule for the committee, which we

01 indicated all the steps. Rather than waiting till the
02 end of the year when release the data, you start
03 running the data, what we're going to start
04 doing is run preliminarily the data, see some of
05 the trends, and start working now a month
06 before, and - - way prior to--by the time we get
07 to January 1st, we already have basically the
08 layout of the process. Then we can just dump in
09 there the new data, hopefully submit it to the
10 board, and we have a much more aggressive
11 deadline in terms of accomplishing the budget.

12 MR. DONLON: Sounds like a good plan.

13 MR. CHU: Not to take the wind out of
14 anyone's sails, but yes, that sounds like a
15 plan. Let's try to be proactive about getting
16 that out.

17 APU, did you have something?

18 MS. LAURA EDIDIN: Commissioner Liston
19 is out of the country, so he
20 apologizes he wasn't able to be here today, but
21 asked me to give an update on the APU. To date,
22 the APU has received 123 cases, 18 since the
23 last board meeting, and the origin of the encounter
24 remains roughly the same in terms of
25 percentages.

01 Almost 53% originated in stop and frisk.
02 Approximately 15% originated with a car stop.
03 About 12% with an improper entry into a
04 dwelling. I want to thank Jon Darche for
05 preparing those statistics.
06 The really exciting news is that we have
07 seven trials calendared between now and the end
08 of January, the first being on November 22nd,
09 and I think we're all looking forward to getting
10 those underway.
11 I also want to acknowledge that several
12 members of the APU conducted an outreach in the
13 last month, including the Nicole Junior,
14 Heather Cook, Remi Simoes, Vivian
15 Cedano [phonetic], Liz Pegues and
16 Jon Darche. If I left anybody out, I apologize.
17 And I also want to acknowledge that Nicole
18 Junior conducted in-house training on cultural
19 competencies in working with the lesbian, gay,
20 transgender and bisexual community, which was
21 extremely powerful and successful, so thank you
22 for that.
23 MR. CHU: Thank you.
24 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: And Chairman, you should
25 know that Nicole's program was the first that

01 the agency has done with regard to training and
02 working on issues regarding the LGBTQ community.

03 So we plan on doing it routinely throughout the
04 year, but it was very successful.

05 MR. CHU: that is great, and I really
06 applaud the efforts of everyone on the staff for
07 getting involved. I think this is kind of a new
08 phenomenon, and I think it starts from the top,
09 and it's kind of caught on where the staff
10 members have taken time out of their busy
11 schedules to be involved, and I hope it
12 continues.

13 Lisa, did you have some report for
14 mediations?

15 MS. LISA COHEN: I did not.

16 MR. CHU: Oh, you didn't. Okay. I was
17 told you did. Moving along, then, is there any
18 old business that needs to be discussed? Is
19 there any new business that any members want to
20 discuss?

21 MR. SIMONETTI: Yes, Mr. Chairman, in
22 looking at the list of cases that are 18 months
23 or older, it's encouraging to see that half of
24 them are late filing cases. So I think with
25 Hurricane Sandy more than a year behind us now,

01 it looks like the staff has done a great job in
02 getting this number down. And late filings, not
03 very much you can do with those, you know? Then
04 if that's half of our docket, with 18 months or
05 older cases, we're doing very well. So I'd just
06 like to commend the investigative staff for
07 getting that number down.

08 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Chairman Simonetti, I should tell
09 you that the team managers have been incredibly
10 helpful and working hard toward--we've been
11 doing monthly meetings on cases that are 14
12 months and older just to see where they're at
13 and what we can do. They have been working
14 really hard with their staff, and I have to
15 commend them all because they've done a great
16 job in acknowledging the cases that
17 need to get moving and what we can do to make
18 them move forward.

19 MR. CHU: Okay. If there's no other new
20 business, we're going to move onto public
21 comment. There's no sign in sheet today, but I
22 assume Chris Dunn.

23 MR. CHRIS DUNN: Tragically, your assumption
24 was right. Is the no sign-in sheet the same
25 strategy as we're not going to use the word

01 truncation.

02 MR. CHU: We're actually working on your

03 nameplate. It should be ready by next week.

04 MR. DUNN: That's great. You're not

05 commissioners - - . Okay. So on the truncation

06 part, let me actually start on that because

07 that's something I harp on all the time, and I'm

08 sorry I missed most of that conversation. I

09 feel like the real meeting happened before this

10 meeting, and I'm really sorry I missed that. It

11 sounds like it was a spirited discussion.

12 I think it's a very fair point that you're

13 raising this - - about whether or not the term

14 truncation is masking things that are unfair to

15 the agency in just reporting a truncation rate -

16 - truncation rate. So I think it's perfectly

17 valid to say let's look a little more closely on

18 what's behind those things. But I also want to

19 caution you. I'm not saying anyone is doing

20 this, but I think there are issues, and they

21 cannot be defined away, and I also worry about

22 we have had a history--I don't remember how long

23 it goes back. Someone mentioned it goes back -

24 - that's the case. Truncation has been a

25 defined term within the agency, and that term

01 has - - associated with it that's more smaller,
02 it's going to look like there's some substantive
03 change in - - . I assume there will be more
04 discussion of this as we go forward. But I'm
05 scared--we'll look at the truncation, what's
06 behind it, but I also want to hear we're not
07 papering over some substantive issues. Maybe
08 the investigations committee - - .

09 MR. DANIEL GITNER: What are the substantive
10 issues that you're talking about?

11 MR. DUNN: The shortcomings and actual
12 investigative practices by the agency in getting
13 people to participate in their complaints. And
14 so a simple thing we had talked about I think
15 you're moving to address is the problem about
16 people having to come here to sign sworn
17 complaints.

18 MR. SIMONETTI: Chris, you were not here
19 for our operations committee meeting this
20 morning, and I had brought up the point that we
21 had to have a quality control unit take a look
22 at that. Like have the computer randomly set up
23 10% of those cases or whatever percentage would
24 be a valid and reliable number, spew them out of
25 the computer and send them out to panel and make

01 absolutely certain that they are truly
02 complainant or cooperative witness is
03 unavailable, witness is uncooperative, whatever.
04 And that's the safeguard that's going to be
05 built into this program.
06 MR. DUNN: Okay. And that makes good sense.
07 I understand there's a concern that's been
08 expressed. I'm sorry, again, I missed this.
09 That you for instance might be getting some
10 meaningful complaints from IEB that are - -
11 complaints and so on. They are never going to
12 turn into an actual complaint here, and that's
13 fair enough. You need to - - that. On the
14 other hand, I think it is wrong to think there
15 aren't things that the agency cannot do that
16 will improve the cooperative, willingness of
17 complainants. This notion, for instance, of
18 taking out of the pot intakes that you get where
19 someone has not filed a complaint, that is
20 something I would resist strenuously because I
21 think you are not - - barriers that people sign
22 their complaints.
23 And so I just think that's not a substantive
24 issue. I think that's an investigative practice
25 issue that should be dealt with. Tracy, you

01 have talked in the past about setting out other
02 city council member offices.

03 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Borough halls. The
04 election is over, we're able to--we have a new
05 borough president in Queens, Linda Katz. I have
06 spoken to some of her people, and they're very
07 amenable to giving us some space there.

08 Different--this is a big election year locally,
09 so we need people to get into place.

10 MR. DUNN: Eric is going to put a big sign
11 on the Brooklyn borough hall saying CCRB intake
12 - - .

13 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: That's what I'm hoping.

14 MR. DUNN: - - .

15 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yes, we have some people
16 that have already reached out.

17 MR. GITNER: I don't understand. What's the
18 problem with indicating when a complaint is
19 filed versus when it's not filed? Maybe I'm
20 wrong, but I thought that certain things could
21 not happen by the CCRB unless and until it's
22 filed.

23 MR. DUNN: By filed, so you mean signed?

24 MR. GITNER: Yeah, signed, yes.

25 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yeah, I review the

01 truncated cases. Denise has them sent over to
02 me each month in terms of the cases that we can
03 proceed on, and some of the complainants have
04 said that they have trouble getting here.

05 MR. GITNER: I agree with you, and that's a
06 legitimate issue, but let's assume they just
07 don't want to file a complaint. What's the
08 problem? It sounds like you're saying there's a
09 problem with just saying that, and I don't see a
10 problem with that, but if there is, I'd like to
11 hear it because these are important issues.

12 MR. DUNN: I think the problem is if you say
13 that and therefore take them out of the
14 truncation category without having addressed
15 that maybe they a barrier created by the agency's
16 own practice signing this.

17 So for instance, in
18 these days, we got a lot of young people who can
19 just use their cellphone. Those
20 are people who have made it very difficult to
21 actually come down to the registry. They may
22 have never been to this part of the city in their lives. And
23 given the change, it may well be that if
24 you have a place in a borough where they can
25 actually go and sign a complaint, you yourself

01 right there can fix in a significant way the
02 truncation problem which otherwise would just be
03 defined away because a person cannot sign.
04 It may be perfectly fine to say someone has
05 not signed, and therefore we're not going to
06 count the case, and someone like me will not
07 complain about that if in fact you have made all
08 these efforts to get somebody to sign, which is
09 different than--

10 MR. GITNER: I see. I understand, thank
11 you.

12 MR. DUNN: Okay. So the APU, you guys are
13 going to trial on the 22nd? That's very
14 exciting. I just wanted to make sure you said
15 the distribution was 53%, the stop and frisk?

16 MS. EDIDIN: A little less, a little shy.
17 Originated with a street encounter.

18 MR. DUNN: And then 15 and 12?

19 MS. EDIDIN: Correct.

20 MR. DUNN: And then there's even trials
21 scheduled between now and--

22 MS. EDIDIN: The end of January.

23 MR. DUNN: I look forward to the 22nd. I'm
24 sorry again. I missed some of the conversation.
25 I was back and forth with the department about

01 some of your cases. One of the things I caught
02 from the first discussion was this ability that
03 you're being able to be involved in plea negotiations
04 where there's a sub'd case and only a recommendation
05 for command discipline. Am I right that the only cases you're
06 allowed to participate in is when the board has recommended charges
07 and specs?

08 MS. EDIDIN: Correct.

09 MR. DUNN: Okay. The other subcases are
10 going just to the department advocates
11 office. They deal with all these, and those are
12 still the category cases for the council - - ?

13 MS. EDIDIN: Yes, correct.

14 MR. DUNN: So - - they have control over,
15 and these--those cases are just entirely
16 department cases?

17 MS. EDIDIN: Correct.

18 MR. DUNN: Okay. In looking at the report,
19 you mentioned about the 18 plus cases getting
20 better, and they certainly have gotten better.
21 I notice I've not paid attention to this before.
22 There are six statute of limitations this month
23 that got closed by the department, and there are
24 29 for the year that are - - statute of
25 limitations?

01 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: It's six officers. Some
02 of them were in the same cases.

03 MR. DUNN: Okay. I actually want to go back
04 and look. I don't know if that's an unusual
05 number. It just jumped out at me when I saw how
06 much statute of limitations officers there were
07 on that report. Do you know if this month is
08 usual in that respect?

09 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: I think there were only
10 two cases. If I were looking at the September
11 report and the disposition, the SOLs were six
12 officers in two cases.

13 MR. DUNN: Okay. But I also see the 29
14 officers for the year. Okay. That just struck
15 me - - . So - - going to your point about
16 dealing with the 18-plus - - cases. I said
17 this. I think the numbers have come down
18 significantly, which is very good, but maybe
19 that's an artifact of cases coming to you from
20 six months ago or nine months ago, but that
21 seemed like a big number.

22 MR. SIMONETTI: By the way, there's going to
23 be a recommendation made that Denise take a look
24 at those cases where the investigators are
25 recommending they be substantiated, and those

01 cases getting out to panels immediately, the
02 substantiated cases.

03 MR. DUNN: That makes sense. I mean, it
04 makes great solutions - - .

05 MR. SIMONETTI: It's happening.

06 MR. DUNN: That's great. Just two other
07 small things. In this business of reopening
08 cases, what I caught was you having ruled, it
09 seems, to limit the ability of cases to be
10 reopened by the agency. And maybe that - -
11 actually eliminate - - actually perform the - -
12 limit your ability to reopen cases.

13 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: It doesn't limit it, but
14 the rule itself says that it envisioned it being
15 upon the request of the complainant or the
16 officer. It was made prior to the existence of
17 APU, so there was no--I don't think there was
18 any thought to allow for other circumstances.

19 MR. DUNN: I get that entirely. The only
20 thing I'm a little bit nervous at this time - -
21 , but in committee meetings there being
22 resolutions that are on the record that may
23 affect rules changes, and for better or worse,
24 there's a whole public process around rule
25 changes for the agency. So I just wanted to

01 make sure that what you're doing, that rule that
02 exists - - process wise, - - what you're going
03 to do. It sounds like substantively it's the
04 right thing to do.

05 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: We reached out to the law
06 department to get a determination on whether or
07 not this would be a rule change, whether there
08 had to be a formal process, and their answer was
09 you're not changing a rule that exists for the
10 public to reopen a case. You're just allowing
11 for a mechanism, a process by which the board
12 itself could do it. So it adds to more than - -
13 .

14 MR. CHU: There was no prohibition, and
15 there's not a rule change per se. It's really
16 more how we do things internally, and I think
17 that was the crux of the recommendation from the
18 law department.

19 MR. DUNN: On the reports - - I think it's
20 great that you're getting ahead of the game for
21 - - annual report, that you're getting ahead of
22 the game. We talked about that, and that's
23 great. If the annual report can come out in the
24 month of January, good for you - - pay
25 attention. - - .

01 The final thing I wanted to mention was in
02 talking to various people about the status - -
03 lawsuit and the issue of his appearance,
04 something else has surfaced, which I had not
05 been aware of and somebody else told me about.
06 There's apparently some dispute at the
07 department about reducing a chief who is either
08 a witness or a subject officer in a CCRB
09 investigation, and I hadn't heard any discussion
10 about that here. Some of you who have been here
11 for a while know that was an issue that rose
12 during the convention, and the department - -
13 who is the subject officer in an investigation.
14 So I just wanted to know is there going to be a
15 public discussion about the department producing
16 witnesses or subject officers of that rank?
17 MR. CHU: I think at this point, we
18 don't see a widespread pattern. I think it's
19 limited and isolated to the case you spoke of,
20 and perhaps one other case at this point. So
21 there will be discussions pertaining to that
22 specific case. It hasn't gotten to a point
23 where we think that there's an issue that
24 requires some kind of board action. We are in
25 the process of working that out with the

01 department.

02 MR. DUNN: All right. Thank you very much.

03 MR. CHU: Thank you very much, Mr. Dunn.

04 If there's no one else who's looking to speak--

05 MALE VOICE: Will the meeting occur on these

06 premises next month?

07 MS. CATAPANO-FOX: Yes.

08 MR. CHU: Yes, we will let you know when

09 we're at 100 Church. All right. Thank you so

10 much, thanks.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01 C E R T I F I C A T E

02 The prior proceedings were transcribed from

03 audio files and have been transcribed to the

04 best of my ability.

05

06 Signature

07 Date November 20, 2013_____

08

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25