## NYC ENERGY CODE PILOT Overview + Q/A August 20, 2021

presented by Emily Hoffman, PE, CEM Michael Tillou, PE, BEMP



# AGENDA

- Introduction (DOB)
   Pilot Overview (PNNL)
- 3. Q & A Discussion



# **BACKGROUND LEGISLATION**

## LL32 of 2018

- Requires NYC to adopt Stretch Code in 2019/2022
- In 2025, for buildings > 25,000 sqft, requires NYC to adopt a performance-based code

## LL97 of 2019

- Sets annual GHG limit for buildings 
   <u>></u> 25,000 sqft
- Starting in 2024

## OneNYC 2050

- Carbon neutrality and 100% clean electricity by 2050

build safe live safe

# **PILOT STUDY GOALS**

- Testing Approaches to Align Code with Legislation
  - LL32: Performance based option is currently in the code as Appendix CA Section 11 and Appendix G
    - Expensive, time-consuming, simple buildings may not benefit from whole building modeling
  - LL97: Align code with GHG emissions limits
    - First step in approaching alignment with code
  - OneNYC 2050
    - Flexible pathway to achieve deep energy savings

build safe live safe

# Buildings nyc.gov/buildings

1123200



## **NYC Performance Pilot Q&A Workshop**

August 20, 2021

**Pacific Northwest National Laboratory** 

Michael Tillou







## Workshop Housekeeping

Pacific Northwest

	File Options View Help ⊕-	_OØX
	Attendees still on hold	
	Start broadcast	
<b>I</b>	Record on start	
	Sharing	5
	Webcam	🗆 × 🖉
Q	Audio	N 🖸
	Dashboard	⊠×⊠
U	Attendees: 4 of 1001 (max)	
Proving the	▶ Polls (0/0)	
	<ul> <li>Questions</li> </ul>	
S. C	Show Answered Questions	
	X Question	Asker
	Send Privately Send to All	
>> F=	Send Privately Send to All	
	Send Privately Send to All  Chat  NYC Performance Pilot Q&A V  Webinar ID: 963-707-043	⊙ N× Vebinar
	Send Privately Send to All  Chat  NYC Performance Pilot Q&A V Webinar ID: 963-707-043  GoToWebina	© N × Vebinar r

Please submit questions by typing them into the questions box or by raising your hand so we can unmute you.

August 20, 2021

## **Current Energy Code Compliance**

Pacific Northwest



Uhi#Jrdgp ds#ruWkh#Ixwkh#r#rp p huEld#Jqhuj |#rghv/#Jrvhqehuj#w#lo#5QQ005733<

August 20, 2021

3



- No 'Prescriptive Path'
- 'Enhanced Mandatory Requirements' and target system efficiencies for envelope, lighting and HVAC (incl. SHW)
- Maintains Whole Building Performance Path using PRM and eventually the new Simplified PRM (S-PRM) being developed by PNNL



Dxjxvv#53/#5354

Performance Pilot –System Performance

Prescriptive path does not prescribe energy use or recognize good design choices

- Multitude of prescriptive options each judged independently
- · Each combination chosen results in very different energy use

Varying just six prescriptive parameters resulted in a 14% variation in annual energy cost:

- Medium Office Building
- Climate Zone 5A

Pacific Northwest



August 20, 2021



Northwest

### **Performance Pilot – System Performance**

- Establishes a fixed performance target
- Maintains design flexibility.
- Uses hourly simulation based on simplified building geometry & defaults
- Reduced design team effort requiring only 4 to 8 hours compared to 40 to 100+ hours for whole building simulation





Northwest

NATIONAL LABORATORY

### **Performance Pilot – Proposed Process**

Develop a performance-based code using a System Performance approach and develop a pilot program for testing.

- Use PPNL developed tools
- PNNL funding exists to provide modest updates to the current PNNL Tools





M PNNL Performance Pilot.cck - COMcheck 4.1.4.3 Co	Code: 2020 New York City Energy Conservation Code, Appendix CA (modified 90.1-2016)				
<u>File Edit View Options Code Help</u>					
Project Envelope Interior Lighting Exterior Lighting M	Vechanical Requirements				
Location State New York	Building Envelope Area Types         Interior Lighting Method and Areas         Exterior Lighting Areas           0.0         Overall building height (feet above-grade)				
Cliv New York	Add Delete Duplicate				

Uses familiar COMCheck interface

Pacific

- Adds functionality for spandrel panel curtain walls
- Reference case uses independent baseline envelope U-value criteria similar to Appendix G whole building approach (ie: Walls: steel framed, Roof: insulation above deck)
- Fixed reference case allows envelope performance to be improved without mandating specific envelope component improvements.

## **Performance Pilot – Lighting System Performance**

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Tool: Lighting System Evaluator



•

•

•

•

•









**Tool automatically** calculates Annual Proposed & **Reference Case** Lighting Energy

**Project complies if Proposed** Lighting Energy is less than or equal to the Reference **Building Lighting Energy** 

Describe Project

**Spaces** 

lighting controls

Enter the Luminaire Schedule

Excel based tool developed by PNNL

Uses kWH as the compliance metric

Allows tradeoffs between lighting power and lighting controls

lighting power and controls based on each space type.

Proposed design is compared to a Reference case with default

Allows lighting designers greater flexibility to trade lighting power for

lighting controls to each space

Assign luminaires and

August 20, 2021

### **Performance Pilot – HVAC System Performance**

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY













Determine Proposed HVAC Site Energy 1. kWh 2. therms

Convert site energy to compliance metric: source energy, carbon, or cost

Calculate Proposed TSPR

**Proposed TSPR** should be higher than or equal to

**Reference TSPR** 

Calculate Target **TSPR** 

Convert site energy to compliance metric: source energy, carbon, or cost

**Reference HVAC** Site Energy 1. kWh 2 therms

**Reference System Energy Performance** (auto-generated in software tool)

Proposed HVAC System Energy Performance

(system design described by user)

- Allows trade-offs within HVAC System design
- NYC Pilot Reference Systems utilize air or water source heat pump systems.
- NYC Pilot will report site energy, cost and carbon emission compliance metrics
- TSPR tool uses the same Asset Score platform as Audit Template



The first phase of the pilot will evaluate the System Performance Pathways

#### Schedule:

Pacific Northwest

Submit Application to participate:	Due August 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2021:
Notification of Pilot Participation:	Week of Sep 6 <sup>th</sup> .
HVAC TSPR Training:	Fri. Sep 17 <sup>th</sup> – 2 hours
Envelope Training:	Wed. Sep 22 <sup>th</sup> – 1 hour
Lighting Training:	Wed Sep 22 <sup>th</sup> – 1 hour
Complete Building Evaluations:	Due no later than October 15th

#### NYC Performance Pilot Target Building Types

Retail Building Types – Group M: Office Building Types – Group B: Educational Building Types – Group E: Residential Building Types – Group R2:

#### Will select up to 5 projects of each target building type to participate in Phase 1.



Phase 1 Pilot Participation requirements

- 1. Submit Application describing proposed building and project team.
- 2. Attend Tool Trainings on Sep 17<sup>th</sup> and Sep 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- 3. Model the proposed project using the System Performance Tools and submit required pilot documentation.

Anticipated time commitment -4 -8 hours for each system performance pathway

- 4. Share project documentation with PNNL and NYC DOB
- 5. Participate in a de-brief meeting with DOB and PNNL after submitting project materials

Participation in the pilot is voluntary and independent of any current energy code compliance requirements and will not impact the review process of a project.

All data will be received and reviewed solely by PNNL and DOB pilot project team and will only be used only for the purpose of identifying how to improve performance-based compliance pathways and tools.



The second phase of the pilot will focus on Whole Building Simulation compliance options

- 1. Simplified Whole Building Modeling Approach S-PRM
- 2. Testing of alternate compliance approach and metrics for Appendix G (site energy and carbon)
- 3. Open evaluation of HVAC TSPR System Performance Tool

#### Schedule:

Pacific

Phase 2 Q&A Workshop:	Early October
S-PRM training:	Mid October
Building Evaluations:	Completed by Mid November

The Phase 1 Pilot application will ask if you are interested in participating in Phase 2 activities.



# Questions and Answers





### **Pilot Q and A**

#### **Pilot Logistics**

- 1. I have a 3-family house, do I qualify to participate?
- 2. I don't have the projects that have 25,000 sf. So can I participate in testing?
- 3. How will this pilot address be retrofitting existing multifamily dwellings from 1968 and prior?
- 4. We have buildings where we have performed insulation projects, some of our clients would be willing to participate, is this OK?
- 5. Are you seeking licensed professionals for this project?

#### Pilot Tools & Software

- 1. Is it possible to test the software in an academic setting?
- 2. Understanding the reporting format, understanding the nature of compliance tools that may be developed
- 3. What exports will be available after inputting data into the various platforms, and are these compatible with BuildingSync?
- 4. Does the new ComCheck-like tool result in reporting of EUI that can be used for the AIA 2030 challenge? If not, that would be very useful functionality to add.
- 5. Current Comcheck is the 'way out' of certain energy efficiency requirements. Is the intention that this improved ComCheck will set minimum component performance thresholds and limit tradeoffs enough to actually result in high performance buildings?



#### **Design of building**

- 1. What technics or practices do you recommend to put in place during the design process to insure compliance upon completion?
- 2. What are the efficiencies for MEP systems that can be shared across bldg types? ex: energy source & HVAC for PA spaces.
- 3. How will this potentially impact commercial food equipment-both refrigeration and cooking equipment
- How close would our building be to Passive House. Will our building exceed the NYC requirements by 20%
- 5. Has DOB established data/guidance for public assembly spaces and energy efficient sys operations? Comm kit vs private kit - all electric or hybrid gas? Gym/assembly HVAC - solid walls w/operable windows or glazed daylit walls? Wall ratio efficiency?



## Thank you

