

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LAND USE, ZONING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE:           9 In Favor   3 Opposed   2 Abstained   1 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:             5 In Favor   20 Opposed   2 Abstained   0 Recused

RE:                   14 White Street, Board of Standards and Appeals application for Zoning Resolution variances to allow construction of a seven-story plus penthouse mixed commercial and residential building 2017-298-BZ

WHEREAS: 14 White Street Owner LLC has submitted an application to the Board of Standards and Appeals for Zoning Resolution variances that would allow construction of a mixed commercial and residential building; and

WHEREAS: The proposed building would be seven stories with a set back penthouse. It would contain nine residential units, a fully automated parking facility in the cellar and 2,441 square feet of commercial retail floor area on the ground level; and

WHEREAS: The proposed building would be allowed by modifying: the floor area regulations of the Zoning Resolution to provide the property with the same FAR allowed for zoning lots in a C6-2A zoning district not in the Special Tribeca Mixed Use District (increase FAR from 5.0 to 6.02); the street wall regulations of the Zoning Resolution to allow the northernmost 16 feet of the Sixth Avenue street wall to set back below the minimum base height; the accessory parking regulations of the Zoning Resolution to allow five spaces in addition to the two spaces permitted as-of-right; and the curb cut location requirements of the Zoning Resolution to locate access to the garage approximately 39 feet from the corner instead of the required 50 feet; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that the property is burdened by unique conditions that present hardship that prevents the property from earning a reasonable return if developed in conformance with the Zoning Resolution; and

WHEREAS: One cause of hardship is excessive foundation costs due to the presence of the subway tunnel for the Eighth Avenue line which runs along the entire Sixth Avenue frontage of the Zoning Lot. The foundation cost premium has been calculated to be approximately \$1.8 million more than a typical lot; and

WHEREAS: The second cause of hardship is because the property is both small and uniquely shaped as a near-triangle, with an acute angle formed by White Street and Sixth Avenue, which causes inefficient floor layouts and a high ratio of perimeter wall and street frontage relative to its lot area, resulting in high façade costs compared to the amount of floor area that may be developed on the property; and

WHEREAS: In March 2017 Community Board 1 reviewed the Landmarks Preservation Commission application for this project and adopted a resolution recommending approval of the application with some design amendments; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed that they will staff the building so that refuse is stored inside and put out at the last possible moment so garbage is not stacked on the sidewalk for hours at a time; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 does not oppose the application by 14 White Street Owner LLC to the Board of Standards and Appeals for Zoning Resolution variances to allow construction of a seven-story plus penthouse mixed commercial and residential building at 14 White Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:           5 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused\*

BOARD VOTE:               28 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE:                       70 Franklin Street, application for storefront renovation and replacement of sidewalk on Franklin Place

WHEREAS: The applicant of this wonderful example of adaptive reuse in the Tribeca East Historic District is seeking approval to replace the entire storefront, and

WHEREAS: A large ADA ramp was installed under a separate application in 2016, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is seeking to move the interesting, quirky arched doorway entrance to another bay – to help deal with the poor design of the ADA ramp, and

WHEREAS: The design of the new store front is of wide single pane windows above a painted wooden base with large single pane transoms. and

WHEREAS: The design of the new store entrance while taking inspiration from the non-original 1920's residential door entrance design felt too sterile to the Committee, and

WHEREAS: The Committee also felt there was too much large clear glass massing which did not connect with the upper levels of this wonderful cast iron, limestone building, and

WHEREAS: The Committee suggested the applicant hold over the LPC hearing one month to address the Committee's input – this was subsequently denied by the applicant, and

WHEREAS: The existing sidewalk alley on Franklin Place is in very poor state of repair, and

WHEREAS: There is one large original blue stone granite paver – which the applicant committed to reset in situ, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was pleased to note the new sidewalk will be constructed from high quality blue stone, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 urges the Landmarks Preservation Commission to reject the storefront application while encouraging the applicant to present a revised design including the redesign of the ADA ramp to allow the use of the restored existing storefront door entrance, and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application for the sidewalk replacement on Franklin Place.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused\*

BOARD VOTE: 28 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE: Trinity Church, an application for façade and stained glass window restoration, ADA accessibility, site lighting and a new canopy;

WHEREAS: A large part of the proposed work consists of long overdue and appropriate general maintenance work around the Trinity Church block including restoration of several of the Church's stained glass windows, refurbishing the loggia stairs and restoring gates around the property, and

WHEREAS: In order to provide ADA access around the church site, 2 foot high raised and ramped terraces are proposed around the perimeter of the church, and

WHEREAS: The terraces do not cover or remove any historically significant architectural features, and

WHEREAS: The terraces are surfaced with bluestone and are designed in a contemporary style, void of any articulation creating too stark a contrast with Trinity's neo-gothic styling, and

WHEREAS: The proposed west terrace loggia extension is appropriate since it replicates the existing loggia bay in design and materials and will provide needed shelter for the stairs beneath, and

WHEREAS: The proposed LED lighting is appropriate. The Church will benefit from additional accent lighting and site lighting, however all lighting designs should be studied not only for their effects on the church, but also effects on surrounding blocks, and

WHEREAS: The proposed various exterior door replacements are appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The 91 foot long glass and metal canopy proposed at the south terrace is not appropriate, it blocks historic features on the building and should be removed entirely from the proposal, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB 1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the proposal excluding the south terrace canopy and the applicant work with staff to design a terrace that does a better job of referencing the historical profiles found on the site.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Street Activity Permit application for New York Fashion Week

WHEREAS: New York Fashion Week is requesting a Street Activity Permit for January 31, 2018 to February 16, 2018, 8:00 am to 9:00 pm, with a full sidewalk closure on Varick Street between Laight Street and Beach Street and curb lane closures on Varick Street, Laight Street, Beach Street and St. Johns, and

WHEREAS: The New York Fashion Week representative said that neither she nor any other New York Fashion Week representative reached out to the residential neighbors or nearby businesses to discuss this extensive 17 day event, and

WHEREAS: The New York Fashion Week representative clearly indicated that they have been in “talks and negotiations” with the Mayor’s office about these events, which will be centered at Spring Studios, 50 Varick, and

WHEREAS: The most recent location for Fashion Week Events has been at Clarkson Square near West Street and Houston, a decidedly non-residential area, and

WHEREAS: There have been numerous complaints in the past from residential buildings circling the Holland Tunnel rotary for even one-time events at the 50 Varick venue, and on the Saint John Lane’s side of the same event venue for crowds, noise and loading/unloading beyond the stipulated hours and usage, and

WHEREAS: The dates for New York Fashion Week are calendared weeks if not months in advance, Community Board One is extremely concerned and distressed that the Mayor’s office has already pre-approved this Street Activity Permit without and before any substantive community board input, and

WHEREAS: The application indicated that the estimated attendance for the events located at Spring Studios (50 Varick Street) would be 1000 to 4999 persons, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that as many as 100 vehicles for each event could be cueing at the same time, and

WHEREAS: A clear danger to pedestrians is posed by the combination of the full sidewalk closure and tent requested on the east side of Varick Street

between Laight and Beach along with the curb lane closure requested on the west side of Varick for vehicle drop-offs and pick-ups, since the west side of Varick Street at that point has no sidewalk to speak of and in fact is where the Holland Tunnel Rotary and two tunnel exits are located, and

WHEREAS: That stretch of Varick Street is already a highly congested area due to traffic from North of Canal Street, from Canal Street itself, and from the two Holland Tunnel exits, and

WHEREAS: While the applicant stated that no vehicles would double park or wait in locations other than the east curb lane requested, and that vehicles unable to pick-up would circle around the block, the committee knowing the local conditions found this unrealistic, particularly as to 'go around the block' would entail during peak hours an illegal left turn from 6th Avenue onto westbound Canal Street, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is also requesting a south side curb lane closure on Laight Street between Varick and St. Johns Lane which is likely to cause further traffic congestion because this section of Laight is an exit for the Holland Tunnel onto Canal Street eastbound and West Broadway northbound, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is also requesting a west side curb lane closure on St. Johns Lane between Laight and Beach which would effectively close the entire street, as St. Johns Lane is a one-lane street or alleyway, and

WHEREAS: The applicant was unaware that Spring Studio's State Liquor License stipulations state there will be no loading or unloading activities on St. Johns Lane between the hours of 7pm and 7am, and had no substitute plan other than to further congest Varick at those times, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated they would consult their traffic experts to come up with a loading/unloading solution to the limitations on St. Johns Lane, and

WHEREAS: The New York Fashion Week representative did state that, although requested in the application, they will not be requesting an After-Hours Work Permit from the Department of Buildings, and

WHEREAS: The applicant did state that, although requested in the application, there will be no amplified sound outdoors and no bull horn, and they would not be requesting a Sound Permit, and

WHEREAS: The applicant did state that they are withdrawing the following streets locations from the application; Beach Street between Varick Street and St. Johns Lane and Varick Street between North Moore Street and Beach Street, and



WHEREAS: The applicant stated there would be a large tent on the sidewalk in front of 50 Varick Street, and

WHEREAS: The New York Fashion Week representative stated when asked; that at no time would pedestrians be stopped or impeded from walking through the narrow 5 foot section of the sidewalk remaining alongside the tent, and

WHEREAS: The committee had concerns of further congestion caused by the media that often accompanies these types of events and asked that the applicant should appropriately mitigate this, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked that New York Fashion Week appoint a community liaison with a 24/7 cell phone number to deal with problems, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 reluctantly approves the New York Fashion Week request for a Street Activity Permit with the proviso that the above conditions must be met.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 3 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused\*  
PUBLIC VOTE: 2 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE: 28 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE: Street Activity Permit application for UMG Grammy Awards Party

WHEREAS: UMG Grammy Awards Party is requesting a Street Activity Permit for January 19 – January 29, 2018, 7:00 AM to Midnight, curb lane closure on Varick Street, Laight Street, Beach Street, and St. Johns Lane and a tent or canopy on Varick Street between Laight Street and Beach Street on January 27, 2018 to January 29, 2018, and

WHEREAS: The original request sent to the Community Board on Dec 8 was noted by us to be apparently incorrectly listed as a 1-day permit, and the corrected request for 11 days was received on Dec. 13, the day of our Licensing Committee meeting, Dec. 13, and

WHEREAS: A UMG Grammy Awards Party applicant or representative did not appear before the committee to review this extended event, and

WHEREAS: We were particularly concerned that this 11-day period ends just before a 16 day curb and sidewalk closure at the same site for Fashion Week, causing almost a month of disruption to residents and local businesses, and

WHEREAS: To the committee's knowledge a UMG Grammy Awards Party applicant or representative did not reach out to talk to the residential neighbors or nearby businesses to discuss the 11 day event and how it will affect them, and

WHEREAS: The dates for UMG Grammy Awards are calendared weeks if not months in advance, Community Board One is extremely concerned and distressed that the Mayor's office may have already pre-approved this Street Activity Permit without and before any substantive community board input, and

WHEREAS: The application indicated that the estimated attendance for the event located at Spring Studios (50 Varick Street) would be 500 to 999 persons, and

- WHEREAS: A clear danger to pedestrians is posed by the combination of the full sidewalk closure and tent requested on the east side of Varick between Laight and Beach along with the curb lane closure requested on the west side of Varick for vehicle drop-offs and pick-ups, since the west side of Varick Street at that point has no sidewalk to speak of and in fact is where the Holland Tunnel Rotary and two tunnel exits are located, and
- WHEREAS: That stretch of Varick Street is already a highly congested area due to traffic from North of Canal Street, from Canal Street itself, and from the two Holland Tunnel exits, and
- WHEREAS: The committee knowing the reality of this area found this plan problematic knowing that vehicles would double park adjacent to the closed curb lane or at other street locations, and that vehicles unable to complete pick-ups would be forced to 'go around the block' which would entail during peak hours an illegal left turn from 6th Avenue onto westbound Canal Street, and
- WHEREAS: The applicant is also requesting a south side curb lane closure on Laight Street between Varick and St. Johns Lane which is likely to cause further traffic congestion because this section of Laight is an exit for the Holland Tunnel onto Canal Street eastbound and West Broadway northbound, and
- WHEREAS: The applicant is also requesting a west side curb lane closure on St. Johns Lane between Laight and Beach which would effectively close the entire street, as St. Johns Lane is a one-lane street or alleyway, and
- WHEREAS: Due to the absence of the applicant we do not know if he, like the applicant for Fashion Week, was aware that Spring Studio's State Liquor License stipulations state there will be no loading or unloading activities on St. Johns Lane between the hours of 7pm and 7am, and
- WHEREAS: The applicant is requesting a north curb lane closure on Beach Street between Varick Street and St. Johns lane, which is also problematic as the Holland Tunnel exits there onto eastbound Beach Street, for cars continuing east or on to 6th Avenue northbound, and
- WHEREAS: The application indicated that there would be large tent of 12 feet by 30 feet on the sidewalk in front of 50 Varick Street from Jan. 27 through the 29th, and
- WHEREAS: The application indicates security will be used to secure a 'temporary no parking area'... following an on-site security plan, but we were given no security plan or location for the 'no parking area', and
- WHEREAS: There is concern that pedestrians would be stopped or impeded by on-site security from walking on the sidewalk on the east side of Varick Street

between Laight Street and Beach Street and not have any other reasonable or safe options, and

WHEREAS: The request for a Red Carpet could further impede pedestrians right of way by on-site security, again without any other reasonable or safe options, and,

WHEREAS: The committee feels that pedestrians' right of way should not be stopped at any time, and

WHEREAS: The committee had concerns of further congestion caused by the media that often accompanies these types of events and that the applicant should appropriately mitigate this, and

WHEREAS: We would have asked, had the applicant been present, that the UMG Grammy Awards Party appoint a community liaison with a 24/7 cell phone number to deal with problems, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB 1 disapproves the request for a Street Activity Permit for UMG Grammy Awards Party due to the concerns mentioned above and the critical fact that the applicant did not attend the committee meeting for questions and vetting.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:       7 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused\*  
PUBLIC VOTE:           1 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:           28 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE:                    225 Liberty Street, application for a wine and beer license for Fuku Battery Park City LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, Fuku Battery Park, is applying for a wine and beer license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation will be 11:00AM – 9:00PM weekdays and weekends; and

WHEREAS: The establishment has a total of 450 square feet including a service bar and shares a common dining area; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there will be recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT:                CB1 opposes the granting of a wine and beer license to Fuku Battery Park LLC unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused\*

PUBLIC VOTE: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 26 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE: 100 Broad Street, application for a liquor license for Trinita Parete LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, Trinita Parete LLC, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The Committee and applicant have agreed to the alcohol service hours of 10:00AM – 2:00AM in the enclosed portions of the restaurant and 10:00AM – 12:00AM in the unenclosed portions (rooftop). After six months the applicant may return to the Committee to discuss extended hours based on performance in the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS: The Committee rarely considers recommending a license for open rooftop service, we were persuaded in this case by the nature of this establishment, the favorable petitions, distance from residential buildings that might be disturbed and good performance from other establishments owned by this applicant in the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS: The establishment has 7,208 square feet of space on the second floor (about 3,500 square feet on the second floor is patron space). The establishment has a total of 6,940 square feet of space on the roof. About 3,000-3,500 square feet of space on the roof will be patron space, and of that 2,000 square feet will be enclosed by a soundproofed aluminum and glass structure (the unenclosed portion of the rooftop will be 1,000-1,500 square feet); and

WHEREAS: The second floor will have a dining area of 3,050 square feet with 39 tables and 109 chairs; a bar area of 450 square feet; and a kitchen area of 1,000 square feet. The rooftop will have a dining area of 2,800 square feet with 28 tables and 106 chairs; and a bar area of 300 square feet with 9 tables and 37 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a liquor license to Trinita Parete LLC unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused\*

PUBLIC VOTE: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 28 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE: 24 John Street, application for a liquor license for Hide Lounge Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant, Hide Lounge Inc, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The application is for a bar/tavern located on the 20th and 21st floors within a hotel; and

WHEREAS: The hours for alcohol service will be 8:00AM – 2:00AM Monday – Saturday and 10:00AM – 2:00AM on Sunday; and

WHEREAS: The establishment is a total of 3,901 square feet including a dining area of 1,500 square feet with 8 tables and 40 seats; a bar area of 1,500 square feet with 10 tables and 31 seats; and a kitchen area of 150 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have live music and DJ's on an occasional basis for private and special events, which will be background only and not heard by neighbors, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to actively manage any crowds on the street; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE



BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a liquor license to Hide Lounge Inc. unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:       6 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused\*

PUBLIC VOTE:            1 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused

BOARD VOTE:           28 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE:                    1&5 Coenties Slip, application for alteration of a liquor license for JPK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Zigolini

WHEREAS:   The applicant, JPK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Zigolini, is applying for an alteration of a liquor license; and

WHEREAS:   In 2006 Community Board 1 adopted a resolution recommending approval for the liquor license for JPK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Zigolini. In addition to this establishment, the applicant operates Lenita at 7 Hanover Square and Latin Social at 75 Maiden Lane. Community Board 1 has not received any complaints about any of the applicant's establishments; and

WHEREAS:   The applicant is applying for an alteration in order to add an additional bar within the existing space; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:        CB1 opposes the granting of an alteration of a liquor license to JPK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Zigolini unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused\*

PUBLIC VOTE: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 28 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

\* Due to the absence of a quorum, the committee vote taken on this resolution is unofficial and for informational purposes only.

RE: 40 Rector Street, application for a liquor license for Poke FiDi LLC d/b/a Chikarashi

WHEREAS: The applicant, Poke FiDi LLC d/b/a Chikarashi, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours for alcohol service will be 11:30AM – 1:00AM Sunday – Thursday and 11:30AM – 2:00AM Friday – Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The establishment is a total of 1,845 square feet including a dining area of 334 square feet with 14 tables and 28 seats; a bar area of 204 square feet with 10 seats; and a kitchen area of 777 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a liquor license to Poke FiDi LLC d/b/a Chikarashi unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: STREET FAIRS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE:           3 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:               29 In Favor   0 Opposed   0 Abstained   0 Recused

RE:                    Sponsorship of Street Fairs for Fundraising by CB 1 in 2018

WHEREAS: CB 1 adopted a resolution on January 17, 2006 establishing procedures to follow in connection with its future use of street fairs for fundraising, and

WHEREAS: The Chair of CB1 appointed a task force of the Board (the “Street Fair Task Force”) in 2006 to implement the new procedures, and

WHEREAS: The total amount to be raised by street fairs in 2018 and used by CB1 to support its work is expected to be at least \$21,000 which amount would have to be replaced by some other source of funds in the event that the street fairs are discontinued in order to avoid an adverse impact on the work of the organization, and

WHEREAS: The Board deems it desirable to grant the Street Fairs Task Force some additional flexibility in determining whether it is necessary to again solicit bids from street fair promoters for the 2018 street fairs sponsored by CB 1, as was done for the 2017 street fairs sponsored by CB 1, and

WHEREAS: Under the by-laws of CB 1, the Street Fair Task Force will automatically dissolve one year from its creation unless continued by a resolution of the Board or the Executive Committee for a specified period of time, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 hereby (1) authorizes the sponsorship of street fairs by CB1 in 2018 to raise funds to support the work of the organization, (2) extends the existence of the Street Fairs Task Force for an additional year, subject to the appointment of members by the Chair of CB1 as provided by the by-laws, and (3) grants the Street Fair Task Force the authority to determine whether it is appropriate to solicit bids from street fair promoters for the 2018 street fairs sponsored by CB1, following an evaluation by the Street Fair Task Force of (a) the manner in which the current promoter has performed its obligations with respect to the 2017 street fairs and (b) any proposal that promoter may choose to make to conduct the 2018 street fairs, and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: The Street Fair Task Force will ask the promoter of its street fairs in 2018 to ensure that all of its personnel working at the fairs sponsored by CB1 are able, when asked, to name the sponsoring organization and to direct questions to on-site management, and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 has decided to renew its relationship with Mardi Gras Productions for 2018.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT, PARKS & RESILIENCY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: West Side Ferry Service

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 (CB1) wishes to congratulate the Economic Development Corporation (EDC) and New York City on the well-received roll out of the first phase of NYC Ferry Service that is providing faster and more reasonably priced transportation options to the east side of Manhattan. As a waterfront community, we applaud efforts by the city to improve public transportation that reduces air pollution, vehicular traffic and other public transportation congestion by all means possible, including on our waterways; and

WHEREAS: The west side of Manhattan is experiencing rapid population growth both residentially and commercially but has not yet seen efforts to increase ferry service along this corridor. Further, this area has limited and difficult access to current public transportation, and may well benefit from water-based transport; and

WHEREAS: The Hudson River Park and more broadly and significantly, the Hudson River Estuary is a unique and invaluable human and even commercial asset. Much has been done over the last decades to restore the health and vitality of the river. The recent Billion Oyster Project is an example of how the health of the river could work in concert with what was once an important New York industry; now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 recognizes the potential value and benefit to its community, and others, from the extension of NYC Ferry Service to the west side of Manhattan and urges the EDC to conduct a feasibility study for such expansion; and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: We would expect the EDC to address:

- The demographics, population and expected population growth along the western edge of Manhattan;
- The transportation options in this corridor and the expected cost and usage of a ferry service;
- The economics of implementation and operation of the service;
- And, the possible value in alleviation of congestion by use of the waterways,

as the expected massive repairs to the subway system impact that service; and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: We also wish to strongly urge the EDC to seriously consider these additional factors that are important in general and in some aspects unique to our and other districts' portion of the Hudson River:

- The noise, crowds and pollution new ferry service could generate and negatively impact the quality of life of the increasing number of people living near the water, including those in Battery Park City. Potential mitigation measures should be identified.
- Hudson River Park runs along a significant portion of the potentially served area. The park was established as a marine sanctuary and as such is subject to the rules and regulations of the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation and administered by the Hudson River Park Trust. The establishing legislation calls particular attention to, among other things, providing for:
  - Conservation of the marine resources in the area including habitat values
  - Public recreational use of the water including boating, fishing and swimming
  - Environmental education and research;
- The noise, wake and potential oil pollution of a ferry service could have adverse effects on the wildlife and habitat of the park as well as the educational, research, and recreational activities in the park and on the water; and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: We urge that the study for ferry service extension consider the following:

- alternative boat designs, such as state of the art twin-hull catamaran types that generate substantially as little wake as possible
- alternative engines and power sources that generate less above and underwater noise, pollution and carbon emissions
- the use of ferries that minimize or eliminate potential fuel spills and establishing protocols that ensure the rapid cleanup of spills should they occur